Prevalence of Anti-HBc Antibodies among HBsAg Negative Individuals and Its Association with Occult Hepatitis B
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Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is an endemic in many Asian countries, and among the major routes of transmission, transfusion is the one that should be prevented. Occult HBV infection (OBI) is defined as the presence of HBV DNA in the absence of detectable HBsAg, with or without anti-HBV antibodies. The aim of this study was to detect the prevalence of anti-HBc total antibodies among the HB surface antigen (HBsAg) negative individuals by way of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and detect the presence of HBV DNA among the anti-HBc seropositives by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Anti-HBs among the HBV DNA positives were also found out by enzyme-linked fluorescent assay (ELFA).

Materials and Methods

A total of 910 serum samples was subjected to initial screening for HBsAg by MERILISA HBsAg ELISA kits. The anti-HB core (HBc) total antibody titer was evaluated using MONOLISA ELISA (Biorad) kits. If found negative, the samples were discarded. If found positive, the samples underwent HBV DNA testing by nested PCR. Antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) was calculated among the DNA positives by ELFA.

Results

A total of 133 samples were positive for anti-HBc total antibody, resulting in an overall prevalence of 14.6%. Overall prevalence of HBV DNA among the anti-HBc seropositives was 2.2%.

Conclusion

Among the three HBV DNA positive patients, two belonged to the preoperative screening group, which is an alarming situation. Screening of blood for HBsAg has reduced the incidence of posttransfusion hepatitis, but HBV still remains the major source of transfusion transmitted infection in India.
patients using sensitive HBsAg assays to detect HBV infection. Some countries have also adopted anti-HB core (HBc) assays to detect chronic carriers with low-level viremia and who lack detectable HBsAg.² Despite the screening methods, it was observed that HBV infection can still occur even in the absence of HBsAg, which is known as occult HBV infection (OBI).³ This phenomenon is becoming increasingly recognized in several clinical settings worldwide. OBI is simply defined as presence of circulating HBV DNA among those with serologically undetectable hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) negatives. OBI may be antibody (anti-HBc alone or together with anti-HBs) positive (seropositive OBI), or antibody negative (seronegative OBI).⁴ The Taormina Consensus Conference in 2008 further defined “OBI” as the “presence of HBV DNA in the liver of individuals testing HBsAg negative with currently available assays” and introduced a cutoff value for serum HBV DNA (< 200 IU/mL).⁵ Studies on a large set of blood donors using NAT (nucleic acid testing) confirmed this phenomenon of OBI and formed the basis of mandatory NAT for transfused blood units in many developed countries. Such a testing algorithm is still not incorporated in many laboratories in developing countries including India.⁶,⁷ OBI can cause fulminant hepatitis. It is associated with development of hepatocellular carcinoma and cryptogenic liver disease. It can also affect the disease progression of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) patients.⁸,⁹

In India, HBV infection is diagnosed by detection of HBsAg. Detection of anti-HBc antibody is rarely done as it is not mandatory.¹⁰ In India, blood reactive for anti-HBc can be transfused to patients. In India, it is recommended that blood with high anti-HBc titer are rejected, but titer is not defined.¹¹ Patients with occult HBV infection, who lack detectable HBsAg with anti-HBc positivity and HBV DNA, are a potential source of HBV infection.¹² HBV can also be transmitted when liver is transplanted from a HBsAg negative, anti-HBc positive patient, which proves that liver harbors infectious HBV in some patients negative for HBsAg but positive for anti-HBc.¹³ OBI carriers with high anti-HBs levels are unlikely to transmit the infection, whereas those with “anti-HBc only” might transmit the infection.¹⁴ The aim of this study was to detect the prevalence of anti-HBc total antibody among the HBsAg negative individuals by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and detect the presence of HBV DNA among the anti-HBc seropositives by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Anti-HBs among the HBV DNA positives were also found out by enzyme-linked fluorescent assay (ELFA).

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted from January 2018 to December 2018 and the proposal for the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Government TD Medical College. Serum samples were collected from patients who were more than 18 years old belonging to the following groups after obtaining informed consent: preoperative screening patients (n = 517), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positives (n = 223), patients on hemodialysis (n = 108), HCV positives (n = 42), and alcoholic liver disease patients (n = 20). The overall sample size was 910.

Study subjects who were not willing to participate in the study, patients below 18 years of age, HBsAg seropositive patients, and patients having overlap between two categories were excluded from the study.

Under aseptic precaution, 5 mL blood was collected from the cubital fossa through venipuncture by a phlebotomist. The serum was separated and subjected to initial screening for HBsAg marker by MERILISA HBsAg ELISA kits. The anti-HBc total antibody titer was evaluated using MONOLISA ELISA (Biorad) kits. If found negative, the samples were discarded. If found positive, the samples underwent HBV DNA testing by nested PCR. The DNA positive samples were subjected to anti-HBc titer estimation by ELFA. All relevant patient details were collected from medical records. In this study, OBI was calculated on the basis of prevalence of HBV DNA among HBsAg negative individuals who were positive for Anti-HBc total antibody. Antibody to HBsAg was estimated only among the samples positive for HBV DNA.

Results

This study was conducted on 910 patients divided into different groups. Age-wise distribution show that maximum number of samples were received from patients belonging to the 41 to 60-year age group (Table 1). Distribution of anti-HBc total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>20–40 years</th>
<th>41–60 years</th>
<th>More than 60 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative screening patients</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 517)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positives</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 223)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on hemodialysis</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 108)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV positives</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic liver disease patients</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 910)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.
antibody among the study group showed that a total of 133 samples were positive for anti-HBc total antibody (Table 2), with an overall prevalence of 14.6%. HBV DNA distribution among the anti-HBc total positives (Table 3) showed that among the three positives, two were from the preoperative screening group and the other was an HCV positive patient. The prevalence of OBI among the anti-HBc seropositives was 2.2%. The overall findings of the study are shown in Table 4.

