Bougie through Murphy’s Eye During Airway Exchange: A Near Miss
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Abstract

Endotracheal tube (ETT) exchange is a common procedure performed in the operating room and intensive care unit for the purpose of changing damaged or soiled ETT with appropriate one. The exchange procedure is performed over an airway exchange catheter or ETT introducer (bougie). We report an event during ETT exchange over bougie wherein the introducer exited through Murphy’s eye. Here, we intend to re-emphasize the safety concerns pertaining to the use of bougie (with coude tip) as airway exchange conduit and enumerate the precautions to be taken during tube exchange.

Introduction

Endotracheal tube (ETT) exchange is a common procedure performed in the operating room (OR) and intensive care unit (ICU) for the purpose of changing damaged, soiled, or wrong-sized tube with the appropriate one. Tube exchange is performed over a conduit like airway exchange catheter (AEC) or endotracheal tube introducer (bougie). We report an event during ETT exchange over bougie wherein the introducer exited through Murphy’s eye.

Case Report

A 61-year-old man with C3–C4 prolapsed intervertebral disc was taken up for anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. Endotracheal intubation with videolaryngoscope and manual inline stabilization (MILS) was planned as fiberoptic bronchoscope was unavailable for technical reasons. After induction of anesthesia, the trachea was intubated with 8.5 mm internal diameter (ID) cuffed endotracheal tube (Portex, Smiths Medical, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and fixed at 22 cm at the lip. After intubation, persistent leak around the ETT was noticed. Cuff pressure monitor indicated steady decline in pressures after reinflation of the cuff. Due to suspicion of faulty/damaged cuff, ETT exchange over bougie (Portex single-use tracheal tube introducer, Coudé tip, 5.0 mm diameter, 60 cm length, angle 40°) was decided. The bougie was inserted till 23 cm at the lip. No resistance was encountered during bougie insertion, whereas significant resistance was felt when the damaged ETT was withdrawn over it; it was realized that the bougie exited through Murphy’s eye (►Fig. 1). A new cuffed ETT was inserted with videolaryngoscopy and secured, and rest of the management proceeded uneventfully. There were no elevated airway pressures or hemodynamic fluctuations throughout the surgery. Tracheal suctioning at the end of the surgery did not reveal any blood-stained secretions. The patient was extubated and shifted to postanesthesia care unit. Postoperative chest X-ray and further course in the hospital were unremarkable.
Discussion

ETT introducer is commonly used to facilitate tracheal intubation in case of difficult laryngoscopic view. The coude tip (35 to 40° curved tip) has been designed for easy passage into the anteriorly placed laryngeal inlet and to prevent it from going too far down the airway, thereby reducing trauma. ETT introducer is also used for ETT exchange, preferred over AEC by some, as it is believed to be rigid enough to facilitate proper railroading. As AEC was not readily available in the OR, ETT introducer (bougie) was used for tube exchange. Any device passed through ETT can exit through Murphy’s eye instead of the tip. Exit of fiberoptic devices and curved tip catheters from Murphy’s eye have been reported in the literature. Exit of AEC through a tear in a uninvent tube leading to failed exchange has also been reported, suggesting that conduit can exit through any potential opening. When bougie exits through Murphy’s eye, the tip is naturally directed laterally, that is, toward the wall of the trachea. There is an increase in the combined cross-sectional area inside the tracheal lumen. Any further manipulation will direct the tip laterally toward the tracheal wall.

AECs are more flexible and soft-tipped, making airway exchange safer. Simultaneous oxygen insufflation can also be performed during exchange. However, bronchial lacerations and pneumothorax with evidence of tracheobronchial injury have been reported during tube exchange with AECs as well. Exit of AEC through Murphy’s eye as the cause for failed tube exchange requiring reintubation has also been proposed.

Here, we intend to emphasize the safety concerns pertaining to the use of bougie (with coude tip) as airway exchange conduit and enumerate the precautions to be taken during tube exchange. Being specifically designed for the purpose of tube exchange, AEC should be considered as the first choice. Using laryngoscopic guidance to negotiate the supraglottic/glottic structures and choosing a relatively larger sized AEC have been suggested to maximize the chances of successful tube change. AECs should not be inserted more than 24 cm from the lip. Highlighting the safe insertion depth by colored markers can be of help in preventing overinsertion. Fiberoptic bronchoscope, if passed alongside AEC, can help to visualize the tip and ensure safe exchange; however, it is not always possible. Any conduit used for an exchange should never be forced when resistance is encountered. In case of doubt or difficulty, ETT should be removed along with the conduit and reintubation should be performed, instead of manipulating either of them. However, this procedure may not be free from complications such as injury to the tracheal wall due to increase in cross-sectional area of combined ETT with bougie inside Murphy’s eye. Care must be taken with regard to the direction of coude tip. If it is toward the right of the patient during insertion of the bougie, it is more likely to exit through Murphy’s eye than while facing anterior or slightly to the left.

Fig. 1 Introducer coming out through Murphy’s eye instead of the tip of the endotracheal tube while removing.

Fig. 2 Increased combined cross-sectional area when the tip of bougie exits through Murphy’s eye. Any further manipulation will direct the tip laterally toward the tracheal wall.
Conclusion

It should be remembered that any airway exchange conduit can exit through either of the two openings in ETT (tip/Murphy’s eye) causing difficulty in exchange or injury. Despite the concerns with regard to increased flexibility, AECs are by large considered safe conduits to facilitate exchange. They need to be readily available for use in the OR and should always be preferred over bougie. Any resistance during the process of manipulation should be carefully handled with anticipation of possible problems, as discussed.
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