Effect of Hydrogel-Based Antibiotic Intracanal Medicaments on Push-Out Bond Strength
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Abstract

Objective Evaluate the effects of typical clinical concentration (1,000 mg/mL), low concentration (1 mg/mL) triple antibiotic pastes (TAP), and double antibiotic pastes (DAP) on the bond strength between various root cements and radicular dentin.

Materials and Methods Intact single-rooted human teeth (n = 144) were horizontally decoronated and canals instrumented. The roots were treated for 4 weeks with Ca(OH)2, 1,000 mg/mL of TAP or DAP, and 1 mg/mL of TAP or DAP. Untreated roots served as a control. After treatment, the medicaments were irrigated and each group was divided into three subgroups receiving MTA, Biodentine, or Endosequence putty cement. After 2 weeks, coronal and middle root cylinders were obtained from each root. Push-out bond strength test and failure analysis were performed for all root cylinders.

Statistical Analysis Three-way ANOVA, pairwise comparisons and logistic regression were used for statistical analyses. A significance level of 5% was used.

Results For MTA applied in the coronal part of the roots, 1 mg/mL DAP and TAP and Ca(OH)2 demonstrated significantly higher bond strength compared with the typical clinical concentration and the control groups. For Biodentine applied coronally in the roots, 1 mg/mL of DAP resulted in significantly higher bond strength than all other groups. For Endosequence putty cement applied coronally in the roots, 1 mg/mL of DAP offered significantly higher bond strength than all groups except for Ca(OH)2.

Conclusion The use of 1 mg/mL DAP resulted in significantly higher push-out bond strength compared with the typical clinical concentration of TAP and DAP regardless of the type of the root cement used.

Introduction

Various treatment approaches have been proposed to manage immature teeth with pulpal necrosis. The most contemporary treatment option for these teeth is endodontic regeneration (ER), which depends on the efficient disinfection of the root canal.1 The intracanal disinfection usually is accomplished via irrigation solutions and intracanal medicaments.2 Numerous intracanal medicaments have been proposed for ER treatment, such as Ca(OH)2,3 triple antibiotic paste (TAP) (equal amounts of metronidazole, ciprofloxacin, and
minocycline,⁴ and double antibiotic paste (DAP) (equal parts of metronidazole and ciprofloxacin).³ Furthermore, lower concentrations of DAP and TAP ranging from 1 to 5 mg/mL have been recommended by the American Association of Endodontists (AAE)⁹ to minimize the harmful biological effects of these medicaments on stem cells from apical papillae.⁷

The effects of different intracanal medicaments on the mechanical and surface properties of the radicular dentin have been investigated.⁸¹⁰ One of the studies found that 1 mg/mL of TAP and Ca(OH)₂ had significantly less effect on microhardness and superficial demineralization of radicular dentin compared with 1,000 mg/mL TAP.⁹ Yassen et al supported this finding, where 1,000 mg/mL TAP and DAP resulted in significantly less microhardness than Ca(OH)₂. In the same study, root cylinders treated with TAP showed higher fracture resistance compared with DAP and Ca(OH)₂ after 3 months of application.⁹ Recent studies found that the type of intracanal medicaments may have an impact on the bond strength of intracanal cements to radicular dentin.¹⁰,¹¹ Recent studies reported significant negative effects of Ca(OH)₂ as well as typical clinical concentrations of DAP⑾ and TAP¹² on bond strength of calcium silicate-based cements to root dentin. On the other hand, Topçuğlu et al showed in their study that Ca(OH)₂ and TAP did not significantly compromise the bond strength of root cements to dentin.¹⁰ Nevertheless, limited studies reported using low concentrations of antibiotic medicaments to evaluate its effect on bond strength of root cements, as it is technically impossible to maintain the liquid form of low antibiotic concentrations within the root canal over an extended period of time. Multiple recent studies introduced low concentrations of DAP and TAP loaded in a methycellulose hydrogel system. These low antibiotic concentrations offer superior antibacterial properties¹³ and comparable biocompatibility to Ca(OH)₂.¹⁴ The ability of low concentrations of DAP and TAP into a methycellulose hydrogel system would enable us to estimate the effect of these low concentrations on bond strength of various calcium-silicate cements.

