Modern Ultrasound Diagnostic Procedures in an Interdisciplinary Ultrasound Department: Challenge and Opportunities for Radiologists
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Introduction
Interdisciplinary ultrasound centers are typically shaped by the interaction of internal medicine, surgical, and radiology departments in an effort to provide more effective availability of ultrasound services. The integration of additional disciplines significantly expands the areas of application and services. However, there are also new challenges. Radiology at an ultrasound center plays an important role as an interface and nucleus of technical developments for image processing and transmission. Imaging documentation should be performed in DICOM format and with PACS connection. This requires corresponding techniques and standards [1–4]. Perfusion analyses and 3D as well as 4D evaluations can be performed on integrated workstations on the basis of the DICOM files. Dynamic examinations include not only color-coded duplex sonography (CCDS) and power Doppler (PD) but also contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). Moreover, new image documentation is possible as a result of image fusion with computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). CEUS and fusion can be used to allow implementation, monitoring, and postinterventional follow-up of biopsies, drains, and ablations and can also be used intraoperatively. We present our current experiences at an interdisciplinary ultrasound center (internal medicine, oncology, surgery, plastic surgery, and radiology).

Material
In a retrospective analysis, the performance data of examination modalities of an interdisciplinary ultrasound center of a maximum care university hospital with 900 beds were recorded on the basis of the data stored in SAP from 2007 to 2014. The organizational chart of the interdisciplinary...
ultrasound center has 2 radiology and internal medicine specialists in managing positions, 2 radiology and surgical resident positions as part of specialist training with a 3-month rotation and 2 nephrology and oncology resident positions as part of specialist training with a 6-month rotation. Ultrasound examinations also include the emergency outpatient unit and 7 intensive care units as well as 1 ultrasound on-call service for special issues, particularly organ transplantation.

Fundamental B-mode examinations, duplex examinations with CCDS and power Doppler, ultrasound elastography, and contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) are considered separately.

Abdominal examinations were performed with multifrequency convex probes (1 - 5 MHz, 1 - 6 MHz) with the option of CCDS, power Doppler, elastography, and CEUS. Vascular ultrasound was performed with linear probes of 6 - 9 MHz, 5 - 10 MHz, and 6 - 15 MHz also with the option of CEUS and elastography. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examinations included examinations of organ perfusion, tissue perfusion, characterization of organ tumors, soft tissue tumors and lymph nodes as well as perinterventional and intraoperative examinations. Examinations were performed with 1 - 5 MHz and 6 - 9 MHz multifrequency probes. Soft-tissue ultrasound was performed to characterize and detect thyroid tumors in particular, to locate parathyroid adenomas, to evaluate lymph nodes in the case of lymphomas and to evaluate palpable soft tissue tumors with multifrequency probes of up to 15 MHz. Vascular ultrasound examinations were performed in particular to diagnose deep leg and arm vein thromboses, arterial hypoperfusion, vascular malformations, as well as perinterventionally with 5 - 10 MHz and 6 - 9 MHz multifrequency probes with CCDS, power Doppler, B-flow, and dynamic flow. Special examinations related to elastography in particular with ARFI measurements in the case of possible liver cirrhosis, perinterventional examinations in the case of radiofrequency ablation (RFA), and intraoperative examinations in the case of liver tumor resection and thyroid tumors and breast tumors. The fusion of CEUS with CT or MRI was requested for the planning and perinterventional follow-up of percutaneous liver interventions with RFA, TACE, microwave ablation, and for perioperative purposes but also to perform percutaneous interventions of the prostate, i.e., in the case of biopsy or IRE.

All data were stored as individual DICOM images or also as CEUS CINE loops of up to 3 minutes and then sent to the radiology PACS. This option is currently only realized for ultrasound equipment at the US center.

Findings were documented in an organ-based manner in SAP and also on the basis of printed findings with representative printed images.

### Results

Since 2007, the number of annual examinations has increased from approx. 15 000 to 22 000 ultrasound examinations on 4 stationary high-performance ultrasound units and 2 mobile ultrasound units with the option of CEUS and elastography (Fig. 1 – 3).

Since system utilization is almost 100 % at an interdisciplinary US center and up to < 40 % for other equipment used at wards, this was a decisive contributor to cost reduction. The optimized use of different sound probes for the systems used at the US center and optimized technical support also contributed to the cost reduction.

The US examinations were performed by 2 experienced examiners (DEGUM level II, DEGUM level III) and 4 residents training to become radiologists, internists, or surgeons with rotation within 6 months. The learning phase took at least 4 weeks for B-mode ultrasound, at least 2 months for vascular ultrasound, and at least 3 months for CEUS. Approx. 25 % of all examinations were performed by radiologists (1 specialist, 1 resident).

