Application of Dual-Source-Computed Tomography in Pediatric Cardiology in Children Within the First Year of Life

Einsatz der Dual-Source-Computertomografie in der Kinderkardiologie bei Kindern im ersten Lebensjahr
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Abstract

Purpose: To assess fields of application and value of dual source computed tomography (DSCT) for diagnostics and therapy in patients with congenital heart disease during their first year of life. Evaluation of image quality, surgical use and radiation exposure of 2nd and 3rd generation DSCT.

Materials and methods: DSCT was applied in 118 cases between January 2012 and October 2014 for diagnostics of congenital heart defects. 2nd generation was used in 91 cases until April 2014 and 3rd generation in 27 cases during the period thereafter. 3D reconstructions of the image data were created for clinical diagnostics and planning of interventions. Image quality was assessed using a 4-point-scale. The visibility of the mammary arteries was analyzed, and signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise-ratio (CNR) were calculated. The usefulness of 3D-reconstructions for surgical planning was rated using a 5-point-scale. Radiation exposure and contrast dye consumption were determined. All cases were analyzed retrospectively.

Results: DSCT was successfully used in 118 cases. All image data obtained were interpretable. More than 60 percent of cases did not show any artifacts. The mammary arteries were visible down to the diaphragmatic arch in more than 80 percent of cases. Diagnostic value and surgical benefit were evaluated as “useful” or as “essential” in all cases. Median radiation dose was 0.4 mSv and 0.27 mSv for the 2nd and 3rd generation DSCT, respectively. Consumption of contrast dye was 2 ml/kg in all cases.

Conclusion: DSCT is a modern and extremely helpful technique for diagnostics and planning of interventions in patients with complex congenital heart defects. Extracardiac vascular structures in particular can be depicted three-dimensionally at high resolution. The use of iterative reconstruction with 3rd generation DSCT yielded image quality similar to that of 2nd generation DSCT at considerably reduced radiation exposure level compared to 2nd generation DSCT. 3rd generation DSCT is a low risk, accurate and extremely fast technique for diagnosing unstable patients with CHD.

Key points:
▶ Expanded scope of indications for DSCT in diagnosing critically ill infants
▶ Effective radiation dose is considerably lower than 0.5 mSv
▶ Extremely rapid image acquisitions with high image quality
▶ Possibility of optimized 3D-based surgical planning
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Zusammenfassung


were a 4-Punkte-Skala verwendet. Die Visualisierung der A. mammaria, das Signal-Rausch-Verhältnis (SNR) sowie das Kontrast-Rausch-Verhältnis (CRN) wurden ausgewertet. Der chirurgische Nutzen der DSCT wurde anhand einer 5-Punkte-Skala beurteilt. Die Strahlendosis und der Kontrastmittelverbrauch wurden bestimmt.

Ergebnisse: Alle gewonnenen Bilddaten waren interpretierbar. In über 60 % der Fälle waren die Bilddaten artefaktfrei. Die A. mammaria war in über 80 % der Fälle mindestens bis zur Zwerchfellkuppe darstellbar. Die diagnostische Wertigkeit und der chirurgische Nutzen wurden immer als „nützlich“ oder „essenziell“ bezeichnet. Die Strahlenbelastung betrug bei der 2. Generation im Median 0.4 mSv und bei der 3. Generation 0.27 mSv. Der Kontrastmittelverbrauch war stets 2 ml/kg.


Introduction

With an incidence of just over 1 % [1], congenital heart defects are among the most common congenital malformations and are responsible for a large percentage of postnatal mortality and morbidity [2]. Critical heart defects (accounting for approximately 15 % of all heart defects) are defined as any that become symptomatic in the first month of life and, if left untreated, result in death in the first year of life [3]. Mortality can be lowered using modern surgical techniques [4], and even highly complex heart defects can be managed with good prognosis through early surgical or interventional correction [5]. However, surgical correction of especially complex heart defects with the patient on a heart-lung machine remains hazardous and entails a high risk of peri- and postoperative complications [5, 6]. To minimize these risks, the surgery must be performed expeditiously and kept as brief as possible. For this purpose, the surgical team must have precise and comprehensive knowledge of the patient’s anatomical situation. Intraoperative amendment of diagnoses, change in strategy and thereby extended surgery times and unnecessary dissection must be avoided through accurate diagnosis based on medical imaging in advance [2 – 6]. In-depth familiarity with the anatomical structures as well as their spatial relation to one another is essential for planning the intervention [7].

