Evaluation of Knee Kinematics and Moments during Active Deep Flexion Activity after Oxford Mobile-Bearing Medial UKA—A Two-Year Follow-Up Study
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Abstract

Few studies have assessed knee range of motion (ROM) and moments of patients with mobile-bearing unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (MB UKA) during active deep flexion activities. We analyze knee kinematic and kinetic parameters during postoperative squatting-standing activity, aiming to evaluate the efficacy of MB UKA and postoperative rehabilitation progress. This was a clinical cohort study. We followed up with 37 patients diagnosed with medial knee osteoarthritis (OA) with primary UKA. After screening 31 patients were recruited to take gait tests. Squatting-standing activities were performed under the test of 10-camera motion analysis system and force plates preoperatively at different stages after UKA (12, 18, and 24 months). The average duration of follow-up was 24.4 months (from 22.8 to 26.7 months). Hip-knee-ankle angle improved significantly compared with pre-UKA as well as scores of American Knee Society Score, numeric rating scale, ORS, and Western Ontario and McMasters. University Osteoarthritis Index. About 83.6% (31/37) of follow-up patients completed squatting-standing activity independently. At 1-year follow-up, peak varus angle (20.6 ± 2.8 degrees), internal rotation angle (13.6 ± 1.8 degrees), extensor moment (1.44 ± 0.04N·m/kg), and internal rotator moment (0.02 ± 0.005N·m/kg) of UKA knees were inferior to contralateral knees. Peak adductor moment (0.76 ± 0.05N·m/kg) was superior to contralateral knees. At 2-year follow-up, peak flexion angle (125.0 ± 2.8 degrees) showed a growing trend meanwhile extensor (1.70 ± 0.03N·m/kg) and adductor (0.68 ± 0.06 N·m/kg) moment closely resembled those of the contralateral knee. MB UKA could alleviate the affected knee mainly in flexion-extension ROM and moment meanwhile did not affect the biomechanical indicators of healthy limbs. OA knees in the early postoperative period showed decreased extensor moment and increased adductor moment during active deep flexion activity. Better ROM and relatively more natural extensor and adductor moment of UKA knee with rehabilitation time increasing may predict ideal rehabilitation outcome in the medium or longer term.
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Materials and Methods

Patient Selection

Thirty-seven consecutive unilateral UKAs between August 2016 and December 2018 in 34 patients diagnosed with medial knee OA using Oxford (Biomet UK Ltd, Swindon, UK) MB UKA implant at the orthopaedic department of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University. All the UKAs were performed by the same experienced operation group. Both femoral and tibial implants were cemented.

Criteria for selecting the subjects were as follows:

1. UKA patients’ age: from 60 to 80 years old;
2. knee OA staged as grade 1 or 2 based on the Kellgren & Lawrence Radiographic Grading System radiographic evaluation was performed by an independent experienced imaging specialist;
3. diagnosed with medial knee OA with primary UKA;
4. informed of the purpose, process, and cautions of this clinical study, and signed informed consent. The exclusion criteria are as follows:
   - (1) body mass index greater than 26 kg/m²;
   - (2) previous knee or other lower limb joint surgery, lower extremity trauma, tumor, rheumatoid arthritis, and vascular or neurological diseases;
   - (3) uncontrolled hypertension or diabetes;
   - (4) activities of daily living (ADL) dysfunction, constant need for walking aids;
   - (5) coexisting or progression OA in the contralateral knee;
   - (6) complications such as infection, deep vein thrombosis, dislocation, prosthesis aseptic loosening after surgery.

After excluding nonstandard body mass index (3 cases), lack of muscle strength (1 case), and progression in contralateral arthritis (2 cases), 31 cases out of 37 consecutive follow-up patients after UKA were selected as gait analysis group. All of the subjects could complete the squatting-standing activity independently, and they filled out the questionnaires completely and correctly, thus no patient or questionnaire had to be taken out of the study.

Clinical Assessment

All patients received the same standardized perioperative management strategy and postoperative rehabilitation program. During the recovery period, clinical assessments were performed to exclude infection, deep vein thrombosis, dislocation, prosthesis aseptic loosening after surgery and subjectively evaluate function and alignment. The following four clinical scores were assessed: American Knee Society Score (AKSS); Oxford Knee Score (OKS); Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC); numeric rating scale (NRS).

