Can Contrast-Enhanced Multi-Detector Computed Tomography Replace Transesophageal Echocardiography for the Detection of Thrombogenic Milieu and Thrombi in the Left Atrial Appendage: A Prospective Study with 124 Patients

Kann die Kontrastmittel-verstärkte Mehrzeilen-Computertomografie die transösophageale Echokardiografie bei der Detektion von thrombogenem Milieu und Vorhofsrhithromben ersetzen? Eine prospektive Studie mit 124 Patienten
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Abstract

**Purpose:** To assess the diagnostic value of contrast-enhanced multi-detector computed tomography (MD-CT) for identifying patients with left atrial appendage (LAA) thrombus or circulatory stasis.

**Materials and Methods:** 124 patients with a history of atrial fibrillation and/or cerebral ischemia (83 men, mean age 58.6 ± 12.4 years) and with a clinical indication for MD-CT of the heart and for transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) were included in the study. LAA thrombus or thrombogenic milieu was visually identified in TEE and MD-CT. In addition, MD-CT was analyzed quantitatively measuring the Hounsfield units (HU) of the left atrium (LA), the LAA and the ascending aorta (AA), and calculating the HU ratios LAA/AA (HU [LAA/AA]) and LAA/LA (HU [LAA/LA]). Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV) and positive predictive value (PPV) were calculated.

**Results:** The prevalence of a thrombus or thrombogenic milieu as assessed by TEE was 21.8%. The HU ratio was lower in patients with thrombus or thrombogenic milieu (HU [LAA/AA]: 0.590 ± 0.248 vs. 0.909 ± 0.141; p < 0.001) and HU [LAA/LA]: 0.689 ± 0.366 vs. 1.082 ± 0.228; p < 0.001). The diagnosis of thrombus or a thrombogenic milieu, visual analysis yielded a sensitivity of 81.5%, a specificity of 96.9%, a PPV of 87.5% and a NPV of 95.2%. By combining visual and quantitative analysis with one criterion being positive, the specificity decreased to 91.8%, the sensitivity to 77.8%, the PPV to 72.4%, and the NPV to 94.9%.

**Conclusion:** Visual analysis of the LAA in the evaluation of thrombus or thrombogenic milieu yields a high NPV of 95.1% and may especially be useful to rule out LAA thrombi in patients with contraindications for TEE. Additionally calculation of HU ratios did not improve the diagnostic performance of MD-CT.

**Key Points:**
- MD-CT can reliably exclude atrial appendage thrombi/thrombogenic milieu.
- MD-CT is an alternative method in patients with contraindications to TEE.
- Calculation of relative HU ratios does not improve the diagnostic value of MD-CT.

Zusammenfassung

**Ziel:** Die Bestimmung der diagnostischen Aussagekraft der kontrastmittelverstärkten Computertomografie (MD-CT) hinsichtlich der Beurteilung des linken Vorhofs (LA) und des linken Vorhofsohrs (LAA) zur Detektion von Thromben oder eines hohen thrombogenen Milieus.

**Material und Methoden:** Es wurden 124 Patienten mit Vorhofflimmern und/oder zerebraler Ischämie (83 Männer, Durchschnittsalter 58,6 ± 12,4 Jahre) eingeschlossen bei denen die Indikation zur Durchführung einer transösophagealen Echokardiografie (TEE) und zusätzlich einer MD-CT des Herzens bestand. Ein LAA-Thrombus oder hohes thrombogenes Milieu wurden visuell mittels TEE und MD-CT beurteilt. Zusätzlich wurden die MD-Cs quantitativ über die Bestimmung der mittleren Röntgendichte in LA, LAA und Aorta ascendens (AA) und der berechneten relativen Röntgendichte LAA/AA (rD [LAA/AA]) und LAA/LA (rD [LAA/LA]) analysiert. Sensitivität, Spezifität, nega-
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vative, prädiktiver Wert (NPV) und positiv prädiktiver Wert (PPV) wurden berechnet.

