Role of Imaging in Bariatric Surgery: A Review of the Various Surgical Techniques and Their Complications
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Abstract

According to the World Health Organization, obesity has reached epidemic proportions globally, with at least 2.8 million people dying each year as a result of being overweight or obese. Bariatric surgery is being increasingly used as a form of treatment, particularly in those patients where lifestyle modifications are deemed insufficient. With the role of radiologists transitioning from a medical to a surgical evaluation in obesity, it is becoming increasingly important to familiarize oneself with the various imaging techniques used in the preoperative and postsurgical evaluation in such cases. This article aims to review the various surgeries performed, their normal imaging appearance, and the various complications that could be encountered.
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Introduction

Obesity is an increasingly major health problem worldwide, including in the developing countries like India. Asian Indians are at risk of obesity-related complications at lower levels of body mass index (BMI) when compared with the Caucasians. Specific fat distribution (high truncal and abdominal adiposity) is associated with the influence of insulin sensitivity and other metabolic risk factors. Asian Indians are also more prone to lower glucose metabolism, due to increased insulin resistance and greater procoagulant tendency and dyslipidemia.1

The World Health Organization (WHO) definition of overweight and obesity is abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that will impair health. For adults, according to BMI, overweight is defined as BMI greater than or equal to 25, and obesity as greater than or equal to 30. For children under 5 years, overweight and obesity are defined as greater than 2 and 3 standard deviations above the WHO child growth standard median. For children between 5 and 19 years, overweight and obesity are defined as greater than 1 and 2 standard deviations.2

India-specific definitions of obesity remain the same as the international classification, but BMI cutoffs for public health action are set at a lower value. BMI ranging from 27.5 to 32.4 are conditionally eligible but not prioritized for surgery. BMI ranging from 32.5 to 34.9 are eligible and conditionally prioritized for surgery, and those greater than or equal to 37.5 are eligible and prioritized for surgery.1

Obesity is associated with comorbidities type-2 diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, stroke, metabolic syndrome, sleep apnea, arthritis, gallstones, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, depression, polycystic ovarian syndrome, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer. Its health consequences
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range from increased risk of premature death to serious conditions that reduce the general quality of life.

The management of obesity includes lifestyle modifications with particular focus on diet and exercises, pharmacotherapy, bariatric surgery, and the newer interventional procedure of gastric arterial embolization. According to the fifth registry of the International Federation for the Surgery of Obesity and Metabolic disorders Global registry report of 2019, the total number of surgeries performed in six registered countries was 8,33,687.

The surgical methods for weight reduction include bypass procedures and restrictive procedures. Bypass procedures act by the process of malabsorption caused by circumventing different lengths of the small bowel. The procedures include jejunoileal bypass, bilipancreatic diversion, and bilipancreatic diversion with duodenal switch. Restrictive procedures act by reducing the gastric volume and thus causing earlier satiety. These are preferred as the risk of malabsorption syndrome is lesser. The procedures include horizontal gastroplasty, vertical banded gastroplasty, adjustable gastric band, and sleeve gastrectomy. Most of the surgical procedures use combined methods for better efficiency and the most commonly performed is laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB).

The preoperative evaluation of the patient is important and must include a psychological assessment, nutritional evaluation, preparation of a weight loss plan, and medical clearance for surgery. An abdominal ultrasound (US) is important to rule out liver pathology and the presence of cholelithiasis. Patients with acute or chronic cholecystitis or symptomatic gall stones would require a cholecystectomy to be performed at the time of bariatric surgery. Also, these patients would no longer be candidates for endoscopic evaluation of the biliary tract if they develop cholecloithiasis. An esophagogastroduodenoscopy is important in those surgical procedures that exclude the stomach, to look for the presence of ulcers, gastrosophageal reflux, or Barrett’s esophagus and to rule out malignancy, as the remnant stomach will not be accessible for endoscopic evaluation in the future.

Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can also be used to assess the volumes of visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and measure the densities of each. Many software can be used in the analysis of images obtained by CT and MRI, one of which is the publicly available region growing algorithm by OsiriX. Noncontrast CT or T1- and/or T2-weighted imaging (MRI) can also be used to assess the volumes of visceral adipose tissue (VAT), increases after RYGB and correlates with improved biomarkers. Noninvasive fat density by CT, particularly that of VAT, increases after RYGB and correlates with improved cardiometabolic risk. All weight loss interventions have however been found to be more effective in reducing SAT, while the percent change of VAT has always been greater than percent change of SAT. A recent meta-analysis has demonstrated that bariatric surgery leads to greater body weight loss and higher remission rates of type 2 diabetes and cardiometabolic syndrome, compared with nonsurgical treatment of obesity. The most common adverse events after bariatric surgery were iron deficiency anemia (malabsorptive bariatric surgery) and reoperations.

The imaging methods available in the postoperative evaluation in bariatric surgeries include conventional radiography (which is highly nonspecific and seldom performed), upper gastrointestinal (GI) contrast studies, endoscopy, and CT. The protocol for upper GI contrast studies includes an initial abdominal scout radiograph followed by the ingestion of 50 to 100 mL of dilute water-soluble contrast diatrizoate meglumine and diatrizoate sodium (Gastrografin, Bracco Diagnostics), and in the absence of a leak, high-density barium can be used for better luminal opacification. The contrast column is followed until the distal small bowel (particularly in cases of RYGB). Barium is not advisable for leak testing, as it can cause chemical peritonitis. In cases that are equivocal on upper GI contrast studies, CT is the investigation of choice, as small leaks are better visualized. Similar dilute water-soluble contrast is used here as well. In fact, in a substantial proportion of patients, even CT does not demonstrate extravasation of contrast and a collection is usually the only sign (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical techniques</th>
<th>Laparoscopic SG</th>
<th>RYGB</th>
<th>Laparoscopic adjustable gastric band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creation of a tubular gastric pouch by exclusion of majority of the gastric volume</td>
<td>Formation of a gastric pouch anastomosed with the jejunum; bowel continuity maintained with a distal jejunojejunul anastomosis</td>
<td>Silicone band around the proximal stomach to create a pouch with a narrow stoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of weight loss</td>
<td>Restrictive</td>
<td>Restrictive + bypass</td>
<td>Restrictive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common complications</td>
<td>Staple line hemorrhage/leak</td>
<td>Anastomotic stricture/leak, small bowel obstruction</td>
<td>Stomal stenosis, band slippage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RYGB, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; SG, sleeve gastrectomy.
This article aims to review the normal radiological anatomy postbariatric surgery and the various possible complications and their imaging features.

**Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy**

This is a relatively new surgical procedure which was started in the year 1999 and has become increasingly popular since with a large number of countries preferring this over RYGB. This procedure is a modification of the Magenstrasse and Mill procedure and includes the creation of a long narrow gastric pouch by exclusion of approximately 75% of the gastric volume, thereby facilitating early satiety. In addition, there is reduced production of the hormone ghrelin (produced in the gastric fundus), which is known to be an appetite stimulant. It is performed by dividing the stomach along the long axis and resecting the greater curvature of the fundus, body and proximal antrum, thus making it irreversible.

**Normal Anatomy**

On upper GI contrast study, a long tubular gastric pouch is noted, which could have a slightly widened distal end (due to preserved pylorus). CT scan is generally performed only in case of a suspicious complication, in which the normal gastric pouch is seen as a tubular structure adjacent to the surgical staples along the greater curvature of the remnant stomach, with abundant mesenteric fat in the expected location of the stomach (►Figs. 1–3).

**Complications**

The incidence of complications in postoperative cases of sleeve gastrectomy is 7.4%. They are as follows:

1. **Staple line hemorrhage:** This can be intraluminal (diagnosed and treated by endoscopy) or intrabdominal without upper GI bleed. Conventional radiography is nonspecific for hemorrhage and this would require a CT scan either with or without intravenous (IV) contrast to look for the presence of hematoma and active contrast extravasation. This is generally seen within the first few hours postsurgery (►Fig. 4).