Study Protocol

In the gait laboratory, we designed a new mode of action to examine kinetics and kinematics of each patient before and after (with an average of 7.4 months) implantation of MB UKA was used. We adopted the systematic default whole body labeling method, the so-called plug-in gait marker set (Fig. 1). After the survey and body surface measurement, the recruited UKA patients completed the squatting-standing activity independently at four specified time points (preoperatively, 12, 18, and 24 months after UKA). Three-dimensional kinematic data of the trunk, pelvis, and lower extremity were recorded using a Vicon (Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Centennial, CO) T40 10-camera motion capture system at 100 Hz. Ground reaction force data were collected using two AMTI (Advanced Medical Technology Inc., Watertown, MA; OR6–7–2000) force platforms with a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. Every subject’s initial state was upright with their feet pointing forward, feet and shoulders the same width, and their hands naturally falling apart on both sides of the body. We took the time point when barycenter
began to drop as the beginning point of the squatting-standing cycle. After one cycle, the barycenter rose back to the initial state (Fig. 2). We defined the process from the initial state to back to the initial state as a squatting-standing cycle. Every subject was required to reach the maximum knee flexion angle according to respective ability. All the participants repeated the activity three times to obtain sufficient and reliable data. This study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University.

Post-processing and Statistical Analysis

Pre- and postoperative clinical scores and gait parameters were compared with gait analysis improvements in the operated leg. Joint angles of the lower limbs were defined using Cardan angles. The joint angles exhibited during squatting-standing activity were adjusted to the joint angles displayed during natural standing. To generate the internal knee moments occurring during the activity, inverse dynamics procedures were performed using author-developed programs written in MATLAB 7.0 (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA). A fourth-order, low-pass Butterworth filter (cutoff frequency = 100 Hz) was applied to the good reaction force and moment signals. Joint moments were aligned with segment axes as defined by International Society of Biomechanics recommendations and were scaled by body mass and leg length. The paired t-tests tests were two-sided to test interlimb differences within the pre- and postoperative UKA group, and a p-value of 0.05 was considered significant. Pearson’s correlations were performed to investigate the relationship between peak flexion angle, peak extensor moment, peak adductor moments and postoperative time, and the p-values need to be corrected by Bonferroni. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

Baseline Information of All Subjects

All patients enrolled in the cohort received paper questionnaires. According to pre- and postoperative questionnaire survey of admitted patients, patient demographics are reported in Table 1.

Clinical Scores and Related Assessments

Compared with preoperative data, significant improvements were found in clinical scores and related assessments, as shown in Table 2. Pain reduced from 6.6 to 1.7 (p < 0.01) on
The NRS increased significantly from 63 to 88 (p < 0.01) in function and from 47 to 86 in motion. WOMAC and NRS decreased from 28.9 to 17.8 (p < 0.01) postoperatively. As for measurement of lower limb alignment by imaging follow-up, hip-knee-ankle angle of affected limb restored from 6.9 to 2.2 degrees (p < 0.01).

### Kinematics and Kinetics of UKA and Healthy Limbs

No significant differences (p = 0.23) were found between pre- (3.9 ± 0.36s) and postoperative (4.0 ± 0.28s) squatting-standing cycle. The peak flexion angle of the affected knee rose from 108.5 degrees before the operation to 113.6 degrees with a p-value < 0.001. The peak varus angle of the affected knee shifted by 2.8 degrees from 23.4 degrees preoperatively to 20.6 degrees postoperatively (p = 0.001). No significant reduction in post-UKA affected limbs’ peak flexion and varus angle was found compared with post-UKA healthy limbs (p = 0.42, p = 0.50). Kinematics and kinetics parameters in one squatting-standing cycle presented a characteristic pattern, as can be seen from the **Fig. 3**. Judging from the graph line trend, the moment parameters were quite different compared with the angle parameters. The former showed the trend of different changing rhythm between affected and healthy lower limbs after UKA. As for

### Table 1 Demographics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of UKA participants</th>
<th>Gender (male: female)</th>
<th>Affected limbs (left: right)</th>
<th>Age (y) ± SD</th>
<th>Height (m) ± SD</th>
<th>Weight (kg) ± SD</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²) ± SD</th>
<th>Leg length (mm) ± SD</th>
<th>Knee breadth (mm) ± SD</th>
<th>Total postoperative follow-up time (mo) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>13:18</td>
<td>11:20</td>
<td>64.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.11</td>
<td>66.4 ± 10.1</td>
<td>23.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>Healthy side 784 ± 29</td>
<td>Healthy side 105 ± 4</td>
<td>24.4 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(59–70)</td>
<td>(22.0–25.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Affected side 778 ± 32</td>
<td>Affected side 104 ± 5</td>
<td>(p = 0.07) (p = 0.68) (22.5–26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; UKA, unicompartmental knee arthroplasty.