**Ergebnisse:** Die Prävalenz eines Thrombus oder eines hohen thrombogenen Milieus im TEE betrug 21.8 %. Die rD waren bei Patienten mit Thrombus oder hohem thrombogenen Milieu er niedrigt (rD [LAA/AA]: 0,590 ± 0,248 vs. 0,909 ± 0,141; p = 0,001 und rD [LAA/LA] 0,689 ± 0,366 vs. 1,082 ± 0,228; p < 0,001). Die visuelle Bildanalyse der MD-CT für die Diagnose eines Thrombus oder eines hohen thrombogenen Milieus ergab verglichen mit der TEE eine Sensitivität von 81,5 %, eine Spezifität von 96,9 %, einen PPV von 87,5 % und einen NPV von 95,2 %. Durch die Kombination von visueller und quantitativer Analyse, wobei eines der beiden Kriterien positiv sein musste, verschlechterte sich die Sensitivität auf 77,8 %, die Spezifität auf 91,8 %, der PPV auf 72,4 % und der NPV auf 94,9 %.

**Schlussfolgerung:** Die visuelle Beurteilung der MD-CT weist mit 95,1 % einen hohen NPV auf und stellt bei Patienten mit einer Kontraindikation für die Durchführung einer TEE eine diagnostische Alternative dar. Die zusätzliche Berechnung relativer Dichtewerte verbessert die diagnostische Aussagekraft der MD-CT nicht.

**Kernaussagen:**
- Die MD-CT kann LAA-Thromben und thrombogenes Milieu mit hoher Sicherheit ausschließen.
- Bei TEE-Kontraindikationen kann die MD-CT als alternative Untersuchungsmethode eingesetzt werden.
- Die Bestimmung relativer Dichtewerte verbessert die Detektion von Thromben/thrombogenem Milieu nicht.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study population**

The study was approved by the responsible ethics committee. Patients with an indication for TEE and additionally for computed tomography of the heart (e.g. to rule out coronary artery disease prior to the start of long-term treatment with a class I antiarrhythmic agent or prior to pulmonary vein ablation for anatomy visualization) were prospectively included after providing written informed consent. The exclusion criteria were the presence of a contraindication for contrast-enhanced CT examination (known contrast agent or iodine allergy, thyroid disease), pregnancy, and an age of less than 18 years.

**Echocardiography**

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and TEE were performed with a system V or VIVID 7 echocardiography system. TTE was performed with a 1.7/3.4 MHz probe and TEE with a multiplane 5 MHz probe (GE Medical Systems). All standard planes were examined and digitally stored. The atrial volume, left-ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), and left-ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) were determined in TTE. The flow velocity in the left atrial appendage was determined in TEE using pulse wave Doppler (PW Doppler). To determine the spontaneous echo contrast (SEC) and to rule out thrombi, the LA and LAA were imaged on at least two planes. A thrombus in the LAA was defined as a circumscribed homogeneous and echogenic mass with a non-myocardial texture. The severity of the SEC was graded from I to IV (I = minor homogeneous contrast enhancement; II = significant homogeneous contrast enhancement; III = significant, dense, and inhomogeneous, slow-moving contrast; IV = dense slow-moving contrast) [9].

**MD-CT & reconstruction**

All MD-CT examinations were performed on an MD-CT unit with 64 rows (Philips Brilliance 64, detector collimation: 64 × 0,625 mm, tube current: 650 mAs/rotation, tube voltage: 120 kV, primary reconstructed slice thickness: 0,9 mm, increment: 0,45 mm, rotation time 0,4 s, pitch: 0,2, retrospective ECG gating). A 90 ml bolus of an iodine-containing contrast agent (Solustrat 370, Bracco Imaging, Germany) was injected intravenously with an injection rate of 6 ml/s followed by a saline bolus with an injection rate of 4 ml/s. After detection of the contrast bolus (bolus track technique with 1 frame/second, threshold value of 150 HU of the ascending aorta, measurement field at the level of the tracheal bifurcation), image acquisition was started with a time delay of 6 seconds. Patients were monitored during the entire examination using ECG and pulse oximeter. Systolic images (30 % of RR interval) and diastolic images (75 % of RR interval) were reconstructed for image analysis.

