Head and Neck Practice in the COVID-19 Pandemics Today: A Rapid Systematic Review
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Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic started in China, and, in March 11th, it was declared a pandemic.1 From its first registered case up to now—May 26th—5,404,512 confirmed cases were registered and 343,514 patients have died.2 The pandemic not only represents a threat to each country’s health system infection control, but to all aspects of its healthcare, as workforces are being transferred to COVID-19 combat areas, and many usual clinical workflows have changed.

Abstract

Introduction Head and neck specialists and otorhinolaryngologists are greatly exposed to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) transmission in their everyday praxis. Many articles are being published regarding medical staff protection and patient management during the pandemic.

Objective To provide an easy access to and a trustful review of the main aspects that have changed in the head and neck surgery and otorhinolaryngology practice due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Data Synthesis The search terms used were: (head and neck or otorhinolaryngology or ORL or thyroid) AND (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [SARS-COV-2] or COVID-19 or CORONAVIRUS). The results were limited to the year of 2020. Articles were read in English, Portuguese, French, German, and Spanish or translated from Chinese. All included articles were read by at least two authors. Thirty-five articles were included. Most articles suggest postponing elective surgeries, with exception to cancer surgeries, which should be evaluated separately. Twenty-five articles recommended some kind of screening prior to surgery, using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests and epidemiological data. Extra precautions, such as use of personal protective equipment (PPE), are suggested for both tracheostomies and endoscopies. Fifteen articles give recommendation on how to use telemedicine.

Conclusion The use of PPE (N95 or powered air-purifying respirator [PAPR]) during procedures should be mandatory. Patients should be evaluated about their COVID-19 status before hospital admission. Cancer should be treated. Tracheostomy tube cuff should be inflated inside the tracheal incision. All COVID-19 precautions should be kept until there is a validated antiviral treatment or an available vaccine.
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in an effort to achieve pandemic control.\textsuperscript{3} This represent an indirect impact in people’s health, as it affects different medical specialties.

In many hospitals, elective treatments have been canceled to avoid exposing patients and medical staff to unnecessary risks.\textsuperscript{4,5} Even so, emergency and urgent procedures were still being performed with extra precaution. Elective surgeries, when considered time-sensitive, were also, in many cases, still performed after medical group evaluation.\textsuperscript{6} In many areas, a patient-to-patient approach was also suggested with the use of telemedicine, which offers a way to maintain medical support for regular situations as well as allowing the staff to analyze the patient’s condition and to evaluate surgery necessity without bringing risk of infection for both professional and patient.\textsuperscript{7,8}

In otorhinolaryngology and in head and neck surgery, professionals are at a greater risk of infection. Many usual clinical procedures, such as laryngoscopy, generate a great volume of aerosol, which can lead to great exposure to high virus concentration area.\textsuperscript{9} At the same time, many patients, even without symptoms, may carry the virus, presenting a threat to professionals and incapacitating the continuity of many procedures, even for those asymptomatic individual.\textsuperscript{10} Many elective surgeries, on the other hand, are cancer-related, and, therefore, the evaluation of their urgency in the pandemic scenario becomes very challenging.\textsuperscript{11}

Our review proposes to organize the current knowledge on the specific characteristics for otorhinolaryngology. Our objective is to bring together the main recommendations regarding surgery indication, use of protective equipment during procedures, endoscopy precautions, and telemedicine application.

\textbf{Methods}

We performed a systematic literature review based on online search in the following databases: PubMed of the National Center for Biotechnology (NCBI), Scientific Electronic Library Online, and Scopus. The terms used in the search for any correspondence were (head and neck or otorhinolaryngology or ORL or thyroid) AND (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [SARS-COV-2] or COVID-19 or coronavirus). Search results were limited to articles from the year 2020. Duplicates were excluded using the EndNote X9 application (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA, USA) and Rayyan platform (Qatar Computing Research Institute, Doha, Ad-Dawhah, Qatar). The authors selected the articles for full reading according to the content of the title and abstract, evaluating if they were related to the scope of the present work.

