Management of a Case of Aortic Valve Replacement with Left Ventricle Clot Removal Developing Acute Kidney Injury in Postoperative Period
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Abstract

Cardiac surgery associated-acute kidney injury (AKI) is a common and a serious complication of cardiac surgery requiring cardiopulmonary bypass and it is the second most common cause of AKI in intensive care unit. Recently, two consensus conferences have suggested new diagnostic criteria to define AKI and risk score to better identify patients who will develop AKI after cardiac surgery. In fact, prompt recognition of high-risk patients could allow a more aggressive management at a reversible stage of an incoming ARF. In this case report, we have discussed a case of 21-year-old patient with bicuspid aortic valve with severe aortic stenosis with ejection fraction 15% and left ventricle (LV) clot undergoing surgery for aortic valve replacement with LV clot removal. In the postoperative period, he developed AKI that was managed successfully by early intervention by slow low efficiency dialysis and diafiltration and hemodialysis and patient discharged successfully from hospital.
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Introduction

Acute kidney injury (AKI) complicates recovery from cardiac surgery in up to 30% patients. Renal ischemia, reperfusion, inflammation, hemodialysis, oxidative stress, cholesterol emboli, and toxins contribute to the development progression of emboli. AKI requires renal replacement therapy (RRT) in 2.5% of patients following cardiac surgery and associated with 50% mortality. Preventive strategies for development of AKI are limited but current evidence supports maintenance of renal perfusion and intravascular volume expansion while avoiding venous congestion, administration of balanced salt, and limitation of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) exposure.

Case Report

A 21-year-old male with a history of off and on chest pain and dyspnea on exertion for 2 years was admitted to our hospital. One week back, he was admitted to another hospital for the same complaints and diagnosed to be a case of severe aortic stenosis (AS) with bicuspid aortic valve with no other significant past medical history. All blood investigations parameters were within normal limits. On electrocardiogram, it was sinus rhythm, prolonged PR interval, left atrial enlargement, and left ventricular hypertrophy with secondary repolarization abnormality. On echocardiography (ECHO), we found ejection fraction of 15%, dilated left ventricle (LV) clot at...
apex and dilated left atrium. Aortic valve was bicuspid, calcific, with severe AS, aortic valve area (AVA) was 0.8 cm², and peak gradient across aortic valve was 33 mm Hg, suggesting low-flow, low-gradient AS. On dobutamine stress ECHO, gradient across aortic valve increased and cardiac output increased but AVA was fixed. The patient was scheduled for aortic valve replacement with LV clot removal.

Patient was taken for surgery. All routine standard monitors were attached, and arterial line was placed under local anesthesia. Patient was given fentanyl, etomidate, rocuronium, and isoflurane and endotracheal tube was placed. A triple lumen catheter and a 7.5 F sheath with pulmonary artery (PA) catheter were inserted after intubation.

Intraoperatively, transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) confirmed poor ejection fraction of 15% with LV clot (2.5×3.5 cm) at LV apex. Aortic valve replacement was done with bi-leaflet mechanical valve (size 23) with LV clot removal through ventriculotomy. Patient was weaned off from CPB gradually with epinephrine, norepinephrine, and milrinone support.

In intensive care unit (ICU), patient was electively ventilated overnight with fentanyl sedation and managed on supportive treatment as per ICU protocol. In ICU, first arterial blood gas revealed acidosis of pH 7.25 and body temperature of 35.2°C. Acidosis was corrected by giving volume, sodium bicarbonate and control of blood sugar and normothermia. On zero postoperative day (POD) in the night, patient developed hypotension followed by decrease in cardiac output requiring increase in the doses of epinephrine and norepinephrine, while milrinone was stopped. It took 2 to 3 hours to stabilize hemodynamics. Urine output decreased to 30 to 40 mL/h for 3 hours and then increased to 60 to 100 mL/h. Vasopressin was added to increase mean arterial pressure (MAP) (80–100) mm Hg. Renal dose of dopamine (3 μg/kg/min) and torsemide infusion was started. On POD 1, 24 hours urine output dropped to 740 mL, serum urea was 20 mg/dL, and serum creatinine 1.40. On POD 2, oliguria worsened and urea increased to 43 mg/dL and creatinine to 3.8 mg/dL. Nephrology consultation was sought in view of worsening oliguria and rising creatinine suggesting diagnosis of AKI. Slow low efficiency dialysis and diadfiltration (SLED) was started on POD 2 for 8 hours with ultrafiltrate goal of 1,000 mL. Total three sessions of SLED were done on consecutive days. On POD 4, 1,400 mL of ultrafiltrate was removed and laboratory values were urea 27 mg/dL, and creatinine 3.2 mg/dL. Patient’s hemodynamics were maintained using inotropes. Patient was extubated on POD 3 after three sessions of SLED. With stable hemodynamics, vasopressors were gradually tapered off by POD 5. From POD 5 onward, hemodialysis was performed on alternate days. After 5 hemodialysis sessions, his urine output improved and KFT values improved. Patient was shifted from ICU on POD 10 and discharged on POD 15 (Table 1).

