Major Trauma and Severe Burn: A Synergistic Mortality. Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

Case Presentation A 42-year-old man was transferred to our institution after a high-energy accident (an explosion). He presented second-degree burns on 20% of the total body surface area (TBSA), affecting the lower hemiabdomen and the lower right limb. He also presented a supraintercondylar open fracture of the right femur (Gustilo I). The burns were treated with debridement and coverage with mesh graft, while the fracture required an early transitory transarticular external fixation with delayed definitive osteosynthesis. Our patient presented good local and systemic evolution. We can offer a eleven-month follow-up.

Discussion The literature supports that the combination of trauma and burn injuries is a relatively rare pattern, which may explain the lack of knowledge and studies on this subject. This double injury has demonstrated a synergistic effect on mortality. The management of soft tissues in the coexistence of an open fracture and a burn in the same limb is a challenge. The method and timing of the treatment of the fracture directly impacts the treatment of the burn (and vice versa), and most authors tend to treat the fracture first. All of the studies reviewed emphasized the importance of the multidisciplinary approach.

Conclusions We have presented a complex case combining major trauma and severe burns. Although there is a lack of studies in the literature on this subject, the papers state that this is an unusual pattern with a synergistic effect on mortality. In our experience, the management of soft tissues and the multidisciplinary approach play a central role, as it is also stated in the literature. The management of these patients is still controversial, and more studies are needed.

Keywords
► burn
► fracture
► polytrauma
► combined injury
► soft tissue

Resumen

Presentación del Caso Hombre de 42 años trasladado a nuestra institución tras un accidente de alta energía (explosión). Presentaba quemaduras de segundo grado del 20% de la superficie corporal total (SCT), afectando el hemiabdomen inferior y la extremidad inferior derecha. También presentaba una fractura abierta supraintercondílea de fémur.
Case Presentation

Medical History

A 42-year-old man was transferred to our institution with major trauma and severe burns after a high-energy accident (a boiler explosion). He did not present relevant diseases or known allergies.

Primary and secondary surveys were performed coordinat- ing anesthesia, traumatology, plastic surgery, intensive care and nursing. The patient was hemodynamically stable, eupneic and conscious (Glasgow 15). Second-degree burns on 20% of the total body surface area (TBSA) affecting the lower hemiabdomen and the lower right limb were diagnosed. No signs of inhalation or circumferential burns were present. Moreover, his right knee presented tumefaction, functional impotence, and a punctiform wound (►Fig. 1). The body’s total antioxidant capacity the full-body CT scan (skull, cervical, chest, abdomen and pelvis) and the blood test were not pathologic, but the X-ray of the right knee showed a supra-intercondylar fracture of the femur (►Fig. 2). Therefore, due to these lesions, his injury severity score (ISS) was 18.

Management

After the irrigation of the wounds with saline and the administration of prophylactic antibiotics (following the protocol of our institution: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid 2 g/8h and gentamicin 240 mg/24h intravenously during 3 days) and a dose of the tetanus vaccine, an urgent surgery was performed. In this initial surgery, we debrided the open wound, and a transarticular external fixation was implanted (►Fig. 1). Therefore, multiple aggressions to soft tissues coexisted in the same limb: an open-joint fracture (Gustilo I), burns, percutaneous devices, and blunt trauma.

The patient was admitted to the burn unit and underwent monitoring, saline therapy and periodic topic care. Nine days later, when the patient was stable and the soft tissues were in acceptable conditions, the delayed definitive surgery for the fracture was performed. This surgery consisted of an open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with two cannulated screws in the intercondylar trace and an anatomical compression plate in the supracondylar trace (►Fig. 3). It was necessary to carry out a non-habitual approach (anterior) to derecho (Gustilo I). Las quemaduras fueron tratadas mediante desbridamiento y cobertura mediante injerto mallado, mientras que la fractura requirió un fijador externo transarticular precoz de forma transitoria con una osteosíntesis definitiva diferida. Nuestro paciente presentó buena evolución local y sistémica. Podemos ofrecer un seguimiento a 11 meses.