Anti-HBs was tested by ELFA in two among the HBV DNA positives belonging to the preoperative screening group and both were found to be < 10 (3 mIU/mL and 7 mIU/mL, respectively). Anti-HBs was not tested in one of the HBV DNA positives due to lack of adequate sample.

Discussion

The majority of research works on OBI done in India and globally are on blood donors. In this study, the majority of samples were obtained from preoperative screening patients (56.8%) and others included HIV patients (24.5%), patients on hemodialysis (11.87%), HCV positive patients (4.6%), and alcoholic liver disease patients (2.2%). The probability of detecting HBV DNA is highest among individuals who are anti-HBc positive and anti-HBs negative. Anti-HBc total has been used a surrogate marker for OBI. Prevalence of Anti-HBc in our study was 14.6%, which is concordant with the findings in other studies in India (10.2–58.8%). Accurate diagnosis of OBI requires HBV DNA PCR assay, but it is not cost-effective. Studies from different parts of India found OBI ranging from 3.9% in Kolkata (eastern India) and 0.78% in New Delhi (northern India) to 0.05% in Chandigarh (north-western India) and 0.15% in Vellore (southern India). Among the three HBV DNA positive cases in our study, two were from patients who underwent routine preoperative screening. This is an alarming finding which further justifies the need to include NAT for screening of hepatitis B, especially in blood banks.

Out of 910 samples, 223 were collected from HIV positive patients. The prevalence of anti-HBc total antibody among the HIV positives was 18.8%, but none of them were positive for HBV DNA. Anti-HBc total antibody prevalence among the HIV positives was concordant with the findings from a study in eastern India which showed a prevalence of 17.8%. A study conducted by Gupta et al among HIV positives showed that 35.8% of the HbsAg negative individuals showed presence of anti-HBc total antibody. The same study showed presence of HBV DNA in 45.3% of the samples who were negative for HbsAg but positive for other serological markers. In our study, HBV DNA was not detected in any of the HIV patients which was a surprising finding, whereas the study in eastern India showed a HBV DNA prevalence of 6.3%. Our finding is concordant with findings of Nunez et al who did not find the presence of HBV DNA among any of the HIV positive cases. The reason for the absence of HBV DNA among HIV positives is unclear, but it could be due to the fact that most of the HIV positives in our study had higher CD4 cell counts. Stuart et al reported that OBI was more commonly found among the HIV positives with lower CD4 counts when compared with those with higher CD4 counts.

Research works on OBI prevalence among hemodialysis patients showed varying range from 2.7% in Turkey to 50% in Iran. In our study, 14 (13%) samples were positive for anti-HBc antibody among 108 hemodialysis patients but none of them were positive for HBV DNA. A study conducted

Table 2 Distribution of anti-HBc total positivity among different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No. of positives (n = 133)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative screening patients</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positives</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on hemodialysis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV positives</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic liver disease patients</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Distribution of HBV DNA positivity among the anti-HBc total positives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No. of positives (n = 3)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative screening patients</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positives</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on hemodialysis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV positives</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic liver disease patients</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Overall prevalence of anti-HBc and HBV DNA in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>No. of samples tested</th>
<th>No. of positives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HBc total ELISA</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>133 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV DNA PCR</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>3 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: anti-HBc, Hepatitis B core antibody; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
by Jain et al found the prevalence of OBI among hemodialysis patients to be 4.9%. Our finding is concordant with the studies conducted in Italy and Iran which did not detect the presence of HBV DNA among hemodialysis patients. The absence of HBV DNA among the hemodialysis patients is probably due to the HBV vaccination and the regular surveillance for hepatitis B in our hospital.

Prevalence of OBI has been reported to be very high among HCV positive patients. HBV activity may be impaired by coinfection with infectious agents like HCV. HCV core protein strongly inhibits HBV replication. Sood et al reported a prevalence of 10%, whereas Cacciola et al reported a prevalence of 33%. Out of 42 HCV positives, anti-HBc antibody was detected in five samples (prevalence 11.9%, Table 2). The HBV DNA prevalence among this category was found to be 20% (Table 3). In our study, prevalence of anti-HBc antibodies was 10% among the 20 samples collected from alcoholic liver disease patients, but HBV DNA was not detected in these samples. This was discordant with the study conducted by Hashemi et al which showed an HBV DNA prevalence of 14%. This might be explained due to the small sample size of alcoholic liver disease patients in our study. The anti-HBs assay done on two out of three HBV DNA positive samples showed titers < 10 mIU/mL. Varying anti-HBs immune levels among HBV DNA positives are reported in other studies, where 75% (Ismail et al) and 50% (Keechiloth et al) of the HBV DNA positives were found to be immune.

To conclude, the prevalence of anti-HBc antibody and the HBV DNA prevalence among anti-HBc positives was found to be 14.6% and 2.2%, respectively. Among the three HBV DNA positive patients, two belonged to the preoperative screening group, which is an alarming situation. Detection of HBV DNA by NAT is the only way to completely rule out hepatitis B infection. Nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) results in our study show that 68/70 (97.1%) of the anti-HBc seropositives among the preoperative screening patients were negative for HBV DNA, which precludes us to use anti-HBc ELISA in routine screening.

Our study had a few limitations. Anti-HBc seropositives were not repeatedly tested to rule out false positivity. Anti-HBs was not performed in one of the HBV DNA positives due to inadequate sample. Viral load by real-time PCR was not done in any of the samples.
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