---

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of creation of a gastric pouch by the exclusion of 75% of the gastric volume.

Fig. 2 Normal appearance of sleeve gastrectomy on upper gastrointestinal study showing the narrow gastric pouch (line arrow in A) and a slightly delayed film showing contrast in the duodenum (line arrow in B).
Fig. 3 Normal appearance of sleeve gastrectomy on computed tomography (CT). Axial (A–C), sagittal (D) CT showing the staple line along the greater curvature of the stomach (line arrow in C) with the narrowed gastric pouch (X).

Fig. 4 Axial noncontrast (A, B), contrast-enhanced sagittal (C) and coronal (D) computed tomography in a patient with abdominal pain one day postsleeve gastrectomy showing the staple line along the greater curvature of the stomach (block arrows in all) with the narrow gastric pouch (marked X in A). Line arrows show lobulated hematoma inferolateral to the staple line, attenuation of 55 to 65 HU on the plain scan and remaining unenhanced on the postcontrast scan.
2. **Staple line leak**: It can occur early (within the first 3 days of surgery), intermediate (within the first week), or late (more than 8 days later). It usually occurs from the superior aspect of the staple line, and the presence of extraluminal oral contrast on upper GI study with a collection or into the peritoneal cavity is diagnostic. CT scans can be used for subtle leaks and small abscesses (Figs. 5–7).

3. **Gastric outlet obstruction (GOO)**: This can be functional due to postoperative ileus, and on upper GI studies, it can be seen as contrast stasis at the incisura angularis. Mechanical obstruction due to scarring can be seen as a stricture of varying lengths at the upper, mid, or distal end of the staple line with dilatation of the sleeve and delayed transit of contrast. Obstruction can also occur due to twisting of the sleeve.

---

**Fig. 5** Upper gastrointestinal study in a patient 2 days postsleeve gastrectomy showing extraluminal contrast (block arrow in A and B) leaking along the superior aspect of the gastric pouch (line arrow in B).

**Fig. 6** Coronal noncontrast computed tomography (A–F from anterior to posterior) in a patient 2 days postsleeve gastrectomy showing staple line (block arrow in A and B) along the greater curvature of the stomach, contrast-opacified jejunal loops (marked J in D and E). Air pockets and fluid collection (marked X in all) noted beneath the left dome of the diaphragm with leak of orally administered contrast (line arrow in D and F).
4. **Gastric dilatation:** This might occur in some patients who present with inadequate weight loss or recurrent weight gain, requiring revision surgery. The sleeve on upper GI study no longer has a tubular appearance and is widened (►Fig. 8).

5. **Gastroesophageal reflux:** This occurs due to reduced gastric compliance, distorted gastric anatomy, and stasis. On upper GI study, the reflux of oral contrast is seen into the dilated esophagus along with features of esophageal dysmotility.

6. **Rarer complications** include splenic infarction due to injury to splenic arterial branches (identified as a wedge-shaped non-enhancing hypodense area in the periphery of the spleen on CT), portomesenteric vein thrombosis causing bowel wall ischemia (seen as dilated bowel loops with wall thickening, pneumatosis intestinalis and sometimes gangrenous bowel resulting in perforation), and symptomatic cholelithiasis.

### Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass

This was first performed laparoscopically by Wittgrove et al in 1994¹⁷ and used to be the most popular bariatric surgery with the highest long-term success and most sustained weight loss.¹⁸-²¹