Calcium silicate-based cements have been used widely in ER treatment. Moreover, Calcium silicate-based cements have favorable properties (such as biocompatibility, ability to kill bacteria, sealing ability, bioactivity, ability to set in a moist environment, and acceptable mechanical and physical properties) that make it the material of choice in ER.¹⁵,¹⁶ This study aimed to evaluate the effects of low concentrations of TAP and DAP (1 mg/mL) loaded into a methycellulose hydrogel system on push-out bond strength of various calcium-silicate-based root cements.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparations

Intact, single, straight, and conical-rooted human teeth (n = 144) were selected for this study according to local university institutional review board (IRB) guidelines (IRB 1408889870). The teeth were saved for a maximum of 6 months after extraction at 4°C in 0.1% thymol solution until used. Samples were horizontally decoronated 0.5 mm apical to the facial/buccal cementoenamel junction using a water-cooled low-speed diamond saw (Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA Illinois, United States). Furthermore, the apical 3 mm of each root were removed, resulting in 8 ± 1 mm root sections. The internal diameter of the roots was standardized by mechanical preparation with Peeso reamers (Dentsply, Johnson City, Tennessee, United States) (size 1–5) to a final diameter of 1.5 mm. After the use of each size of Peeso reamer, root canals were irrigated with 2 mL of 1.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 1 minute using a 27-gauge needle. After instrumentation was completed, each canal received a final rinse with 5 mL of 1.5% NaOCl for 2 minutes, 5 mL of 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Vista, Racine, Tennessee, United States) for 2 minutes and 5 mL of sterile water for 2 minutes.

Intracanal Medicament Preparation

Intracanal medicaments were prepared based on previously published studies.⁸,¹⁷ For the typical clinical concentration of TAP, 1,000 mg of United States Pharmacopeia grade antibiotic powders compounded of equal portions of metronidazole, ciprofloxacin, and minocycline (Champs Pharmacy; San Antonio, Texas, United States) were mixed with 1 mL of sterile water. The same was performed to prepare the typically used clinical concentration of DAP but without adding the minocycline. To prepare low concentrations of antibiotic medicaments, 100 mg of TAP or DAP powders were dissolved in 100 mL of sterile water. After that, 8 g of methycellulose powder (Methocel 60 HG, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, United States) were progressively added to the 100 mL of 1 mg/mL solution of TAP or DAP and mixed for 60 minutes with the aid of a magnetic stir bar to produce a final homogeneous paste with 1 mg/mL concentration of TAP or DAP. The methycellulose system increased the viscosity of the low concentration TAP or DAP to make its consistency clinically applicable. Commercial Ca(OH)₂, intracanal dressing was also used (UltraCal XS, Ultradent, South Jordan, Utah, United States).

Treatment Procedure

The prepared roots were randomly divided into six treatment groups according to the type and concentration of intracanal medicament (n = 24 per group): no-treatment control group, Ca(OH)₂, typical clinical concentration of TAP (1,000 mg/mL), low concentration TAP loaded into a methycellulose system (1 mg/mL), typical clinical concentration DAP (1,000 mg/mL), and low concentration DAP loaded into a methycellulose system (∆ Fig. 1).

Intracanal medicaments (0.05 mL) were injected into the root canals in their respective groups using the 1-mL disposable syringes (BD; Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States) and intracanal capillary tips (Ultradent). After extrusion of the intracanal medicament from the apical opening, excess was removed and apical openings as well as the coronal access were sealed using a light cure flowable composite (Kerr; Orange, California, United States). The flowable composite was bonded by a one-step self-etch adhesive bonding agent (G-aenial; GC, Alsip, Illinois, United States) on the external surface of the root with extreme caution to avoid...
touching the internal wall of the radicular dentin. Roots were incubated in deionized water at 37°C for 4 weeks. The 4-week application period reflected the current clinical guidelines of ER procedures recommended by the AAE.6 After incubation, roots treated with each intracanal medication were randomized into three subgroups based on type of root cement (8 = per subgroup): mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) cement (Proroot; Dentsply, Tulsa, Oklahoma, United States), Biodentine cement (Septodont; Sant-Maur des-Fosses, France), and Endosequence putty cement (Putty, Endosequence, Savannah, Georgia). The roots were reaccessed, and each root canal was irrigated with 5 mL (17%) EDTA followed by 5 mL of sterile water to remove the intracanal dressing. Each of the three cements was mixed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and applied into roots with different sizes of endodontic pluggers. The roots were then resealed with flowable composite and stored in a humid environment at 37°C for 2 weeks to ensure the complete setting of the calcium silicate cements. Conventional radiographs were taken buccolingually and mesiodistally to evaluate the compactness of the intracanal cement. After incubation, two cylindrical cross-sections with 1.5 mm thickness were coronally obtained from each root using a water-cooled diamond saw. The apical- and coronal-sealed areas of the roots were excluded from the root cylinders. Root canal diameters and the thickness of each root cylinder were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm utilizing a digital caliper (Mitutoyo, Japan). The area of adhesion between the cement and each root cylinder was estimated according to the following equation:

