Evaluation of the Changes of Salivary pH among Dental Students Depending on Their Anxiety Level
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Objectives The aim of this study was to determine the correlation between the state of anxiety (S-anxiety and T-anxiety based on the state–trait anxiety inventory [STAI] test) and the manifestation of dentophobia with changes in salivary pH.

Materials and Methods The data of 105 patients, aged 18 to 23 were considered. The student’s anxiety levels were evaluated by the STAI test and they were distributed into three groups. To determine dental anxiety, Corah’s dental anxiety scale (CDAS) testing was performed. To determine the changes in salivary pH, samples were obtained, and pH level of the collected whole saliva was evaluated immediately using pHSCAN 5.4–10.0 litmus paper and a scale in 0.1 to 0.4 increments. Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results Group I experienced a low level of anxiety and included 33 students (31.4%). Group II comprised of 32 (30.5%) students presenting with a moderate level of anxiety. In group III, 40 students (38.1%) were having a high level of anxiety. Out of the 105 participants, 85% of students showed low level of dentophobia, 27% of them showed a low level of anxiety, 29% showed moderate level of anxiety, and 28% showed high level of anxiety. The average salivary pH value among all the participants (105 students) at rest was 6.79 and in a state of anxiety 6.43.

Conclusion within the limits of this study, a correlation was found between the anxiety level and the salivary pH level. Furthermore, a change in the salivary pH toward acidity was registered in each group of students when in a stressful situation.

Introduction

The modern life of patients seeking dental care is filled with large amount of information flow and external stress stimuli, which are nearly impossible to avoid. The phenomenon of stress, defined as a scientific concept by Hans Selye (stress: the nonspecific response of the body to any demand for change), has long extended beyond psychology as a science into related fields of biology, physiology, and medicine.1 This extension was caused by an increase in the number of stress-related situations associated with urbanization, increased information flow, acceleration in life pace, increased number of interpersonal interactions, as well as the development of discordance between the human biology and the conditions of social existence. In recent years, the number of physical pathologies caused by a psychoemotional overload in people has drastically increased.2

The studies on psychological manifestations of overstrain associated with exposure to excessive stress have shown to be related to gender and both somatic, emotional, and behavioral symptoms have been singularized.3 One of the manifestations of emotional symptoms is anxiety. The methodology applied to study the psychological phenomenon of anxiety is the Spielberger–Hanin test (state–trait anxiety inventory [STAI]).4 This test allows to evaluate the level of anxiety of people aged over 16 years, those who experience anxiety in a specific situation (reactive anxiety as a state, S-Anxiety [SA]) and those who generally experience it, trait anxiety.
Human saliva is a vital fluid found in the oral cavity and has a role in maintaining human health, in addition to its role in detecting oral diseases and other disorders. Human saliva contains various mixtures of proteins, peptides, DNA, miRNA, exosomes, and electrolytes that can help diagnose diseases. Recently, point-of-care technology was presented to detect the absence or presence of diseases in human fluids that demonstrates the role of saliva in the investigation of diseases. Salivary secretion is regulated by a reflex arc that consists of afferent receptors and nerves carrying impulses that are induced by the actions of gustation and mastication, salivation center, and an efferent part that consists of parasympathetic and sympathetic autonomic nerves. Therefore, the secretion of saliva, as well as its composition and functions, are controlled by the sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomic nervous systems. Komarova LG, Vinogradov SYu, and other scientists led studies on stress-related changes in the properties of saliva as well as on the anxiety to salivation ratio. Increased acidity levels in saliva are registered when in a state of anxiety. During stress, fear, and anxiety, the secretion of saliva decreases, and the concentration of hydrogen ions (pH) enhances its acidity. Changes in salivary pH are closely related to the caries resistance properties of saliva and therefore used to evaluate the intensity of tooth decay.

In patients seeking dental care, it is extremely important to consider such indicators as: stress resistance, the level of anxiety, and dentophobia, the diagnostic criteria by which methods to use for detecting anxiety-related changes in the oral cavity remain unsolved.

