


Metal Salen- and Salphen-Containing Organic Polymers: Synthesis and Applications

Sven M. Elbert^aMichael Mastalerz^{*a}

^a Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
Michael.mastalerz@oci.uni-heidelberg.de



Received: 13.12.2019

Accepted after revision: 4.02.2020

DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1708501; Art ID: 190025rev

License terms:

© 2019. The Author(s). This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Abstract The properties of organic polymeric materials can be chemically fine-tuned by the implementation of functional groups or units within the backbone. Especially the inclusion of coordinated metal centers offers a nearly infinite toolbox to adjust properties and thus potential applications. In particular, salen and salphen complexes are widely known to be highly efficient homogenous catalysts. They are also used as luminescent materials and devices or as supramolecular building blocks. This review focusses on the class of salen- and salphen-containing organic polymers, from 1D to 3D. Besides the comparison of synthetic polymerization methods, properties and applications are discussed, with an emphasis on porous 2D and 3D polymeric metal salphens and salens for heterogeneous catalysis and gas sorption.

Key words Porous polymers, heterogeneous catalysis, conductive films, salens, salphens, gas sorption

Introduction

The imine condensation of two equivalents of **salicylaldehyde** with one equivalent of 1,2-ethylene- or **phenylene** diamine is the underlying reaction to synthesize the ligand classes called **salens** and **salphens** (sometimes also named **salophens**) (Figure 1). Since the first discovery of the structurally related **acacen** ligand in 1889 by Combes,¹

numerous N_2O_2 ligands² such as salans,³ salalens,⁴ salamos,⁵ or their thio-derivatives⁶ have been developed (Figure 1). Among all N_2O_2 ligands, the salens and salphens are probably the most commonly used ones.⁷ The most prominent congeners are the Mn(III)-salens developed by Jacobsen (1991) and Katsuki (1994) for the enantioselective epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins.⁸ Inspired by this reaction, metal salen complexes have been successfully used as catalysts for a broad range of asymmetric reactions, such as hydroxylations,⁹ cyclopropanations¹⁰ and several other





Figure 1 Molecular structures of selected N_2O_2 ligands.^{8b1}

Biographical Sketch



Sven M. Elbert received his B.Sc. as well as M.Sc. from Ulm University. He conducted his studies for the Master thesis under the supervision of Michael Mastalerz, and got the Barbara-Mez-Starck award for his

thesis. He joined the Mastalerz group moving to the Ruprecht-Karls-University of Heidelberg in 2013 to conduct his PhD studies (supported by a scholarship of Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes), obtaining the

doctoral degree with distinction (summa cum laude) in 2018. Since then, he is the scientific coordinator in the Mastalerz group, focusing his research on porous materials as well as π -extended nonplanar molecules.



Michael Mastalerz studied Chemistry at the Gerhard-Mercator University of Duisburg and after 9 semesters received his diploma (which was awarded by the University) in 2002. He followed Prof. Dr. Gerald Dyker to the Ruhr-University of Bochum to pursue his PhD studies, receiving his doctoral degree in 2005 with distinction (summa cum laude). After a short stay in industry he

joined the group of Prof. Dr. Gregory Fu at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in the United States in 2006 to work on asymmetric cross-coupling reactions. In 2007 he moved back to Germany to Ulm University affiliated to the group of Prof. Dr. Peter Bäuerle to start his independent career working on porous materials and molecules. He received his *venia legendi* in

February 2013 and subsequently (April 2013) got a position at the Ruprecht-Karls-University of Heidelberg as a full professor. Currently, he is an ERC Consolidator Grant holder. His research focusses on organic cage compounds, porous polymeric materials, supramolecular chemistry, non-planar extended aromatic molecules as well as the development of new synthetic methods.

oxidation reactions,¹¹ aziridinations,¹² epoxide-ring opening reactions,¹³ hetero-Diels–Alder reactions,¹⁴ or trimethylsilylcyanations.^{7,a,c,15}

