



# Electromyography of the Pectoralis Major Muscle after Surgical Reconstruction of Chronic Tendon Rupture\*

## *Eletromiografia do músculo peitoral maior após reconstrução cirúrgica da ruptura crônica do tendão*

Benno Ejnisman<sup>1</sup> Carlos Vicente Andreoli<sup>1</sup> Paulo Santoro Belangero<sup>1</sup> William Ricardo Komatsu<sup>2</sup>  
 Debora Cristina Hipolide<sup>3</sup> Alberto de Castro Pochini<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Department of Sports Medicine and Physical Activity, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Department of Psychobiology, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Address for correspondence Alberto de Castro Pochini, Departamento de Ortopedia e Traumatologia, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Rua Estado de Israel, 636, Vila Clementino, São Paulo, SP, 01421-001, Brazil (e-mail: apochini@uol.com.br).

Rev Bras Ortop 2021;56(1):31–35.

### Abstract

**Objective** To evaluate the electrophysiological activity of the injured pectoralis major (PM) muscle of operated patients who perform weightlifting, more specifically bench press exercises, especially the activity of the clavicular and sternocostal portions of the PM.

**Methods** All athletes in study I (10 patients) had unilateral complete ruptures during bench press exercises and a history of use of anabolic steroids, an association that is described in up to 86.7% of PM tendon ruptures. The control group included 10 men without PM tendon injury who did not perform bench press exercises. Description of the cross-sectional design. The *p*-values were obtained by multiple comparisons with Bonferroni correction.

**Results** In the comparison between the control (C) group and the weightlifters during the postoperative period (POS), we found no evidence of differences in any measurements obtained in the clavicular and sternocostal portions of the PM muscle: clavicular average level (*p* = 0.847); clavicular standard deviation (SD) (*p* = 0.777); clavicular area (*p* = 0.933); clavicular median (*p* = 0.972); sternocostal average level (*p* = 0.633); sternocostal SD (*p* = 0.602); sternocostal area (*p* = 0.931); and sternocostal median (*p* = 0.633).

**Conclusion** In the present study, the electromyographic activity of the PM muscle in weightlifters (bench press exercise) who underwent surgery was within the normal parameters for the clavicular and sternocostal portions studied.

### Keywords

- pectoralis muscles/injuries
- electromyography
- athletic injuries
- weightlifting/injuries

\* Study conducted at the Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

received  
January 27, 2020  
accepted  
March 10, 2020

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1713387>.  
ISSN 0102-3616.

© 2021. Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

**Resumo****Palavras-chave**

- músculos peitorais/lesões
- eletromiografia
- lesões atléticas
- levantamento de peso/lesões

**Objetivo** Avaliar a atividade eletrofisiológica do músculo peitoral maior (PM) lesionado de pacientes operados que realizam halterofilismo, mais especificamente exercícios de supino, especialmente a atividade das porções clavicular e esternocostal do PM.

**Métodos** Todos os atletas no estudo I (10 pacientes) tiveram rupturas completas unilaterais durante o exercício de supino, e tinham histórico de uso de esteroides anabolizantes, associação descrita em até 86,7% das rupturas tendinosas do PM. O grupo controle incluiu 10 homens sem lesão no tendão do PM que não realizaram exercícios de supino. Descrição do projeto transversal. Os valores de  $p$  foram obtidos por múltiplas comparações com a correção de Bonferroni.

**Resultados** Na comparação entre o grupo controle (C) e os halterofilistas durante o pós-operatório (POS), não foram encontradas diferenças nas medidas obtidas nas porções clavicular e esternocostal do músculo PM: nível médio clavicular ( $p = 0,847$ ); desvio padrão (DP) clavicular ( $p = 0,777$ ); área clavicular ( $p = 0,933$ ); mediana da clavícula ( $p = 0,972$ ); nível médio esternocostal ( $p = 0,633$ ); DP esternocostal ( $p = 0,602$ ); área esternocostal ( $p = 0,931$ ); e mediana esternocostal ( $p = 0,633$ ).

