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Abstract

In spite of the increasing, accumulating knowledge on the novel pandemic coronavirus severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), questions on the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) infection transmission from mothers to fetuses or neonates during pregnancy and peripartum period remain pending and have not been addressed so far. SARS-CoV-2, a RNA single-stranded virus, has been detected in the amniotic fluid, in the cord blood and in the placentas of the infected women. In the light of these findings, the theoretical risk of intrauterine infection for fetuses, or of peripartum infection occurring during delivery for neonates, has a biological plausibility. The extent of this putative risk might, however, vary during the different stages of pregnancy, owing to several variables (physiological modifications of the placenta, virus receptors’ expression, or delivery route). This brief review provides an overview of the current evidence in this area. Further data, based on national and international multicenter registries, are needed not only to clearly assess the extent of the risk for vertical transmission, but also to ultimately establish solid guidelines and consistent recommendations.

Keywords
► newborns
► COVID-19
► infants
► SARS-CoV-2
► coronavirus
► pregnancy

Key Points
• Questions on the COVID-19 infection transmission from mothers to fetuses or neonates during pregnancy and peripartum period remain pending so far.
• The theoretical risk of intrauterine infection for fetuses, or of neonatal infection during delivery for neonates, has a biological plausibility.
• A caution is recommended in the interpretation of clinical and laboratory data in neonates.

Novel coronavirus severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) and its pandemic disease (coronavirus disease-2019 [COVID-19]) led the medical community to face the risk of infection by a virus with new characteristics of pathogenicity and diffusion. In the area of maternal–fetal–neonatal medicine, many questions have arisen. Among them are: how to manage neonates tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, how to manage mothers with COVID-19 due for delivery and neonatal care, whether there is a maternal–fetal transmission of the virus, whether to allow...
tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, but no neonates had positive nasopharyngeal swabs at birth and at 5 days of life, despite infants were breastfed and shared the room with their mothers [unpublished data].

To date, it is unclear whether the vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from mother to fetus occurs or not. The currently published literature describes only infants with positive nasopharyngeal swab after birth, which could be related to the horizontal transmission immediately in postpartum, by the contact with infected mothers or with positive health care personnel providing care at the time of delivery. – Table 1 summarizes the main publications supporting the possibility of a maternal–fetal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus: most authors described no positive cord blood samples. Costa et al reported no maternal–fetal transmission in an infant, although cord blood and placental tissue samples tested positive.6 – Table 2 shows the main articles which exclude or consider insufficient data available to support the maternal–fetal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus during the first week of life.

**SARS-CoV-2 and Serological Tests**

New questions have arisen with the implementation of tests for antibodies detection in serum, available only from February 2020. The presence of specific immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the cord blood of few hours-old neonates, described by some authors, has generated some concern.8,9

High values of specific IgM at 2 hours of life were reported in a neonate born from caesarean section, who at birth was immediately separated from the mother (she was positive since 23 days before delivery) and isolated. However, the nasopharyngeal swab of this neonate was always negative in five samples and symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 virus infection never appeared.8 Zeng et al described six additional infants from six women with COVID-19, diagnosed on the basis of clinical, radiological symptoms, and positive nasopharyngeal swab. These infants underwent blood sampling, RT-PCR nasopharyngeal swab, serum immunoglobulin G (IgG), and IgM assay immediately after birth. All neonates tested negative for RT-PCR-SARS-CoV-2 on blood and nasopharyngeal exudate. Three among these six infants showed elevated SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG and IgM levels, with their mothers having positive IgG and IgM values, whereas mothers tested positive for IgG but not for IgM.9