**Image analysis**

TEE was evaluated by two cardiologists who were blinded to the MD-CT result. The TEE examination was pathological if a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu (SEC grade III/IV) was detected [9]. The MD-CT scans were evaluated by two radiologists blinded to the TEE result and were classified as normal (homogeneous contrast enhancement of the entire atrial appendage)
or high thrombogenic milieu/thrombus (not completely visible atrial appendage due to inhomogeneous or irregular contrast enhancement) [7, 10]. A CT examination was then classified as pathological (i.e., presence of an atrial appendage thrombus or a thrombogenic milieu) when one of the two cardiac phases showed a pathological finding. The quantitative HU values were determined using a circular region-of-interest in the center of the LA, in the LAA and in the AA. This allowed the calculation of the relative Hounsfield ratios LAA/AA (HU\(^{(\text{LAA/AA})}\)) and LA/LA (HU\(^{(\text{LAA/LA})}\))[5].

Statistics

Statistical evaluation was performed using Analyse-it for Microsoft Excel (Version 3.76.1.2, Analyse-it Software, Ltd.; http://www.analyse-it.com/). Categorical data is provided as number and percent, and continuous data as average and standard deviations. Categorical groups were compared using the Pearson’s chi-square test, and the continuous data of different groups was compared using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test. Correlations of continuous data were calculated with the Kendall test. With TEE as the gold standard, the sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), and positive predictive value (PPV) were calculated. The limit values for HU\(^{(\text{LAA/AA})}\) and HU\(^{(\text{LAA/LA})}\) were determined on the basis of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

Results

Of 137 patients, 13 patients did not agree to participate in the study and 2 of these patients refused all further diagnostics and treatment. In total, 124 patients (83 men, 41 women, average age 58.6 ± 12.4 years) were prospectively included in the study (Table 1). TEE was performed as part of the diagnostic work-up for atrial fibrillation (e.g., prior to cardioversion or pulmonary vein ablation) in 117 (94 %) patients. In the remaining patients TEE was performed as part of the search for embolic sources due to a stroke or a TIA. The average duration between TEE and MD-CT was 1.16 ± 1.38 days.

The CT examinations had a median CTDI of 38.2 mGy, a median dose-length product of 887.50 mGycm (IQR 111.02 mGycm) and a calculated median total dose of 11.8 mSv (IQR 1.5 mSv). These values correspond with the results of the protection-I study of Hausleiter et al. [11].

The prevalence of a pathological finding (thrombus or high thrombogenic milieu) in TEE was 21.8 % in 17 (63 %) men and 10 (37 %) women. Patients with a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu were significantly older (66.9 ± 11.0 years vs. 56.4 ± 11.8 years; p < 0.001), and more frequently had hypertension (92.3 % vs. 73.2 %; p = 0.039), coronary artery disease (38.5 % vs. 10.9 %; p < 0.001) and a lower LVEF (50.5 % ± 9.4 % vs. 61.8 % ± 20.2 %; p = 0.034). The comparison of the clinical characteristics by the presence of a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu is summarized in Table 2.

The relative HU ratios were lower in patients with a pathological finding (HU\(^{(\text{LAA/AA})}\): 0.590 ± 0.248 vs. 0.909 ± 0.141; p < 0.001) and HU\(^{(\text{LAA/LA})}\): 0.689 ± 0.366 vs. 1.082 ± 0.228; p < 0.001). The presence of a thrombus in TEE was associated with a lower LAA flow velocity in TEE (0.278 m/s vs. 0.575 m/s; p = 0.001). There were only marginal correlations between the LAA flow velocity and HU\(^{(\text{LAA/AA})}\) (r = 0.335; p = 0.002) and HU\(^{(\text{LAA/LA})}\) (r = 0.274; p = 0.009). Table 3 summarizes the results from the comparison of the relative HU ratios, the LAA flow velocity, and the LA volume by the presence of a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu in TEE.