Clinical examination methods were performed according to the research protocol using standard methodology and the necessary disposable sets of dental instruments. To determine the changes in salivary pH, samples were obtained using the following method: the patients rinsed their mouths with 50 mL of distilled water, then their whole saliva was collected in sterile tubes for 3 minutes by having the participants actively collecting the fluid and depositing it into the tubes. The pH level of the collected whole saliva was evaluated immediately upon collection using pHSCAN 5.4–10.0 litmus paper and a scale in 0.1 to 0.4 increments. The litmus paper was immersed into the test tube containing the collected saliva for 1 to 2 seconds and after 5 seconds applied to the scale designed as a color table to determine the salivary pH level. Since the state of anxiety needed to be created without causing any traumatic experience for the students, the decision was made to evaluate their salivary pH level during an exam. The tests were performed during a 15-minute time span starting from the moment a student received their exam question till the end of the preparatory time, which in terms of human physiology marks the peak of anxiety and corresponds to the decay of catecholamines released into the bloodstream under the influence of monoamine oxidases.

For statistical analysis, values were entered into a database and the SPSS statistical package v22.0 was used for analyzing data. For the descriptive analysis of quantitative variables, dispersion measures (mean, standard deviation, and variance) were used. For comparison between groups an independent t-test was used. Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results
This study included 105 dental students, 54 of whom were male (51.43%) and 51 females (48.57%) (Table 1).
The student's anxiety levels were evaluated by the STAI test and in accordance to its results the subjects were divided into three groups.

Group I experienced a low level of anxiety and included 33 students (31.4%). The distribution by gender was as follows: 15 males (27.87%) and 18 females (35.3%). The amount of points registered for each of the SA and TA test forms did not exceed 30.

Group II comprised of 32 (30.5%) students presenting with a moderate level of anxiety, 27 of whom were male (50%) and 5 were female (9.8%). The amount of points for each of the SA and TA test forms remained within the range of 31 to 45.

Group III consisted of 40 students (38.1%), having a high level of anxiety. Twelve of whom were male (22.22%) and 28 (54.9%) were female. The amount of points for each of the SA and TA test forms was within the range of 46 to 60.

The following data were obtained in the CDAS study of dental anxiety:

### Table 1  
Quantitative distribution of the participants by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison criteria</th>
<th>Male students</th>
<th>Female students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of students</td>
<td>54 (100%)</td>
<td>51 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>18.82 ± 1.45</td>
<td>19.70 ± 1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2  
Evaluation of the state anxiety and trait anxiety results according to STAI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of students evaluated using STAI (SA and TA)</th>
<th>105 (100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of students with low levels of SA and TA evaluated using STAI</td>
<td>33 (31.42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students with mid-level of SA and TA evaluated using STAI</td>
<td>32 (30.47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students with high levels of SA and TA evaluated using STAI</td>
<td>40 (38.09%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3  
Evaluation of dentophobia using CDAS in students with different state anxiety and trait anxiety levels according to STAI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of students (n = 105) 100%</th>
<th>Dentophobia level according to CDAS</th>
<th>ST and LT level according to STAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-anxiety level</td>
<td>Medium-anxiety level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 33) (31.4%)</td>
<td>(n = 32) (30.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 (85.71%)</td>
<td>Low level of fear</td>
<td>29 (27.62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 (14.29%)</td>
<td>Medium level of fear</td>
<td>4 (3.81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>High level of fear</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the 105 participants (100%), 90 students (85.71%) were registered with a low level of dentophobia. Twenty-nine (27.62%) of these students evaluated using STAI showed a low level of anxiety (SA and TA), 31 (29.52%) presented with a moderate level of anxiety, and 30 (28.57%) with a high level of anxiety.

