Giant Retroperitoneal Sarcoma: A Case Report and Review of Literature
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Abstract

Liposarcomas are neoplasms of mesodermal origin derived from adipose tissue representing 10 to 14% of all soft tissue sarcomas, with the most frequent subtype being liposarcoma. Given that the retroperitoneum is a large space in which the retroperitoneal liposarcoma can grow asymptptomatically up until a mass effect develop. Hence, patients usually present late with symptoms with possible invasion to nearby structures. These tumors are known to reach significantly large dimension, despite their poor vascularization and can grow to enormous size, the average diameter of the tumor is 20 to 25 cm with a weight of 15 to 20 kg. Surgery with en-bloc resection of the tumor and adherent nearby structures, with intact capsule, remains the gold standard in surgical management of retroperitoneal sarcomas. Herein, we present a case of 52 year old male patient with a huge 48 cm right-sided retroperitoneal liposarcoma, managed surgically by en bloc excision of the tumor, right kidney, right ureter, right adrenal gland, and the right colon.
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Introduction

Liposarcomas are neoplasms of mesodermal origin derived from adipose tissue representing 10 to 14% of all soft tissue sarcomas, with the most frequent subtype being liposarcoma (41%).¹ Retroperitoneal liposarcomas comprise 0.07 to 0.2% of all neoplasia.² Approximately 85% of these are malignant, liposarcoma is the most frequent histopathological variant of the retroperitoneal sarcomas.³ In view that the retroperitoneum is a large space in which the retroperitoneal liposarcoma can grow asymptptomatically up until a mass effect develop. Hence, patients usually present late with symptoms like vague abdominal discomfort, increasing abdominal girth and lump abdomen. Diagnosis is usually made on the basis of computed tomography (CT) scans of the abdomen and pelvis. Surgery with en bloc resection of the tumor and adherent nearby structures is the gold standard of treatment. Herein, we present a case of 52-year-old male patient with a huge 48-cm right-sided retroperitoneal liposarcoma, managed surgically by en bloc excision of the tumor, right kidney, right ureter, right adrenal gland, and the right colon.

Case Presentation

A 52-year-old male patient, previously healthy with no previous abdominal surgeries or any remarkable family history, presenting with the complaint of increased abdominal girth, 6 months prior to presentation. Patient denied any weight loss, fatigue, and change bowel habits. On physical exam, his abdomen was markedly swollen and felt hard without any tenderness. Blood workup including a complete blood count, electrolytes, creatinine, and liver function tests done and turned out to be normal. Hence, a colonoscopy and CT scan abdomen pelvis with intravenous (IV) contrast were scheduled. Colonoscopy was normal. However the CT scan showed solid mass located in the right abdomen, with its central region not absorbing the intravenous contrast, which was attributed to central necrosis or cystic degeneration. The mass occupied the right portion of the abdomen displacing the right colon, with its upper borders to the lower edge and of the liver and lower borders reaching the pelvis (►Figs. 1 and 2) and in close proximity to the rectosigmoid colon and compressing the bladder. The size of this mass was...
measured to be 48 cm × 31 cm × 12 cm. The position of the mass was displacing the anatomical structures and organs away from their natural position. In addition, the tumor was in contact with the right kidney, the inferior vena cava and the right renal vein causing mild dilation of the right pelvis of the kidney. Patient was scheduled for surgical excision of the mass with an R0 resection as the aim. Surgery performed with a generous midline incision from the xiphoid process to the pubic bone with extension to the right subcostal area (►Fig. 3). The tumor was exposed, displacing the right colon past the midline, with no clear cleavage plane in between. We opted for en bloc resection of the tumor, right adrenal, right kidney, and the right colon (►Fig. 4). Pathology turned out to be well differentiated liposarcoma. Patient had a smooth postoperative course and was discharged home day 4 after surgery. On 2-year follow up, the patient is disease free as documented by imaging with no complaint.