As mentioned above, all the described ligands provide an N_2O_2 binding site in a square planar geometry, suitable for binding a large variety of metal ions well as ions of main group elements. With a few exceptions, such as the elements of the 7th period or the bigger alkali metals, salphen or salen complexes with nearly all other metals of the periodic system of the elements have been realized (Figure 2), such as with Li^+ ,¹⁶ Be^{2+} ,¹⁷ Na^+ ,¹⁸ Mg^{2+} ,¹⁹ Al^{3+} ,²⁰ Si^{4+} ,²¹ P^{3+} ,²² Ca^{2+} ,²³ Sc^{3+} ,²⁴ Ti^{4+} ,²⁵ V^{4+} ,²⁶ Cr^{3+} ,²⁷ Mn^{3+} ,²⁸

Fe^{2+} ,²⁸ Fe^{3+} ,²⁹ Co^{2+} ,³⁰ Co^{3+} ,³¹ Ni^{2+} ,³² Cu^{2+} ,³³ Zn^{2+} ,³⁴ Ga^{3+} ,³⁵ $Ge^{2+/4+}$,³⁶ Sr^{2+} ,²³ Y^{3+} ,³⁷ Zr^{4+} ,³⁸ Nb^{5+} ,³⁹ Mo^{4+} ,⁴⁰ Tc^{5+} ,⁴¹ Ru^{3+} ,⁴² Rh^{3+} ,⁴³ Pd^{2+} ,⁴⁴ Ag^+ ,⁴⁵ Cd^{2+} ,⁴⁶ Sb^{5+} ,⁴⁷ Te^{4+} ,⁴⁸ La^{3+} ,³⁷ Ce^{4+} ,⁴⁹ Pr^{3+} ,⁵⁰ Nd^{3+} ,⁵¹ Sm^{3+} ,⁵¹ Eu^{3+} ,⁵² Gd^{3+} ,⁵¹ Tb^{3+} ,⁵¹ Dy^{3+} ,⁵¹ Ho^{3+} ,⁵³ Er^{3+} ,⁵¹ Tm^{3+} ,⁵³ Yb^{3+} ,⁵³ Lu^{3+} ,⁵³ Hf^{4+} ,⁵⁴ Ta^{5+} ,⁵⁵ W^{6+} ,⁵⁶ Re^{4+} ,⁵⁷ Os^{4+} ,⁵⁸ Ir^{3+} ,⁵⁹ Pt^{2+} ,⁶⁰ Au^{3+} ,⁶¹ Hg^{2+} ,⁴⁶ Tl^{3+} ,⁶² Pb^{2+} ,⁶³ Th^{4+} ,⁶⁴ U^{6+} ,⁶⁵ Np^{5+} ,⁶⁶ or Pu^{4+} .⁴⁹

In contrast to metal salens, for which comparably simple chiral diamines can be used to introduce chirality, metal salphen complexes lack of sp^3 -hybridized centers and chirality can be introduced by the use of more sophisticated chiral building blocks such as biaryls,⁶⁷ helicenes,⁶⁸ and calixarenes,⁶⁹ or upon supramolecular chiral induction.⁷⁰ Therefore, salphen complexes are rarely used for enantioselective reactions. Despite this disadvantage, metal salphens were able to catalyze polymerizations⁷¹ or fix CO_2 as cyclic carbonates.⁷² For the latter, Lewis acidic zinc-salphenes have been used, which are able to coordinate reactants to the axial positions of the square-planar coordination sphere and thus activate them for nucleophilic attacks.⁷³ Besides mononuclear complexes, the formation of salens and salphens was also used to create multinuclear,⁷⁴ macrocyclic,⁷⁵ or cage-like ligands⁷⁶ and their corresponding metal complexes (for selected examples, see Figure 3). Such structures have been achieved by dynamic covalent chemistry (DCC).⁷⁷

Another approach to embed salen or salphen moieties into more complex structures is realized by the decoration of molecules with functional groups enabling additional





Figure 2 Periodic table of the elements. The elements which ions have been used for salen and salphen complexes are highlighted in green.