Compared to TEE, visual image analysis for the diagnosis of a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu in MD-CT yielded a sensitivity of 81.5 %, a specificity of 96.9 %, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 87.5 % and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 95.2 %. For the diagnosis of an LAA thrombus, the sensitivity was 72.2 %, the specificity was 96.2 %, the PPV was 83.6 %, and the NPV was 92.9 %.

The ROC analysis (Fig. 1) of the absolute HU ratio in LA yielded an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.829 (95 % confidence interval (CI) 0.730 – 0.928). HU\(^{(\text{LAA/IA})}\) yielded an AUC of 0.829 (95 % CI 0.714 – 0.944) and HU\(^{(\text{LAA/LA})}\) an AUC of 0.816 (95 % CI 0.702 – 0.930). To examine whether the inclusion of a quantitative analysis improves diagnostic significance, a combination of visual and quantitative evaluation was defined. This combined criterion was positive if the visual analysis or the quantitative analysis was positive for the presence of a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu (Table 2). 0.75 was defined as the upper limit for HU\(^{(\text{LAA/IA})}\) based on the ROC analyses (Fig. 2). This combined score did not improve diagnostic significance: sensitivity worsened to 77.8 %, specificity to 91.8 %, PPV to 72.4 %, and NPV to 94.9 % under consideration of HU\(^{(\text{LAA/IA})}\).

Discussion

In this study it was shown that MD-CT may be used as a noninvasive examination technique for detecting thrombi and a high thrombogenic milieu in the left atrial appendage. While a sensitivity of 93.3 % – 100 %, a specificity of 99 % – 100 %, a PPV of 86 % – 100 %, and a NPV of 98.9 % – 100 % were reported for TEE [12, 13], MD-CT showed a sensitivity of 81.5 %, a spe-

Table 1 Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age [in years]</td>
<td>58.6 ± 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men</td>
<td>83 (67 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI [kg/m² body surface]</td>
<td>27.4 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart rate (TEE)</td>
<td>71.0 ± 10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart rate (MD-CT)</td>
<td>68.8 ± 10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>117 (94 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypertension</td>
<td>95 (77 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>known coronary heart disease</td>
<td>20 (16 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>13 (10.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFO</td>
<td>20 (16 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age &gt; 75 years</td>
<td>9 (7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>10 (8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patient with thrombus or thrombogenic milieu</td>
<td>27 (22 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body Mass Index; CAD: coronary artery disease; ASD: atrial septal defect; PFO: persistent foramen ovale; TEE: transesophageal echocardiography; MD-CT: multi-detector computed tomography; tstandard deviation.
specificity of 96.9%, a PPV of 87.5%, and a NPV of 95.2% for visual evaluation with respect to a thrombus or a high thrombogenic milieu and a slightly lower sensitivity (72.2%), specificity (96.2%), PPV (83.6%), and NPV (92.8%) regarding only a LAA thrombus. This may be explained by a changed contrast distribution in the atrium and by a possibly incomplete homogeneous contrast enhancement in the atrial appendage due to the pathological atrial contraction in atrial fibrillation which may last up to 4 weeks after spontaneous cardioversion to a sinus rhythm [14]. Although MD-CT is already indicated in numerous cardiac diseases, it is at this time not recommended for the detection of LAA thrombi [15]. A recently published meta-analysis of 9 studies was only able to show a sensitivity of 81% (95% CI 70–90%) and a specificity of 90% (95% CI 88–91%) [8]. A reason for this lower diagnostic performance compared to TEE may be the divergent results of the underlying studies [8, 10, 16, 17] in which the sensitivity was between 29% and 100% and the specificity was between 64% and 98%. Possible reasons for this considerable variation in study results are methodological differences in the evaluation of the CT examinations (purely visual vs. purely quantitative vs. combined visual-quantitative), in the CT examination technique (ECG-triggered vs. not ECG-triggered) and in the contrast injection protocol (monophasic vs. biphasic).

Finally, it must be noted that TEE, despite being the gold standard, is a method that is dependent on the examiner’s experience and therefore does not have perfect sensitivity and specificity [12, 13]. The flow velocity in the left atrial appendage is an important echocardiographic marker for a thrombogenic milieu [9]. Probably due to the reduced atrial contractility and the resulting lower LAA flow velocities, the activation of the thrombogenic cascade with activation of prothrombotic factors and an increased fibrinogen level cause aggregation of red blood cells that can then be seen as spontaneous echocontrast in TEE [18].