While echocardiography is the medical imaging gold standard [8], it is inadequate when used as sole imaging technique in complex cases. It has a limited viewing window, does not sufficiently image airways and extracardiac structures and does not permit comprehensive 3D-representation [9]. In the field of pediatric cardiology, invasive heart catheter examinations are currently used primarily for interventional procedures and no longer as a diagnostic tool [10]. For advanced primary diagnosis of congenital heart defects, cross-sectional imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT), which saw rapid technical advancement in the last decade, are increasingly popular [11, 12]. MRI enables cardiac imaging with generally sufficient spatial resolution and delivers valuable hemodynamic information, even in newborns with congenital heart defects. However, its lengthy examination time and generally required endotracheal anesthesia are risky for critically ill infants [13 – 15]. CT is less invasive, offers high temporal and spatial resolution and requires no sedation or anesthesia in most cases. With the aid of 3D-representation, it allows improved visualization of cardiac structures for surgical planning [16].

Patients and methodology

Between January 2012 and October 2014, our department performed preoperative DSCT on 142 patients with congenital heart defects. Of this number, all patients who had not yet reached their first birthday at the time of examination were included in the study (n = 118). Among this group, 91 patients were examined using 2nd generation DSCT (SOMATOM Definition Flash, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) through April 2014, while 27 were examined using 3rd generation DSCT (SOMATOM Force, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Deutschland) between February 2014 and October 2014. DSCT was always used if there were any remaining questions for surgical planning after echocardiography had been performed. The examinations were performed in high-pitch-mode and with prospective ECG triggering (acquisition at 40 – 60 % of the blood pressure interval) and automatic illumination control (CareDose 4D, Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany). The examinations performed with 2nd generation DSCT employed a tube voltage of 80 kV, a reference tube current of 400 mAs, a pitch of 3.4 and a slice collimation of 2 × 128 × 0.6 mm. The examinations performed with 3rd generation DSCT employed a tube voltage of 70 kV, a reference tube current of 400 mAs, a pitch of 3.2 and a slice collimation of 2 × 192 × 0.6 mm. Reconstruction increment was 0.6 mm for both generations. For reconstruction, the 2nd generation DSCT employed the convolution kernel B26 f (body sharpness level 26 fine), while the 3rd generation employed the convolution kernel Bv40 (body vascular sharpness level 40).

The examinations were performed without mechanical ventilation and manipulation of heart rate for image optimization. The patients were supposed to lie still in the phase between the topogram, contrast agent injection and start of CT imaging. In 9.3 % of cases (11/118) this required mild sedation by means of intravenous midazolam (0.1 mg/kg). The
contrast agent was manually injected in all cases (Iomeprol, 2 ml/kg body weight, 300 mg iodine/ml; Imeron 300, Bracco Imaging, Konstanz, Germany). The datasets acquired were evaluated by radiologists and then converted into 3D images by a pediatric radiologist for surgical planning. The 3D-reconstruction of 0.6 mm slices was performed using Aquarius iNtuition (TeraRecon, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA), with those of the 3rd generation DSCT being generated from iteratively reconstructed data (advanced modelled iterative reconstruction, ADMIRE 4) (Fig. 1). In contrast to the filtered back projection based on simpler analytical algorithms, the principle of the more complex iterative reconstruction (e.g., ADMIRE) is based on multiple simulated correction projections with multiple, consecutive computational cycles.

Demographic and clinical data as well as image data from the CT examinations were evaluated and expressed as medians with standard deviation (Table 1). All data were analyzed retrospectively. Statistical analysis was performed using the software, “Analyse-it” (Analyse-it, United Kingdom). The Mann-Whitney U Test was applied.