**Technique:** It involves dividing the stomach to form a small, proximal gastric pouch completely separated from the larger lower stomach called the gastric remnant. This is followed by gastrojejunostomy to create a contiguous alimentary tract. The alimentary (Roux limb) and biliopancreatic limbs of the Roux-en-Y are then constructed. The jejunum is divided approximately 50 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz to create the biliopancreatic limb. The jejunum is then measured between approximately 60 and 100 cm based on the BMI of the patient (60 cm for < 50, and 100 cm for > 50)⁷ distal to this division for the site of the end-to-side jejunojejunostomy connecting the biliopancreatic and Roux limbs. The Roux limb is then brought up to the stomach by dividing the greater omentum at the inferior margin of the transverse colon. Another technique is the retrocolic/retrogastric approach, which is associated with a higher rate of complications.²² This is used when the division of the omentum is insufficient to facilitate a tension-free alimentary limb. The Roux limb is brought up posterior to the transverse colon and stomach through a surgically created window in the transverse mesocolon. Petersen’s space between the Roux limb and the transverse colon mesentery is closed to prevent future small bowel herniation. The most common site for herniation was found to be the retrocolic mesenteric defect, which led to small bowel obstruction.²³ Primary closure of the mesenteric defects reduces the incidence of internal hernia but can lead to other potential complications like mesenteric hematoma with circulatory impairment²⁴ (►Fig. 9).

### Normal Anatomy

On upper GI contrast study, the gastric pouch is small and measures 4 cm, with an approximate volume of 15 to 20 mL,
although this may vary. The gastrojejunal anastomosis is visualized in profile in the frontal views in most cases, except when the jejunum is connected to the anterior or posterior aspect of the pouch, in which case, steep oblique/lateral views are to be obtained. A small outpouching called “rabbit ear” representing the end-to-side gastrojejunal anastomosis can sometimes be seen as a normal variant. Bowel signature can be seen, as this represents the efferent loop, and it will not progressively increase in size; this helps differentiate it from a leak. The head of the contrast column in the alimentary limb is to be followed until the jejunojejunal anastomosis is visualized up to the terminal ileum. Narrowing of the alimentary limb at the surgical opening of the transverse mesocolon in the retrocolic/retrogastric approach can be confused with an ischemic stricture or jejunal narrowing (Fig. 10).

On CT, the high attenuation suture line of the gastrojejunal anastomosis is usually seen in the epigastrium, and the distal jejunojejunal anastomotic site is lower in the abdomen. The orally administered contrast can be seen opacifying the gastric pouch, across the gastrojejunal anastomosis into the alimentary limb, and moving across the jejunojejunal anastomosis into the distal small bowel. CT has the added advantage of being able to assess the excluded stomach and the biliopancreatic limb, which are not opacified with oral contrast. These should not be confused with fluid collections or abscesses. Small bowel obstruction and internal hernia are better demonstrated on CT (Fig. 11).

Complications

1. **Anastomotic leak:** This is one of the most feared complications and presents with pain, fever, tachycardia, nausea and vomiting, reduced urine output, and hypotension. It happens within the first 10 days postsurgery and usually occurs at the gastrojejunalostomy site. The other possible sites include the gastric pouch, blind jejunal stump, excluded stomach, and the jejunojejunal anastomosis. On upper GI study, gastrojejunal site leaks are best demonstrated in the supine or left posterior oblique positions, are seen as blind-ending tracks or sealed off collections, and less frequently as free leaks into the peritoneal cavity. They can sometimes extend to the left upper quadrant as subphrenic collections. CT scan is superior in demonstrating the presence of abscesses and subtle leaks (Figs. 12–13).

2. **Anastomotic stricture:** Early postoperative edema can lead to stenosis at the gastrojejunal anastomosis which resolves within the first week. Fixed strictures usually

---

Fig. 9 Normal surgical anatomy after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass with the white arrow showing the gastric pouch, which was excluded from the larger stomach (white arrowhead). Black arrowhead shows the gastrojejunal anastomosis, and black arrow shows the jejunojejunal anastomosis.

Fig. 10 Normal appearance of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass on upper gastrointestinal study (A–C) showing narrow gastric pouch (line arrow in A and B), and gastrojejunal anastomosis (black arrow in A and B) with the contrast-opacified jejunal loops (marked X in C) in the delayed image.
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Fig. 11 Normal appearance of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass on coronal noncontrast computed tomography (A–E from posterior to anterior) showing contrast opacified gastric pouch (marked P in A and B), jejunum (marked J in E) and distal small bowel (marked D in E), with nonopacified excluded stomach (marked Ex St in A and B) and biliopancreatic limb (marked BP in D). The gastrojejunal (line arrows in A–D) and jejunojejunal anastomosis (block arrow in E) are also seen.