Before reading all the articles selected by title and abstract, we also included articles that contained surgical recommendations related to otorhinolaryngological surgery or head and neck surgery from another review made by the same group.\textsuperscript{12} EndNote was used again to exclude duplicates. All selected articles were read in full by two authors. Articles were read in their original language if in Portuguese, English, French, Spanish, or German. Chinese articles were translated using online websites. Articles in other languages were excluded. After full-reading, articles were included if they brought opinions or information on any of the following topics: (a) postponement of procedures; (b) performance of endoscopic exams; (c) use of telemedicine, and (d) thyroid cancer management. In cases of disagreement between the two authors about the relevance of the study, all authors discussed the inclusion of the reference. Manual search was also performed during the manuscript writing to add relevant papers published during the period of elaboration of the present article. Articles were excluded if (a) not focused on head and neck surgery OR otorrhynolaryngology; (b) focused on specific COVID-19 treatment, or (c) focused on airway management for COVID-19 cases.

Each of the above listed topics has been subclassified according to main characteristics after qualitative analyses of references. Specific interest regarding thyroid cancer is related to its prevalence in the ordinary head and neck surgery clinic. Recommendations regarding patient and professional safety have also been collected. We also analyzed specifically recommendations concerning tracheostomies, as it is a subject of great interest in this epidemic.

Data analysis was performed by Google Sheets application (Google LLC, Menlo Park, CA, USA).

\textbf{Review of Literature}

\textbf{Records}

We found 204 papers (202 on databases; 2 through other sources) in our initial search. Of them, 35 filled the eligibility criteria after a systematic evaluation (\textsuperscript{\textbullet} Fig. 1). These selected records basically consisted of editorials, original articles, reviews, case reports, opinion/commentary papers, and letters (\textsuperscript{\textbullet} Fig. 2).

\textbf{Surgical Routine}

Twenty-seven articles provided recommendations concerning adaptations of surgery routine and criteria to operate non-cancer surgery cases. Twenty articles suggested postponing all elective procedures or examinations. Only 2 articles disagreed with this guidance. The use of negative-pressure room was endorsed by 10 studies; other 2 also recommended the use of high efficiency particulate (HEPA) filters in the operation room (OR) to decrease hospital airborne transmission. Nine papers reported staff restrictions during procedures, and 6 of them suggested that surgeries should be performed by the most experienced professionals available, avoiding the presence of non-senior residents and medical students. Four articles described contact restriction between older assistants and patients as a measure to decrease high-risk group exposure.

The use of personal protective equipment (PPE) is a central recommendation of the majority (\textit{n} = 21) of the reviewed articles. Gloves, N95 or filtering facepiece 2 (FFP2) masks, gown, and eye protection are the minimum essential pieces of equipment to protect the health care provider in the OR. Three studies still stratified the use of PPE in two groups: enhanced airborne precautions and extreme airborne precaution. They differ between type of
The first one recommends N95 masks, in context of intermediate risk of infection; and the second one suggests the use of powered air purifying respirators (PAPRs), in scenario of high-risk of infection.

**Surgical Screening for SARS-CoV-2**

From the 35 articles included in the present review, the majority (n = 25) recommended some kind of evaluation for any surgery patient. The most prevalent method was an epidemiological (recent travel and symptoms) questionnaire applied before the patient’s consult or entry to the hospital combined with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) prior to surgery. Other recommendations split this method: only epidemiological screening or PCR prior to surgery. Three articles adopted some kind of screening but did not specify which one.

**Cancer Management**

Thirteen articles proposed recommendations for cancer management in this pandemic scenario. There is consensus that cancer should be treated if their prognosis can get worse by a delay of minimally 60 days. Two articles also suggest using chemo and/or radiotherapy to mitigate surgery delay impacts, if this approach is already a validated option in the tumor of concern treatment.

Six articles mentioned how to specifically treat thyroid cancer. Three articles were from the United States of America, one from Italy, one from China, and one from France. Three of them suggested that each case should be individually assessed regarding surgical treatment postponement. The other three already specified that all low-risk thyroid cancers, especially papillary thyroid carcinoma, should have their surgical treatment delayed.
Endoscopic Exams
Regarding endoscopic examinations, one of the most common procedures performed by otolaryngologists, 18 papers had specific recommendations to improve safety. Of them, 11 articles endorsed avoiding the performance of this exam, unless medically necessary, and 7 just had general suggestions. Some practical recommendations found in our review regarding endoscopic examinations are listed below:
(a) Hand hygiene before and after contact with patients or other potentially infected sources.
(b) Screening of staff and patients in communities with a high prevalence of COVID-19, even asymptomatic patients should be managed with suspicion.
(c) Performing the examination in an isolated room, preferably with negative pressure.
(d) Choosing anesthetic gels over anesthetic sprays, and ensuring adequate surface anesthesia to reduce cough reflexes.
(e) Practicing high-level disinfection of the endoscopes and decontamination of all surface areas of the examination room.
(f) Using disposable nasal pledgets for decongestion.