The image quality of the acquired datasets was evaluated by an experienced pediatric cardiologist. In addition, image artifacts and the resolution of fine vascular structures were analyzed, and the signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) and the contrast-to-noise-ratio (CNR) were computed as objective image quality parameters. Through analysis of the visualization of particularly fine vascular structures and image artifacts, it was possible to evaluate the image quality of the individual datasets based on a 4-point scale modified according to the European guidelines for computed tomography [18] as follows: 1 = “optimal visualization”, 2 = “fully interpretable, low artifacts”, 3 = “still interpretable with regard to the visibility of fine vascular structures despite artifacts”, 4 = “not interpretable” (Table 2). For 3rd generation

![Fig. 1 Third generation of angiographic Dual-Source-CT of the thorax in a newborn with pulmonary atresia and ventricular septal defect (VSD). Figure a shows an axial 0.6 mm cross section at the level of the VSD, depicted in filtered back projection (FBP; BF40). Correspondingly, Figure b shows the advanced modelled iterative reconstructed image (ADMIRE level 4) with considerably less image noise. Figure c shows a volume-rendered reconstruction (VRT) of the native FBP, and Figure d shows a VRT image of ADMIRE 4, each with the same illumination setting.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical data of patients, details of CT-examinations, results of signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise-ratio (CNR) (number or median ± standard deviation) and [1st/3rd quartile].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>neonates 2nd generation DSCT</th>
<th>infants 2nd generation DSCT</th>
<th>neonates 3rd generation DSCT</th>
<th>infants 3rd generation DSCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number of examinations</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex (m/f)</td>
<td>18/23</td>
<td>27/23</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>8/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (days)</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>116 ± 7.2</td>
<td>10 ± 7</td>
<td>151 ± 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight (kg)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length (cm)</td>
<td>49 ± 3</td>
<td>58 ± 9</td>
<td>49 ± 3</td>
<td>62 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body surface (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dose length product (μGym²)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effective dose (mSv)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.11 [0.33/0.49]</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2 [0.32/0.58]</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.07 [0.22/0.29]</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.11 [0.24/0.36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSDE (mGy)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.16 [0.67/0.86]</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.34 [0.85/1.23]</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.15 [0.44/0.58]</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.26 [0.58/0.86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visibility of mammary artery (%)</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contrast medium used (300 mg iodine/ml)</td>
<td>2 ml/kg</td>
<td>2 ml/kg</td>
<td>2 ml/kg</td>
<td>2 ml/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Significant reduction between 2nd and 3rd generation DSCT (p < 0.0001).
DSCT, the increase in objective quality through iterative reconstruction was computed and evaluated (see Table 3). To further analyze the resolution of fine vascular structures, a slab of the anterior thoracic wall was visualized in maximal intensity projection (MIP) and the visibility of the mammary arteries of the back, divided by the image noise. The parameters SNR and CNR were computed for objective analysis of image quality. For this purpose, median signal intensity was measured at different sites in the vascular lumen (ascending aorta, descending aorta, pulmonary artery) as well as in the autochthonous muscles of the back. The image noise is defined by the standard deviation of the signal intensity in the ambient air. SNR is computed from the quotient of the median in the vascular lumen and the deviation of the signal intensity in the ambient air. CNR is the difference of the median value of the vascular lumen and the autochthonous muscles of the back, divided by the image noise.

During the surgical planning conference, the 3D-image data was presented by a pediatric cardiologist to the cardiac surgery team directly on the TeraRecon workstation to precisely clarify the specific anatomical situation, and specific questions posed were answered immediately using appropriate visualization. The benefits resulting for the surgical intervention planning were evaluated by the surgeon using a 5-point Likert scale following surgery [19]. Datasets were rated as “essential” if the surgeries as performed would not have been possible without the information obtained from DSCT. Datasets delivering, in addition to the always available echocardiographic image data, important information that positively influenced the surgery were rated as “very useful”. Datasets were rated as “useful”, if they provided useful information in addition to that obtained from echocardiography, but did not impact the surgery. Datasets not providing any additional useful information were rated as “not useful”. Datasets presenting erroneous or misleading information were rated as “misleading”. To individually evaluate the use of DSCT for different heart defects, the patient cohort was divided into five groups based anatomical features, and the surgical benefits were presented according to group (Table 4).

