Circulating Plasminogen Concentration at Admission in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)
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The pathophysiology of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has puzzled the medical community, hampering the ability to generate efficient targeted therapies.1 Although SARS-CoV-2 is considered a primary respiratory pathogen, COVID-19 should be instead characterized as both a respiratory and systemic thrombotic disease.2 Conventionally termed COVID-19-associated coagulopathy (CAC), this hypercoagulable state is characterized by high risk of developing micro- and macrothromboemboli, impacting both arteries and veins.2 While initially affecting the pulmonary microvasculature, systemic involvement develops as the disease progresses, leading to thrombosis in distant organs and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome.3

The laboratory hallmarks of CAC include mildly prolonged prothrombin time, mild to moderate decrease of platelet count, and modestly elevated D-dimer values.3 Recently, Wright et al demonstrated a shutdown of fibrinolysis in patients with severe COVID-19 via high D-dimer and “total” failure of clot lysis at 30 minutes by thromboelastography (TEG).4 This was associated with significantly increased risk of thrombotic events and need for dialysis support. While acknowledging the inability of TEG to truly identify “total” failure of clot lysis due to technical limitations, multiple authors have hypothesized that suppression of fibrinolysis, in addition to hypercoagulability, substantially contributes to CAC, but the precise mechanisms remain unclear.5,6

Plasminogen is the precursor of plasmin, a protease that degrades the central components of the extracellular matrix, especially fibrin. Although plasminogen is classically considered inert, with fibrinolytic system regulated by a series of activators and inhibitors, evidence suggests that this enzyme may serve as a key regulator in many biologic processes, including fibrinolysis, tissue remodeling, angiogenesis, and wound healing.7,8

In addition to clot lysis, plasmin is important in the breakdown of hyaline membranes, a pathohistological hallmark of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), such as that caused by both SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2.7 These hyaline membranes, composed of a fibrin network mingling with serum proteins and cellular debris, serve as barriers to gas exchange in the alveoli. In adults with ARDS, samples from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) have shown very high levels of plasminogen and active plasmin, as well as decreased activators and increased inhibitors of fibrinolysis, such as plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1).9,10 Given the ability for plasmin to break down hyaline membranes and promote wound healing, it has been hypothesized that
supplementing fibrinolysis may improve clinical status in COVID-19. In a recent case series of 13 hospitalized patients with COVID-19, treatment with atomized inhaled freeze-dried plasminogen was effective to ameliorate lung lesions and reduce hypoxemia.

Conversely, others have proposed that patients with elevated baseline plasminogen may be at increased risk of severe COVID-19, suggesting instead to target plasminogen with antiproteases. Similar to its established effect in SARS and other coronavirus diseases, plasmin in the airway cleaves the spike (S) protein of SARS-CoV-2, thus increasing its ability to bind to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the primary host receptor of the virus, fostering viral fusion with the plasma membrane and cell penetration. Moreover, plasmin cleaves epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) subunits within the airway resulting in increased cellular sodium reabsorption, thus promoting pulmonary edema and airway dehydration in a manner similar to cystic fibrosis.

To the best of our knowledge, no study to date has investigated plasminogen levels in patients with COVID-19. Given the potential for plasminogen to significantly contribute to COVID-19 pathophysiology, we report measurement of circulating plasminogen in patients with this pandemic infectious disease.

Adults with symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 who presented to the Emergency Department (ED) of the University of Cincinnati Medical Center (UCMC) were prospectively enrolled via an institutional review board–approved waiver of informed consent. All patients received a standard-of-care reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test for COVID-19 via nasopharyngeal swab. RT-PCR-positive patients were diagnosed in the ED with COVID-19, while RT-PCR-negative patients were classified for study purposes as sick controls. These controls were further evaluated by chart review and serology testing to exclude false-negative RT-PCR results. COVID-19 patients were stratified into subgroups based on ED disposition (hospitalized vs. discharged) or need for intensive care unit (ICU) admission (ICU vs. no ICU).

Blood samples were collected via routine blood draws for clinical indications in the ED. Within 3 hours of collection, samples were centrifuged at 2,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C and subsequently frozen at –80°C until analysis. Circulating (plasma) plasminogen was measured using a Behring Nephelometer II System (BN II; Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.). Categorical values were compared using Fischer’s exact test. Comparisons of plasma plasminogen concentrations and other continuous variables were performed using Mann–Whitney U-test, with p-value < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

A total of 60 patients were enrolled in this investigation, 40 with RT-PCR-confirmed COVID-19 and 20 sick controls (comparison group). The characteristics of COVID-19 patients are presented in Table 1. No difference was found between COVID-19 patients and sick controls with respect to sex (p = 0.781), age (p = 0.457), rate of hospitalization (p = 0.779), or need for ICU admission (p = 0.999).

All patients in both groups were within the 2.5th–97.5 percentile reference interval for plasminogen plasma levels (0.06–0.25 g/L). No difference was found in plasminogen values between COVID-19 patients and sick controls (0.14 [IQR: 0.12–0.16] vs. 0.13 [IQR: 0.12–0.16] g/L; p = 0.632; Fig. 1A). However, hospitalized COVID-19 patients had mildly lower plasminogen plasma concentration than COVID-19 patients discharged from the ED (0.13 [IQR: 0.12–0.15] vs. 0.15 [IQR: 0.14–0.18] g/L; p = 0.027; Fig. 1B). Similarly, COVID-19 patients who required ICU admission had mildly lower plasminogen plasma values than those discharged from the ED (0.12 [IQR: 0.11–0.14] vs. 0.14 [IQR: 0.13–0.16] g/L; p = 0.048; Fig. 1C).

