Effect of Galla Chinensis on Remineralization of Early Dentin Lesion
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Abstract

Objective  To investigate the efficacy of the Galla chinensis extract (GCE) on early dentin lesion remineralization.

Materials and Methods  Seventy-two dentin specimens were divided into three groups according to the treatment solution. In group S1, specimens were treated with GCE; in group S2, the specimens were treated with sodium fluoride (NaF); meanwhile, specimens of group S3 were treated with distilled water (DW). Each group was further subdivided into two subgroups according to the treatment time (1 minute and 5 minutes). An in vitro pH-cycling model for 12 days was done. Subsequently, surface microhardness (SMH) of the specimens, elemental analysis, and their micromorphological appearance were evaluated.

Statistical Analysis  Data were statistically analyzed. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare numerical (parametric) data between more than two separate groups followed by post hoc Tukey.

Results  There was no significant difference between the mean SMH of dentin between NaF and GCE groups. Regarding the time, the 5-minute treatment with NaF and DW groups recorded higher mean SMH value of dentin than the 1-minute treatment group. Meanwhile, for GCE groups, the 1-minute immersion recorded higher mean SMH value than the 5-minute immersion without any significant difference between them. The microhardness results were confirmed by environmental scanning electron microscope and energy dispersive X-ray analysis results.

Conclusion  GCE could be used as an effective alternative for dentin remineralization.
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Introduction

Dental caries is the consequence of multiple episodes of demineralization and remineralization, rather than a one-way cycle of demineralization.¹ The detailed understanding we have of the dental caries protocol at the moment makes it possible at an earlier stage to compile steps to identify dental caries and to determine the ability of new materials to avoid demineralization or, even better, promote remineralization.² The anticaries effect of fluoride has been demonstrated in numerous clinical and laboratory studies over the past decades, which has shown its caries-prophylactic influence through a positive change in the demineralization/remineralization balance.³⁻⁵ Despite the fact that the cariostatic effect of fluoride, that is, demineralization suppression, encouraging remineralization of the incipient lesions was well known, a variety of opinions on the use of fluoride exist.⁴ Fluoride has a significant effect on caries diffusion but is far from completely recovering. Additionally, fluoride can cause fluorosis by overexposure⁶⁻⁷ and despite the fact that fluoride does not adversely affect the ordinary person, when used properly, it was suggested that fluoride exposure should be restricted among certain groups.⁸ Accordingly, alternate, effective nonfluoride anticaries agents still need to be searched for. Several past studies had looked for...
other synthetic materials, which have a better effect than fluoride. However, some research has shown that the combination of fluoride and other elements may have a greater effect on the prevention of dental enamel demineralization.\textsuperscript{9,10} As a result, several studies have concentrated on the search for alternative successful natural agents.

Lately, various studies examined \textit{Galla chinensis} extract (GCE), a natural nontoxic traditional Chinese herbal medicine. Further researches had reported the anticariogenic impact of GCE and its capability of GCE to positively activating enamel remineralization.\textsuperscript{11-15} This effect is credited to GCE enamel organic matrix interaction,\textsuperscript{16,17} so that, considering that dentin contains more organic matrix than enamel, GCE could result in better remineralizing effect on dentin in comparison to enamel.\textsuperscript{18} As research on GCE’s remineralization of early dentin lesions is limited, an \textit{in vitro} pH-cycling model will therefore be used in the current study to estimate GCE’s ability to remineralize early dentin lesions.

\section*{Materials and Methods}

\subsection*{Specimens’ Preparation}

A total of 72 intact, sound, freshly extracted permanent human mandibular second molar teeth (extracted for periodontal reasons) were selected for the study. The age range of patients was 45 to 55 years.\textsuperscript{19} All teeth exhibiting any signs of caries, microcracks, or other defective structure were discarded. The collected teeth were stored in normal saline plus 0.5% thymol as an antimicrobial agent until being used no longer than 3 months.\textsuperscript{20} The utilization of extracted human teeth was confirmed by the research ethics committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, Egypt.

A diamond saw under water irrigation (IsoMet 4000 saw Buehler, United States) was used for preparing 72 dentin specimens. The dentin specimens were embedded in self-cure acrylic resin and allowed to set to create dentin blocks. The specimens were randomly divided into 60 specimens for microhardness measurement and 12 specimens for environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) measurement. The 60 specimens of microhardness test (MHT) were again randomly divided into three groups according to treatment solutions (\textit{n} = 20); in group S1, specimens were treated with aqueous solutions of GCE. In group S2, the specimens were treated with an aqueous solution of sodium fluoride (NaF) (the positive control group) (\textit{Table 1}); meanwhile, specimens of group S3 were treated with distilled water (DW) (the negative control group). Each group was further subdivided into two subgroups according to the treatment time of dentin specimens in each solution (T) (\textit{n} = 10): T1, 1 minute and T2, 5 minutes. Meanwhile, ESEM and EDX specimens were also randomly divided into three groups according to the previous treatment solutions (S) (\textit{n} = 4). Each group was also randomly subdivided into two subgroups according to time of immersion (T) (\textit{n} = 2). All dentin specimens were identified with numbers written on the base of the acrylic resin by permanent marker.