coordinative binding sites and therefore being able to act as building blocks for supramolecular boxes,⁷⁸ coordination cages,⁷⁹ coordinatively bound macrocycles,⁸⁰ or metal-organic frameworks (MOFs).⁸¹ The immobilization of soluble salens or salphens in polymeric materials such as MOFs nicely allows to exploit the good catalytic activity in a heterogeneous approach.^{81s,t,v,x,z-ac} Similar to that, these MOFs were used for gas separation^{81u} or storage,^{81y} chiral resolution,^{81w} and many more. The insoluble salen-containing MOFs can efficiently be recycled after use, which is a common technique when expanding the application of soluble complexes to the heterogeneous phase by immobilization.⁸² However, most MOFs including the aforementioned salen MOFs contain metal nodes which suffer from instability against aqueous acidic or basic media. This limits their potential applications and typically stabilization techniques such as post-synthetic coating or guest addition have to be used to circumvent a quick degradation.⁸³

This review highlights salen- and salphen-based materials embedded in fully covalent-bonded polymeric backbones. The objective of this review is to summarize and compare the different synthetic strategies, to examine the advantages and disadvantages of the different approaches based on the resulting material properties, and to give an overview of the structures reported to date. Furthermore, the potential applications of these compounds will be highlighted with selected examples. The review does not cover those salphen-containing superstructures based on the formation of coordinative bonds, which have been discussed in other recent excellent reviews.⁸⁴


Structural Dimensionality

We arbitrarily divide salen and salphen polymers into 1D, 2D, or 3D by their idealized topology in terms of the propagation of the monomeric building blocks rather than by the real orientation in space by coiling, folding, steric effects, or contortion. First of all, we give an overview of how the different polymers have been synthesized and then discuss their properties.

1D-Salen and Salphen Polymers


The construction of salen- or salphen-containing polymers can in principal be divided into two main synthetic strategies. One strategy is based on the formation of the salen or salphen pocket during the condensation (polymerization) reaction with or without simultaneous complexation of metal ions. The other strategy is to use the preformed salen or salphen moieties, acting as monomers, in polymerization reactions like electropolymerizations,⁸⁵ cross-coupling reactions,⁸⁶ or comparable polymerization reactions.⁸⁷ Both strategies have been applied in the synthesis of 1D salen and salphen polymers.

The first polysalphenes were described in the late 1950s by Marvel and Tarköy, synthesized by the condensation of methylene-⁸⁸ and sulfone-bridged⁸⁹ bisalicylaldehydes with *ortho*-phenylene diamine (Scheme 1). By treatment



Scheme 1 Syntheses of the first polysalphenes reported by Marvel and Tarköy.^{88,89}

of the metal-free polymers with THF-solutions of Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} salts, a large variety of metal-containing salphen polymers were obtained. By the assumption that the polymers are pure, monodisperse, and do not contain solvate or other impurities, the polymers had lengths up to 45 repeating units according to the interpretation of elemental analysis results.⁸⁸ The thermal stabilities of the polymers were investigated, showing that the coordinated metal centers had a stabilizing effect. Furthermore, an enhancement in thermal stability was achieved by formal exchange of the methylene bridges by sulfone bridges. This was attributed to the higher acidity of the phenolic oxygen atom due to electron-withdrawing effects of the *para*-substituted sulfone in comparison to the methylene tethers.^{88,89} It must be noted that at that time the analyses of the thermal stability relied on weight losses exclusively. However, in principle the observations could




Scheme 2 Polysalens and polysalphenes based on the condensation of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde with diamines.⁹⁰

also be attributed to, for example, the release of bound water molecules.