**Conclusão** Neste estudo, a atividade eletromiográfica do músculo PM em atletas de halterofilismo (exercício de supino) que foram submetidos a cirurgia esteve dentro dos parâmetros normais para as porções claviculares e esternocostais estudadas.

**Introduction**

Rupture of the pectoralis major (PM) muscle has become increasingly common due to the association among gym use, use of anabolic steroids, and the male sex (there are no reports of PM rupture in females).<sup>1,2</sup> In the case of gym users or athletes, the chronic stages of PM injury may result in significant loss of adduction (from 10% to 50%) and important cosmetic deformity of the hemithorax.<sup>3-14</sup>

Surgical treatment has been recommended in these patients to reestablish function and esthetics.<sup>2</sup> In general, the sternocostal portion is typically compromised in athletes and bodybuilders (bench-press injury). Injuries of the clavicular portion of the PM tendon vary in terms of the extent of damage and the number of patients affected, but this type of injury is characterized by a functional loss of residual strength that functionally limits this population of athletes and gym users.<sup>9,10,14,15</sup> A return to regular physical activities usually occurs in more than 90% of the patients (our studies) after PM tendon repair and surgical reconstruction, which are major surgeries that require the use of a tendon graft for reconstruction.

Even with good clinical and functional outcomes during the postoperative period of PM tendon surgery, questions have persisted about the electrophysiological activity of the injured muscle.

Electromyography (EMG) enables the extracellular recording of the bioelectric activity generated by muscle fibers. It is performed using a surface electrode, which measures the electrical activity of several motor units at the same time.<sup>16</sup> Despite capturing the electrical activity generated by the recruitment of the motor units and not the muscle

strength,<sup>17</sup> the literature suggests a good correlation between the number of activated motor units and the muscle strength. Thus, this method plays an important role in illustrating the electrophysiological profile of injured and reconstructed muscles at the time of examination, and assists in the evolution during physiotherapy and return to sports. The present study aimed to evaluate the PM of operated patients who perform weightlifting, more specifically bench-press exercises, especially the activity of the clavicular and sternocostal portions of the PM.

Although this type of evaluation is increasingly being used in clinical care and scientific research, a consensus on several aspects of the method is lacking. The sensor placement, the number of contractions of phasic fibers, the time of contraction of tonic fibers, the need for concomitant evaluation of synergistic muscles, as well as the possibility of use in special situations should still be standardized.

**Materials and Methods****Study Population**

We analyzed 20 weightlifters who were previously registered and treated at the Sports Traumatology-Orthopedics Center, according to the Brazil Platform CAAE number 20959813.0.0000.5505.

All athletes in study I (10 patients) had unilateral complete ruptures during bench-press exercises and a history of anabolic steroid use, an association that is described in up to 86.7% of PM tendon ruptures. The control group included ten resistance exercise practitioners without PM tendon injury who did not perform bench-press exercises. The individuals were required to sign an informed consent form.

## Inclusion Criteria

### Study I

#### Case Group

This group included ten individuals who were already being monitored at the Sports Medicine outpatient facility, had a history of PM tendon rupture and surgical reconstruction following the standard protocol,<sup>14</sup> performed bench-press exercises at least three times a week pre-injury, had more than ten years of competitive weightlifting experience in bench press, and had a history of anabolic steroid use.

#### Control Group

This group included ten individuals who were matched regarding gender and age to the case group, and they led sedentary lifestyles or practiced sports sporadically, and had no history of anabolic steroid use.

## Exclusion Criteria

Study I excluded individuals with PM tendon injury occurring during sports other than weightlifting, individuals without a history of steroid use, and athletes with a history of chronic disease such as diabetes, nephropathy or other diseases that are known to present with tendinopathy.