Table 3 Comparison of Hounsfield-ratio (HU-ratio), LAA-flow velocity (m/sec) and LA-Volume (in ml) by presence of a thrombus or a thrombogenic milieu in TEE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thrombus/high thrombogenic milieu</th>
<th>No thrombus/no high thrombogenic milieu</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU(LAA/AO)</td>
<td>0.590 ± 0.284</td>
<td>0.689 ± 0.366</td>
<td>0.278 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU(LAA/LA)</td>
<td>1.082 ± 0.228</td>
<td>0.575 ± 0.070</td>
<td>0.278 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAA flow velocity</td>
<td>82.2 ± 30.2</td>
<td>75.4 ± 23.5</td>
<td>0.278 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA volume</td>
<td>82.2 ± 30.2</td>
<td>75.4 ± 23.5</td>
<td>0.278 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BMI:** Body Mass Index; **BP:** blood pressure; **LVEF:** left-ventricular ejection-fraction; **LAA:** left atrial appendage; **LA:** left atrium; **LVEDV:** left-ventricular enddiastolic volume; **AA:** Ascending aorta; **rD:** HU-ratio; mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2 Comparison of clinical characteristics by presence of a thrombus or thrombogenic milieu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thrombus/high thrombogenic milieu</th>
<th>No thrombus/no high thrombogenic milieu</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td>17 (63.0%)</td>
<td>66 (68.0%)</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td>10 (37.0%)</td>
<td>31 (32.0%)</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age [in years]</strong></td>
<td>66.9 ± 11.0</td>
<td>56.4 ± 11.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI [kg/m² body surface]</strong></td>
<td>27.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>27.30 ± 3.80</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension</strong></td>
<td>24 (88.9%)</td>
<td>71 (73.20%)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoker</strong></td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
<td>10 (10.30%)</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes mellitus</strong></td>
<td>4 (14.8%)</td>
<td>6 (20.0%)</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronary artery disease</strong></td>
<td>10 (37.0%)</td>
<td>10 (10.30%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oral anticoagulation</strong></td>
<td>25 (92.6%)</td>
<td>88 (90.70%)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td>4 (14.8%)</td>
<td>9 (9.30%)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atrial fibrillation</strong></td>
<td>130.1 ± 70.9</td>
<td>129.1 ± 69.8</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BP systolic [mmHg]</strong></td>
<td>124.8 ± 20.6</td>
<td>124.10 ± 16.80</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BP diastolic [mmHg]</strong></td>
<td>78.3 ± 10.3</td>
<td>77 ± 10.60</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEF [%]</strong></td>
<td>50.5 ± 20.2%</td>
<td>61.80 ± 9.40%</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAA flow velocity [m/s]</strong></td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LA volume [ml]</strong></td>
<td>82.2 ± 30.2</td>
<td>75.40 ± 23.5</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEDV [ml]</strong></td>
<td>100.6 ± 52.8</td>
<td>92.80 ± 35.50</td>
<td>0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creatinine [mg/dl]</strong></td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HU ratio LAA [HU]</strong></td>
<td>180.9 ± 98.7</td>
<td>310.20 ± 86.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HU ratio LA [HU]</strong></td>
<td>274.3 ± 74.7</td>
<td>297.70 ± 81.50</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HU ratio AA [HU]</strong></td>
<td>313.3 ± 84.8</td>
<td>340.50 ± 81.40</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HU(LAA/LA)</strong></td>
<td>0.590 ± 0.284</td>
<td>0.909 ± 0.141</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HU(LAA/LA)</strong></td>
<td>0.689 ± 0.366</td>
<td>1.082 ± 0.228</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BMI:** Body Mass Index; **BP:** blood pressure; **LVEF:** left-ventricular ejection-fraction; **LAA:** left atrial appendage; **LA:** left atrium; **LVEDV:** left-ventricular enddiastolic volume; **AA:** Aorta ascendens; **rD:** HU-ratio; mean ± standard deviation.
of the above-mentioned rheological changes. Based on the pathophysiology of thrombus formation, the quantification and consideration of the LA and LAA flow dynamics in MD-CT therefore appeared promising. However, the diagnostic performance worsened when using the two best parameters HU(LAA/AA) and HU(LAA/LA) compared to visual evaluation alone. A possible explanation for this is the weak correlation of HU(LAA/AA) and HU(LAA/LA) with the echocardiographically determined flow velocities in the left atrial appendage (r = 0.335 with p = 0.0015 or r = 0.274, p = 0.0086).

