Can Homeopathy, a Particularly Mild Therapeutic Approach, Survive and Grow in a World of Violence?
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Abstract
This short treatise addresses a philosophical question concerning the place of homeopathy in our modern world. The question raised is whether a therapeutic system as peaceful, mild, and non-violent as homeopathy can survive and grow within a society that often displays the opposite characteristics.
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The title of this article is a legitimate question that has been torturing my mind for almost three decades and has been preoccupying me more and more recently. Its answer is crucial for me and for my students, who have been applying this therapeutic approach. Even though homeopathy’s therapeutic potential has been apparent to millions of patients and to many thousands of homeopathic physicians, and despite numerous incurable chronic cases published in medical journals,1–15 we still have a long way to go before seeing homeopathy become a recognized medical specialty. It is unclear whether homeopathy will ever be able to take its rightful place within the field of general medicine.

It is a strange paradox that, despite evidence that classical homeopathy can successfully treat chronic conditions that are considered incurable with conventional medicine, homeopathy still remains an untouchable issue for the majority of medical authorities. If, in conventional medicine, a cure were found for a previously incurable chronic condition, such discovery would make world news headlines; but reports of corresponding homeopathic cures are met by total silence! I have tried to think what has happened with homeopathy and why its amazing effects are not appreciated as much as is deserved.

Homeopathy is a therapeutic system aiming at re-establishing the body’s mental, emotional, and physical balance. It is a most “peaceful” therapeutic system, which has existed for two centuries with an impressive record of cures, and yet it has remained in the background all that time. In trying to give an explanation for the paradox, I shall start with an example from daily clinical practice.

A stressed, depressed, insecure, irritated, and troubled patient, who is under the strain of daily hardships and who suffers also from a multitude of physical discomforts and aches, seeks help from homeopathy. The homeopath will investigate the conditions that brought about such an imbalance and, sometimes after hours of meticulously investigating and studying the case, will try to find the correct personal remedy that aims to bring about positive results, which the therapy can produce in deep chronic diseases.

The conclusion drawn is that homeopathy does not readily fit within a modern and violent society that prefers quick and invasive solutions to its clinical problems.
system—the inner defense of the organism—remain in balance in the midst of a polluted atmosphere, with foods filled with chemicals, and on top of these living within a maelstrom of the ruthless competition and aggression so prevalent in society? Experience has shown that the restored balance will not stay for very long: under such stresses the sensitive patient will once again start feeling unwell. The problem is that once an organism is back to a balanced state, it is much more vulnerable to stress than an already compromised organism.

In many instances, the patient will not return to homeopathy, thinking that the cure should have lasted for ever. The fact is that patients living in modern society have to face many obstacles to their health. The modern society that we have created incites people to ever greater aggression. The accumulation of material possessions above everything else, at times taking the form of inhuman behavior, is normal practice. Meritocracy has long disappeared from the collective consciousness, while the seizure of power by any means is the accepted norm. All these conditions create barriers for the health of people through anxiety, phobias, and depression.

Those who seek and promote spiritual advancement for themselves and for society, refusing to compromise their own conscience and moral ethics, are today considered a meagre and weak minority. Only a few such individuals will be found among different groups of civilians—for example, among scientists, artists, homeopathic physicians, social workers, religious or spiritual seekers—but all such people are either marginalized due to their peaceful temperament or those who are still fighting will be exhausted since the majority of society is so imbued in violence and corruption that almost automatically negates any efforts for change by small groups who are seeking peace and justice. Yet, it is such groups that deserve and appreciate the care provided by this peaceful therapy. Those people, despite being pillars of society, feel powerless to impose a system of therapy that has served them so well and so efficiently.

Violence, in all its forms—between states, between terrorists, and among civilians—may emerge after much time and much suffering. A mild form of medical practice will not be given room to thrive in tomorrow’s world, unless and until the days of constant assault on moral values are confined to the past. But, realistically, will that happen?

My sense is that, even if a prophet with extraordinary qualities and power were to emerge tomorrow, inciting the masses to help eliminate violence and corruption and bring about peace and justice on earth, what would be the most likely scenario? Our modern society would kill the prophet!
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