Tracheostomy
Twenty-two articles mentioned instructions on general measures to perform safe tracheostomies. Applying anesthetics on high respiratory tract found to be useful to diminish the possibility of virus spread by coughing during the surgery, 7 of the articles answered positive to the technique, 14 of them did not mention the subject. Fourteen articles agreed that establishing negative pressure inside the OR is important to reduce the contagion between multiple patients frequenting the surgical floor, 7 of them did not mention the subject. Thirteen articles believed that insufflating the cuff under the opening incision during tracheostomy procedure, to form a closed system is adequate to limit the virus, 8 of them did not mention the subject. The level of protection PPE needed to protect health workers was different in several articles, as it is possible to see in Fig. 4.

Telemedicine
Fifteen articles from the 35 selected recommended the use of telemedicine for triage, routine consults or patient revaluation. Four articles recommended the use of telemedicine but did not specify the situations to be used. The other 16 articles did not comment about telemedicine.

Discussion
Surgical Routine
The risk of aerosolization and droplets made the Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck surgery setting as an extreme high-risk area for contamination and transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Because of that, there is an important concern with reducing transmission between staff and patients, which should guide the readaptation of surgery routines in the recent pandemic scenario. Besides that, there is evidence that surgery or chemotherapy are isolated risk factors to negative outcomes in patients diagnosed with COVID-19, amplifying the difficulties to managing surgical conditions.

In this context, surgery indication should be restricted to procedures that can impact disease prognosis. Except for cancer management, whose recommendations are discussed below, there is a consensus that only emergency/urgency situations, mainly bleeding or high-risk obstructive airway situations, should be assessed by surgery. Other studies extended the roll of procedures to treat to conditions in which the delay of intervention could worsen functional and aesthetic outcomes. Other procedures should be postponed for 30 days or more, with frequent revaluations. Only two reports distinguish about this guidance. One Chinese hospital reported to have maintained operations in all circumstances after a...
Another paper clarifies that they did not postpone interventions in patients with negative status for COVID-19. These situations, however, represent a minority (10%) of the reviewed literature. Our vision is that every case should be individualized.

Staff protection is a major concern. There is a consensus that the minimum PPE include use of gloves, gown, eye protection, and N95 mask or PFF2. Some articles showed superiority of PAPR over other masks. It was demonstrated that PAPR has a higher assigned protective factor than N95 (25–100 versus 10). However, due to management of resources and availability of these devices, two articles presented a stratification of risk status; thus, they reserve the use of PAPR only in situations of higher risk of aerosolization and viral exposure. The suggested workflow indicates two categories: 1) extreme airborne protection that demands the use of PAPR and is reserved to high aerosolization procedures (e.g., tracheostomy, endoscopy and the access of aerodigestive mucous), and 2) enhanced airborne protection, which allows the use of N95 masks and is indicated for cases of mild-risk of contamination. Nevertheless, PAPR can be incompatible with some procedures, because it does not allow the use of instruments by the surgeon, for instance, loupes, headlight, and microscope. It is also necessary to emphasize the importance of correct use and disposal of PPE, as some literature brings to evidence that failure in these steps can be related to high infection rates in health care workers. An article even advised doing specific training to perform a surgery using PPE. It is our understanding that these precautions should become a habit until there is
Scientifically proved control over the coronavirus pandemics, such as vaccines or antiviral drugs.

Other aspects concerning team surgery are raised. To minimize the exposure of the surgical teams, most articles agree in limiting nurse and medical staff to the minimum possible and excluding students and non-senior residents from the OR. Procedures should be performed by the most experienced and skilled surgeon in the team to reduce time of aerosolized air exposure. Furthermore, an article suggested briefing before every surgery, to make it more efficient. There are also concerns about the vulnerability of some work care professionals. Four articles recommend to save attendants older than 55 years of age from contact with patients, and one of them extends this advice to pregnant workers and/or those who have chronic diseases.