Note: The values are indicated as means ± standard deviation.

### Table 2 Pre- and postoperative clinical assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>12 Mo follow-up (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKSS function</td>
<td>63 ± 19</td>
<td>88 ± 14</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKSS motion</td>
<td>47 ± 13</td>
<td>86 ± 16</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC</td>
<td>53 ± 6</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKS</td>
<td>38 ± 5</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKA angle of affected limb (degrees)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AKSS, American Knee Society Score; NRS, numeric rating scale; OKS, Oxford Knee Score; SD, standard deviation; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

Note: The values are indicated as means ± standard deviation.

**Fig. 3** Variation curves of knee motion angles (Graph A, B, and C) and moments (Graph D, E, and F) in three-dimensional planes during one squatting-standing cycle. Solid lines represent the average values and shaded regions the standard deviations. UKA-HL, unicompartmental knee arthroplasty patients’ healthy limbs; UKA-AL, unicompartmental knee arthroplasty patients’ affected limbs.
moment parameter analysis, extensor moments of post-UKA affected limbs decreased significantly compared with pre-UKA affected limbs (p < 0.01) and post-UKA healthy limbs (p < 0.01). On the contrary, adductor moments of post-UKA affected limbs increased significantly compared with the other two groups (p < 0.01, respectively). The main differences between affected limbs and healthy limbs before UKA were flexion–extension ROM and moment. Each individual self-comparison before and after the UKA operation showed that apart from the difference in adductor torque, there was no significant difference for healthy limbs in movement and torque in other directions (Table 3).

### Key Kinematics and Kinetics Parameters Change with Rehabilitation Progression

The results of the correlational analysis were presented in Fig. 4. With the postoperative recovery time (12, 18, and 24 months) increasing, the knee peak flexion angle and extensor moment showed a gradual upward trend, and the peak adductor moment presented a downward trend. Positive correlations were found between peak flexion angle, extensor moment, adductor moment, and postoperative time (r = 0.625, 0.854, −0.736; p = 0.005, 0.004, 0.005; p-values were corrected by Bonferroni).