A similar approach based on 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde (Scheme 2) was reported at the beginning of the 1970s by Manecke and Wille.⁹⁰ Here, the structural variety was achieved by using different diamines for the condensation. The conductivity of the fully conjugated 1D-polymers was measured and the authors concluded that the complexation of the metal centers is beneficial for the conductivity by increasing the rigidity of the polymeric backbone. The metalated polymers had up to five times higher conductivities ($10^{-10} \text{ S m}^{-1}$) in comparison to the free ligands, but regarding the nature of the metal used no trend was found.^{90b}

Besides the early examples of salphen polymers synthesized by condensation of amines and aldehydes, the second strategy used was the electropolymerization of presynthesized salen and salphen compounds introduced by Goldsby and coworkers in 1988.⁹¹ This so-called potentiostatic method gave a structurally less defined nickel(II) salen-containing film on the surface of a platinum disc electrode (Scheme 3).^{91,92} Later, this approach was reinvestigated by others.⁹³ Within these studies it was revealed that for the aforementioned film “...the electropolymerization of [Ni(salen)] is ultimately a ligand-based process that takes place through a mixture of *o*- and *p*-linking of the phenyl rings...” (Scheme 3).^{93b} Despite these irregular structures, a clear difference of the chemical as well as physical properties of the synthesized polymer films in comparison to aggregated individual Ni-salen complexes was confirmed by IR, UV/vis, and EPR spectroscopy and the redox and charge conduction behaviors were studied.^{93b}

To obtain a 1D polymer chain in a regioselective manner, Reynolds and coworkers used 3,4-diaminothiophene as well as terthiophenes to synthesize Ni- and Cu-salphenes, which were electropolymerized to give conjugated polythiophenes with salphenes^{94a} and salphen/crown-ether moieties^{94b} (Scheme 3). Swager and coworkers followed a slightly different approach to achieve control over the electrochemical properties of the polymers.^{94c} They synthesized a Co-salen moiety with thienyl substituents in the *para*-position




Scheme 3 Polysalens and polysalphenes synthesized by electropolymerization.^{91–94}

to the phenolic oxygen (Scheme 3).^{94c} The regioselective electropolymerization on the thiophene's vacant α -position was proven by hindered film growth when this position was blocked by methyl substituents.^{94c} This detailed structure-activity relationship was further studied on a series of electropolymerized materials derived from poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT)-substituted Cu(II), Ni(II), and U(VI)O₂ salens (Scheme 3). The interchain spacing was modulated by the use of different sterically bulky ethylene- and phenylene-diamines. It was found that large chain-chain interactions lower the oxidation potentials of the salen units due to destabilizing interactions of the corresponding HOMOs, which lead to the formation of spin-paired π -dimers upon further oxidation. In contrast to that, sterically shielded, noninteracting chains create radical cations and subsequent dicationic species by oxidation.⁹⁵ It is worth mentioning that the potentiostatic method has been used exclusively for the synthesis of 1D polymer films so far. Also other nanostructures such as nanowires⁹⁶ or nitric oxide sensors are based on this type of polymerization.⁹⁷ Additionally, this approach was used to develop composite materials of metal salphen or salen complexes with


multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) as capacitive materials⁹⁸ and in the synthesis of high-capacity films (see discussion below).⁹⁹

As known from nature, 1D polymers can also create superstructures of high complexity such as the DNA double helices.¹⁰⁰ Already in 1996, Katz and coworkers synthesized fully conjugated 1D helical ladder polymers based on the condensation of a [6]-helicene bisalicylaldehyde with 1,2-phenylene diamine in the presence of nickel(II) acetate (Scheme 4).^{68a} According to the integration of characteris-



tic signals in ¹H NMR-spectra as well as by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses the average molecular mass of the polymers was estimated to be about 7,000–7,400 Da, which corresponds to approximately eight repeating units. The fully conjugated character of the metal salphen helix was indicated by a bathochromic shift of 114 nm of the metal-to-ligand charge transfer band in comparison to simple nickel(II) salphen complexes in the UV/vis spectra.^{68a} At the most redshifted absorption maximum ($\lambda_{\text{abs}} = 595 \text{ nm}$), a large circular dichroism (CD) of $\Delta\epsilon = 105$ was found. Furthermore, by the variation of the substitution pattern on the enantiopure regioisomeric [6]-helicene bisalicylaldehydes, different winding motifs within the corresponding chiral helicenes were realized as depicted in Scheme 4.^{68b}