Some precautions should be addressed regarding surgical setting and use of instruments. There is a need to contain aerosolized air produced by surgery and avoid the contamination of other hospital sites and areas classified as non-infected. Therefore, procedures should be performed in negative pressure rooms and, if possible, equipped with a HEPA filter. A professional must be allocated to be available for eventual needs, thus avoiding the exit of any OR staff member before conclusion of the procedure. The use of powered devices, like drills, microdebriders, saws, or ultrasonic shears, ought to avoided, and if they are used, irrigation pressure must be kept to a minimum.

**Surgical Screening for SARS-CoV2**

Another aspect that should be considered when trying to determine the need for medical attendance or surgery is the possibility that the patient is already contaminated. With this possibility, the predominant recommendation—26 articles of the pool—is to screen the patient prior to the procedure, such as applying a questionnaire about recent travels, clinical manifestations, or even performing a PCR test. These surgical screening procedures should be part of institutional protocols until the current pandemic is safely contained.

With most countries presenting community transmission, allied with the possibility of asymptomatic contamination, the exclusive use of questionnaire about recent travels or contact with suspected COVID-19 patients is not enough to guarantee that the patient does not carry the virus. Most articles suggested a combined epidemiological and PCR screening, with questionnaire about recent travels, contact with suspected COVID-19 patients, and clinical manifestations being applied before the patients enter the hospital, and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) being performed 2 to 3 days prior to surgery or as part of the preoperative care.

Some articles suggested, if possible, other kinds of screening allied with the above, such as doing blood count and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) together with PCR and, if there is any alteration, a tomography is recommended. Given recent findings, this parameter could come in handy for those patients that test positive for SARS-CoV-2. Other articles recommended similar approaches, for example doing a computed tomography (CT) along with PCR. It must also be taken in consideration that children are usually less symptomatic; therefore, pediatric cases should always be treated as if they were COVID-19 + or PCR testing should be done.

For situations in which PCR testing is not possible due to lack of resources, a three-level triage for emergencies and clinics could be of good use. This method poses questions about clinical symptoms and epidemiological data in different times of inpatient admission and procedures. Another possibility—especially in emergency situations—is for centers with sufficient PPE to consider patients to be contaminated with the virus, regardless of symptoms, which reduces the demand of PCR testing and allows a quick approach to patient care while decreasing the chance of infection in head and neck procedures.

**Cancer Management**

There is little debate on the urgency of operating head and neck cancers, and, therefore, even during the COVID-19 pandemic, high-risk cancer surgeries should still be performed. Dealing with cancer patients during this pandemic brings great anxiety for the patient and distress for the doctor. Otherwise, a possible delay, especially if longer than 2 months, could change the cancer staging. Even so, this is not universal. Low-risk cancers, such as papillary thyroid carcinoma, can be postponed. Some authors defend a guideline-based approach, while others suggest evaluating each case individually.

There are also other aspects related to cancer treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic that should be noted. Concentrating treatment in non-COVID-19 care hospitals is advisable. To reduce nosocomial infection risk, some authors suggested limiting the number of accompanying persons allowed, or even prohibiting them, as we have done in our institution. All patients should be checked for symptoms before entering the hospital, and procedures are only made after either a PCR test or a chest CT is done.

Treatment choice should take many factors into consideration, such as: patient hospital exposure, duration of treatment, complexity of treatment, and health care staff infection risk. Evaluating more complex cases in multidisciplinary conferences is recommended, as there is no simple guideline on how to best postpone treatment. For instance, there was a report of an immunodeficient patient with tongue squamous cell carcinoma, in which case it was decided to keep track of the lesion size through photos and only operate after the pandemic. Despite the decision taken, it is recommended to monitor and reevaluate the patients, if possible through telemedicine services, every 30 days.