### Discussion

Although UKA as a minimally invasive surgery has become more and more popular in recent decades,7,33 the biomechanics of patients with UKAs have been poorly described and reported. We are accustomed to evaluating surgical outcomes through imaging and functional scores. A 12-year Chinese follow-up34 showed UKA is a good option for the treatment of anteromedial OA of the knee. The mean OKS increased from 22.5 to 38.5 points, while the mean Knee Society Score (KSS) increased from 43.6 to 86.1 points. The mean visual analog scale pain score decreased from 7.9 to 1.5 points and the mean ROM increased from 112.5 to 125.2 degrees. However, postoperative radiographic evaluation is often not associated with functional scores.35 Postoperative radiographic changes in tibiofemoral alignment and joint space are only static observation result. Postoperative follow-up and functional scoring are influenced by subjective factors and do not directly explain UKA patients’ recovery process. A successful UKA surgery means a good and stable state of function presented in patients’ daily lives. Gait analysis has been used as a diagnostic instrument for measuring changes in the gait pattern before and after medial MB UKA. Besides level walking, ramp walking, and upstairs, more complicated gait patterns close to daily life need to be studied and analyzed. When squatting normally, the knee flexion angle can be up to 130 to 135 degrees, and the knee load is five to eight times the body weight. Unlike western cultures, high flexion of the knee joint is very common in Asian life. For example, squatting devices for toilet require high flexion and heavy load. Therefore, it is meaningful to evaluate the squatting activity of patients after UKA to restore their quality of life better.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Pre-UKA affected limbs</th>
<th>Pre-UKA healthy limbs</th>
<th>Post-UKA affected limbs</th>
<th>Post-UKA healthy limbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postangle (degrees)</td>
<td>Flexion angle</td>
<td>108.5 ± 31°</td>
<td>113.2 ± 22.9°</td>
<td>113.6 ± 27°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varus angle</td>
<td>23.4 ± 2.3°</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.2°</td>
<td>21.6 ± 2.8°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal rotation angle</td>
<td>12.8 ± 4.1°</td>
<td>13.4 ± 3.7°</td>
<td>11.8 ± 4.3°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak moment (N·m/kg)</td>
<td>Extensor moment</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.06°</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.05°</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.04°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adductor moment</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.05°</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.03°</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.05°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal rotator moment</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.006#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: UKA, unicompartmental knee arthroplasty.
The values are indicated as mean ± standard deviation.
Significant difference between both lower limbs preoperatively (p < 0.05).
Significant difference between preoperative and postoperative affected limbs (p < 0.05).
Significant difference between both lower limbs postoperatively (p < 0.05).
Significant difference between preoperative and postoperative healthy limbs (p < 0.05).
The most important finding made in this study was that OA knees after medial MB UKA showed decreased extensor moment and increased adduction moment during active deep flexion activity. Our hypothesis was that self-protection mechanism of affected limbs and compensatory mechanism of healthy limbs were common in most UKA patients. Twelve months after surgery although the peak flexion angle, various clinical scores, and alignment evaluation significantly improved, there was still a big gap between lower extremities’ moments. The two lower limbs of patients exhibited obvious asymmetry. With the progress of postoperative recovery, the situation obviously ameliorated. We recommended that knee exercises were performed step by step: Passive and active ROM—basic gait—ADL—senior functional activities. And the training program schedule was dependent on the functional outcome of each patient. We found continuous muscle exercise is essential in the early and mid-term after UKA surgery. As mentioned in the previous literature, the lack of medial compression leads to a tighter medial collateral ligament, and this drives the trend toward a stiffer joint by a decrease in frontal plane ROM. Interactively, restricting forward movement of the knees may minimize stress on the knees, and it is likely that forces are inappropriately transferred to the hips and low-back region. Therefore, it is also necessary to strengthen psoas and gluteal muscle exercise after UKA to ensure the stability of the knee.

Last but not the least, we have some thoughts about the overall design of this study. The majority of knee prostheses are TKA prostheses, including posterior-stabilized, cruciate-retaining, medial–pivot, and hinged TKA prostheses. There’s a big difference when it comes to the indications for UKA and TKA. From previous studies and experience, UKA patients have similar indications as high tibial osteotomy patients rather than TKA. Therefore, we think it may not be appropriate to compare the biomechanics indexes of patients after UKA and TKA. Because of less severe UKA indications, limbs after UKA surgery are expected to have relatively close performance as healthy limbs in gait. Because of the larger age distribution of patients undergoing surgery, it is not appropriate to compare their gait with healthy people of other age groups. Therefore, we finally adopted the research idea of comparing their own both lower extremities. Our study was limited by follow-up time. We were unable to track patients for 3 to 5 years and did not collect the data of long-term complications and survival rates. Further supplementary experiments were needed. Uncontrollable influences may play an important role in gait analysis studies. Substantial methodologic differences between studies may contribute to inconsistencies in reported findings for many gait outcomes. Because of the relatively big overall deviation, internal rotation angle and internal rotator moment were not fully analyzed and discussed. When considering the effects of implants, Oxford MB UKA implant still needs to be compared with other prosthesis types to prove its superiority in high flexion activity. For security reasons, railings or handrails need to be installed to provide support and prevent patients from falling hurt.

The clinical effect of UKA is an outcome of the prosthesis design in combination with the surgical technique and postoperative rehabilitation. Instrumented gait analysis helps to enable the surgeons to document time-distance parameters, joint kinematics, and kinetics. It is used not just for diagnostic purposes but also to monitor and evaluate the effects of surgical treatments. With the help of various comprehensive assessment tools, subjective and objective satisfactory results after UKA and being able to return to normal life without assistive devices and pain are our constant goals.
Conclusions

MB UKA could alleviate the affected knee mainly in flexion-extension ROM and moment meanwhile did not affect the biomechanical indicators of healthy limbs. OA knees in the early postoperative period showed decreased extensor moment and increased adductor moment during active deep flexion activity. Better ROM and relatively more natural extensor and adductor moment of UKA knee with rehabilitation time increasing may predict ideal rehabilitation outcome in the medium or longer term.
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