### Table 2
Group-specific evaluation of image quality (number (portion of individual anatomical regions in percent)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Results for Neonates</th>
<th>Results for Infants</th>
<th>All Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNR, non-iterative</td>
<td>15 ± 7</td>
<td>16 ± 8</td>
<td>16 ± 8 [9.2/18.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR, iterative</td>
<td>33 ± 17</td>
<td>30 ± 15</td>
<td>32 ± 16 [18.0/40.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR increase (in %)</td>
<td>120%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>100%1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR, non-iterative</td>
<td>13 ± 6</td>
<td>14 ± 8</td>
<td>14 ± 7 [8.2/17.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR iterative</td>
<td>29 ± 14</td>
<td>27 ± 14</td>
<td>29 ± 15 [14.6/35.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR increase (in %)</td>
<td>123%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>107%2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 significant increase through iteration (p < 0.0011);
2 significant increase through iteration (p < 0.0034);

### Table 3
Increase of signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise-ratio (CNR) due to iterative reconstruction of image data 3rd generation DSCT (number or mean score ± standard deviation) and [1st/3rd quartile].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Essential</th>
<th>Very Helpful</th>
<th>Helpful</th>
<th>Not Helpful</th>
<th>Misleading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All (n = 124)</td>
<td>24 (19.4%)</td>
<td>84 (67.7%)</td>
<td>16 (12.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex transposition of major arteries (n = 24)</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>17 (70.8%)</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic arch anomaly (n = 45)</td>
<td>8 (17.8%)</td>
<td>29 (64.4%)</td>
<td>8 (17.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery/vein anomaly (n = 28)</td>
<td>4 (14.3%)</td>
<td>20 (71.4%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex ventricular anatomy (n = 17)</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>11 (64.7%)</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total anomalous pulmonary venous connection (n = 6)</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (n = 4)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
80 keV setting. A size-correlated assessment of radiation exposure was performed by computing the size-specific-dose-estimate (SSDE) (Table 1), which is the product of CTDIvol and a thoracic diameter-specific correction factor for the 32 cm phantom. For this purpose, anterior-posterior as well as lateral thoracic diameter were measured in the slice plane in which all 4 heart chambers could be well evaluated [21].

Results

In this study, we used 2nd generation DSCT in 91 cases and 3rd generation DSCT in 27 cases for diagnosing cardiac patients less than one year old. Demographic and procedural data were evaluated and presented as medians plus standard deviation (Table 1). All examinations were performed free of problems and without any side effects being observed. All of the obtained datasets were interpretable. The individual results for image quality analysis were as follows: “no artifacts” in 75 cases (60.5 %), “fully interpretable with minor artifacts” in 46 cases (37.1 %) and “interpretable regarding the visibility of fine vascular structures despite artifacts” in 3 cases (2.4 %) (Table 2). The mammary artery was visible to the diaphragmatic arch at MIP in over 80 % of cases. SNR and CNR were computed for objective assessment of image quality. For examinations performed with 2nd generation DSCT, median SNR was 25, while median CNR was 21. For examinations performed with 3rd generation DSCT, the computed parameters were lower than those of 2nd generation DSCT with a median SNR of 16 and a median CNR of 14. To increase the image quality for 3rd generation DSCT, advanced modelled iterative reconstruction (ADMIRE) was performed (Fig. 1), thereby boosting the parameters SNR by 100 % to 32 and CNR by 107 % to 29 (Table 3).

In all cases, the image data obtained from the DSCT examination was rated to be helpful for surgical planning and its diagnostic value exceeded that of conventional echocardiography (“essential”, “very useful”, “useful”). The individual results for the 2nd and 3rd generation DSCT were as follows: essential in 24 (19.4 %) cases, very useful in 84 (67.7 %) cases, useful in 16 (12.9 %) cases. There were no instances of the information not being useful or yielding misleading results (Table 4).

With 2nd generation DSCT, the computed median radiation exposure was 0.4 mSv for neonates and 0.5 mSv for infants. By reducing tube voltage from 80 keV to 70 keV and using more advanced X-ray tubes, as well as employing iterative reconstruction, we were able in this study to achieve with 3rd generation DSCT a median effective dose of 0.27 mSv and thus a significant dose reduction (p < 0.0001) for both neonates and infants while at the same time preserving image quality. This corresponds to a reduction of effective dose of 32.5 % for neonates and 46 % for infants. Accordingly, the SSDE was significantly lower for 3rd generation DSCT (0.55 mGy) than it was for 2nd generation (0.85 mGy).