$$\text{Adhesion surface area} (\text{mm}^2) = \frac{(D_1 + D_2)^2}{2\pi h}$$

where D1 and D2 are coronal and apical cylinder diameters, respectively, π is the constant 3.14, and h is the thickness of the root cylinder.

**Push-Out Bond Strength Test**

This test was conducted utilizing a universal testing machine (Sintech Renew 1123; MTS, Eden Prairie, Minnesota, United States) as described in a previous study.18 The root cylinders were stabilized, with the apical side facing upward, on the center of a metal disc that had a central hole (Fig. 2). The central hole within the metal disc was larger than the root cylinder’s internal diameter to maintain the root cylinder in the correct position while allowing easy dislodgment of the root cement. A compressive force was applied at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min using a cylindrical metal plunger (1.3 mm in diameter) connected to the load cell (2,500 N). The metal plunger diameter was smaller than the internal root diameter by approximately 0.2 mm. The force of dislodgement of the dental cements was reported in newtons, and the push-out bond strength (megapascal [MPa]) was computed for all samples using the equation below:

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the experimental groups used in the study and the type of medicaments and/or intracanal cements used in each group. Ca(OH)₂, calcium hydroxide; DAP, double antibiotic paste; TAP, triple antibiotic paste.
Push out bond strength (MPa) = \frac{\text{the dislodgment force (N)}}{\text{adhesion surface area (mm²)}}

Following the push-out experiment, the failure pattern of each sample was inspected using stereomicroscopy (Nikon UM-2; Tokyo, Japan) at ×40 magnification and classified according to the following criteria: (1) adhesive failure (between dentin and the root cement), (2) cohesive failure (within the root cement), or (3) mixed failure.

Statistical Analysis
Bond strength was evaluated using three-way ANOVA with factors for cements (MTA, Biodentine and Endosequence putty), treatment (Control, Ca(OH)_2, 1,000 mg/mL TAP, 1 mg/mL TAP, 1,000 mg/mL DAP, and 1 mg/mL DAP) and location on the root (coronal and middle), as well as all two-way and three-way interactions among the factors. All pairwise comparisons from ANOVA were made using least significant differences to control the overall significance level at 5% (only the significant interactions were included). Generalized estimating equation methodology for cumulative logistic regression was used to evaluate the effects of cement type, treatment, and location on failure mode; two-way and three-way interactions were included in the model. The statistical significant level was at 5%.

Results
Three-way ANOVA showed that all three variables (location, cement, and intracanal medicament) had a significant effect on bond strength (all \( p < 0.0001 \)). Moreover, the two-way interactions between previously mentioned factors showed a significant difference in bond strength with (intracanal medicament × cement) (\( p < 0.0001 \)) and (intracanal medicament × location) (\( p < 0.0002 \)), but no significant difference with (cement interacted × location) (\( p > 0.05 \)). However, the three-way interactions between all three variables revealed no significant difference (\( p > 0.05 \)). All treatment groups had significantly higher bond strength in the coronal root cylinders than the middle root cylinders (\( p < 0.0003 \)) except for 1,000 mg/mL DAP, which had no significant difference between the coronal and middle root cylinders (\( p > 0.05 \)) (Figs. 3–5).

When 1 mg/mL DAP was used, Biodentine had significantly higher bond strength than MTA (\( p < 0.0001 \)) and Endosequence putty (\( p < 0.04 \)) (Figs. 6 and 7). Furthermore, Endosequence putty had significantly higher bond strength than MTA (\( p = 0.0044 \)) (Figs. 6 and 7). However, Ca(OH)_2 and 1 mg/mL TAP groups demonstrated no significant difference between all three types of cements (\( p > 0.05 \)) (Figs. 6 and 7). The 1,000 mg/mL TAP and DAP...
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groups displayed the same bond strength trend between the three cements as follows: Endosequence putty and Biodentine were significantly higher than MTA (all $p < 0.0001$) but did not show a significant difference between each other ($p > 0.05$) (►Figs. 6 and 7). Finally, the control group revealed that the Endosequence putty had significantly higher bond strength than MTA ($p < 0.0001$) and Biodentine ($p < 0.002$), but not between MTA and Biodentine ($p > 0.05$) (►Figs. 6 and 7 and ►Table 1).