## Evaluations

### Clinical Evaluation

All subjects answered a specific questionnaire evaluating the period of time that they had been performing weightlifting and their use, type and frequency of use of anabolic steroids in the previous 12 months.

On average, patients with chronic PM injury had a 5.5-month waiting period between injury and PM reconstruction surgery with the same operatory technique.<sup>11</sup> The surgical technique used was previously described in our studies,<sup>14</sup> and the rehabilitation protocol used was also standard for this type of injury and surgery.

### Electromyography

Visits to the Sports Traumatology-Orthopedics Center were scheduled by phone call, and the individuals underwent EMG, which was collected dynamically using a MegaWin 3.1, ME-6000 T-8-channel, version 3.0, with a system with a calibration frequency of 2,000 Hz, high pass filter of 20 Hz, and low pass filter of 500 Hz.

Disposable, adhesive, passive, monopolar Meditrace electrodes (DBI Medical, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) were used, with solid gel, silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl), a capture area of 1 cm, and a distance of 2 cm between the electrodes. The patients were analyzed during bench-press exercises and underwent EMG following the validated protocol, in which electrodes were placed based on tape measurements from the collarbone to the xiphoid process, considering 60% of this length as the PM muscle area. After determining this value, 80% of the width of the PM was calculated by measuring the insertion of the PM from the humerus to the sternum. The

result for the 80% of the width was considered the central point, and 1 electrode was placed on each side of this central point following the direction of the muscle fibers on the dominant side. The ground electrode was placed on the medial epiphysis of the clavicle on the dominant side.

The athletes performed a maximum series of each exercise with a load equivalent to the 10-repetition maximum. The order of the exercises was randomized among the individuals. The exercise was performed using the Olympic Bench Press equipment from the FW line. The practitioners were instructed to perform the eccentric phase by directing the bar in a line near the center of the sternum without touching the chest to avoid electrode movement.

A total of twenty athletes were selected for electromyographic measurement in the bench press exercise to evaluate the recruitment of the two main portions of the PM muscle during exercise performed in the postoperative period of PM tendon reconstruction using flexor tendon grafts.

All chronic patients were evaluated by electromyography five months postoperatively

## Study Design

### Description of the Cross-sectional Design

#### Methods of Analysis

Numerical variables are described by the mean and standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables are described by absolute and relative frequencies. Generalized estimating equation (GEE) models were fitted considering the dependence between the sides of the same individual. The models were fitted by a gamma distribution and log link function, and the results are presented as the mean estimated values and 95% confidence intervals. The *p*-values were obtained by multiple comparisons with Bonferroni correction.

The analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US) software, version 19, and a significance level of 5% was adopted.

## Results

Measurements of the clavicular and sternocostal portions of the PM muscle were obtained using bilateral EMG in weightlifters and controls to compare the groups; the right and left sides were considered replicate measurements of a subject.

One patient was lost during the postoperative period because he did not return for the five-month follow-up evaluation.

Of the patients who underwent surgery, 9 weightlifters who performed bench-press exercises, had unilateral injuries, and a mean age of 36.7 years (SD = 9.1 years) were evaluated. Nine control patients were analyzed for a homogeneous sample of patients between the C group and nine cases. Additionally, nine control patients were evaluated. The measurements were obtained by EMG on the injured sides subjected to reconstruction of the PM with a flexor tendon

**Table 1** Measurements of the clavicular and sternocostal portions of the pectoralis major muscle obtained by electromyography in healthy controls and in weightlifters after surgery on the operated and contralateral sides

