Plasma Antithrombin Values Are Significantly Decreased in Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Patients with Severe Illness

Giuseppe Lippi, MD¹ Brandon M. Henry, MD^{2,*} Fabian Sanchis-Gomar, MD^{3,*}

Semin Thromb Hemost 2021:47:460-462.

Address for correspondence Fabian Sanchis-Gomar, MD, PhD, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Av. Blasco Ibañez, 15, Valencia, 46010, Spain (e-mail: fabian.sanchis@uv.es).

Antithrombin, a 432-aminoacid serpin produced by the liver, is now recognized as one of the most powerful endogenous anticoagulants, which functions by competitively inhibiting the activity of thrombin and activated factor X (FXa). 1,2 Both inherited and acquired antithrombin deficiencies are associated with a magnified thrombotic risk, especially venous thromboembolism.³ Importantly, reduced antithrombin levels are also observed in patients with intravascular consumption coagulopathies, including those caused by bacteria, viruses, and other microorganisms.² Since both venous and arterial thrombotic complications are commonly observed in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and appear to have a significant impact on patient prognosis,⁴ we performed a literature search to identify all clinical studies that measured antithrombin in COVID-19 patients and correlated the values of this endogenous inhibitor with disease severity.

A digital search was conducted of PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, with the keywords "coronavirus disease 2019" OR "COVID-19" AND "antithrombin" in all fields, up to present time (i.e., July 25, 2020), without language restriction. Two independent reviewers analyzed the title, abstract, and full text of all documents. Studies reporting plasma antithrombin values in patients with or without severe COVID-19 illness and with a sample size of > 10 patients were finally selected. The reference lists of all articles were also analyzed, with the scope of detecting additional potentially eligible studies. A pooled analysis was finally performed, calculating the weighted mean difference (WMD) along with its 95% confidence interval (95% CI), of

Our initial electronic search generated a total number of 60 documents, of which 54 were excluded because they were review articles (n=24), did not report antithrombin data (n=12), were editorial material (n=9) or case reports (n=5), did not stratify antithrombin values according to disease severity (n=3), or had an insufficient sample size (n=1). No inter-reviewer disagreement emerged. The final analysis thereby included six studies, $^{6-11}$ five cross-sectional and one prospective, totaling 471 COVID-19 patients, of whom 197 (41.8%) had severe disease (\frown Table 1). Three studies were performed in China, two in Italy, and one in France. Severe COVID-19 illness was defined as the need for intensive care unit (ICU) admission in four studies, and terminal stage of disease or severe hemostatic derangement in the remaining two investigations.

The results of both individual investigations and the pooled analysis are shown in **Fig. 1**. In five studies, anti-thrombin values were found to be lower in COVID-19 patients with severe illness (in all except for one, the difference was statistically significant), with differences ranging

¹ Section of Clinical Biochemistry, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

² Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, The Heart Institute, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio

³ Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia and INCLIVA Biomedical Research Institute, Valencia, Spain

antithrombin values in COVID-19 patients with or without severe illness. When mean value and standard deviation were not directly reported, they were calculated from the sample size, median, and interquartile range, according to the method proposed by Hozo et al. A random-effect model was applied for adjusting for potential heterogeneity arising across the different studies. Heterogeneity was evaluated with χ^2 test and I^2 statistic. The statistical analysis was performed using MetaXL software Version 5.3 (EpiGear International Pty Ltd.). The study was performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and within the terms of local legislation.

^{*} These authors have equal senior authorship on this work.

Table 1 Summary of clinical studies that investigated the antithrombin values in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients	
with or without severe illness	

Authors	Setting	Study design	Sample size	Age, years	Females (%)	Endpoint
Han et al ⁷	China	Cross-sectional	59	N/A	49	ICU admission
Nougier et al ⁹	France	Cross-sectional	78	60 ± 14	35	ICU admission
Ranucci et al ¹⁰	Italy	Prospective	16	61 ± 3	6	Severe hemostatic derangement
Zhang et al ¹¹	China	Cross-sectional	19	62 ± 13	45	Terminal stage
Boscolo A et al ⁶	Italy	Cross-sectional	64	65 ± 4	16	ICU admission
Liao et al ⁸	China	Cross-sectional	235	63 ± 6	46	ICU admission

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; N/A, not available.

from –4 and –25 IU/dL. In a single study, mean antithrombin value was found to be slightly higher in severe COVID-19 patients, though such difference did not reach statistical significance. In a pooled analysis, the WMD of antithrombin values in COVID-19 patients with severe illness compared with those with milder disease was –10 IU/dL (95% CI: –3 to –17 IU/dL; I^2 : 86%).

This pooled analysis of recent scientific literature attests that antithrombin values are significantly lower in COVID-19 patients developing more severe illness. In keeping with these findings, Panigada et al¹² studied 11 COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU for severe disease and found that more than half (6/11; 55%) had antithrombin values below the lower limit of the reference range.

