Management of Traumatic Cervical Spondyloptosis

Hitesh Inder Singh Rai¹ Kanwaljeet Garg¹ Deepak Agrawal¹

¹Department of Neurosurgery & Gamma-Knife, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence Kanwaljeet Garg, MCh, Department of Neurosurgery & Gamma-Knife, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India (e-mail: kanwaljeet84@gmail.com).

Abstract

Traumatic cervical spondyloptosis is a 3-column fracture-dislocation resulting in a highly unstable spine requiring urgent reduction, stabilization, and fixation. Since its occurrence is not that common, there are a lot of controversies concerning its management. A holistic approach has been proposed regarding the management of traumatic cervical spondyloptosis.
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Introduction

Traumatic cervical spondyloptosis is a 3-column injury that is highly unstable and requires immediate reduction and stabilization, either open or closed. It was first defined in the literature as a complete intercorporal displacement in the cervical spine.¹ It is a complete segmental disruption leading to devastating consequences. There is no definite consensus when it comes to its management. However, there are several different management options described in the literature ranging from a simple anterior or posterior approach to 540-degree fusions.

Mechanism of Injury

In this kind of injury, the affected vertebral body is completely dislocated either anterior or posterior to the subjacent vertebral body. Most of these injuries are high-impact trauma cases comprising high-speed road traffic accidents, fall from height, or diving accidents.² Allen et al proposed a mechanistic classification of cervical spine injuries based on direction of forces acting during trauma.² This included six categories: compressive flexion, vertical compression, distractive flexion, compressive extension, distractive extension, and lateral flexion. Each category has various stages graded from least severity to the most.

Based on this classification, spondyloptosis can occur either during stage 4 of distraction flexion (DF4) or stage 5 of compressive extension (CE5). During DF4 injury, the facet joints are completely unhinged/dislocated anteriorly leading to a grossly unstable motion segment causing a “floating vertebra.” This also leads to canal compromise and neurological deficits (►Fig. 1). During CE5 injury, there is complete disruption of soft tissues anteriorly as well as posteriorly and the compression force vector causes bilateral posterior arch fractures (lamina/pedicle/facets/combined injuries) leading to anterior vertebral body displacement (►Fig. 2).

Neurological Deficits

Based on the review of literature, a total of 47 patients with traumatic cervical spondyloptosis have been reported, out of which 9 (19%) patients have had complete cord injury (ASIA A). Neurological status depends on canal compromise. In DF4 injury, the spinal canal gets compromised as the posterior
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Presurgical Management

Presurgical management starts at the scene of accident by immobilizing the patient with a hard cervical collar and shifting the patient via log rolling. On arriving at the hospital, ABCDE are assessed and patient is resuscitated. Cervical immobilization (hard cervical collar) is the norm until cervical spinal injury has been ruled out.

The ultimate goal of any intervention in this scenario is to preserve neurology and prevent further neurologic injury. The best way to achieve it is by applying traction. The traction provides time between injury and surgical stabilization. The traction may restore the anatomic alignment thus converting a grade 5 listhesis to a lower grade or even complete reduction in addition to providing stability. The traction should be applied in a conscious patient who can be assessed clinically.

The traction is applied 2 cm posterior to the interaural line below the equator of the skull to cause a flexion moment that facilitates reduction in facet dislocation. The pins are tightened until spring loaded indicator protrudes 1 mm above surface (this is the equivalent of 139 N [14 kg] of force). The traction is applied with an initial weight of 4 kg that is then gradually increased by 4 kg increments every 20 minutes till complete reduction in dislocation is achieved or the patient shows neurological deterioration (whichever comes earliest). Serial radiographs are done after each weight increment. The maximum weight used is up to 63 kg.

• Fig. 2 shows post-traction imaging of a partially reduced cervical spondyloptosis.

Management

A pre-reduction MRI is still a controversial thing when it comes to stabilizing the cervical spine. Some authors suggest to get an MRI before applying traction to rule out anterior compression, whereas there are others who believe that applying traction does not worsen the anterior compression (if any). These authors take into account the spinal canal widening due to fracture of posterior elements, which further makes the traction safer.

Instrumented versus uninstrumented fusion? Bhojraj and Shahane described a case of spondyloptosis caused by obstetric trauma that caused a delayed neurological deficit. They managed it with corpectomy and autologous bone graft without instrumentation. Similarly, Shah and Rajeshkar7 did similar uninstrumented fusion. But this resulted in long-term immobilization of the patient that can be avoided with the use of instrumentation. Instrumented fusion is preferred by most authors due to a more robust construct and early mobilization.
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Single versus combined approach? A combined approach is recommended as it is a highly unstable fracture. Fig. 6 shows a 360-degree fusion in a case of cervical spondyloptosis. However, some authors have reported anterior only or posterior only approaches with good results.

Fig. 3 (A and B) Preoperative noncontrast computed tomography cervical spine showing C6–7 spondyloptosis. (C and D) Both right and left facets have dislocated anteriorly. (E) Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging showing severe canal compromise with cord edema. There is a fragmented disc and hematoma posterior to C6 vertebral body.

Fig. 4 Cervical traction using Gardner Wells tongs showing three markers just below the superior temporal line: gray on interaural line, blue 2 cm anterior, and pink 2 cm posterior to the interaural line. Traction at blue marker will cause extension of neck and flexion at pink marker.

Dahdaleh et al. proposed that after initial anterior fusion, posterior fusion is done only if there is documented segmental instability on intraoperative fluoroscopy.

Which approach to do initially? An anterior approach is done initially if there is documented anterior compression on MRI and after reduction and anterior instrumented fusion, a posterior approach (360 degrees) is done. If anterior open reduction fails, a posterior approach is utilized to reduce the joint dislocation and after posterior instrumented fusion, anterior approach follows (540 degrees). If there is no...
anterior compression on MRI, a posterior approach is utilized first followed by an anterior approach (360 degrees). Failure of closed reduction without anterior compression warrants an initial posterior approach followed by anterior approach.3,20

Complete versus incomplete injury? In a patient with an incomplete injury, circumferential fusion is preferred under strict neuromonitoring.16,19 Patients with complete injuries (ASIA-A) and/or associated comorbidities can be managed via anterior approach only as they may have unstable vitals and a dismal prognosis.19

The management algorithm has been summarized in Fig. 7.

Funding
None.

Conflict of Interest
None declared.

References

Fig. 6 The patient was approached anteriorly first as there was anterior compression (disc + hematoma). Postoperative X-ray showing C6–7 ACDF + plating with posterior C5–7 instrumented fusion.

Fig. 7 Management of traumatic cervical spondylolisthesis. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NCCT, noncontrast computed tomography; OT, operation theater.
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