Discussion

La bibliografía defiende que la lesión combinada de traumatismo y quemadura es un patrón relativamente raro, pudiendo explicar la falta de conocimiento y publicaciones al respecto. Este doble insulto ha demostrado un efecto sinérgico en la mortalidad. El manejo de las partes blandas cuando coexisten una fractura abierta y una quemadura en la misma extremidad es un desafío. El método y el momento de tratamiento de la fractura impactan de forma directa en el tratamiento de la quemadura (y viceversa); y la mayoría de los autores tienden a tratar en primer lugar la fractura. Todos los estudios analizados enfatizan la importancia del abordaje multidisciplinario.

Conclusions

Hemos presentado un caso complejo combinando traumatismo grave y quemaduras severas. Aunque haya una falta de bibliografía, los estudios afirman que se trata de un patrón inusual con un efecto sinérgico sobre la mortalidad. En nuestra experiencia, el manejo de las partes blandas y el abordaje multidisciplinario tienen un rol central, tal y como defienden también los artículos publicados. El manejo de estos pacientes sigue siendo controvertido, y son necesarios más estudios.
manage the soft tissues and to include the open wound into
the incision (Fig. 4).

Eighteen and thirty days after the accident, the patient
underwent two plastic surgeries to treat the regions of the
body with deep second-degree burns, consisting of debride-
ment and coverage with mesh grafts.

After the surgeries, intensive non-weight-bearing phys-
iotherapy to recover joint balance and strength was planned.

Outcomes
Our patient presented good local and systemic evolution, and
35 days after the accident he was discharged. During the
rehabilitation, his joint balance (0°–80°) and strength
(2–3/5) had significantly improved, and he was able to
walk without bearing weight.

After a follow-up of eleven months, the clinical evolution
remained satisfactory. The surgery wound and the burns had
healed. The joint balance of the knee was 0°–110°, and the
strength was 4–5/5 (physiotherapy had been maintained). He
walked with total weight-bearing without presenting pain,
and his functional Knee Society Score (KSS) was excellent
(85/100). The X-ray images showed complete consolidation.

Discussion
We have presented a case of a patient with a combination of
major trauma and severe burn. The literature supports that
this combination of injuries is relatively rare. Santaniello et al.1
published a retrospective review (1990–2000) of a 10-year
experience of a single trauma and burn center in Illinois in
which 24,093 patients were identified. In total, 22,284 (92.5%)
of them presented only trauma injuries, 1,717 (7.1%) had only
burn injuries, and 92 had combined injuries (0.4% of the total).
Battaloglu et al.2 in a retrospective review (2010–2016) based
on the National Trauma Registry for England and Wales, found
188 patients with concomitant burn and trauma injuries. And
Hawkings et al.3 published another retrospective review based
on the National Trauma Data Bank of America (1994–2002) in
which they found 411,173 patients only with trauma injuries,
7,324 only with burns, and 5,462 with a combination of both
(the prevalence was of 1.23%). The information regarding the
aforementioned retrospective reviews is shown in Table 1.

Fig. 2 X-ray images showing the supraintercondylar fracture.

Fig. 3 Postoperative X-ray images showing the osteosynthesis of the
fracture.

Fig. 4 Incision used in the surgery to manage the soft tissues and to
include the open wound.
This low incidence may explain the lack of knowledge and studies about these combined injuries. Before these three big retrospective reviews, only one article with a large number of patients was found: the classic article\textsuperscript{4} by Purdue and Hunt (1989) studying 176 patients. However, other articles with a lower number of patients or case reports were found.\textsuperscript{5–7}

The combination of these two injuries has demonstrated a synergistic effect on mortality. Santaniello et al\textsuperscript{1} found a mortality of 28.3\% in this group of patients, compared with 9.8\% among the burned patients, and 4.3\% among the patients with trauma injuries (relative risk [RR]: 2.89 and 6.58 respectively). Moreover, an increased length of stay was observed (18 days versus 13.7 and 5.3 respectively). The combined group had no difference in TBSA compared with the burned group, but it had an increased ISS when compared with the trauma group. Similar results were found by Battaloglu et al\textsuperscript{2}: an increased mortality (23.4\% for the combined group, 18.6\% for the burned group, and 6.8\% for the trauma group, and RRs of 1.26 and 3.44 respectively) and length of stay (12 days versus 8 days and 9 days respectively).