Discussion

In our study, we retrospectively evaluated 118 preoperative CT examinations in terms of image quality, radiation exposure as well as use and predictive value in surgical planning. In 87.1 % of cases helpful information for heart surgery was obtained in addition to the existing echocardiographic findings. In 19.4 % of cases, the examination was even rated as...
being “essential” for surgical planning, and the obtained information decisively influenced further therapeutic procedure. The image data was of diagnostic use in all cases. Decidedly extracardiac structures such as aortic arches and pulmonary arteries (Fig. 2, 3), pulmonary veins (Fig. 4), pathological extracardiac vessels and coronary arteries as well as their spatial relation to the airways (Fig. 5) can be precisely and comprehensively presented. The superiority of this modality over echocardiography is especially apparent here as it likewise is in the visualization of the spatial re-
Fig. 5 Third generation angiographic Dual-Source-CT of a two day-old boy with pulmonary atresia and ventricular septal defect (VSD) as well as a fistula between right coronary artery and pulmonary trunk. Figure a shows the situs, AAO = ascending aorta, PA = hypoplastic pulmonary artery, SVC = superior vena cava. Figure b: There is a connection between the right coronary artery (white arrow) and central pulmonary artery (PA). The left pulmonary artery (LPA) is well developed, the right pulmonary artery appears as delicate structure in the central area (black arrows). There are two main aortopulmonary collateral arteries (MAPCA) (stars) originating anterior from the descending aorta (DAO). Figure c shows the aorta (AAO) overriding the VSD. Both the right and left ventricle are formed correctly. The MAPCAs (stars) originate from the descending aorta (DAO). Figure d illustrates the right-sided aortic arch and the spatial relationship of the aortopulmonary collaterals (stars) to the right main bronchus (blue arrow). RA = right atrium, LA = left atrium, SVC = superior vena cava.

Fig. 6 Third generation angiographic Dual-Source-CT of a two-month old boy with Double Outlet Right Ventricle (DORV), malposition of the great arteries, subpulmonary stenosis and strong cone branch of the right coronary artery above the right ventricular outflow tract. Figure a shows the situs with the aorta situated right anterior (AAO) and pulmonary artery (PA) to its left. The origin of the two great arteries from the right ventricle (RV) is demonstrated in Figure b. The star marks the muscular cone between right and left ventricular outflow tracts, which causes the subpulmonary stenosis (arrow) on the right-lateral side. Figure c shows the aorta (AAO) arising from the right ventricle (RV), the ventricular septal defect is marked by the white star, and the black arrow shows the top of ventricular septum. This visualization enables surgical planning for tunneling of the left ventricle (LV) to the aorta. PA = pulmonary trunk. Figure d shows the origin of the coronary arteries from the aorta. Left coronary artery (LCA) originates dorsally, and the further course of the ramus interventricularis is marked by blue arrows. Right coronary artery originates from the anterior (RCA, filled black arrow). Empty arrows show the course of the cone branch over the right ventricular outflow tract, which required an extra-anatomical correction to resolve the subpulmonary stenosis.
lation between intracardiac structures and the extracardiac vessels in an individual dataset (Fig. 6). Using 3rd generation DSCT allowed radiation exposure to be reduced on average by 41% to a median effective dose of 0.27 mSv (p < 0.0001), with SSDE correspondingly being reduced significantly. The advanced modelled iterative reconstruction employed in this process facilitated a clear, significant increase in image quality. While reducing tube voltage with 3rd generation DSCT to 70 kV resulted, as expected, in increased image noise, SNR and CNR at 80 kV acquisition were clearly higher for FBP. This may be attributed to the different generations of CT machines having differing tubes and detectors. The use of iterative reconstruction (ADMIRE4) caused SNR and CNR to rise significantly with 3rd generation DSCT.

Pediatric cardiac surgeons are often confronted with complex anatomies. Severe heart defects are frequently corrected in highly complicated surgeries. The risk of complications increases with time spent on heart-lung machines [22, 23]. To minimize this risk, surgery must be as brief as possible. To keep surgical trauma as low as possible and to facilitate a strictly forward-moving surgery, the surgical team should be thoroughly acquainted with the anatomical situation. By allowing spatial relations and precise anatomy to be gathered independently of the highly variable individual perception, adequate 3D imaging of the intracardiac and extracardiac structures is essential for optimal planning and execution of surgery [24]. As the diagnostic gold standard for congenital heart defects, echocardiography is often limited, in complicated cases, by the poor acoustic window and the compromised visibility of extracardiac structures.

In 2005, Flohr et al. documented initial clinical experience with the new technology of dual-source-computed tomography in cardiology [26]. In 2006, Lee et al. presented the potential application of DSCT in neonates with congenital heart defects in the first clinical study. DSCT was used in supplement to echocardiography and increasingly replaced invasive heart catheter examination for certain clinical questions [10].