The reduced diagnostic performance with respect to the relative HU ratio is in concordance to previous studies: Under consideration of the relative HU ratio, a study by Hur et al. showed a worsening of the sensitivity from 97 % to 82 % and of the specificity from 100 % to 67 % [10]. In a study by Shapiro et al., the sensitivity changed from 80 % to 70 %, the specificity from 73 % to 82 %, the PPV from 54 % to 47 % and the NPV from 90 % to 92 % [19]. However, other studies reported an additional diagnostic benefit from the use of relative HU ratios for the detection of thrombi [20, 21]. Possible reasons for the difference in the influence of relative HU ratios on the diagnostic accuracy of MD-CT are the heart rate and rhythm at the time of MD-CT (sinus rhythm vs. atrial fibrillation), the type of scan triggering (fixed time interval vs. bolus tracking), the type of contrast media injection (e.g. monophasic, biphasic), use of ECG gating [17, 22], and the number of detector rows [23]. Heart rate and rhythm affect the stroke volume and thus also the cardiac output as a result of different prefilling of the left ventricle. Atrial fibrillation typically results in ineffective ventricular filling with a consecutively reduced cardiac output [24]. To minimize the effect of cardiac output on contrast wash-in, in this study the bolus track technique was used to start the CT examination. The window for measuring the intravascular HU ratio was placed in the ascending aorta. With this method the absolute HU ratios in the left atrium did not differ between patients with sinus rhythm and atrial fibrillation (270.8 ± 70.8 vs. 274.4 ± 81.2; p = 0.84). In contrast, HU(LAA/LA) showed a statistically significant difference between the two patient groups (1.06 ± 0.22 vs. 0.92 ± 0.34; p = 0.04). Nonetheless, the diagnostic performance of MD-CT was lower even under consideration of HU(LAA/LA). In the examined study population, 27.5 % of patients without a thrombus or increased thrombogenic milieu had atrial fibrillation at the time of MD-CT. Since atrial fibrillation is associated with pathological atrial contractions and with changed contrast distribution in the atrium, the low prevalence in this group is a possible explanation for the worsening of the diagnostic significance of the quantitative analysis.

Fig. 1 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the Hounsfield units in the left atrial appendage (HU(LAA)) and left atrium (HU(LA)) and the Hounsfield unit ratios rD(LAA/AA) and rD(LAA/LA). The area under the curve (AUC) for rD(LAA/LA) is 0.829. The AUC for rD(LAA/AA) is 0.815 (95 % CI 0.701 – 0.930). (LAA: left atrial appendage; LA: left atrium; HU: Hounsfield units; rD: HU ratio).

Fig. 2 Box-plots of the HU-ratio (LAA/AA) a and the HU-ratio (LAA/LA) b by presence of a thrombus or thrombogenic milieu. (rD: Hounsfield units ratio; TEE: transesophageal echocardiography; LAA: left atrial appendage; LA: left atrium; HU = Hounsfield-units; 1: thrombus or thrombogenic milieu, 0: no thrombus or thrombogenic milieu).
A further possible explanation for the lower diagnostic significance with the addition of quantitative analysis may be the lower LVEF in the patient group with an increased thrombogenic milieu (LVEF 50.5% vs. 61.8%). A reduced left ventricular ejection fraction can result in delayed and changed contrast wash-in in the atrium due to the lower cardiac output and associated lower blood flow velocities [25].

