Total Knee Arthroplasty after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction
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Abstract

The incidence of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is increasing in the United States, particularly in the older athlete. Patients who undergo ACL reconstruction are at higher risk for undergoing total knee arthroplasty (TKA) later in life. TKA in patients with prior ACL reconstruction has been associated with longer operative time due in part to difficulty with exposure and retained hardware. Outcomes after TKA in patients with prior ACL reconstruction are not well defined, with some reports showing increased rate of complications and higher risk of reoperation compared with routine primary TKA, but these results are based on small and nonrandomized cohorts. Future research is needed to determine whether graft choice or fixation technique for ACL reconstruction influences outcomes after subsequent TKA. Furthermore, whether outcomes are affected by choice of TKA implant design for patients with prior ACL reconstruction warrants further study. This review analyzes the epidemiology, operative considerations, and outcomes of TKA following ACL reconstruction.
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The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) provides rotational and translational stability to the knee joint. Patients with ACL deficient knees are at higher risk for developing meniscal and chondral injuries.1,2 The incidence of ACL tears and ACL reconstruction is increasing in the United States with over 100,000 ACL reconstructions performed each year.3,4 Some studies suggest that even in the presence of ACL reconstruction, patients may be at increased risk of developing osteoarthritis in the patellofemoral and tibiofemoral joints, especially in the setting of meniscal injury.5,6 Leroux et al matched 30,277 patients who underwent cruciate ligament reconstruction with individuals from the general population and showed that those with prior cruciate ligament reconstruction were seven times more likely to undergo total knee arthroplasty (TKA) than control patients 15 years postoperatively.7 Brophy et al evaluated 1,286 patients who underwent total knee arthroplasty and examined the effect of prior knee surgery on age at the time of TKA.8 The authors showed that patients who had previous knee surgery, especially prior ACL reconstruction, underwent TKA at a significantly younger age by approximately 16 years.8

Studies assessing outcomes of TKA in patients with prior ACL reconstruction have shown mixed results.9 Watters et al studied 122 patients with prior ACL reconstruction who underwent TKA and showed a significantly longer operative time and five times greater risk of reoperation in the group with prior ACL reconstruction compared with the control group at 3-year follow-up.10 In contrast, Lizaur-Utrilla et al performed a matched case–control analysis of 37 patients with and without prior ACL reconstruction who underwent TKA and assessed outcomes at minimum 5-year follow-up.11 The authors concluded that although TKA in patients who had prior ACL reconstruction had increased technical difficulty and longer operative time, no differences in functional or radiographic outcomes scores were noted.11 Additionally, in a study of 35 patients with prior ACL reconstruction who underwent TKA, Hoxie et al showed no significant difference in postoperative range of motion, functional scores, periprosthetic infection, or...
rate of revision surgery compared with patients without prior ACL reconstruction.

In this study, we review the epidemiology, treatment considerations, and outcomes of TKA following ACL reconstruction. Specifically, we examine the association between ACL reconstruction, osteoarthritis, and need for TKA. For patients requiring TKA after ACL reconstruction, we discuss technical aspects of surgery, including graft and implant choice. Functional outcomes, complications, and reoperation rate are also analyzed. Finally, we present avenues of future research in this patient population.

Epidemiology

Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction and Osteoarthritis

Over 100,000 ACL reconstructions are performed each year in the United States and the number of procedures continues to increase, especially in older patients where osteoarthritis and eventual TKA is more common. Mall et al studied trends in ACL reconstruction in the United States and showed that ACL reconstruction performed in patients 40 years or older increased from 1.65 per 100,000 person-years to 7.57 per 100,000 person-years from 1994 to 2006. Osteoarthritis after ACL reconstruction is common, with up to 29% of patients developing moderate-to-severe osteoarthritis 20 years after ACL reconstruction. Development of osteoarthritis after ACL reconstruction is multifactorial. In a study of 423 knees at minimum of 20-year follow-up after ACL reconstruction, Shelbourne et al identified older age at the time of ACL surgery, medial meniscectomy, and knee extension loss as predictors of development of osteoarthritis. Additional factors for the development of osteoarthritis after ACL reconstruction include cartilage injuries and loss of range of motion, which highlights the importance of physical therapy and motion exercises after ACL surgery. Finally, trauma to the articular cartilage during initial impact, chronic alterations in joint loading patterns, and possible genetic influences may also lead to osteoarthritis in these patients.

Total Knee Arthroplasty after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

The incidence and prevalence of TKA has also steadily risen over the past 20 years. Over 600,000 TKAs are performed per year in the United States and this number is predicted to increase by over 140% by 2050. Few studies exist investigating the incidence and epidemiology of patients undergoing TKA after prior ACL reconstruction. In a population-based matched cohort study, Leroux et al compared patients who underwent cruciate ligament reconstruction (>98% of which were ACL reconstruction) from 1993 to 2008 and matched them with individuals from the general population in Canada who did not have prior knee surgery. They found a significant difference in event rate between the matched case and control cohorts with the cruciate reconstruction cohort undergoing TKA at a rate of 0.68 per 1,000 person-years compared with 0.10 per 1,000 person-years in the control cohort. They also showed that 15 years after cruciate ligament reconstruction, there was significant difference in the cumulative incidence of TKA between the cruciate reconstruction cohort at 1.4% compared with the control cohort at 0.2%. Demographic factors of patients undergoing TKA after ACL reconstruction have been investigated in several studies. In their study of 122 patients with prior ACL reconstruction at the time of TKA, Watters et al showed a mean age 58 years, with 55% being male. In a smaller study of 37 patients undergoing TKA, Lizaúr-Utrilla et al found a mean age of 69.6 years with 60% being male.