**Discussion**

In view that retroperitoneal liposarcomas can grow asymptptomatically up until a mass effect starts to appear. These tumors are known to reach significantly large dimension, despite their poor vascularization, and can grow to enormous size, the average diameter of the tumor is 20 to 25 cm with a weight of 15 to 20 kg.\(^2\) Surgery with en bloc resection of the tumor and adherent nearby structures, with intact capsule, remains the gold standard in surgical management of retroperitoneal sarcomas. Moreover, only a complete surgery has been proven to improve the overall survival in patients diagnosed with retroperitoneal sarcomas, with the most
important variable being en bloc resection of the tumor with adherent nearby structures. Aforementioned, studies have shown that the median survival of patients with complete resection was 103 months versus 18 months with incomplete resection, similar to when no surgery was done at all. For tumors more than 10 cm in dimensions, complete R0 resection can be achieved in 70% of cases; however, multiorgan resection is deemed necessary in 50% of cases to achieve R0 resection. Hence, the resection of a retroperitoneal sarcoma of remarkable size is a challenge due to multiple factors, the anatomical site, absence of an anatomically evident vascular, and lymphatic pedicles making it hard to obtain safe margin, adherences to nearby organs, and the great vessels. In our case, the tumor was among the largest reported in literature (Table 1) adherent to the right kidney and right colon, hence curative surgery necessitates the en bloc excision of the right retroperitoneal tumor, right adrenal gland, right kidney, right ureter, and the right colon. Giant retroperitoneal sarcomas has been rarely reported in the medical literature. To our knowledge, 20 cases has been reported with tumor size 40 cm in greater dimension and above (Table 1), with a mean age of presentation being 53 years old, the female-to-male ratio is 8:12, 50% requiring multiorgan resection and the rate of R0 resection was 100%. Following surgical resection, 50 to 100% of liposarcomas recur from residual tissue, which is the primary cause of death. Therefore an aggressive surgical behavior is mandated, with the resection of the structures and viscera adjacent to the pathological process in an attempt to obtain an R0 resection. The use of chemotherapy is limited to metastatic disease as palliation, along with radiotherapy in nonoperable cases or incomplete resection. In summary, the ability to achieve R0 resection along with the histopathologic grade will solely determine the disease prognosis. The abovementioned two factors are determined during surgery and are very hard to elucidate preoperatively.

**Conclusion**

Retroperitoneal liposarcomas are a unique disease and require an aggressive surgical approach with multiorgan resection if necessary, in accordance with the ability of the patient to tolerate the procedure. Surgery with R0 resection

### Table 1 Giant retroperitoneal sarcomas reported in literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author (y)</th>
<th>Gender/age (y)</th>
<th>Preimaging</th>
<th>Size (cm³)</th>
<th>Histological subtype</th>
<th>Complete resection</th>
<th>Multiorgan resection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Herrera-Gómez et al²⁸ (2008)</td>
<td>M/24</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>80 × 50 × 35</td>
<td>Undifferentiated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Zeng et al⁰ (2017)</td>
<td>M/45</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>65 × 45 × 30</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Benseler et al⁰ (2009)</td>
<td>M/39</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>60 × 50 × 36</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hazen and Cocieru⁰ (2017)</td>
<td>M/64</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>60 × 42 × 31</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Akhoondinasab et al⁰ (2011)</td>
<td>M/54</td>
<td>US/CT</td>
<td>58 × 45 × 36</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>De Nardi et al²² (2012)</td>
<td>M/40</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>50 × 49 × 35</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yol et al⁰ (1998)</td>
<td>M/63</td>
<td>US/CT</td>
<td>50 × 45 × 32</td>
<td>Myxoid</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Selmani et al⁰ (2011)</td>
<td>F/58</td>
<td>US/CT</td>
<td>50 × 35 × 25</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Our Case</td>
<td>M/52</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>48 × 31 × 12</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Clar et al²⁰ (2009)</td>
<td>M/66</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>47 × 42 × 25</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sharma et al²⁶ (2013)</td>
<td>F/60</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>47 × 40 × 25</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Morandeira et al⁰² (2008)</td>
<td>F/63</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>45 × 43 × 24</td>
<td>Myxoid</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hashimoto et al²⁶ (2010)</td>
<td>M/41</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>45 × 40 × 30</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Oh et al²⁶ (2016)</td>
<td>F/71</td>
<td>US/CT</td>
<td>45 × 30 × 11</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Xie et al⁰ (2006)</td>
<td>F/41</td>
<td>US/CT</td>
<td>43 × 40 × 25</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Caizzone et al²⁰ (2015)</td>
<td>F/64</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>42 × 37 × 18</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bansal et al²¹ (2013)</td>
<td>M/52</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>40 × 35 × 35</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Liu et al²⁰ (2013)</td>
<td>F/55</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>40 × 30 × 20</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Zheng et al²¹ (2011)</td>
<td>M/55</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>40 × 30 × 20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Salemis et al²² (2009)</td>
<td>F/54</td>
<td>CT/MRI</td>
<td>40 × 26 × 16</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fu Qiang⁰ (2007)</td>
<td>M/52</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>40 × 30 × 10</td>
<td>Well-differentated</td>
<td>Yes (R0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; F, female; M, male; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; US, ultrasound.
remains the cornerstone in its management, with chemotherapy and radiotherapy reserved for palliation.
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