Fig. 12 Upper gastrointestinal study (A and B) in a patient 8 two days postRoux-en-Y gastric bypass showing extraluminal leak of contrast (line arrows) with passage of contrast across the gastrojejunal anastomosis (block arrow in B). Another pocket of contrast seen along the superolateral aspect (marked X in B) was confirmed to be a contrast leak below the left dome of the diaphragm on the subsequent computed tomography.

occur in 3 to 9% of patients due to ischemia and scarring and can be detected on upper GI studies by the presence of smooth narrowing at the anastomotic site, with a dilated gastric pouch and delayed gastric emptying. Strictures with an anterior or posterior location in relation to the anastomosis would require a steep oblique or lateral view, while those inferior to the anastomosis can be visualized in the frontal view.14 They can also be diagnosed on endoscopy and treated with dilatation.28 Less commonly, stenosis can occur at the jejunojejunal anastomosis and causes small bowel obstruction, which is better evaluated on CT (Fig. 14).

3. Small bowel obstruction (SBO): This can occur in up to 5% of patients and may be due to adhesions, internal hernias, abdominal wall hernias, strictures, bezoar or intussusception at the jejunojejunal anastomosis. The ABC classification of internal hernias includes the following29:

A. Type A: Dilatation of the alimentary limb with decompressed biliopancreatic limb and distal common channel. This is seen on upper GI studies as dilated Roux limb at or proximal to the jejunojejunal anastomosis.

B. Type B: Dilatation of the biliopancreatic limb only, with decompressed alimentary limb and distal common channel. This
Fig. 13 Axial noncontrast computed tomography (A and B) in the same patient as in Fig. 12 showing extraluminal contrast (line arrows) outside the gastric pouch (marked P) and along the spleen (curved arrows). Coronal maximum intensity projection image (C) shows the gastrojejunal anastomosis (block arrow) more anteriorly. Sagittal image (D and E) showing extraluminal contrast posterior and inferior to the gastric pouch (line arrows) and below the left dome of the diaphragm (marked X) with the gastrojejunal anastomosis more anteriorly (block arrow). Coronal image (F) also showing large area of leak of contrast (line arrow).

Fig. 14 Upper gastrointestinal study (A, B followed by a delayed film—C) in a patient 8 days post Roux-en-Y gastric bypass showing smooth passage of contrast across the gastrojejunal anastomosis (block arrow in A and B) with holdup of contrast. The delayed film showed no contrast opacification of the distal small bowel loops with dilated jejunal loops (line arrow in B and C) due to stricture at the jejunojejunal anastomosis.

is a closed-loop obstruction and can lead to perforation of the excluded stomach and is usually diagnosed on CT, as the biliopancreatic limb remains unopacified on upper GI studies.

C. Type C: Obstruction of the distal Common channel distal to the jejunojejunosomy with dilatation of both the alimentary and the biliopancreatic limbs.

SBO due to adhesions is more common with open rather than laparoscopic surgeries, while internal hernia (IH) results more commonly from the laparoscopic approach. Adhesions and scarring due to peritoneal irritation that result from an open surgery prevent the herniation of bowel loops.30

4. Internal hernias: They present with small bowel obstruction, usually postlaparoscopic surgery, with an incidence
8. **Recurrent weight gain**: This can occur due to the presence of the gastrogastric fistula (GGF), with a dehiscent staple line causing communication of the gastric pouch and the excluded stomach. These can be diagnosed as an anastomotic leak on upper GI study with the accumulation of contrast (as compared with free flow into the peritoneal cavity), and contrast accumulation in the excluded stomach and not in the bilipancreatic and duodenum on CT. In the past, it has been suggested that small leaks into the excluded stomach are of little clinical importance, while others have found that even small leaks may be associated with recurrent weight gain, necessitating surgical revision.  

### Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding

The laparoscopic approach to gastric banding (1986) was developed in 1996, following which the adjustable version was made available in 2001. It is a reversible procedure that is technically simpler and associated with a similar incidence of postoperative morbidity as the other procedures. However, recent studies have shown a higher incidence of revision procedures due to complications or inadequate weight loss.  

The procedure includes placement of a silicone band (sutured to the stomach) around the proximal stomach, creating a pouch with a narrow stoma through the band and communicating with the rest of the stomach. This is done to restrict the passage of the food. The inner aspect of the band has an inflatable balloon cuff that is connected by a tube to a subcutaneous port placed in the anterior abdominal wall. Fluid is added or removed from the port to inflate or deflate the cuff, based on the patient's weight loss curve (►Fig. 18).

### Normal Anatomy

The band is radiodense and is placed obliquely (the lateral side above the medial side) in the left epigastric region, the horizontal axis of which makes an angle of approximately 4 to 58 degrees with the spinal column. Discontinuity or kinking of the tubing and the inflatable cuff is to be observed on the scout view. On oral administration of contrast, gastric pouch (4 cm diameter) is seen above the band with tapered narrowing, traversing the stoma which normally measures 3 to 5 mm. Frontal or slightly right posterior views are taken to prevent the stoma from being obscured by the opacified fundus of the stomach. On CT, the radio-opaque band is seen with the tubing traversing the peritoneal space and rectus muscles to the subcutaneous port along the anterior rectus sheath (►Fig. 19).

### Complications:

Early postoperative complications include gastric perforation (< 0.5%), early band slippage (< 0.15), and acute stomal stenosis (< 0.4%).

1. **Stomal stenosis**: This the most common complication and occurs when the band is too tight, presenting with nausea and vomiting, regurgitation and dysphagia. Upper GI study reveals excessive narrowing of the stoma, with dilatation of the proximal stomach, and pouch–esophageal reflux with slow emptying into the remaining stomach.
In extreme cases, the impaction of food may occur, which can be seen as a radiolucent filling defect just proximal to the stoma. Immediate deflation of the cuff can be performed with a repeat study to document the free flow of barium through the increased caliber of the stoma.

2. Pouch dilatation with band slippage: Slippage of the band leads to a part of the stomach herniating superiorly and causes dilatation of the pouch. The incidence is approximately 24% and the band can slip anteriorly, posteriorly, or concentrically. It can further lead to complications like...

Fig. 15 Coronal contrast-enhanced computed tomography (A–F from anterior to posterior) in a patient 8 days post Roux-en-Y gastric bypass showing gastrojejunostomy anastomosis (line arrows in C–F) and jejunojejunal anastomosis (in E and F). Clumped dilated small bowel loops (marked X in A–F) are seen with swirling of the mesenteric vessels (in E) and radiating mesenteric vessels (in C) marked with block arrows suggestive of internal hernia. This was confirmed to be Peterson’s hernia intraoperatively.
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1. Acute gastric obstruction, gastric volvulus, ischemia, and infarction, leading to perforation; on diagnosis, immediate deflation of the band is required. In cases of anterior slippage, the anterior and superior portions of the distal stomach herniate, causing rotation of the band with an increased phi angle. Posterior slippage occurs in association with transbursal band placement and causes the posterior and inferior portions of the distal stomach to herniate. A newer technique with the placement of the band high in the hepatogastric ligament without violation of the lesser sac, so that the band is distant from the peristaltic stomach. In posterior slippage, the band is seen to have an O-shape as the anterior and posterior portions do not overlap (Fig. 20).