Another example of helical 1D metal salphen polymer was obtained by the condensation of chiral 3,3'-diformylbinaphthol derivatives with various α,ω -diamines and subsequent complexation of Zn²⁺- or Mn²⁺-ions (Scheme 5).¹⁰¹ The chiral helices showed clear differences in CD-spectroscopy by decreased Cotton effects at $\lambda = 230 \text{ nm}$ for the helical




Scheme 5 Synthesis of helical polymers from enantiomerically pure dinaphthyl-bisalicylaldehyde and different α,ω -diamines.¹⁰¹ Section of the polymeric helix is depicted as a geometry-optimized model (MMFF94, MM2).


polymers in comparison to mononuclear model compounds. Furthermore, the aforementioned band at $\lambda = 230 \text{ nm}$, which is assigned to the naphthalene subunits, redshifted approximately about $\Delta\lambda = 30 \text{ nm}$, which is a hint for a close proximity of adjacent naphthalene units as proposed by geometry optimized models (MMFF94 and MM2) (Scheme 5). By GPC analyses, average molecular masses of up to 13,000 Da (approximately 10 repeating units) were estimated.^{101b} Interestingly, the helical induction of the binaphthol units is not transferred to polymer chains when copolymerized with biphenyl bisalicylaldehyde in various ratios (from 100:0 to 0:100) in the presence of a soluble phenylenediamine and nickel(II) acetate (Scheme 5).⁶⁷

Also worth mentioning is a superstructure that was presented by Houjou and coworkers in 2003. Two strands of linear polysalicylimines build a double-helix by the formation of salen-like moieties after complexation of Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, and Cu²⁺ ions. The resulting polymers formed microspheres with diameters between 0.5 and 1.4 μm indicating ordered materials on the macroscopic scale (Scheme 6).¹⁰²

In 2002, Lavastre *et al.* used palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira–Hagihara cross-coupling reactions the first time to synthesize 1D metal salphen polymers (Scheme 7).¹⁰³ A library of different linear polysalphen with Ni(II)- as well as Zn(II)-metal centers and various dialkynes as linking units (among several polymers without salphen units) were



Scheme 6 Left: synthesis of Houjou's linear polyimines interconnected by salen-like moieties.¹⁰² Right: SEM micrographs of Cu(II) polymers creating microspheres. (Parts of the scheme are adapted with permission from Ref. 102. Copyright © 2003 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH Co. KGaA, Weinheim.)




Scheme 7 Synthesis of 1D-polysalphenes by palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira-Hagihara cross-coupling.^{103,104}

generated. The corresponding polymers emitted light in solution but were unfortunately no potential candidates for organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) due to quenched solid-state emission.¹⁰³

MacLachlan and coworkers used a similar method to obtain soluble poly(salphen-alkyne)s with Zn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} as well as VO^{2+} centers.^{104a} GPC analyses revealed high molecular masses of 17,000 Da for the Ni-salphen and up to 84,000 for the VO-salphen polymer (Scheme 7). Furthermore, by the variation of the substitution pattern of the halogens at the salphen units, helical or zig-zag-type polysalphen chains with

Zn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} ^{104b} as well as with Pt^{2+} centers have been realized.¹⁰⁵ Furthermore, different external substituents like saccharines were used as well.^{105,106}

An interesting example of a 1D salphen polymer with an ordered 3D structure was presented by Liu and coworkers in 2015 by condensing a bisalicylaldehyde and phenazine diamine with zinc acetate.¹⁰⁷ It is suggested that due to the extended aromatic backbone of the precursors, the resulting polymer chains pack in an ordered fashion to form crystalline supramolecular 3D networks. Crystallinity of the material was proven by scanning electron microscopy and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD; Scheme 8). Interestingly, it was reported that these polymers are permanently porous, having a specific



Scheme 8 Top: synthesis of Liu's 1D Zn(II) salphen polymer from a naphthalene bisalicylaldehyde and 2,3-diaminophenazine. Bottom: SEM micrographs of the obtained microspheres (a) and rods (b), and PXRD pattern of the corresponding material (c).¹⁰⁷ (Parts of the scheme are adapted with permission from Ref. 107. Copyright © 2015 The Polymer Society of Korea and Springer.)