Half of the examinations are comprised of fundamental B-mode examinations as part of various screenings, to clarify infection constellations and to perform an expanded tumor search. 25 % of all examinations involve duplex sonography and power Doppler for hemodynamic evaluation of special vascular issues. Special duplex examinations relate to vascular situations after liver, pancreas, kidney transplantation as well as the assessment of visceral arteries in the case of an issue after mesenteric ischemia and endovascular interventions in abdominal aortic aneurysm. Rarer issues relate to the vascular situation in vascular malformations and the preoperative planning of tissue transplants.

Elastography was performed in 3 % of the approx. 22 000 ultrasound examinations with the shear wave technique and ARFI measurements for characterizing tissue consolidations in the case of possible liver cirrhosis. The compound technique was used in 49 % of all thyroid examinations for characterizing focal consolidations. Of the 1027 elastography examinations, 59 % related to the liver, 23 % to the thyroid, 7 % to lymph nodes or soft tissue tumors, and 11 % to other issues, i.e., perinterventional in surgery or RFA.

---

**Fig. 1** Example of the ultrasound modalities in 2014 at the interdisciplinary ultrasound center. In the clinical routine, the most common examinations relate to the abdomen (49 %) followed by vascular examinations with duplex sonography (26 %) and ultrasound of the thyroid (4.5 %). Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) comprises approx. 10 % of all examinations. Elastography with fibrosis measurement (3 %) and special sonographically guided interventions (1 %) are rare.

**Abb. 1** Exemplarische Darstellung der Ultraschallmodalitäten 2014 des interdisziplinären Ultraschallzentrums. Die häufigsten Untersuchungen beziehen sich in der Routine meist auf das Abdomen (49 %), Gefäßuntersuchungen mit der Duplex-Sonografie (26 %) und die Sonografie der Schilddrüse (4.5 %). Die Kontrastmittel-Sonografie (CEUS) beträgt ca. 10 % der Gesamtuntersuchungen. Selten sind die Elastografie mit Fibrose-Messungen (3 %) und spezielle sonografisch geführte Interventionen (1 %).
The number of contrast-enhanced ultrasound examinations has increased continuously from 1.5% in 2009 to 10% of all examinations in 2014. CEUS relates in particular to the detection and characterization of liver tumors and intervention planning for embolization/TACE, RFA and surgical planning (Table 1).

CEUS is performed at the interdisciplinary ultrasound center exclusively by experienced examiners after obtaining written informed consent if there is no emergency indication, such as in the case of bleeding diagnosis, trauma diagnosis or for intraoperative purposes, by radiology specialists in up to 63% of cases.

Fig. 2 Evaluation of the frequency in % of monthly examinations from January (1) to December (12) in 2014 at the interdisciplinary US center. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) comprises up to 10% of all examinations.


Fig. 3 Trend of ultrasound examinations at the interdisciplinary ultrasound center for the years 2007 to 2014 with recording of the monthly examinations and a continuous increase in examinations from January (1) to December (12).

Abb. 3 Entwicklungen der Ultraschalluntersuchungen des interdisziplinären Ultraschallzentrums im Vergleich der Jahre 2007 bis 2014 mit Erfassung der monatlichen Untersuchungen und der kontinuierlichen Zunahme der Untersuchungen von Januar (1) bis Dezember (12).
Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) also plays an important role in postinterventional diagnosis. Specific uses of CEUS include time intensity curve (TIC) analysis after tissue transplants for determining capillary microvascularization in the case of malformation and organ hypoperfusion.

Special radiological examinations include the fusion of CEUS with contrast-enhanced CT or MRI or PET-CT. Moreover, special examinations include color-coded perfusion measurements for characterizing tumor metastases, in particular lymph node metastases. Fusion imaging was used in 2.3% of all examinations in 430 cases and was performed exclusively by radiologists under special requirements for perinterventional or perioperative diagnosis of typically malignant liver tumors for biopsy in 11% of cases, planning of an expanded or modified resection in 45% of cases, RFA in 21%, IRE also in the case of prostate CA in 13% of cases and special requirements including perinterventional requirements in BAA with endostent in 7% of cases, SIRT in 1%, and other in 2%.