When MTA was used, Ca(OH)$_2$, 1 mg/mL DAP, and TAP groups had significantly higher bond strength than 1,000 mg/mL TAP and control groups (all $p < 0.0002$). Additionally, 1,000 mg/mL DAP group had significantly lower bond strength than all other groups (all $p < 0.01$) (►Fig. 3). When Biodentine was used, 1 mg/mL DAP group had significantly higher bond strength than all other medicament-containing groups (all $p < 0.0001$). Furthermore, the control group had significantly lower bond strength than all other groups (all $p < 0.0008$) (►Fig. 4). When Endosequence putty was used, 1 mg/mL DAP group demonstrated significantly higher bond strength than all groups (all $p < 0.03$) except the Ca(OH)$_2$ group ($p > 0.05$) (►Fig. 5 and ►Table 1).

The coronal location had significantly higher proportion of cohesive failures than the middle location for: Biodentine cement in the control group ($p = 0.0137$), MTA cement in the 1,000 mg/mL DAP ($p = 0.0497$), and 1 mg/mL TAP groups ($p = 0.0026$). The coronal location had significantly lower proportion of cohesive failures than the middle location for MTA cement in the 1 mg/mL DAP group ($p = 0.0003$) (►Table 2). MTA had significantly lower proportion of cohesive failures than Endosequence putty and Biodentine for the 1 mg/mL DAP group at the coronal location ($p < 0.03$) (►Table 2).

The 1 mg/mL DAP group had significantly higher proportion of cohesive failures than the control ($p = 0.0417$) and 1,000 mg/mL TAP ($p = 0.0247$) groups for Endosequence putty at coronal and middle locations. The 1 mg/mL DAP group had significantly lower proportion of cohesive failures than the 1,000 mg/mL DAP ($p = 0.0066$), 1 mg/mL TAP ($p > 0.0279$), and 1,000 mg/mL TAP ($p > 0.0123$) groups for MTA at the coronal location. The control group had significantly lower proportion of cohesive failures than the 1 mg/mL DAP and 1 mg/mL TAP groups for Endosequence putty at the middle location ($p < 0.03$). Additionally, the control group had significantly lower proportion of cohesive failures than the 1 mg/mL TAP ($p = 0.03$) group for Biodentine at the middle location (►Table 2).
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Discussion

High-bond strength of intracanal cement is thought to reflect a better sealing ability of intracanal cements against bacterial leakage. Additionally, bond strength plays an essential role in resisting the dislocation force that may happen indirectly or occlusal functional forces. This study evaluated the effects of low concentrations of TAP and DAP (1 mg/mL) loaded into an aqueous methylcellulose system on the push-out bond strength of MTA, Biodentine, or Endosequence putty cements. None of the previous studies were able to precisely evaluate the effects of low concentrations of TAP and DAP on push-out bond strength due to the lack of paste-like antibiotic medicaments in low concentrations.

In the present study, 1 mg/mL DAP showed the highest bond strength compared with all other treatment groups regardless of the cement type. This can be justified by the limited effects of lower concentration of DAP on the chemical and physical properties of radicular dentin. Lower concentration of DAP was proposed to cause significantly less dentin demineralization and surface roughness. These limited effects may minimize the negative effects on the mechanical adhesion and chemical bonding between various cements and dentin. Furthermore, the excessive loss of calcium and phosphate during dentin demineralization may negatively affect the biomineralization process that is necessary to develop a strong chemical bond between calcium silicate cements and surface dentin. Although 1 mg/mL of TAP had resulted in less demineralization and surface roughness and the radicular dentin than the typical clinical concentrations of TAP and DAP, the presence of minocycline might negatively affect the bond strength. The minocycline has strong affinity to chelate calcium in the radicular dentin, which decreases the chemical bonding of calcium silicate-based cements to the radicular dentin.