The fetus produces IgG and IgM antibodies approximately since the 20th week of gestation. As mother’s IgG antibodies are continuously transferred to the fetus during pregnancy, most of IgG is of maternal origin. IgM antibodies do not cross the placenta, therefore their presence in the fetus or neonate suggests fetal or neonatal production in response to an intrauterine infection.10 However, considering the lack of data about sensitivity and specificity of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, the interpretation of serological tests in COVID-19 infection diagnosis requires further studies. A cautious awareness about these uncertainties should be maintained to correctly evaluate
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study summary</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Newborns</th>
<th>Caesarean section</th>
<th>Positive cord blood samples</th>
<th>Positive neonatal throat swab samples</th>
<th>Time to positivity of neonatal throat swab samples</th>
<th>Serological tests</th>
<th>Isolation of the newborns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevated anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and cytokine levels, although negative neonatal</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Elevated IgG and IgM at 2 h of age.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>throat swab.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM, although negative and blood neonatal throat</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3/6 IgG+ and IgM+; 3/6 only IgG+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swabs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 RNA in breast milk, although contamination cannot be ruled out.</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Birth: +/2 d: +/7 d: +</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 RNA in breast milk, albeit intermittently, and in cord blood.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Positive umbilical cord</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood and placental samples although negative amniotic fluid sample.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 RNA on the fetal side of the placenta.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1) Birth: +/24 h: +/7 d: +</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 in amniotic fluid.</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negative umbilical cord</td>
<td>1 (the first swab was negative, the second</td>
<td>Positive amniotic fluid sample.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood sample; Positive amniotic fluid sample.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blood sample;</td>
<td>swab was positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not available; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; RNA, ribonucleic acid.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study summary</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Newborns</th>
<th>Caesarean section</th>
<th>Positive cord blood samples</th>
<th>Positive neonatal throat swab samples</th>
<th>Time to positivity of neonatal throat swab samples</th>
<th>Serological tests</th>
<th>Prompt isolation of the newborns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alonso Diaz et al(^{31}) Most likely horizontal transmission.</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1) 6 d: −/8 d: +</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzamora et al(^{32}) No sure evidence of VT.</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al(^{3}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al(^{4}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrazzi et al(^{22}) No sure evidence of VT in two cases.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al(^{33}) No sure evidence of VT in a case.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>36 h</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuht et al(^{34}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al(^{11}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al(^{5}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al(^{35}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta et al(^{36}) No sure evidence of VT in a case.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2 (twins)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penfield et al(^{13}) SARS-CoV-2 RNA in 3/11 placenta/membrane swabs but possible mixing of maternal and fetal fluid; all infants tested negative.</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce-Williams et al(^{21}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>33 (of whom two twins)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>(1) 24 h: −/48 h: +</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piersigilli et al(^{27}) Most likely horizontal transmission.</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1) 7 d: +/14 d: +/21 d: −</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al(^{38}) Most likely horizontal transmission.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>(1) 6 d: + (2) 3 d: − (3) 6 d: CT scan suggestive for COVID − 19/8 d: −/20 d: − but</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(1) No (2) Yes (3) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al(^{12}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiong et al(^{39}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>IgM−/IgG−</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan et al(^{40}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>100 (of whom two twins)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/86</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al(^{41}) No evidence of VT, but necessary to separate newborns.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeng et al(^{42}) No evidence of VT.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3/33</td>
<td>(1) 2 d: +/4 d: + (2) 2 d: +/4 d: +/6 d: − (3) 2 d: +/4 d: +/7 d: −</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IgG, immunoglobulin G; IgM, immunoglobulin M; NA, not available; RNA, ribonucleic acid; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; VT, vertical transmission.
the somewhat contrasting data reported so far about a possible maternal–fetal transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

SARS-CoV-2 and Placenta

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has never been found so far in the umbilical cord blood of neonates and in the amniotic fluid of most COVID-19 positive pregnant women, but testing of all intrauterine tissue samples may be important. To detect viral RNA in the placenta is a crucial point to understand the mechanisms of the maternal–fetal transmission. In the cohort of 32 positive pregnant women described by Penfield et al, none of their neonates showed symptoms of COVID-19 infection, despite three out of 11 mother's placentas resulted SARS-CoV-2 positive by RT-PCR. No infant tested SARS-CoV-2 positive on day one of life through repeated nasopharyngeal swabs. No data are reported on the results of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR on blood samples from neonates born to women with positive placental tests. Placental samples were obtained between amnion and chorion after manual separation of the membranes: their positivity supported the theoretical possibility of an intrapartum transmission of the virus to the neonate.

Patanè et al from Bergamo, the epicenter of viral spread in Italy, described 22 symptomatic COVID-19 women, with two neonates tested positive on nasopharyngeal swabs, despite the protective equipment used by the mother and health care workers. Neonates had only mild symptoms, such as poor feeding. The analysis of the fetal side of these mothers' placentas showed chronic intervillositis, with macrophages CD68+ infiltration, associated with viral RNA in both cases. On the contrary, this histological picture of placental inflammation was not detected in placentas of all COVID-19 positive women who delivered infants with negative swabs. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the syncytiotrophoblast supports the possibility of vertical transmission from mother to the baby in utero, but again no data are reported on the results of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR on newborns' blood samples.

Perinatal Outcomes and Mechanisms of Infection

Most case reports described infections contracted by mothers in the last trimester of pregnancy or in the peripartum, when the fetus has matured and many of the physiological phenomena of pregnancy have come to an end. There are no case reports on infections contracted early in pregnancy, with teratogenic effect on the neonate. No autopsy reports have been published on fetuses aborted during maternal COVID-19 infection in pregnancy.

As in other viral diseases that may complicate pregnancy, the susceptibility to infection could vary according to gestational age, due to the physiological changes in immune-hormonal responses and in maternal tissues structure. The risk of transplacental passage of the SARS-CoV-2 virus could therefore vary with the progress of gestation. Another critical factor conditioning viral transmission could be the association of maternal infection with underlying diseases, such as diabetes or other chronic diseases.