\subsection*{Preparation of Artificial Carious Lesion}

All dentin specimens were immersed in a demineralized solution (2.2 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, 2.2 mM PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-}, and 50 mM acetic acid at a pH of 4.4) for 72 hours to induce artificial carious lesion.\textsuperscript{21} For standardization of the demineralized surface area of each specimen, an adhesive strip (3 mm \times 3 mm) was attached to the surface of each specimen and two layers of acid-resistant nail varnish were used to cover the remaining surface. After demineralization time, specimens were removed and rinsed with DW. The 60 specimens of surface MHT were evaluated for demineralized surface microhardness (SMH).

\subsection*{pH Cycling}

The cariogenic challenge for the 72 specimens was simulated through a modified pH cycle. Dentin specimens of GCE group (S1) were immersed in 4 g of aqueous solutions of GCE, dentin specimens of NaF group (S2) were immersed in 1 g aqueous solutions of NaF; meanwhile, specimens of S3 group were immersed in DW. In T1 subgroup, the immersion time in each solution was conducted for 1 minute, and in T2 subgroup, 5 minutes.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Materials, composition, manufacturer, and lot number}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
Material & Composition & Manufacturer & Lot number \\
\hline
Demineralizing solution & 2.2 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, 2.2 mM PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-}, and 50 mM acetic acid at a pH of 4.4 & Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt & \\
\hline
Remineralizing solution & 20 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}, 3 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, and 1 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, at a pH of 7 & Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt & \\
\hline
GCE & GCE (82% \textit{Rhus chinensis} mill) & Bulk supplements, 7511 Eastgate Road, Henderson, Nevada 89011, United States & 12100906 \\
\hline
NaF & 98% pure NaF reagent grade fine powder & Eisen-Golden Laboratories & 7681-49-4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Abbreviations: GCE, \textit{Galla chinensis} extract; NaF, sodium fluoride.
for 5 minutes. The daily cycling regimen consisted of a test of 2 hours/d acid in the demineralized solution, followed by immersion of the specimen in the remineralized solution (20 mM NaH$_2$CO$_3$, 3 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, and 1 mM CaCl$_2$ at a pH of 7) for ~22 hour/d (►Table 1). Deionized water washed over the blocks. The pH routine has been repeated for 12 days.\(^5\)

**Final Surface Microhardness Test**

After the pH cycling/treatment cycle had been completed, the 60 SMH specimens were put in ultrasound to clean up any residual residues on their surfaces. Later, the final measurement of SMH was taken.

**Micromorphological Examination and Elemental Analysis**

The dentin specimens that were prepared for ESEM and EDX analysis were placed in ultrasound for cleaning any remaining residue on their surfaces. The dentin surface micromorphology and surface mineral contents of each specimen were assessed at baseline, after demineralization, and after the treatment. ESEM and EDX analysis (Quanta250 FEG, field emission gun) was operating at 30 kV with secondary electron mode at 2,500 magnification.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical testing was performed using Windows, version 23 of IBM SPSS software. The degree of significance was set to p-value < 0.05.

**Results**

**SMH Results**

►Table 2 demonstrates the effect of different immersing solutions on the mean SMH of dentin (mean ± standard deviation [SD] and level of significance) for all groups. They demonstrate that the NaF recorded the highest mean value followed by GCE without any statistically significant difference between them. Meanwhile, DW group recorded the lowest mean value. Also, there was a significant difference between both of GCE, NaF and DW groups. Concerning the immersion time, immersion of specimens for 5 minutes in either NaF or DW recorded higher mean SMH values of dentin than the 1-minute immersion in the same solutions, with no statistically significant difference between both times for each group. Surprisingly, in GCE groups, the 1-minute immersion recorded higher mean SMH value of dentin than the 5-minute immersion with no statistical significant difference between both times.

**ESEM Results**

ESEM photomicrograph of sound dentin showed a homogenous smooth surfaces appearance. However, in the demineralized dentin, the ESEM photomicrograph showed widely opened dentinal tubules creating spongy-like appearance with different shapes of opened dentinal tubules, some had circular shape and others were oval. The ESEM photomicrograph of DW-treated dentin specimen surfaces was similar to ESEM photomicrograph of the demineralized specimens. However, there was little obliteration of some dentinal tubules with mineral precipitations (►Fig. 1). Meanwhile, the photomicrograph representing NaF (►Figs. 2 and 3) and GCE (►Figs. 4 and 5)

**Table 2** Effect of different immersing solutions on the mean SMH of dentin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Baseline Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Demineralization Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Remineralization Mean ± SD</th>
<th>ANOVA p-Value</th>
<th>Post hoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>GCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>66.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>65.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>65.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>36.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>35.7 ± 5.2</td>
<td>36.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>40.6 ± 7.0</td>
<td>58.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td>59.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCE</td>
<td>42.9 ± 7.4</td>
<td>61.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>57.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>68.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>67.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>65.4 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>39.7 ± 7.4</td>
<td>38.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>38.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>42.9 ± 7.8</td>
<td>61.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>57.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; DW, distilled water; GCE, Galla chinensis extract; NaF, sodium fluoride; SD, standard deviation; SMH, surface microhardness.