Because children are more vulnerable than adults to X-ray radiation [27], reducing radiation exposure in CT exams is therefore a key goal of technological progress. In their 2009 study involving 110 children under one year of age with congenital heart defects, Ben Saad et al. performed DSCT examinations. They used the Siemens Somatom Definition Flash at the 80 kV setting, with good diagnostic quality being obtained in 89% of cases. The median effective dose was 0.5 mSv for the non-ECG-triggered CT and 1.3 mSv for the ECG-triggered CT [17]. In comparison, good diagnostic value was yielded in all cases in our study. With the aid of 3rd generation DSCT, we were able to achieve a clearly lower median effective dose with less than 0.3 mSv. In their study, Yoon et al. examined the influence of reconstruction by means of “adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction” (ASIR) on radiation dose and image quality when using DSCT. The age of patients with congenital heart defects ranged between 0.1 and 17 years. A 64-line CT (Somatom Definition Flash, Siemens) was used. In the ASIR group, a tube voltage of 100 kV was used for patients weighing over 40 kg, while 80 kV was used for patients weighing below 40 kg. In the control group, a 120 kV setting was used for patients weighing above 40 kg. Using iterative reconstruction allowed the median effective dose to be lowered by 57% from 4.1 mSv to 1.7 mSv without any significant penalty in image quality [28]. In our study, we achieved a median dose reduction of 32.4% among newborns and 45% among infants with clearly lower starting values using advanced modelled iterative reconstruction of 3rd generation DSCT.

Küttner et al. already recommended DSCT as a diagnostic alternative for neonates, children and adolescents with congenital heart defects. They emphasized the advantage of iterative reconstruction, which allows a significant reduction in radiation exposure. They used a 64-line CT. Median patient age was 14 years. In their study, they achieved a median effective dose of 0.6 to 3.2 mSv [9]. Because of the growing experience with and use of 3rd generation DSCT, we were able to achieve clearly lower radiation exposure among our patients with values ranging between 0.26 and 0.5 mSv. In their study, Singh et al. demonstrated that a significant dose reduction is possible with the aid of IR. The dose was lowered by 46.4% (3.7 vs. 6.9 mGy) when ASIR was employed. This particular study used a 64-slice CT, and the median age of the patients examined was 12 years [29]. Hou Y. et al. likewise examined the possibility of dose reduction through the use of iterative reconstruction. For this purpose, they performed coronary CTA on 110 patients with an average age of 54 ± 10 years using a 256-line MDCT (Brilliance iCT, Philips). Tube voltage was 120 kV for all groups. The control group was examined with 210 mAs in FBP. In all other groups, tube current was incrementally reduced to 65 mAs, and the increasing image noise was compensated through iterative reconstruction. In this study, the effective dose was decreased by 63% from 3.2 ± 0.6 mSv to 1.2 ± 0.1 mSv by using IR and reducing tube voltage, while excellent image quality was maintained.

**Conclusion**

Cardiac surgical interventions are highly complicated and require optimal planning. With high-resolution 3D-datasets and advanced visualization technology, DSCT provides the entire surgical team with comprehensive and tangible information on the patient’s complex thoracic anatomy, while allowing the effective dose to consistently be kept below 0.5 mSv. Modern DSCT is an adequate preoperative imaging modality for neonates and infants with complex congenital heart defects when echocardiography is unable to comprehensively clarify the anatomical situation.

**Limitations**

The assessment of image quality and surgical benefits using a Likert scale cannot be completely objective and is performed by a cardiologist and surgeon, respectively. Because the conversion factors for converting the effective dose are not yet available for 70 kV, the conversion was performed using conversion factors for 80 kV.
List of abbreviations

3D Three-dimensional
ADMIRE Advanced Modeled Iterative Reconstruction
ASIR Adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction
CNR Contrast-to-noise ratio
CT Computed tomography
CTDvol Volume CT dose index
MDCT Multi-detector computed tomography
DLP Dose length product
DSCT Dual source computed tomography
EKG Electrocardiography
FBP Filtered back projection
HCE Heart catheter examination
IR Iterative reconstruction
MIP Maximum intensity projection
MRI Magnetic resonance imaging
SNR Signal-to-noise ratio
SSDE Size-specific dose estimates
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