One of the most common hypotheses of the infection mechanism considers the receptor of the angiotensin-2 converting enzyme (ACE-2-R) as target of SARS-CoV-2, to which the virus would attach itself through a structural protein (spike), and in particular through a specific protein site, the receptor binding domain. ACE-2-R is expressed on the membrane of many host cells, in particular of respiratory and intestinal tract (hence the respiratory transmission, and also—as recently described—the possibility of orofecal transmission), but it is also expressed widely in placenta, uterus, ovaries, and vagina (Fig. 1). The placental expression of this receptor seems extremely low between 6 and 14 weeks of pregnancy, which would make unlikely the infection in the first trimester. We also know that, in addition to binding with the ACE-2 receptor, a transmembrane protease serine type 2 seems essential for the entry and fusion of the virus into the host cell. The expression of this protein in maternal–fetal interface as well as in multiple fetal organs increases as gestational age progresses, as shown by matching different assessments performed at 8 and 24 weeks. This phenomenon could meaningfully add to the list of factors that influence the timing of the infection in pregnancy, by limiting the frequency of early infections.

Angiotensin II and ACE-2 seem to play a role in the development of the follicle and in ovulation, modulating angiogenesis and luteal degeneration, changes in endometrial tissue, and the development of the embryo. Cases of abortion and preterm birth have been described in women infected with SARS-CoV-2 virus. In a large series of 295 SARS-CoV-2 positive women, four abortion cases were reported (1.4%). Up to 75% of infants born to critically positive mothers were premature babies. Furthermore, approximately 28.3% of those born to positive mothers required hospitalization in neonatal intensive care unit, for prematurity and/or infection and the observed neonatal mortality was 0.5% (only one of 221 neonates). Therefore, the hypothesis of possible negative effects of the COVID-19 infection on the physiological progression of pregnancy at any stage of gestation and childbirth is not unjustified.

Methods of Delivery

The first published data had mainly reported neonates born via caesarean section (76.8%), with CS performed to reduce the risk of vertical transmission and decrease putative risks among staff members. Many infants born via caesarean section could have had a decreased length of exposure to infected tissues, which might be associated with a decreased likelihood of infection. From the data of a multicentric study performed in 12 hospitals in Northern Italy (Lombardy, Veneto, and Emilia-Romagna), two neonates of the group of vaginal deliveries and one neonate of the group of elective caesarean section, performed for reasons unrelated to COVID-19 infection, tested positive while no positive neonates were observed in the group of elective caesarean sections due to COVID-19. The authors concluded that the risk of intrapartum transmission during vaginal delivery cannot be ruled out,
although it is difficult to exclude that positive babies acquired the infection in the postpartum.\textsuperscript{22}

The current evidence-based approach, however, is to support vaginal birth in all women, also when tested SARS-CoV-2 positive, if mothers’ clinical conditions are good. Caesarean section should be reserved for women affected by COVID-19 featuring severe respiratory morbidity.

**Breastfeeding**

Breastfeeding by positive COVID-19 mothers has been since ever a broadly debated topic within the scientific community.

Possible transmission through maternal milk should require not only detection of the virus in fresh breast milk samples, but also actual onset of infection in neonates’ breastfed from

---

Fig. 1 The difficult-to-solve puzzle of maternal–fetal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and expression of receptor of the angiotensin-2 converting enzyme (ACE-2-R). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
positive mother whose milk has been tested positive for the virus. None of these evidences has been demonstrated so far.

SARS-CoV-2 virus has not been detected in human milk samples in most reports—thus excluding the transmission by this route. Notably, the same authors stated that the risk of the milk contamination by maternal respiratory secretions could not be ruled out. Costa et al reported that the excretion of the virus in breastmilk was intermittent: only three of the six analyzed breast-milk samples (50%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA. In the light of the available evidence, the Italian Society of Neonatology recommends commencement of breastfeeding in all women with suspected or confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection if they are in good clinical conditions. It is also recommended that this option should be discussed with the father, with clear indications of the associated benefits, risks, and correct hygiene rules to be mandatorily applied.

Conclusion

Further studies on large population samples are needed to fully understand whether maternal–fetal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus occurs, and whether vertically acquired neonatal COVID-19 infection occurs.

In this view, initiatives of multicenter registries on infants born to SARS-CoV-2 positive mothers and infants with acquired COVID-19 should be encouraged, such as the national registry promoted by Italian Society of Neonatology and the international “Pregnancy and Neonatal outcomes for women with COVID-19” Registry (PAN-COVID study). Authors’ Contributions
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Note

A shorter communication on this topic in Italian has been initially sent to the bulletin of the Italian Society of Neonatology (SIN Informa).
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