**Endoscopic Examinations**

The upper airway endoscopy is a very important diagnostic tool for the otolaryngologist physician. Nonetheless, during this procedure, there is a high risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, especially via aerosolization. For this reason, it consists of an occupational hazard to the professionals involved in this examination. Moreover, 11 of the 18 papers that mentioned endoscopic examinations explicitly suggested postponing the procedure unless it is considered critically necessary for the medical condition of the patients. Indications of urgent need endoscopies are, for instance, hemoptysis; airway
compromise; odynophagia that limits hydration and nutrition; and malignant conditions.\textsuperscript{36} Alternatives, such as CT, ultrasound, and X-ray, should be considered for other cases, depending on the diagnostic hypothesis.\textsuperscript{22,36}

An aspect of remarkable relevance is the PPE that should be used. Chan et al published the Hong Kong recommendations regarding PPE use.\textsuperscript{31} This guidance is especially valuable because of the SARS-CoV-1 experience of this country, and it endorses the use of N95, isolation gown, gloves, and face shield for the procedures in the endoscopy room. Powered air-purifying respirators were also recommended, despite it is being cumbersome to use.\textsuperscript{25,30,32} The use of PPE for endoscopic examinations should be mandatory until the coronavirus pandemic is over.

Tracheostomy

Tracheostomy is one of the most performed procedures in emergency facilities all around the world, especially in trauma units for desobstruction of respiratory tract. However, it became a complex subject in the COVID-19 pandemic, once it easily contaminates health workers and surgical centers at a greater degree than other surgeries that do not require direct contact with mucosa. One health care center radically reduced trachestomies done on patients with positive PCR for COVID-19 and without airway obstruction, once there was no extra benefit from intubation.\textsuperscript{30} Some articles advise to avoid the use chlorhexidine for antisepsia, once it is not as effective as materials with peroxide hydrogen and ethanol included.\textsuperscript{47}

A complete protocol on how to perform a secure tracheostomy can use the mnemonic CORONA to divide the procedure in 4 steps.\textsuperscript{48} C for covering, as in use of cap, shoe covers, mask (FFP3 if possible), goggles, face shield, gown, gloves, and surgical hood; OR standing for operation room setting: a negative pressure room, with closed doors and window, fewer nurses and doctors, who should be experienced, to optimize surgical time; O standing for open the trachea: apply a deep neuromuscular blockade to avoid swallowing and cough reflexes,\textsuperscript{30} push the tube as caudally as possible to avoid cuff breach and hyper-inflate the tube cuff to form airway island; and NA standing for nursing and airway management, that is, performing safe suction of secretions, checking cuff-pressure regularly, and closing wound as soon as possible.

Transportation of patients inside the hospital facility and transfer from OR and intensive care unit (ICU) have to be as secure as possible, and it is advisable that the elevator used for SARS-CoV-2 patients is exclusive to them and all halls used in transfer should be closed 30 minutes\textsuperscript{49} after transportation, so that proper disinfection can occur.

Telemedicine Use

With the postponement of most consultation and evaluations, a resource that started to gain notice is the use of telemedicine for regular attendances. Online consultations can reduce health system pressure by offering patient recommendations and evaluating situations regarding the need to go to a hospital. Furthermore, telemedicine reduces the risk of infection for the patient and staff, which comes in hand especially for head and neck interventions. It also allows health care workers to discuss cases without having risk of close contact contamination. More than half of the articles presented in the pool recommended the use of telemedicine, with 15 of those specifying the use for triage, routine consults, and reevaluation, which shows the increased demand of this resource in different specialities. Some of them also suggest specific applications—such as Zoom, All American Platform, and WeChat—for use, which could be useful for centers with the intent to start the use of telemedicine.\textsuperscript{43,50}

With the increase of cases and demand of health services, it is recommended that each center evaluates its resources and considers the remodeling of attendance via telemedicine as a way to accommodate mild and moderate cases.

Final Comments

Head and neck surgeons and otorhinolaryngologists are very exposed to COVID-19 transmission during their practice, even if not dealing specifically with the disease. The use of adequate PPE (N95 mask or PAPR) with complete gown in aerosol-producing surgeries should become mandatory until there is control of the epidemic, that is, vaccine or effective antiviral drugs. Endoscopic exams should only be performed if their result may change the patient’s treatment; otherwise, patient’s evaluation should be restricted to clinical exam. Evaluation of the COVID-19 status of all inpatients, especially surgery patients, should be performed to access transmission risk. Cancer should be treated, and each case should be assessed individually. Tracheostomies should be performed with extreme caution and the cuff should be always insufflated below the opening area. Telemedicine can help reduce hospital and outpatient visits. All the recommendations should become standard as long as there is no treatment or vaccine for the SARS-CoV-2.
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