surface area of $SA_{BET} = 206 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$.¹⁰⁷ The non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) pore size distribution showed a maximum in the microporous regime with $d_{\text{pore}} = 1.4 \text{ nm}$ and mesoporous proportions with $d_{\text{pore}} = 2.5$ and 4.4 nm . Unfortunately, no structural model was suggested on how these highly defined pores are generated by the packing of the linear polymer strands in the crystalline state.¹⁰⁷

Other methods such as the epoxy polymerization¹⁰⁸ or Gilch polymerization¹⁰⁹ have been used to synthesize 1D polysalphenes. For the polymers derived from these methods as well as for several ionic polymers,¹¹⁰ no structural suggestions were made.

2D-Salen and Salphen Polymers


By structural modification of the molecular building blocks, 2D salen and salphen polymers can be realized.

Although the first 1D polymers were reported in 1954 (see above), half a century had to pass until Gothelf and coworkers presented the first 2D salen polymer in 2005.¹¹¹ The condensation of a C₃-symmetric trissalicylaldehyde and ethylene diamine¹¹¹ gave a metal-free network (Scheme 9) with at least locally ordered structures as




determined by PXRD. Interestingly, when condensed with chiral ethylene diamines, the presence of Mn(II)-acetate was necessary to observe a comparable PXRD signal pattern.¹¹¹ Ding, Wang, and coworkers optimized the reaction conditions of the same reaction in 2017 and synthesized a structurally related material with high crystallinity by solvothermal methods (dioxane, ethanol, acetic acid, 120 °C, 3 d).¹¹² By NLDFT calculations a pore-size distribution with a maximum diameter of 2.5 nm was found, fitting to the expected mesopore size of 2.9 nm according to the proposed eclipsed alignment of the 2D polymer sheets.¹¹² After subsequent metalation, the M-salen covalent organic frameworks (COFs) with Cu(II)-, Ni(II)-, Zn(II)-, Co(II)-, and Mn(II)-metal centers were obtained. It is worth mentioning that for both the unsubstituted (pH = 1–13) and Co(II)-salen (pH = 2–13) COFs, high chemical stabilities were reported.

Liu and Cui just prior to Yang and coworkers synthesized further salen COFs using salicylaldehydes with 1,3,5-triphenylbenzene as the core structure in condensation reactions (Scheme 10).¹¹³ Liu and Cui first synthesized chiral Zn-salen-COFs (CCOF-3) and pointed out that the stability of the COFs differs with changing substituents (H



vs. ^tBu) of the salicylaldehyde units with a higher stability of *tert*-butyl-substituted COFs due to “kinetic blocking.”^{113a} After transmetalation,¹¹⁴ mixed metal networks with Zn(II) and one or two other metal ions were obtained (CCOF-4-M). The exact metal ratios were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES).

Yang and coworkers followed the approach of first synthesizing the metal-free COF, which was postmetalated in a second step with Co²⁺, Mn³⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺ ions to give the corresponding COF-salen-M. For the COF-salen-M series once more a good agreement of an NLDFT calculated pore-size distribution maximum (1.86 nm) with that from the model (calculated pore diameter = 1.9 nm) was found when a fully eclipsed stacking of the 2D polymer sheets was assumed.^{113b} The COF-salen-M series did not decompose in a broad pH range (from 1 M HCl to 1 M NaOH




Scheme 11 Synthesis and structural representation of the **M₃-MaSOF** series. Box: single-crystal X-ray structure of a trinuclear nickel model complex with a metal-to-metal distance of 6.74 Å. (Parts of the scheme are adapted with permission from Ref. 115. Copyright © 2019 American Chemical Society.)

solutions) as proven by PXRD analyses of the immersed COF samples.