The contrast media injection protocol can also affect the absolute and relative HU ratios due to the consequent variations in contrast wash-in, which may also explain differences in the diagnostic performance of the HU ratio between the left atrium, left atrial appendage, and ascending aorta [26]. Although the contrast injection protocols in the various studies are similar to the one used in the present study, they may have contributed to changed contrast dynamics in connection due to differences in heart rhythm and rate. Finally, the use of ECG triggering also affects the diagnostic significance as a result of the improved image quality due to the better temporal resolution. Studies regarding the detection of atrial thrombi and a thrombogenic milieu that used ECG gating also showed a higher sensitivity and specificity than those without ECG gating or triggering [5 – 8, 17, 22]. For example, the sensitivity and specificity in a study without ECG triggering by Tang et al. were 36.4% and 93.7%, respectively, the PPV was 28.6%, and the NPV was 95.5% [17].

In our study 13 patients were diagnosed incorrectly (false negative or false positive). Possible explanations include prominent muscle tissue in the LAA (with misinterpretation as thrombus), de novo thrombi or thrombogenic milieu in the time interval between TEE and MD-CT, or image artifacts.

Although the time interval between TEE and MD-CT of 1.16 ± 1.38 days was very short, it cannot be ruled out that the thrombus or the thrombogenic milieu resolved during this time, (e. g. due to the start of anticoagulation therapy), thus resulting in a false-negative finding in MD-CT. Atrial fibrillation during the examination as seen in 42% of patients in this study and the resulting artifacts can be misinterpreted as a filling defect and thus as a thrombus or thrombogenic milieu. Finally, like any other diagnostic test, TEE does not have perfect sensitivity (93.3 – 100%) and specificity (99 – 100%) even though it is the gold standard [12, 13].

An alternative with high diagnostic performance for the detection of LA/LAA thrombi is desirable particularly for patients in whom TEE is relatively (e. g. coagulopathy, recent gastrointestinal bleeding in the patient history) or absolutely (e. g. active esophageal bleeding) contraindicated [4]. A further noninvasive alternative without radiation exposure is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) which allows visualization of the pulmonary vein anatomy and the LAA. Left-ventricular thrombi are routinely examined in MRI using the delayed enhancement technique. MRI is the gold standard particularly for apical thrombi [27]. The sensitivity of MRI for left-ventricular thrombi is significantly higher than that of TTE and TEE (MRI: 88%, TTE: 23% and TEE: 40%) with comparable specificity (>96%) [28]. Thrombus detection via delayed enhancement could therefore play a role in the detection of LAA thrombi in the future. Other MRI examination techniques evaluated for the detection of LAA thrombi are black blood sequences, which, however, are susceptible to slow flow artifacts, and contrast-enhanced 2D and 3D perfusion sequences.

The sensitivity and specificity are between 47% and 50% for these sequences [29, 30]. Up to date, there are only a few studies regarding the use of MRI for the detection of LA/LAA thrombi. In the future MRI could play a greater role due to its noninvasiveness and the lack of radiation exposure. However, the known MRI contraindications must be taken into consideration.
Limitations ▼
A limitation of the present study is the time interval between TEE and MD-CT that could not always be performed on the same day. Even if the time interval of 1.16 ± 1.38 days was short, it cannot be ruled out that a thrombus or thrombogenic milieu formed or resolved during this time interval.

Conclusion ▼
The visual evaluation of MD-CT has a high negative predictive value of 95.1% and can be considered as an alternative diagnostic procedure particularly in patients with a contraindication for transeophageal echocardiography. The additional quantitative analysis of MD-CT with determination of relative HU ratios does not improve diagnostic significance.

Clinical relevance
1. In patients with cardiogenic embolism sources and a contraindication for TEE, MD-CT can be used as an alternative examination method.
2. Visual evaluation alone allows sufficiently reliable exclusion of thrombus of the atrial appendage or a thrombogenic milieu.
3. Determination of the relative HU ratios in addition to visual evaluation does not improve the diagnostic significance of MD-CT, thus making it possible to shorten the evaluation time.
4. The acquired 3D dataset additionally allows visualization of the pulmonary vein anatomy prior to catheter ablation.
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