Operative Considerations

Exposure/Intraoperative Difficulty

Surgical exposure for TKA may be more difficult in patients with prior surgery. Lizaúr-Utrilla et al compared intra-operative findings at the time of TKA between 37 patients with prior ACL reconstruction and compared them to patients without prior ACL reconstruction. The authors found that in the prior ACL reconstruction group, there were more cases with tibial rotational and translational deformity that caused difficulty with ligamentous balance. Overall, they found that technical difficulties were encountered in 26 of 37 knees in the ACL reconstruction group including partial patellar tendon avulsion (n = 1), need for quadriceps snip (n = 1), additional medial and posterior capsular release (n = 26), need for increased thickness of polyethylene insert (n = 15), and need for tibial stem (n = 5). In the control group, only two cases of required increased thickness of polyethylene insert and no other technical difficulties were encountered. In this study, the authors noted that the main difficulty in most patients with prior ACL reconstruction was due to varus deformity and retraction of the medial soft tissues which required added medial release. In a study of 22 patients with prior ACL reconstruction, Magnus sen et al also reported difficulty with tibial exposure. They showed that three patients required tibial tubercle osteotomy in the ACL reconstruction group compared with 0 patients in the matched-control group.

In contrast, Hoxie et al showed no added technical difficulties in a cohort of 35 patients (36 TKAs) with prior ACL reconstruction. Additionally, they showed that no stems or augments were required during the TKA procedure. Although patella baja has been associated with prior ACL reconstruction with patella tendon autograft, the authors noted that no patients with prior ACL reconstruction with patella tendon autograft had evidence of patella baja at the time of TKA. Three patients with prior ACL reconstruction with hamstring autograft and one patient with unknown graft type did have patella baja at the time of TKA.

Retained Hardware

Removal of prior implants or hardware at the time of TKA may be a concern in patients with prior knee surgery. In a retrospective cohort of 22 patients undergoing TKA after prior ACL reconstruction, Magnussen et al reported the need for removal of metallic hardware related to the prior ACL reconstruction in 45% of patients. Watters et al studied 122 patients undergoing TKA after prior ACL reconstruction and...
found that 50% of patients required implant removal at the time of TKA. The authors highlight the difficulty with retained hardware at the time of TKA as the implants may be covered with cortical bone and prevent placement of the TKA components. Specifically, interference screws or staples may be challenging during the intercondylar notch cut for posterior stabilized components or during drilling for the tibial stem. The authors recommend removal with a screwdriver if accessible or carbide burr if needed. Newer hardware for ACL reconstruction such as biocomposite interference screws and suspensory fixation with continuous loop endobutton may not lead to the same difficulty or increased operative time as other fixation methods for ACL reconstruction, but larger cohorts are needed to verify this.

Operative Time
Operative time for TKA may be increased in patients with prior ACL reconstruction due to difficulty with exposure, technical difficulty with ligament balancing, or due to removal of prior implants, but this has not been associated with increased blood loss. In a retrospective study, Chong et al analyzed 101 patients undergoing TKA after prior ACL reconstruction. Although there was no difference in blood loss between patients with and without prior ACL reconstruction, the authors found a statistically significant difference in mean operative time in patients who required tibia hardware removal (74 ± 23 minutes vs. control: 64 ± 21 minutes) and patients who required tibia and femur hardware removal (79 ± 24 minutes vs. control: 65 ± 19 minutes). The authors findings allude to hardware removal being the main contributor to operative time, especially hardware removed from the tibia. Similar findings were demonstrated in the Watters et al study, where 50% of 122 patients required hardware removal and mean operative time was significantly longer in this group (88 minutes) compared with controls (73 minutes). Lizaur-Utrilla et al also found a longer mean operative time for patients with prior ACL reconstruction but attributed this to increased technical difficulty during surgery requiring additional soft tissue release and ligament balancing, unlike the aforementioned studies where hardware removal was the listed contributor. In contrast, Magnussen et al did not find a significant difference in mean operative time between patients with and without prior ACL reconstruction despite the need for removal of retained hardware in 45% of patients. It is possible, however, that with only 22 patients in each group, this study may have been underpowered to find a statistically significant difference (84 ± 21 minutes in the study group compared with 75 ± 17 minutes in controls, p = 0.13).