In general, the push-out bond strength of the intracanal cements in the current study showed that Biodentine and Endosequence putty cements had comparable bond strength and the dominancy of mix and cohesive failures, while MTA cement had significantly lower bond strength and no dominant failure mode. A recent study suggested significantly higher bond strength of Endosequence putty cement compared MTA cement within an acidic environment. Additionally, multiple recent studies demonstrated that Biodentine had superior bond strength than MTA. The study found significantly higher bond strength of Biodentine regardless of the type of medicaments used. However, in the present study, no significant difference was found in the bond strength between Biodentine and MTA cements with control and Ca(OH)₂ groups. The difference in the protocols of the Nagas et al study and the present study may be the cause of different results. However, 1,000 mg/mL TAP in Nagas et al study as well as in the current study both displayed significantly higher bond strength of Biodentine than MTA. There are three possible explanations of the higher bond strength of Biodentine and Endosequence putty in comparison to MTA reported in the current study. The smaller particles size of Endosequence putty and Biodentine may allow better penetration of the dentinal tubules, which increases the micromechanical retention and the ability of Biodentine to form tag-like structures because of high calcium and silicon uptake (Biomineralization) into dentin; finally, the interaction between Biodentine and the radicular dentin may improve water movement (hydration) between the two surfaces, which leads to better penetration of Biodentine into the dentinal tubules. These three possible reasons can also explain the dominancy of mix and cohesive failures of Biodentine and Endosequence putty cements over MTA cement.

The current study revealed no significant difference in bond strength of Endosequence putty with 1,000 mg/mL TAP, DAP, and control group. However, both medicaments had higher bond strength than the control when Biodentine was used. In addition, 1,000 mg/mL DAP had the lowest bond strength with MTA cement. Previous studies that evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Ca(OH)₂</th>
<th>1,000 mg/mL TAP</th>
<th>1 mg/mL TAP</th>
<th>1,000 mg/mL DAP</th>
<th>1 mg/mL DAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>6.81(2.4)²,³</td>
<td>10.14(3.4)¹,³</td>
<td>6.04(2.2)³,⁴</td>
<td>10.12(2.4)²,³</td>
<td>2.22(0.6)²,⁴</td>
<td>10.56(2.2)³,⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodentine</td>
<td>7.63(2.5)²,⁴</td>
<td>11.59(3.5)²,³</td>
<td>12.55(4.5)²,³</td>
<td>11.47(1.4)²,³</td>
<td>10.26(2.4)²,³</td>
<td>15.34(2.7)²,³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosequence putty</td>
<td>10.7(1.3)²,³</td>
<td>11.58(2.2)²,³</td>
<td>9.73(3.2)²,³</td>
<td>11.48(0.7)²,³</td>
<td>9.51(2.7)²,³</td>
<td>13.32(1.4)²,³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Ca(OH)₂, calcium hydroxide; DAP, double antibiotic paste; MPa, megapascal; MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; SD, standard deviation; TAP, triple antibiotic paste.

Different superscript uppercase letters within each treatment group represent significant differences between different types of cements. Different superscript lowercase letters within each cement group represent significant differences between different types of treatments. Note: The uppercase letter (A and B) and the lowercase letter (a, b, and ab) were used to identify that the groups with the same letter are not statistically different from each other, while groups with different letters are statistically different from each other.

Table 1  Mean ± standard deviation of the push-out bond strength (megapascals) in coronal and middle root cylinders

In general, the push-out bond strength of the intracanal cements in the current study showed that Biodentine and Endosequence putty cements had comparable bond strength and the dominancy of mix and cohesive failures, while MTA cement had significantly lower bond strength and no dominant failure mode. A recent study suggested significantly higher bond strength of Endosequence putty cement compared MTA cement within an acidic environment. Additionally, multiple recent studies demonstrated that Biodentine had superior bond strength than MTA. The study found significantly higher bond strength of Biodentine regardless of the type of medicaments used. However, in the present study, no significant difference was found in the bond strength between Biodentine and MTA cements with control and Ca(OH)₂ groups. The difference in the protocols of the Nagas et al study and the present study may be the cause of different results. However, 1,000 mg/mL TAP in Nagas et al study as well as in the current study both displayed significantly higher bond strength of Biodentine than MTA. There are three possible explanations of the higher bond strength of Biodentine and Endosequence putty in comparison to MTA reported in the current study. The smaller particles size of Endosequence putty and Biodentine may allow better penetration of the dentinal tubules, which increases the micromechanical retention and the ability of Biodentine to form tag-like structures because of high calcium and silicon uptake (Biomineralization) into dentin; finally, the interaction between Biodentine and the radicular dentin may improve water movement (hydration) between the two surfaces, which leads to better penetration of Biodentine into the dentinal tubules. These three possible reasons can also explain the dominancy of mix and cohesive failures of Biodentine and Endosequence putty cements over MTA cement.