Note: P1: significance between DW and NaF; P2: significance between DW and GCE; P3: significance between NaF and GCE.

*: Significance < 0.05

**Fig. 1** Environmental scanning electron microscope showing dentin surface after treated with distilled water for 5 minutes.
specimens, respectively, revealed almost complete obliteration of dentinal tubules and intertubular dentin. However, a smoother and more homogenous surface was revealed by the GCE specimens.

**EDX Analysis**

- Table 3 demonstrates the effect of different treatment solutions at 2 and 5 minutes on the mean Ca\(^{2+}\) content of dentin (mean ± SD and level of significance) for all groups. They demonstrate that the NaF recorded the highest mean value followed by GCE. Meanwhile, DW group recorded the lowest mean value. The difference between NaF and GCE groups was statistically nonsignificant. Meanwhile, there was a significant difference between both of them and DW group. Regarding the time, the 5-minute immersion for NaF and DW groups recorded higher mean Ca\(^{2+}\) value of dentin.
Table 3  Effect of different immersing solutions on the mean Ca content of dentin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Immersing solution</th>
<th>ANOVA p-Value</th>
<th>Post hoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>GCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demineralization</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remineralization</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demineralization</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remineralization</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; DW, distilled water; GCE, Galla chinensis extract; NaF, sodium fluoride; SD, standard deviation.

Notes: P1: significance between DW and NaF; P2: significance between DW and GCE; P3: significance between NaF and GCE.

than the 1-minute immersion, with no statistical significant difference between both times for each group. Meanwhile, for GCE groups, the 1-minute immersion recorded higher mean Ca\textsuperscript{2+} content value of dentin than the 5-minute immersion with no statistical significant difference between both times.

**Discussion**

Nowadays, dental caries management is based on conservative and preventive approaches.\textsuperscript{24} Different materials and methods are currently being evaluated to achieve newer approaches for the remineralization of demineralized dentin that will aid in treating dentin caries and dentin hypersensitivity.\textsuperscript{25} Previous reports have shown a major role of many commercially available products in enhancement of dental remineralization.\textsuperscript{26-28} But none of these agents in spite of being effective in dentin remineralization proved to be an ideal, highlighting an urgent need to seek novel and alternative strategies.\textsuperscript{14,22,28} Recently, there has been a worldwide interest in active compounds derived from natural products which may have potential therapeutic uses in dentistry.\textsuperscript{20,30}

GCE, a natural traditional Chinese medicine, is potentially a very interesting agent. Previous studies have shown that the raw aqueous extract of GCE is capable of inhibiting demineralization of enamel and enhancing remineralization.\textsuperscript{13,31,32} Also, it was proved to have effective antibacterial role,\textsuperscript{33} but limited studies are performed on its effect on dentin remineralization. The effect of GCE on remineralization of early dentin lesion was evaluated in the current study through measuring SMH of dentin as an indirect method for determining changes in mineral content. Also, micromorphological and elemental analyses of the specimens were performed as confirmatory methods for the microhardness results.\textsuperscript{34}

In the current study, the highest mean SMH and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} content values of dentin were recorded by NaF group; however, there was not any significant difference with GCE groups. These results were confirmed by ESEM images, where photomicrograph of NaF- and GCE-treated dentin specimens surfaces showed almost complete obliteration of dentinal tubules and intertubular dentin with a smoother surface especially in GCE group.\textsuperscript{35} This could be explained on the basis of presence of high organic matrix content in dentin, the potential of GCE to promote the remineralization of initial dentin carious lesions by deposit of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions from the complex “dentin organic matrix-GCE-Ca\textsuperscript{2+}” that enhance the mineral ion deposition in the GCE.\textsuperscript{36} This could boost the surface properties of dentin, such as SMH and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} content of dentin.

Regarding the time of immersion of dentin specimens in each corresponding remineralizing solution, the 5-minute immersion for NaF group recorded higher mean SMH and calcium content values of dentin than the 1-minute immersion with no statistical significant difference between them. This finding could be explained on the basis that fluoride increases the SMH by time; in later stages, the cycle leveled out and reached a plateau.\textsuperscript{37} So, fluoride may affect the mineral deposition in the outer enamel, but did not affect mineral precipitation in the inner enamel and dentin significantly.\textsuperscript{38}

In contrast to the GCE groups, the 1-minute immersion recorded higher mean SMH and calcium content values of dentin than the 5-minute immersion with no statistical significant difference between them. These results could explain the GCE formed of different polyphenol compounds, which might slow down the remineralization of dentin surface by time, and offer more channels for ion transferring through the surface layer to the lesion body.\textsuperscript{39}

**Conclusion**

Under the limitations of the current study, GCE could be used as an effective natural alternative for dentin remineralization.

**Conflict of Interest**

None declared.
References


8. Bassin EB, Wypij D, Davis RB, Mittleman MA. Age-specific fluoride exposure in drinking water and osteosarcoma (United States) Cancer Causes Control 2006;17(4):421–428