	Groups			p-value	
	Control (C)	Postoperative: operated side (POS)	Postoperative: contralateral side (POCL)	C x POS	POS x POCL
Clavicular					
Average level	293.2 (200.0, 386.3)	273.8 (99.7, 447.8)	203.7 (99.6, 307.7)	0.847	0.058
Standard deviation	104.9 (70.3, 139.5)	96.1 (46.1, 146.1)	72.4 (35.3, 109.6)	0.777	0.002
Area	7,481.4 (4,896.9, 10,065.9)	7,743.2 (2,230.2, 13,256.2)	5,773.1 (2,429.0, 9,117.2)	0.933	0.09
Median	269.9 (174.5, 365.2)	266.0 (76.4, 455.6)	194.7 (90.2, 299.2)	0.972	0.109
Sternocostal					
Average Level	345.0 (204.0, 486.0)	304.4 (216.0, 392.9)	233.2 (160.3, 306.2)	0.633	0.121
Standard deviation	119.0 (71.3, 166.6)	103.6 (70.7, 136.4)	92.8 (53.0, 132.5)	0.602	0.554
Area	8,314.2 (4,778.2, 11,850.2)	8,125.7 (5,707.6, 10,543.8)	6,471.4 (4,200.6, 8,742.3)	0.931	0.126
Median	335.9 (187.8, 484.0)	292.4 (193.4, 391.5)	215.2 (143.7, 286.8)	0.633	0.091

Notes: The data are expressed in  $\mu\text{V}$  as means of estimates and 95% confidence intervals;  $p$ : multiple comparisons between groups.

graft (postoperative operated side [POS] group: nine measurements) and on the sides contralateral to the operated sides (postoperative contralateral side [POCL] group: nine measurements).

Comparisons between the groups were performed by fitting models considering the dependence between the bilateral measurements of the same individual.

In the comparison between the C and POS groups, we found no evidence of differences ( $\rightarrow$  **Table 1**) in any measurements obtained in the clavicular and sternocostal portions of the PM muscle: clavicular average level ( $p = 0.847$ ); clavicular SD ( $p = 0.777$ ); clavicular area ( $p = 0.933$ ); clavicular median ( $p = 0.972$ ); sternocostal average level ( $p = 0.633$ ); sternocostal SD ( $p = 0.602$ ); sternocostal area ( $p = 0.931$ ) and sternocostal median ( $p = 0.633$ ).

## Discussion

The frequency of PM muscle injuries has led to studies on the ability of the repaired or surgically-reconstructed PM muscle to return to adequate functional activity.<sup>2-15</sup>

Isokinetic assessment using torque peak and muscle work in horizontal adduction has been very helpful in obtaining a more objective, albeit indirect, evaluation of PM muscle strength both in the pre- and postoperative periods of chronic injuries that require PM reconstruction. In general, after improvement, the level of muscle strength should normally exhibit a deficit of no more than 15% of that of the contralateral muscle. However, is this improvement due to recruitment of the activity of muscle parts other than the most injured sternocostal portion? The present study helps to better understand these functional aspects during the postoperative period in these patients.

One of the main variables analyzed by EMG is the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC), which is performed by fast-twitch (type II) muscle fibers and is responsible for muscle strength.<sup>1</sup>

In athletes undergoing PM reconstruction, the EMG activity of the PM muscle was not different between the injured and contralateral sides, which may indicate that the reconstructed muscle has a functional capacity to assist in weight-lifting activities. Studies on pathological anatomy have shown no significant muscle degeneration, even in chronic cases, at two to five years after a PM injury.

The greater EMG activity on the operated side in the clavicular portion compared to the contralateral portion may be related to the attempt of the muscle portion not affected by the rupture to assist the injured sternocostal portion. Thus, a variance in functional improvement is observed.

These patients were not subjected to isokinetic assessment because the recovery of the strength level between five months and one year after surgery has been well established in other studies published by our research group and other authors. Muscle recovery is obviously variable, but, on average, it enables a sufficient return to competitive activity.

As described, the main objective of the present study was to examine the electrical and functional activity of muscle contraction of the injured musculature and the musculature of the clavicular region. On average, the waiting period was of 5.5 months between injury and PM reconstruction surgery.

All chronic patients were evaluated by EMG five months postoperatively.