Outcomes
Functional Outcomes
Numerous groups have analyzed postoperative functional scores in patients undergoing TKA after ACL reconstruction and have shown similar results compared with patients who have not had prior ACL reconstruction. In a retrospective study of 37 patients who underwent TKA after prior ACL reconstruction, Lizaur-Utrilla et al found no significant differences in functional scores, patient satisfaction scores, or postoperative range of motion compared with controls. Similarly, Watters et al in their cohort of 122 patients with prior ACL reconstruction found no significant difference in range of motion, pain, or functional scores after TKA compared with controls. In contrast, Magnussen et al showed that the rate of postoperative stiffness was significantly higher in patients with prior ACL reconstruction, although there were no differences in final range of motion or outcome scores 2 to 3 years postoperatively. Overall, prior ACL reconstruction seems to have no significant effect on postoperative functional outcomes after TKA, but larger studies are needed to find more subtle differences.

Complications/Infection
Complication rates in patients undergoing TKA after ACL reconstruction have been reported at higher rates than in routine primary TKA. In their review, Watters et al showed the development of prosthetic infection in four patients who had ACL reconstruction prior to TKA (3.3%) compared with no infections in the control group. Two of the four prosthetic infections occurred within 1 year of TKA and the other two infections occurred at 22 to 33 months. All infections resolved with irrigation, debridement, liner exchange, and antibiotics. Other reports present conflicting data on the effect of prior surgery on infection rate after TKA with no significant difference in prosthetic infection rates between patients with and without prior ACL reconstruction. Additionally, conflicting reports exist for whether any prior knee surgery (such as osteotomy or fracture fixation) influences infection after TKA. It is important to consider confounders when analyzing infection after TKA. For instance, in the study by Chong et al, although no significant differences in prosthetic infection were seen between groups, the control group had a higher rate of diabetes (13%) compared with the ACL group (8%). No analysis was performed on baseline characteristics of either group and it is possible that this confounder of a higher diabetes rate in the control group may have offset any increased risk of infection from prior ACL reconstruction in the ACL group. Furthermore, longer operative time has been associated with increased risk of prosthetic joint infection after TKA. It is important to determine whether increased operative time alone portends a higher risk of infection in TKA patients with prior ACL reconstruction or if other factors such as need for excessive soft tissue release, bony loss or deformity, or presence of prior scarring might influence infection rates in patients with prior ACL reconstruction. Nonetheless, patients with ACL reconstruction who undergo TKA may be at higher risk for postoperative prosthetic infection. Larger, controlled studies are needed to validate this finding among broader patient populations.

Reoperation
Reoperation after TKA can impose significant costs to the health care system as well as contribute to patient burden and morbidity. In the study by Watters et al, reoperation was five times higher in the ACL group than in the control group.
Aside from the four reoperations for prosthetic infection, there were seven other reoperations in the ACL group compared with only two reoperations in the control group. Three patients in the ACL group required arthroscopic synovectomy for painful patellar crepitus, three patients underwent manipulation under anesthesia for early postoperative arthrofibrosis, and one patient required revision for patellar button failure, polyethylene wear, and instability. In the control group, one patient required closure of a superficial wound dehiscence and one required manipulation under anesthesia for arthrofibrosis. Studies by Hoxie et al and Chong et al showed no significant differences in rates of reoperation after TKA between patients with and without prior history of ACL reconstruction. Additionally, Hoxie et al showed no significant difference in reoperation rate at 5 and 10 years postoperatively.

**Future Research**

Several topics warrant further study for patients undergoing TKA after ACL reconstruction. The effect of ACL graft choice at the time of reconstruction on outcomes after TKA is not known. Devascularization and altered tendon remodeling may lead to extensor mechanism dysfunction after patellar tendon harvest for ACL reconstruction, and can occur up to 6 years after ACL reconstruction. In the Watters et al study, three patients in the ACL group required arthroscopic synovectomy for painful patellar crepitus after TKA. Due to the duration of time between ACL reconstruction and TKA, the authors did not have sufficient data to determine ACL graft type or technique and could not assess these variables.

It is unclear whether differences exist between cruciate retaining and posterior stabilized TKA designs in patients with prior ACL reconstruction. Altered knee biomechanics may exist in patients even after ACL reconstruction and further study is needed to determine if these influences outcomes after TKA. James et al analyzed 188 patients with prior ACL reconstruction and 35 patients with prior multiligament reconstruction who underwent TKA, and found they had a significantly higher use of constrained implants at the time of TKA when compared with controls without prior ligament reconstruction. The authors did not find any difference between groups in postoperative knee ROM, patient-reported outcomes, or incidence of revision surgery.

Finally, further study is needed to determine if differences between old and new ACL fixation implants have effect on subsequent TKA. Several studies show increased operative time for removal and increased technical difficulty due to retained hardware from prior ACL reconstruction. Bio-composite screws and suspensory fixation may be less challenging during TKA than metal interference screws but more studies are needed to assess this.

**Conclusion**

TKA in patients with prior ACL reconstruction has been associated with longer operative time, increased rate of prosthetic infection, and higher risk of reoperation compared with routine primary TKA. However, these results are based on small and nonrandomized cohorts. In contrast, several studies have demonstrated equivalent functional outcomes and no difference in technical difficulty in patients with prior ACL reconstruction undergoing TKA compared with patients without prior knee surgery. Further research is needed to determine the risk that prior ACL reconstruction has on future incidence of TKA as well as operative considerations and outcomes.
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