As it has been mentioned above, the sheets of the 2D salphen and salen COFs pack in an eclipsed fashion, thus in theory making the metal centers inaccessible for substrates of any kind. To avoid such a packing in combination with an ideal close arrangement of salphen metal centers, we synthesized Ni₃- and Cu₃-MaSOFs (Scheme 11)¹¹⁵ based on a triptycene trisalicylaldehyde.¹¹⁶ Indeed, by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) structure analyses of discrete trinuclear model complexes (Scheme 11, right), metal-to-metal distances of $d_{M-M} = 6.7 \text{ \AA}$ were found, which according to Zhang should be ideal to bind CO₂ synergically.¹¹⁷ Unfortunately, the corresponding polymers Ni₃- and Cu₃-MaSOFs did not give any crystalline material with honeycomb 2D-sheets but were rather amorphous (Scheme 11). The absence of highly ordered pores was also confirmed by the QSDFT (quenched solid DFT) pore-size analysis revealing that with 1.1–1.2 nm these are nearly half in size of what would have been expected for the idealized structure. Nevertheless, IR comparison of the MaSOFs with their molecular model compounds suggested the existence of well-defined trinuclear units within the polymers causing a high affinity towards CO₂, which will be discussed below.

Similar to 1D polysalphen, 2D polysalphen have also been synthesized by palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.¹¹⁸ Surprisingly, despite the large toolbox of different coupling reactions as well as an unlimited stock of molecular building blocks, to the best of our knowledge, exclusively the Sonogashira–Hagihara cross-coupling of 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene with halogenated salens or salphen

has been used for this purpose so far (Scheme 12).¹¹⁸ The polymers were permanently porous and mainly used in heterogeneous catalysis as discussed below.




Scheme 12 Overview of 2D salen- and salphen-containing organic polymers made by Sonogashira–Hagihara cross-coupling reactions. Box: cut-out of the connecting unit.¹¹⁸

2D salen and salphen polymers were also obtained by radical polymerizations of vinyl- or allyl-substituted salphens¹¹⁹ and interfacial polymerization¹²⁰ by cross-linking of linearly connected polymer chains. These polymers were of amorphous nature and have been used for the catalytic decomposition of H₂O₂ as in the case of Gupta's *N,N*-BSPDA-based beads (see discussion below) as well as the activation of molecular oxygen in the aerobic oxidation of cumene.¹²⁰

3D-Salen and Salphen Polymers


The majority of all COF structures are based on 2D-sheets and a much smaller proportion of COFs is three-dimensional.¹²¹ Therefore, it is not surprising that only a few 3D polysalphens have been reported till date. In 2012 Mastalerz synthesized the first 3D salphen frameworks by the condensation of a tetraphenylmethane tetrakis-salicylaldehyde¹²² and 1,2-phenylene diamine in the presence of Ni(II)- or Zn(II)-acetate (Scheme 13).¹²³ The resulting



Scheme 13 Syntheses and structural motifs of Mastalerz's MaSOF-1 and -2, as well as Fang's JUC-508, JUC-509, and the corresponding metalated networks.^{123,124}

MaSOFs were analyzed by IR as well as ¹³C-CP-MAS spectroscopy, suggesting a high degree of polymerization because nearly no remaining aldehyde moieties were detected. Despite their amorphous nature, both MaSOFs were isostructural in pore size (4.2 Å) and specific surface areas (S_{BET} = 630–647 m²/g) (see discussion below).


In 2019, Fang and coworkers reacted halogenated *ortho*-phenylene diamines with Mastalerz's tetraphenylmethane-based tetrakis-salicylaldehyde under solvothermal conditions and obtained the highly crystalline COFs JUC-508 (Hal = F) and JUC-509 (Hal = Cl) (Scheme 13).¹²⁴ These networks retained their crystallinity after complexation with Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Eu³⁺ ions. PXRD analyses in combination



Scheme 14 Cui's COF-1, COF-2, COF-2-Zn, and COF-2-Zn.¹²⁴

with modeling revealed for all COFs noninterpenetrated networks of a *dia* topology.¹²⁴ When an extended tetraphenylmethane core with one more phenyl group¹²² or a silicon-centered analogue was used, sevenfold interpenetrated salphen COFs resulted, again with the *dia* topology (Scheme 14).¹²⁵ In this case, an in-situ metalation upon condensation in addition to the postmetalation of presynthesized COFs gave networks with high degrees of crystallinity.¹²⁵