It is possible that the time between the EMG, the injury or the postoperative exam may have some impact on the results. In the present study, the average time between the injury and the surgery was of 5.5 months. The EMGs were performed every five months after surgery.

## Conclusion

In the present study, the electromyographic activity of the PM muscle in weightlifters (bench-press exercise) who underwent surgery was within normal parameters for the clavicular and sternocostal portions studied.

### Financial Support

The present study was supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Fapesp) – under process 2014/04180-6.

### Funding

Fapesp: <http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/5011000018072014/04180-6> benno ejnisman.

### Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

## References

- 1 Auchincloss CC, McLean L. The reliability of surface EMG recorded from the pelvic floor muscles. *J Neurosci Methods* 2009;182(01):85–96
- 2 Bak K, Cameron EA, Henderson IJ. Rupture of the pectoralis major: a meta-analysis of 112 cases. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2000;8(02):113–119
- 3 de Castro Pochini A, Andreoli CV, Belangero PS, et al. Clinical considerations for the surgical treatment of pectoralis major muscle ruptures based on 60 cases: a prospective study and literature review. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42(01):95–102
- 4 de Castro Pochini A, Ejnisman B, Andreoli CV, et al. Exact moment of tendon of pectoralis major muscle rupture captured on video. *Br J Sports Med* 2007;41(09):618–619, discussion 619
- 5 de Castro Pochini A, Ejnisman B, Andreoli CV, et al. Pectoralis major muscle rupture in athletes: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38(01):92–98
- 6 Ejnisman B, Andreoli CV, de Castro Pochini A, Carrera EF, Abdalla RJ, Cohen M. Ruptura do musculo peitoral maior em atletas. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2002;37(11):482–488
- 7 de Figueiredo EA, Terra BB, Cohen C, et al. Footprint do tendão do peitoral maior: estudo anatômico. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2013;48(06):519–523
- 8 Fleury AM, Silva AC, de Castro Pochini A, Ejnisman B, Lira CA, Andrade MdosS. Isokinetic muscle assessment after treatment of pectoralis major muscle rupture using surgical or non-surgical procedures. *Clinics (São Paulo)* 2011;66(02):313–320
- 9 Lee J, Brookenthal KR, Ramsey ML, Kneeland JB, Herzog R. MR imaging assessment of the pectoralis major myotendinous unit: an MR imaging-anatomic correlative study with surgical correlation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2000;174(05):1371–1375
- 10 Ohashi K, El-Khoury GY, Albright JP, Teare DS. MRI of complete rupture of the pectoralis major muscle. *Skeletal Radiol* 1996;25(07):625–628
- 11 Pochini A, Ejnisman B, Andreoli CV, Cohen M. Reconstruction of the pectoralis major tendon using autologous grafting and cortical button attachment: description of the technique. *Tech Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2012;13(05):77–80
- 12 Pochini AdeC, Andreoli CV, Ejnisman B, Maffulli N. Surgical repair of a rupture of the pectoralis major muscle. *BMJ Case Rep* 2015;2015:bcr201320229
- 13 Pochini AdeC, Ferretti M, Kawakami EF, et al. Analysis of pectoralis major tendon in weightlifting athletes using ultrasonography and elastography. *Einstein (Sao Paulo)* 2015;13(04):541–546
- 14 Pochini AC, Rodrigues MSB, Yamashita L, Belangero PS, Andreoli CV, Ejnisman B. Surgical treatment of pectoralis major muscle rupture with adjustable cortical button. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2017;53(01):60–66
- 15 ElMaraghy AW, Devereaux MW. A systematic review and comprehensive classification of pectoralis major tears. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2012;21(03):412–422
- 16 Vodusek DB. Electromyography. In: Bø K, Berghmas B, Mørkved S, Van Kampen M, editors. *Evidence-based physical therapy for the pelvic floor*. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2007:53–63
- 17 Vodusek DB. The role of electrophysiology in the evaluation of incontinence and prolapse. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2002;14(05):509–514