A moderate level of dentophobia (by CDAS) was observed in 15 (14.28%) students out of the total 105. 4 (3.81%) of these 15 students showed a low level of anxiety (ST and TA), 4 (3.81%) showed a moderate level of anxiety and 7 (6.67%) showed a high anxiety level evaluated using STAI. None of the students enrolled in the clinical study showed high levels of dentophobia evaluated with CDAS. Possibly due to the fact that the examined patients are dental students who are less psychoemotionally dispositioned to fearing dental treatment. These indicators may therefore differ among students of other specialties. Subsequently, an evaluation of the state of health of the oral cavity was performed. This included a collection of the student's medical history (anamnesis), as well as the registration of any given complaints, an external examination of the maxillofacial region and the measurement of the oral fluid pH, which was performed twice: at rest and in a state of anxiety. The obtained pH results of the recovered saliva are shown in Table 4.

The average salivary pH value among all the participants (105 students) at rest was 6.79 ± 0.48 and in a state of anxiety was 6.43 ± 0.62. In group I, this value at rest measured 6.71 ± 0.50, and 6.66 ± 0.68 in a state of anxiety. In group II, the average salivary pH at rest was 6.89 ± 0.40, and 6.39 ± 0.50 in a state of anxiety. In group III, the whole saliva pH at rest measured 6.78 ± 0.51, and 6.27 ± 0.62 in a state of anxiety. The pH value at rest subtracted the pH value when in a state of anxiety (pH at rest – pH in a state of anxiety) measured 0.36. The equivalent pH fluctuation range in group I did not exceed 0.05, in group II, it was 0.5, and in group III, it was 0.51.
The majority of the oral fluid samples obtained when in an state of anxiety compared with those at rest showed a decrease in salivary pH toward acidity.

The average salivary pH value in all the examined males (54 students) at rest was 6.83 ± 0.44 and in a state of anxiety, it was 6.53 ± 0.56. Among the males in group I, the average salivary pH level at rest was 6.71 ± 0.53, and 6.71 ± 0.53 in a state of anxiety. In group II, the average value at rest measured 6.90 ± 0.42, and in a state of anxiety, it was 6.62 ± 0.59. In group III, the equivalent value measured 6.83 ± 0.36 at rest and 6.75 ± 0.57 when in a state of anxiety (►Fig. 2). The calculated probability (p-value) among the male participants in all three groups at rest was 0.40, and 0.93 in a state of anxiety, meaning that no statistical significance between the male participants in the groups was found. The total range of salivary pH value fluctuations among these groups (pH value at rest subtracted the pH value when in a state of anxiety) was 0.4. The range of the salivary pH value fluctuations in group I was 0.28 in group II, and 0.46 in group III. The collected saliva samples from groups II and III showed a decrease in the pH level toward acidity when the students were in a state of anxiety compared with when at rest.

The average salivary pH value measured in all the examined females (51 students) at rest was 6.75 ± 0.52 and 6.31 ± 0.66 in a state of anxiety. In group I at rest, the average pH value measured 6.50 ± 0.59, and in a state of anxiety, it was 6.30 ± 0.40. In group II, the average salivary pH at rest was 6.82 ± 0.26 and in an anxiety state, it was 6.88 ± 1.11. In group III, the equivalent pH value at rest measured 6.75 ± 0.57, and 6.22 ± 0.67 when in a state of anxiety. The calculated probability (p-value) among the female participants in all three groups at rest was 0.42, and 0.12 when in a state of anxiety (p > 0.05), meaning no statistical significance was detected (►Fig. 3). The range of salivary pH value fluctuations among all the examined female students (pH value at rest subtracted the pH value when in a state of anxiety) did not exceed 0.44.