2. Intragastric erosion: This is a delayed complication observed in 0.3 to 14% of patients. The various causes include small gastric wall injuries incurred during band placement, overdistension of the cuff leading to ischemia, band site infection, use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and so on. The gastric band may sink into a minor tear in the gastric wall and eventually erode through the lumen. A clinical sign of erosion would be the inability to inflate the port or recurrent port site infections, and they present with nonspecific abdominal pain, hematemesis or aspiration of turbid fluid from the port. On scout films, progressive displacement of the band as compared with prior films should raise the suspicion of erosion. Initial contrast studies are normal; at later stages, the contrast will be seen surrounding the eroded portion of the band. A definitive diagnosis can be made on CT when there is continuity between the band and the gastric lumen (opacified with dilute water-soluble dye).

Fig. 16 Axial noncontrast computed tomography (A-F from cranial to caudal) in the same patient as in Fig. 15 showing gastrojejunal anastomosis (line arrow in A) and jejunojejunostomy (curved arrows in B-F). Clumped dilated small bowel loops (marked X in B-F) are seen with swirling of the mesenteric vessels (in D) and radiating mesenteric vessels (in C) marked with block arrows suggestive of internal hernia.
This warrants immediate removal of the band with a follow-up fluoroscopic examination within a week to rule out an ongoing leak.

4. **Malpositioned band:** Presence of the band in the perigastric fat that fails to encompass the stomach. It might also inadvertently be placed in the lower stomach, causing GGO.45

5. **Esophageal widening and dysmotility:** This usually occurs in the presence of an underlying insufficiency in the gastroesophageal sphincter, with inadequate dietary habit changes after surgery. It can lead to reflux, esophagitis, and aspiration. On upper GI study, the dilated esophagus is seen with reduced or absent peristalsis.

6. **Port site-related and technical complications:** Rotation and inversion of the injection port, tube disconnection, port site-related infections, and peritoneal adhesions leading to bowel obstruction can occur.39,46,47

**Laparoscopic Mini Gastric Bypass**

This is a single anastomosis gastric bypass first described in 1990, and first performed laparoscopically in 2002.48 It was popularized in 2006 and after, and given the global trends, there is a suggestion that the uptake of laparoscopic mini gastric bypass (MGB) is significantly increasing.5 A meta-analysis published by Wang et al showed that MGB has several advantages over RYGB. These advantages are a higher 1 and 2-year excess weight loss, higher type II DM remission rate, and a shorter operation time. In terms of long-term results, MGB might be superior to laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (SG) also.49,50

**Technique:** A long gastric tube is created by dividing the stomach along the lesser curvature from the angle of His to a point approximately 2 cm proximal to the pylorus. The jejunum is divided approximately 200 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz, and a side-to-side anastomosis is made with the
Normal Anatomy
The tubular functional stomach and gastrojejunal anastomosis leading to the efferent limb is well visualized on upper GI study. CT can be used in suspicious cases where the radiodense surgical staples help identify the site of surgery. CT allows for visualization of the afferent limb as well (►Figs. 22–23).

Complications
The incidence of complications with MGB is found to be lesser than with RYGB. There are limited studies comparing it with SG, but the ones available are promising and show no significant difference between the two procedures. A study by Kansou et al showed MGB had better weight loss at 1 year compared with SG with higher gastric complications. They include:

1. Anastomotic leak: The most common site is at the gastrojejunal anastomosis, and they can be diagnosed on upper GI study, as the presence of extraluminal contrast into the perigastric soft tissue. CT can be used to look for abscesses and subtle leaks. Pneumoperitoneum and localized fluid collection at unexpected sites are other signs of a leak on CT.

Fig. 19 Computed tomography (CT) scanogram (A) and coronal maximum intensity projection image (D) in a patient postgastric banding shows the normal appearance of the radiodense band (block arrow) and the subcutaneous port (line arrow). Coronal (B), axial (C) CT images show the gastric band around the proximal stomach (line arrow in B) with the port in the subcutaneous plane (block arrow in C).