The current study revealed no significant difference in bond strength of Endosequence putty with 1,000 mg/mL TAP, DAP, and control group. However, both medicaments had higher bond strength than the control when Biodentine was used. In addition, 1,000 mg/mL DAP had the lowest bond strength with MTA cement. Previous studies that evaluated...
the bond strength of MTA and Endosequence root repair material with TAP and DAP had similar findings. However, other studies demonstrated no effects on the bond strength of MTA when TAP or DAP was used. A recent study found that no medicament control group had the highest bond strength followed by DAP and TAP groups when MTA, Biodentine, and Endosequence putty were used. Differences in the protocol from the current study might be the reason behind this disagreement. These differences include incubation time of the medicaments, 2-week setting time of the cements and the fact that application of the cement was done after the root cylinders were sectioned. It is worth mentioning that TAP and DAP used in all previously mentioned studies were in the typical clinical concentrations.

In the present study, Ca(OH)₂ had the highest bond strength compared with the typical clinical concentration of TAP and DAP as well as to the control regardless of the cement type. Previous studies generally agreed with the present findings for MTA and Endosequence putty. However, other studies showed no effects on the bond strength of MTA.

Table 2 Number and percentage of failure mode in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Adhesive n (%)</th>
<th>Mixed n (%)</th>
<th>Cohesive n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/mL DAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>6 (75%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 mg/mL DAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>6 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/mL TAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 mg/mL TAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>6 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>5 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>6 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/mL DAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 mg/mL DAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>5 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/mL TAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>5 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 mg/mL TAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosequence putty</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/mL DAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>3 (38)</td>
<td>1 (13%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 mg/mL DAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>5 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>5 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/mL TAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>5 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 mg/mL TAP</td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>5 (63)</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (75)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: n, number; MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; Ca(OH)₂, calcium; TAP, triple antibiotic paste; DAP, double antibiotic paste.
and Biodentine when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used. A recent study concluded that the no medicament control group and the Ca(OH)$_2$-treated dentin had the highest bond strength when Biodentine was used. The superiority of Ca(OH)$_2$ bond strength compared with the typical clinical concentration of TAP and DAP might be due to less demineralization and surface roughness effect on the radicular dentin in addition to the potential positive effect of the residual Ca(OH)$_2$ on the chemical bonding of the calcium silicate-based cements. This study demonstrated that the coronal cylindrical sections have higher bond strength than the middle cylindrical sections in all treatment groups except for the 1,000 mg/mL DAP group. A recent meta-regression analysis concluded that apical third sections have lower bond strength than coronal ones. Another study suggested the existence of regional differences in penetration of sealer cement between apical and coronal areas, where the apical region has the least penetration, and consequently, the bond strength to radicular dentin decreases. Some possible explanations of the present study’s results are that different areas in the root have different dentinal tubule densities, orientations, or degrees of sclerosis. In addition, moving apically, the diameter of the dentinal tubule becomes smaller. However, other previous studies found no significant difference in the bond strength of intracanal cements in different root section locations. The difference in results between the present study and previous ones may be due to different exposure times of the medicaments to radicular dentin or different setting times for the cements before the push-out bond strength test was performed.

The failure mode analysis in the present study generally agreed with previous studies. When Ca(OH)$_2$, no intracanal medicament, and 1,000 mg/mL TAP where used, cohesive and mixed type failures were higher in MTA, Biodentine, and Endosequence putty. Contrary to the current study, no predominant failure mode was reported when 1,000 mg/mL DAP was used with the three cements types. One study reported a predominant adhesive failure with 1,000 mg/mL DAP for MTA cement.

### Conclusion

Within the limitations of the current study, 1 mg/mL of DAP loaded into a hydrogel methylcellulose system and Ca(OH)$_2$ did not have a significant negative effect on the bond strength of calcium silicate-based cement to radicular dentin.
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