Table 4  Indicators of whole saliva pH measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison parameters</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Low-level anxiety group</th>
<th>Medium-level anxiety group</th>
<th>High-level anxiety group</th>
<th>p-value (pH compared within groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole saliva pH at rest</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.48</td>
<td>6.71 ± 0.50</td>
<td>6.89 ± 0.40</td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.51</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole saliva pH at rest in males</td>
<td>6.83 ± 0.44</td>
<td>6.71 ± 0.53</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.42</td>
<td>6.83 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole saliva pH at rest in females</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.52</td>
<td>6.50 ± 0.59</td>
<td>6.82 ± 0.26</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (males and females salivary pH compared at rest)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole saliva pH in an anxiety state</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.62</td>
<td>6.66 ± 0.68</td>
<td>6.39 ± 0.50</td>
<td>6.27 ± 0.62</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole saliva pH in an anxiety state in Males</td>
<td>6.53 ± 0.56</td>
<td>6.72 ± 0.50</td>
<td>6.62 ± 0.59</td>
<td>6.37 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole saliva pH in an anxiety state in Females</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.66</td>
<td>6.30 ± 0.40</td>
<td>6.88 ± 1.11</td>
<td>6.22 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (males and females salivary pH compared in an anxiety state)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH range of whole saliva</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  Comparison of whole saliva pH values among the student groups at rest and in a state of anxiety.
The corresponding value in group I amounted to 0.2, in group II, it was 0.06, and in group III, it was 0.53. It was discovered that a decrease in the salivary pH value toward acidity was observed in the collected whole saliva samples of groups I and III when the students were in a state of anxiety compared with when at rest.

The calculated probability ($p$-value) between male and female students, at rest, was 0.67 in group I, 0.66 in group II, and 0.96 in group III. The corresponding $p$-value among male and female students, in a state of anxiety, was 0.5 in group I, 0.46 in group II, and 0.27 in group III, that is, the compared averages are not statistically significantly according to gender.

The highest range of changes is observed among female students in group III experiencing anxiety (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Stress is a condition that affects the human system; it plays a role in the modulation of the immune response due to the reduction of the defense capacity. Because of this mechanism, the organism turns more susceptible to the development of psychosomatic and inflammatory diseases. This often occurs among students especially during the exam period and cold affect the oral health and cause diseases such as periodontitis and gingivitis. It was established that 38.09% of the students experience a high level of anxiety. The obtained results are slightly higher than those reported in the work of Saeed et al, where the percentage of students with a high anxiety level was 33.8%. This might be due to the difference in the ethnic background and living environment of the students in the compared studies. An analysis of the results obtained in this study among the groups based on gender revealed a prevalence of male participants. In group II (those with a moderate level of anxiety), the number of male students amounted to 27 people (50%). The lowest number of male participants was detected in group III (high-anxiety level group) and amounted to 12 (22.22%) students. These results are comparable to those obtained in the study of Petrova where the number of male participants in the group with a moderate anxiety level likewise was higher than in the groups with low and high levels of anxiety. The highest number of female students was detected in group III (high-anxiety level group) and totaled...
28 students (54.9%), and the lowest number was observed in group II (moderate-anxiety level group) comprising a total of 5 female students (9.8%). Thus, in group II, there were 1.8 times more males than in group I, and 2.25 times more than in group III. In regard to the female participants, there were more females in group III than in groups I and II, 1.55 and 5.6 times, respectively.