Taken together, the results of this investigation would suggest that significantly abnormal plasminogen values are not commonplace in patients with COVID-19. However, there are several factors to consider when interpreting these findings. Though the liver is the main site of plasminogen production, small amounts are also synthesized in a variety of other tissues. As such, we cannot exclude that the plasma concentration would be nonrepresentative of lung milieu, which will require measurement of BAL samples to delineate.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of COVID-19 positive patients (n = 40)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (y): median (IQR)</th>
<th>51.5 (39.5–68.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male): n (%)</td>
<td>23 (57.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>8 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>9 (22.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>18 (45.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>12 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>6 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic liver disease</td>
<td>6 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>3 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>2 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>8 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labs at admission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells (×10³): median (IQR)</td>
<td>7.2 (5.3–9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (×10³): median (IQR)</td>
<td>211 (157.0–276.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (g/L): median (IQR)</td>
<td>6.6 (5.6–7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer (µg/mL FEU): median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.68–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized from ED: n (%)</td>
<td>26 (65.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required ICU admission: n (%)</td>
<td>12 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ED, emergency department; FEU, fibrinogen equivalent units; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range.

Data available for hospitalized patients only.
We failed to observe higher levels of admission plasminogen in patients with COVID-19 compared with sick controls, thus limiting the hypothesized utility of antiproteases for treating COVID-19. Moreover, patients with elevated plasminogen at admission did not appear to progress to more severe disease. In fact, we observed a mild but significant trend of decreasing plasminogen concentration with increasing disease severity. Though no study has reported plasma plasminogen concentrations in patients with ARDS, our findings in the ICU group of COVID-19 patients are contradictory to those observed in BAL samples of ARDS, in which very high levels of plasminogen have been reported. We would expect that very high levels of local plasminogen production would have some circulatory spillover that could be detected in plasma, but this was not observed. Future studies should simultaneously measure BAL and plasma plasminogen and other fibrinolysis system components in patients with ARDS and/or COVID-19.

Although plasminogen in patients with COVID-19 is not significantly abnormal, the mild decrease observed in sicker COVID-19 patients does require pathophysiologic consideration for understanding the mechanism of CAC. The most likely explanation is that plasminogen is consumed by breaking down clots (as suggested by elevated D-dimer among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in this study), as well as breaking down hyaline membranes within alveoli. Importantly, high D-dimer values have been associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes. However, elevated concentration of D-dimer may also reflect excessive quantities of intravascular polymerized fibrin. Fibrin can serve as a cofactor for self-destruction, as fibrin enhances co-localization opportunities for plasminogen and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA). A second hypothesis may be that fibrinolysis is triggered by a viral activator, which causes plasminogen consumption and elevated tPA. Third, increased binding of plasminogen to receptors expressed on endothelium and inflammatory cells (monocytes and macrophages), which play a diverse role in the regulation of inflammation and wound healing, may also explain the observed declining trend with disease severity. Finally, elevated bradykinin due to viral-mediated inflammation may lead to increased tPA release from vascular endothelium, thus resulting in plasminogen consumption. Additionally, as ACE2 is involved in metabolism of bradykinin, attenuation of enzyme activity due to viral binding and internalization may in turn lead to increased bradykinin, further promoting tPA release.

Given the trend toward lower plasminogen in more severe disease, it may be reasonable to attempt treatment with atomized inhaled plasminogen, as performed in the case series by Wu et al. However, plasminogen levels were not found at the level to meet a threshold of hypoplasminogenemia, nor at such low values to explain the low TEG clot lysis suggestive of fibrinolysis shutdown, as observed by Wright et al. Based on our results, it seems unlikely that low plasminogen is a major driver of CAC. However, we measured values at the time of initial ED presentation, and it is possible that an event later in disease course may result in rapid consumption, followed by inhibition of fibrinolysis. Serial plasminogen measurements will be necessary in future studies to delineate this potential mechanism. Nonetheless, given the plasma concentrations of plasminogen observed in this study, other causes of hypofibrinolysis should be evaluated in COVID-19. It has been hypothesized that inflammation triggers high levels of PAI-1, which may explain inhibition of fibrinolysis in the presence of adequate plasminogen. Thus, the use of tPA early in disease course may be a potential therapeutic strategy in patients with (or at higher risk of) severe disease. A case series by Wang et al of three patients with COVID-19 ARDS and respiratory failure found that tPA administration was associated with temporary improvement in respiratory status, as measured by partial pressure of oxygen:fraction of inspired oxygen (P:F) ratio, and similar clinical trials are ongoing.

Many diseases may be associated with elevated baseline plasminogen levels, including hypertension, cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, diabetes, and chronic kidney disease. While these conditions foster an increased risk of severe COVID-19 illness, this study was not powered to compare plasminogen levels stratified by underlying disease.
comorbidities. Some patients included in our study had chronic liver disease, which, if severe, could potentially result in lower plasminogen levels along with that of other hepatic-produced proteins. Nonetheless, measured values for all patients in this study were within normal limits. Philipp et al reported in a large multicenter study that 6.4% of COVID-19 patients develop severe acute liver injury (alanine transaminase five times the upper limit of normal). However, severe acute liver injury was not observed in any patient included in our cohort at the time of sample collection.

In conclusion, we observed that patients with COVID-19 present to the ED with normal levels of plasminogen, but those progressing to severe disease demonstrate mildly lower values suggestive of consumption for fibrinolysis activation. Future studies aimed at investigating the pathophysiology of CAC should include serial measurements of multiple components of the fibrinolytic system.
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