This effect of this double injury was also found in the study by Hawkins et al\textsuperscript{3}. In this article, the mortality was of 11.2\% for the combined group, which was significantly higher than that of the burned group (3\% and RR of 3.73) and the trauma group (5.3\% and RR of 2.11). The most interesting fact about this study was that the results were stratified and compared by level of trauma and burn severity. In cases of both minor- and major-severity injuries, a statistically significant increase in mortality was found in the combined group.

An important item in our case report was the coexistence of an open fracture and a burn on the same limb. In addition, there was a blunt trauma caused by the explosion, as well as the percutaneous devices of the external fixation. Consequently, the management of soft tissues was challenging.

Despite the little information found in the literature, we highlight the article by Bradshaw et al.\textsuperscript{8} They studied 22 patients with collocated burn and fracture injuries. In total, 17 patients were submitted to operative management (11 with internal fixation, 2 with external fixation, and 4 with temporary external fixation and delayed internal fixation after an average of 3 to 4 days), and 5 were submitted to non-operative management. There were nine complications (all in the operated group), and five of them were infections (all in the internal fixation group). The authors concluded that the method and timing of the treatment of the fracture directly impacts the treatment of the burn (and vice versa). In cases of collocated injuries, the optimum management is still controversial. Most authors tend to treat the fracture first.

Since percutaneous surgery is respectful of soft tissues, it could be especially adequate in this scenario. Although it is possible to reduce a fracture using this minimally invasive approach, it is normally used when fractures have an acceptable displacement.

In our patient, we decided to completely treat the fracture before the burns. The objectives were: 1) to stabilize the patient; 2) to enable early mobilization; and 3) to simplify the management of the soft tissues. In the treatment of the fracture, we first used temporary external fixation, which was implanted during the damage-control surgery (DCS). The external fixation device enabled us to stabilize the bone and soft tissues rapidly and at a distance from the fracture, avoiding a second hit in a polytraumatic patient. The DCS is a therapeutic strategy consisting of a staged surgical approach in the critically-injured trauma patient (Breederveld and Kreis\textsuperscript{9} studied the DCS in the burned patient, for example). The complex definitive surgery (ORIF) was delayed for nine days until the patient was stable and the condition of the soft tissues had improved. As he presented a displaced articular fracture, we decided not to use a percutaneous approach. Once the bone treatment had ended, the regions of the body with deep second-degree burns were surgically treated with debridement and coverage with mesh grafts.

Finally, it is remarkable that all of the studies reviewed emphasized the importance of the multidisciplinary approach.\textsuperscript{1–8} It is thought that the improvement in the management of these patients is due to the advances in critical, trauma and burn care and their orchestration.

### Conclusions

We have presented a complex case combining major trauma and severe burns. The supraintercondylar open fracture was

### Table 1 Comparative table showing the retrospective reviews included in the present study that have studied the combined burn and trauma injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>N° of patients</th>
<th>Prevalence of burn-trauma patients</th>
<th>Mortality (percentage and relative risk [RR])</th>
<th>Lenght of Stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santaniello et al\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>24.093</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
<td>Burn: 28.3% (RR: 2.89)</td>
<td>18 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battaloglu et al\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>compilation of 188 patients</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Burn: 23.4% (RR: 1.26)</td>
<td>12 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawking\textsuperscript{3} (results also compared by stratified severity)</td>
<td>423.959</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>Burn: 11.2% (RR: 3.73)</td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
treated with early transitory external fixation and delayed definitive ORIF, while the burns required debridement and coverage with mesh grafts. Our patient presented good local and general evolution.

Although there is a lack of studies in the literature, the papers state that this is an unusual pattern with a synergistic effect on mortality. In our experience, the management of soft tissues and the multidisciplinary approach play a central role, as it is also stated in the literature. The management of these patients is still controversial, and more studies are needed.
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