The studies of Dyrbye et al and Lozhkin showed a significant statistical difference (p < 0.05) between male and female students (results obtained using STAI). During the examination period, the reactive state of anxiety (SA) in females was higher than during the semester. However, this difference was not observed among the male participants.24,25 This may be due to the female gender being more prone to experiencing such events as emotional and stressful.26 In the study of Osmanovic-Thunström et al, a higher stress level was likewise observed in females (8.3%) compared with males (5.4%; p = 0.001).27 And in the study performed by Shapovalova et al, the occurrence of stress among the participants was 28.1% in females and 11.7% in males.28 Though some studies indicate that women have higher anxiety levels, no definitive difference has been established.29 Our study did not show any statistical significance between male and female participants. According to the results of the CDAS test, 90 (85.71%) out of 105 students involved in the study showed low levels of dentophobia, while a moderate level of dentophobia was detected in 15 (14.28%) students. High levels of dentophobia were not reported. According to the study performed by Bessonov and Al-sayedi, a moderate level of dentophobia was registered in 30.6% of students (p > 0.05), and a high level of dentophobia was observed in 19.4% of the cases. No participants showed a low level of dentophobia.29 The results found in this study differ from the results of Bessonov and Al-sayedi. This is likely due to the difference in the specialties of the participating students. Those included in this research are dental students and therefore more likely to be psychoemotionally adapted to dental treatment. The study of Leonovich was dedicated to the improvement of the therapeutic dental care efficiency for children with phobic tendencies that revealed 66% out of 50 children treated under general anesthesia due to having negative or pronounced negative behavioral tendencies toward dental treatment experienced dentophobia before visiting the dentist. In the group with a pronounced negative behavioral tendency to dental procedures (103 children), dentophobia was registered in 23.3% of children. In the group with negative behavioral tendencies (101 children), a strong fear of dental treatment was detected in 52.5% of children, while high levels of anxiety were recorded in 47.5% of the examined cases. Children with a positive attitude prior to visiting the dentist did not show signs of dentophobia. However, immediately before treatment, 100% of children undergoing general anesthesia, as well as children with a distinctive negative behavioral tendency to treatment-manifested dentophobia.30,31 The fluctuations range of the obtained salivary pH values among all participants was 0.36. The majority of the obtained salivary samples from the students in a state of anxiety compared with the ones obtained in a state of rest showed a decrease of the whole saliva pH toward acidity. Similar results were observed in the study of Kulikova et al,32 revealing a range of pH value fluctuations in the oral fluid of 0.62 before and after entering into a state of anxiety (prior to the development of anxiety the average salivary pH was 7.22 and 6.6 afterward). These changes can be a result of an increase in the motor function and secretory activity of the gastrointestinal tract upon the occurrence of an increased anxiety level (Reykovskiy33; Avramenko and Shukhtina34). The salivary pH level at rest in males with low anxiety levels was lower than in males with a moderate and high levels of anxiety measured using STAI (p < 0.05). The pH level when in the state of anxiety did not change in the group experiencing low anxiety levels but decreased in the groups with moderate and high anxiety levels, that is, an increase in salivary acidity was observed in these groups. This shows that men with a low-anxiety level is less prone to be affected by various stress stimuli. The highest whole saliva pH level in the female students at rest was recorded in the group with a moderate anxiety level (6.82 ± 0.26) and the lowest level in the group with a low anxiety level (6.50 ± 0.59). When exposed to a stress factor, no changes in whole saliva pH were detected in the group with a moderate level of anxiety, while the salivary

![Fig. 4 Comparison of pH levels according to gender in the groups experiencing anxiety.](image-url)
pH decreased by 0.2 in the group with a low level of anxiety. The change in whole saliva pH toward acidity was more often recorded in the female group with high anxiety levels in the state of anxiety (during the exam). Most probably, the variability of salivary pH levels in females with a low stress tolerance reflects a wide range of adaptive mechanisms, which come into action at the stage of psychological discomfort due to the influence of a strong stimulus (during the exam) and activates conditioned and unconditioned reflexes which trigger protective reactions to stress.

**Conclusion**

The students, participating in this clinical study based on the STAI test, revealed different levels of anxiety and were distributed into three groups according to its severity: low, moderate, and high levels of anxiety. Among these groups, as well as within them a correlation was found between the anxiety level and the salivary pH level. Furthermore, a change in the salivary pH toward acidity was registered in each group when in a stressful situation. This in turn increases the risk of caries and its subsequent complications and lays ground for changes in recommendations and preventive measures. The identification of various stress and anxiety factors can also assist in choosing the best preventive remedies for establishing normal salivary pH, that is, materials used and methods of carrying out dental treatment.

**Practical Recommendations**

Students with high anxiety levels during the examination period are recommended to consume alkaline foods, such as leafy greens and nuts, drink enough water, use oral hygiene products, use alkaline composite Cention N (Ivoclar Vivadent) when having dental caries treated, avoid fluoride-containing products, and to consume fluoride-enriched foods. The use of alkaline glass, which contributes to the release of F, Ca²⁺ ions and OH⁻ and that would help reduce the level of salivary acidity.
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