### Special radiological ultrasound examinations:

1. Image fusion US/CEUS with CT/MRI/PET-CT for tumor characterization and intervention planning
2. Volume navigation (Vnav) for percutaneous biopsy and drainage
3. Quantitative perfusion analysis for evaluating transplants such as liver transplants and malformations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Indications for contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEUS indications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequent: &gt; 70% of all CEUS requests by radiology specialist</td>
<td>liver tumor detection, liver tumor characterization, surgical planning for tumors, organ hypoperfusion, characterization of organ infections control after surgery/RFA/TACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>special examinations: &lt; 20% of all CEUS requests by radiology specialist</td>
<td>intestinal wall microvascularization, characterization of malformations, evaluation after NTx, pancreas Tx, characterization of thyroid and parathyroid tumors, perinterventional diagnosis in biopsies/drains/TACE/RFA fusion imaging CEUS with CT/MRI/PET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The main influence of radiology for an interdisciplinary ultrasound center is the development and integration of modern ultrasound methods and the fastest possible connection to a PACS as well as the inclusion in case and clinical conferences. The high resolution and the continuous recording of the dynamics of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) are used for tumor detection and characterization of tumors with high diagnostic reliability [5, 6]. In particular for liver tumors, the high diagnostic reliability of CEUS to differentiate benign from malignant tumors and regarding the detection of small tumors that are less than 10 mm in diameter has been proven in multicenter examinations [7, 8].

Regarding the characterization of complicated cystic and solid renal tumors, there is also high diagnostic potential for CEUS [9, 10]. In general, CEUS is used for the evaluation of microvascularization for all soft tissue and organ tumors accessible to ultrasound [6, 9]. However, there are always additional applications also in regard to vascular diagnosis, including the detection of stenoses, aneurysms, fistulas and plaque vascularization [6, 9, 11].

The fusion of CEUS and CT or MRI or also PET-CT allows new applications for tumor detection and tumor treatment monitoring.
Therefore, CEUS is used particularly preinterventionally and postinterventionally in TACE, RFA, and IRE as well as intraoperatively, of course also for biopsies and drains as necessary [1, 12 – 15]. In our own patient collective, the indications for CEUS for intervention preparation, postinterventional follow-up, and intraoperative use particularly in liver tumors have increased significantly during recent years. Special applications include the characterization of malformations and the characterization of thyroid and parathyroid tumors [1, 3, 4, 9]. Many CEUS examinations constitute off-label use even though there are “non-liver guidelines” [6]. CEUS is also increasingly used in children since it can be performed quickly and no special sedation is necessary. If sulfur hexafluoride microbubbles (SonoVue®) are used, CEUS can also be used in renal insufficiency. Repeat applications are possible. Fusion with navigation is being tested for difficult interventions. 3D/4D applications are special requirements and are thus subject to volumetry.

Different US elastography techniques are increasingly available. US elastography is increasingly used in particular in the characterization of soft tissue processes and lymph node metastases and in thyroid changes. Its use for intervention monitoring also seems promising [1, 3, 4]. In fusion imaging, the advantages of different imaging modalities are utilized to facilitate intervention planning in particular. CEUS can dynamically visualize the microvascularization of tumors. Contrast agent application is not limited. This can be helpful in the case of renal insufficiency or other contrast agent intolerances for CT and MRI for intervention planning in RFA, TACE, IRE, and SIRT [16] but also for post-interventional follow-up. However, difficult punctures can also be performed with fusion US with CT or MRI and volume navigation. The expertise of radiologists with the different imaging modalities has proven to be essential particularly in this area [12]. Data storage and additional evaluation with perfusion programs are still under development. Special workstations are increasingly

Fig. 5 Evaluation of an inhomogeneous liver with ultrasound elastography using compression technique (top) and automated shear wave technique with ARFI measurement (bottom).

Fig. 6 Visualization of a hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with CEUS and perfusion analysis, color-coded and semiquantitative with perfusion analysis. Significant arterial hypervascularization in the tumor center and periphery with high peak enhancement values.

Abb. 5 Beurteilung einer echoinhomogenen Leber mit der Ultraschallelastografie in Kompressions-technik (oben) und automatisiert in Shear-Wave-Technik mit ARFI-Messung (unten).

Abb. 6 Darstellung eines hepatzellulären Karzinoms (HCC) mit CEUS und Perfusionsanalyse, farbkodiert und semiquantitativ mit Perfusionsanalyse. Deutliche arterielle Hypervaskularisation im Tumorzentrum und Randbereich mit hohen Werten des Peak-Enhancement.
integrated in high-end equipment. The possibility to test all new technical developments with respect to their clinical analysis options is one of the many challenges for interdisciplinary US centers. Integrating these developments in training is an important aspect for modern specialist training.
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