Fig. 20 Coronal contrast-enhanced computed tomography in a patient postgastric banding showing slippage of the band along the lesser curvature (line arrow), where it is not seen adherent to the gastric wall.
2. **Stricture/stenosis:** Early postoperative edema can lead to transient delayed emptying, which resolves with time. At later stages, stenosis at the anastomotic site is diagnosed as narrowing on upper GI studies and requires endoscopic balloon dilatation.25

3. **Biliary reflux:** This is the most notorious complication and is usually due to the presence of a large gastric tube. When severe, they may require surgery with stapling of the afferent limb just proximal to the gastrojejunostomy and a laterolateral jejunoojejunostomy.56

4. **Marginal ulcers:** These are caused by large gastric tube and exposure to acid and are more reliably diagnosed on endoscopy, rather than upper GI study or CT.

5. Other complications include hemorrhage or hematoma at the operative site, wound-related complications like infection, and dehiscence. Anemia can be seen as a delayed complication due to bypassed duodenum.57

### Newer Bariatric Procedures

**Bridged MGB:** This involves the creation of a 2 cm passage in the uppermost stomach that connects the relatively larger gastric pouch and the remnant stomach, in addition to the one gastrojejunostomy gastric bypass as described above.58

This has the following advantages as compared with RYGB and SG:
1. The theoretical risk of a leak is lower due to the connection between the pouch and the remnant stomach.
2. Allows for endoscopic surveillance of the remnant stomach, to identify operative and early postoperative bleed, and screening for gastric cancers (Fig. 24).59

Transarterial embolization of the gastric fundus: This targets the endocrinal function of the fundus to suppress appetite. In the bariatric embolization of arteries for the treatment of obesity (BEAT) trial, the left gastric and gastroepiploic arteries were embolized using 300 to 500 micron microspheres with weight reduction at 12 months in 20 adults, with maximal appetite suppression at 1 month and peak weight loss occurring after 6 months.60,61

Intragastric ballooning (IGB): This is performed on patients with failed clinical treatment and who are at high risk for surgical procedures. It includes the endoscopic placement of a silicon intragastric balloon which is inflated with fluid or air during placement; it works by the principle of early satiety and delayed gastric emptying.62 Complications include spontaneous deflation, difficulty in removal and gastric ulcers. IGB treatment, in addition to lifestyle modification, is considered to be an effective short-term modality for weight loss in selected patients. However, there is insufficient evidence supporting its long-term efficacy63 (Fig. 25).

Fig. 23 Normal appearance in a patient post mini gastric bypass from cranial to caudal contrast-enhanced CT sections showing remnant stomach (S), bypassed segment (B), gastrojejunostomy (A) and distal loop (E). D3 segment of the duodenum (•) is a part of the afferent loop. Staple line along greater curvature of stomach (white arrows) and side-to-side gastrojejunostomy (black arrow). (C) Represents transverse colon.51

Fig. 24 Schematic representation showing the bridge at the most cranial 2 cm of fundus–artificial gastrogastric fistula (GGF) and the single gastrojejunal anastomosis (large red block arrow).59

Conclusion

Given the rise in the global incidence of obesity, bariatric surgical procedures are increasingly being performed. The knowledge regarding the type of surgery performed is important in identifying the potential complications in both the emergent and nonemergent setting. The radiologist needs to be familiar with the varying surgical trends and the contemporary as well as the more outdated obsolete procedures to make the right diagnosis.
Conflict of Interest
None declared.

Acknowledgments
The authors would like to express their thanks to Dr. Sumit Talwar, Consultant Bariatric Surgeon, Manipal Hospital, Bangalore for his precious inputs and encouragement and Dr. Aruna. R Patil, Consultant Radiologist, Apollo Hospitals, Bannerghatta, Bangalore, for her help.

References


24 Aghajani E, Jacobsen HJ, Nergaard BJ, Hedenbro JL, Leifson BG, Gislason H. Internal hernia after gastric bypass: a new and


54 Nabil T, Hussein A, Nabil A. Comparative study between the complications of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass. Egypt J Surg 2017;36(4):360


64 Bariatric intragastric balloon. Available at: https://radiopaedia.org/cases/62114intragastric-ballon. Accessed October 19, 2020