### Discussion

AKI continues to be a major complication after cardiac surgery. Even minor changes in serum creatinine are related to an increase in morbidity and mortality. As recently shown by Meersch et al, acute renal failure (ARF) is an independent predictor of mortality in cardiac surgery. In this case, the major preoperative risk factor for developing AKI is low ejection fraction and aortic valve replacement with ventriculotomy for LV clot removal requiring prolong CPB time. Thakar et al developed a clinical score to predict postoperative ARF weighing the effect of ARF’s major risk factors. The Thakar score for this patient was 5. (Tables 2 and 3).

In the preoperative period, patient had bicuspid aortic valve with severe AS with ejection fraction of 15% and peak gradient across the valve was 33 mm Hg. But on dobutamine stress ECHO, peak echo gradient across the aortic valve increased and cardiac output increased, so in postsurgical period, his ejection fraction was expected to increase. On the day of operation in ICU after 8 hours of ventilation, patient developed low cardiac output state and low urine output. Here we tried to optimize the volume status of patient by

### Table 1 Patients renal parameters and electrolytes values in ICU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POD</th>
<th>Serum urea (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Serum creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Serum sodium (mEq/L)</th>
<th>Serum potassium (mEq/L)</th>
<th>UO in last 24 h (mL)</th>
<th>eGFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>*43</td>
<td>*3.8</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>100, UF 1 L</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>UF 40 mL, UF 1.5 L</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>UF 35 mL, UF 1.4 L</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>HD UF 1.5 L, UF 200 mL</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>UF 1.2, UF 500 mL</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>UF 1.2, UF 400 mL</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>UF 1 L, UF 600</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>UF 1 L, UF 800 mL</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ICU, intensive care unit; POD, postoperative day; UF, ultrafiltrate; UO, Urine output.
In this case, we have started RRT on POD -7.8–9.5%. For these reasons, the development scores able to is asymptomatic and silent, unlike myocardial and cerebral et al have defined the renal ischemia as occult, because it reduced functional reserve and renal ischemia. Chertow surgery, AKI is strongly associated with two major factors: more effective method of dialysis and does not require daily mittent hemodialysis started. Intermittent hemodialysis is a dynamically more stable, patient was extubated and inter as inotropic support decreased and patient became hemo pulmonary capillary wedge pressure. We have increased the guidance of central venous pressure, PA pressure, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure. We have increased the inotropic support and vasopressor initiated to keep his MAP at 80 to 100 mm Hg. But his renal function continued to deteriorate. Then diuretics were given to increase the urine output and to improve renal function. SLED was started initially in lieu of high dose of vasopressors as there is fall in urine output and decrease in kidney function despite optimal volume status, maintenance of adequate perfusion pressure, and no improvement in urine output in spite of diuretic challenge. Patient KDIGO (Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes) score jumped from stage 1 to stage 3. SLED is associated with less hemodynamic instability than intermittent hemodialysis and provides excellent solute control. Later as inotropic support decreased and patient became hemodynamically more stable, patient was extubated and intermittent hemodialysis started. Intermittent hemodialysis is a more effective method of dialysis and does not require daily dialysis.

From the clinical point of view in the early postcardiac surgery, AKI is strongly associated with two major factors: reduced functional reserve and renal ischemia. Chertow et al have defined the renal ischemia as occult, because it is asymptomatic and silent, unlike myocardial and cerebral ischemia. For these reasons, the development scores able to predict the ischemic AKI were more useful. Often the ischemic renal injury is reversible after correction of underlying cause, but if ischemia is severe, the cortical necrosis is irreversible. However, the kidney can restore its structure and function after severe ischemia, by the spreading and dedifferentiation of viable cells.

In heart failure, low cardiac output, neurohormonal stress, aggressive use of diuretics, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use may contribute to a high rate of decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate. Prolonged renal vasconstriction mediated by both anemia and heart failure may also contribute to rapid decline in kidney function. In our case, the patient hemoglobin varied between 8.5 and 11 g/dL in postoperative period. The KDIGO classification system was helpful for categorizing kidney impairment.

Probable contributing factors for AKI in this patient were low ejection fraction (15%) (preoperative), high-dose vasopressors, CPB-induced hemodilution, stress response, microemboli load and nonpulsatile flow and surgical ventriculotomy (intraoperative), and reduced intravascular volume with poor LV function (postoperative).

Table 3 The Thakar score for this patient was 5 points (EF < 35%, other cardiac surgery, preoperative creatinine 1.2 mg/dL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Risks of dialysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>7.8–9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–13</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: EF, ejection fraction.
Conclusions

AKI continues to be a common and important complication of cardiac surgery and is associated with increased mortality and length of stay. Effective clinical protocols for prevention and optimal management have yet to be defined. Clinical strategies that stress prevention rather than treatment remain the mainstay of effective management of patients at high risk of AKI. Strategies to prevent AKI include risk prediction with adjustment in overall clinical management, early diagnosis, less extensive/invasive surgical procedures, optimal CPB techniques, and optimal support of cardiovascular function and oxygen delivery during surgery and in postoperative period. The prompt and judicious application of RRT improves overall outcome.
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