These findings may have some important clinical implications. First, the observed antithrombin decrease, which mirrors what frequently occurs in patients with some forms of consumption coagulopathy and/or disseminated intravascular coagulation, seemingly suggests that this potent natural inhibitor may be gradually consumed during COVID-19 progression as a result of localized (i.e., pulmonary) or diffuse thrombosis, as clearly shown in both clinical studies and autopsies. ^{13,14} The progressive antithrombin decay not only monitors an ongoing thrombotic process but may also represent an additional contributing factor to enhance the

risk of additional future thrombotic events. A second important consideration concerns the use of anticoagulant treatment in COVID-19 patients. Although heparin is now universally regarded as one of the anticoagulants of choice in these patients, 13 especially in those on mechanical ventilation and prolonged immobilization, a sustained decrease of antithrombin concentration may jeopardize the effectiveness of heparin therapy, in which the presence of antithrombin is essential for assuring the effective anticoagulant activity of the drug. 15 The obtained data suggest that antithrombin measurement should hence be included in the routine panel of laboratory investigations for the monitoring of patients with COVID-19.16 Moreover, it supports the consideration of therapeutic supplementation with antithrombin concentrates in hospitalized patients with COVID-19, as already proven clinically effective in other clinical settings characterized by acquired antithrombin deficiency.17

Due to the limited number of studies and patients that could be included in our meta-analysis, additional larger studies are urgently needed to verify whether diagnosing and managing antithrombin deficiency in COVID-19 may provide a significant contribution to ameliorate the prognosis of this severe pandemic disease and also improve management with heparin therapy.




Fig. 1 WMD and 95% CI of antithrombin values in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients with severe illness compared with those with milder disease. CI, confidence interval; WMD, weighted mean difference.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Hepner M, Karlaftis V. Antithrombin. Methods Mol Biol 2013; 992:355–364
- 2 Iba T, Levi M, Levy JH. Sepsis-induced coagulopathy and disseminated intravascular coagulation. Semin Thromb Hemost 2020;46 (01):89–95
- 3 Montagnana M, Lippi G, Danese E. An overview of thrombophilia and associated laboratory testing. Methods Mol Biol 2017; 1646:113-135
- 4 Harenberg J, Favaloro E. COVID-19: progression of disease and intravascular coagulation present status and future perspectives. Clin Chem Lab Med 2020;58(07):1029–1036
- 5 Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol 2005;5:13
- 6 Boscolo A, Spiezia L, Correale C, et al. Different hypercoagulable profiles in patients with COVID-19 admitted to the internal medicine ward and the intensive care unit. Thromb Haemost 2020;120(10):1474–1477
- 7 Han H, Yang L, Liu R, et al. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clin Chem Lab Med 2020; 58(07):1116–1120
- 8 Liao D, Zhou F, Luo L, et al. Haematological characteristics and risk factors in the classification and prognosis evaluation of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Haematol 2020;7(09):E671–E678
- 9 Nougier C, Benoit R, Simon M, et al. Hypofibrinolytic state and high thrombin generation may play a major role in sars-cov2 associated thrombosis. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18(09):2215–2219

- 10 Ranucci M, Ballotta A, Di Dedda U, et al. The procoagulant pattern of patients with COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18(07):1747–1751
- 11 Zhang Y, Cao W, Jiang W, et al. Profile of natural anticoagulant, coagulant factor and anti-phospholipid antibody in critically ill COVID-19 patients. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2020 (e-pub ahead of print). Doi: 10.1007/s11239-11020-02182-11239
- 12 Panigada M, Bottino N, Tagliabue P, et al. Hypercoagulability of COVID-19 patients in intensive care unit: a report of thromboelastography findings and other parameters of hemostasis. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18(07):1738–1742
- 13 Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez DGlobal COVID-19 Thrombosis Collaborative Group, Endorsed by the ISTH, NATF, ESVM, and the IUA, Supported by the ESC Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-Up: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75(23):2950-2973
- 14 Wichmann D, Sperhake JP, Lutgehetmann M, et al. Autopsy findings and venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2020 (e-pub ahead of print). Doi: 10.7326/M7320-2003
- 15 Pabinger I, Thaler J. How I treat patients with hereditary antithrombin deficiency. Blood 2019;134(26):2346–2353
- 16 Favaloro EJ, Lippi G. Recommendations for minimal laboratory testing panels in patients with COVID-19: potential for prognostic monitoring. Semin Thromb Hemost 2020;46(03): 379–382.
- 17 Jayakody Arachchillage DR, Gaspar M, Makhecha S, Laffan M. Use of antithrombin concentrate for acquired antithrombin deficiency in acutely unwell children receiving unfractionated heparin. Semin Thromb Hemost 2019;45(08):859–864