It is worth mentioning that an inverse building block design was reported based on tetraaminotetraphenylmethane with a formyl-substituted Mn-salen (Scheme 15).¹²⁶ The obtained materials showed gel-like behavior and have



Scheme 15 Synthesis of a chiral Mn-salen imine gel. Inset: photograph of Mn-salen imine gel (0.02 mol/L in DMSO).¹²⁶ (Adapted with permission from Ref. 126. Copyright © 2015 The Royal Society of Chemistry.)


been used in the asymmetric kinetic resolution of a racemic mixture of *R*- and *S*-1-phenylethanol.

Similar to MaSOF-1 and 2 as well as the M_3 -MaSOFs, the M-MaSOF_{50/100} series was synthesized via the imine condensation approach in the presence of the corresponding metal salts (Scheme 16).¹²⁷ For this purpose, a triptycene-based hexakis-salicylaldehyde needed to be



synthesized by a sequence of a sixfold Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling, a sixfold Duff formylation, and finally a sixfold demethylation. This hexakis-salicylaldehyde was used to synthesize a series of nearly isostructural MaSOFs with Zn(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Pd(II), and Pt(II) metal centers.¹²⁷ Independent from the reaction temperature, the MaSOF₅₀ and MaSOF₁₀₀ series showed comparable narrow pore-size distributions with micropores of 0.55–0.59 nm in diameter.

Besides the polymers obtained by imine condensations, the Sonogashira–Hagihara cross-coupling of tetrakis(4-ethynylphenyl)methane with different halogenated salen complexes was also used to synthesize 3D polymers. In 2013, the Son group synthesized the first 3D Cr³⁺- and Al³⁺-salen networks (M-MON series) by cross-coupling methods of tetra(4-ethynylphenyl)methane with *trans*-cyclohexyldiamine-based diiodo-salens (Scheme 17).¹²⁸ The insoluble polymers were investigated by ¹³C-MAS-NMR as well as X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and PXRD, revealing their



amorphous nature due to the irreversibility of the cross-coupling conditions. In accordance with their 2D-analogues, the M-MON series possess permanent porosity and were used as heterogeneous catalysts (see discussed below).

Material Properties and Applications

Depending on the molecular structure, the dimensionality, and the nature of the metal centers of the salen and salphens units, different materials properties result that lead to certain potential applications, which are discussed within the next section.

Conductive Films

Salen or salphen films prepared by electropolymerization commonly find application as conductive materials. One of the first examples was presented by Dahm and Peters in 1994 (Scheme 3).^{93a} In this study, the catalytic reductions of iodoethane and 2-iodopropane to the corresponding ethyl- and 2-propyl radicals by the polysalphen films were studied and the mixture of subsequent products (different alkanes and the corresponding alkenes) was investigated. A comparison of the product distributions of the nickel(II)-salen coated electrode with those of an uncoated one revealed that the film-coated electrodes exclusively generate products through a radical mechanism while the reaction on the uncoated electrode occurs via a cationic mechanism, demonstrating the significance of the salen polymer film in such transformations.^{93a}

In several studies the potential applications of salen or salphen/MWCNT composite materials as supercapacitors

have been investigated. A direct relationship of the polysalen/MWCNT-ratio and the capacity of the resulting material was found,^{98c} and optimized films possessed between 3.8 and 8 times higher capacities compared to uncoated MWCNTs^{98a,99a} with specific capacitances of up to $C = 200$ F/g for a poly-Ni(II)salphen coated as well as $C = 150$ F/g for a poly-Ni(II)salen coated MWCNT electrode.^{99b} The latter also gives insight into the modulation of the electronic properties upon incorporation of salen or salphen backbones into conductive polymers. This was nicely exploited, for example, with conductive crown-ether decorated Ni(II)- and Cu(II)-salen films, which have been

used for molecular sensing of nanomolar amounts of metal ions (Li^+ , Na^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ba^{2+}) and other nonionic species.^{94b} In another study, nitric oxide ($\text{NO}_{(g)}$) was detected by a cobalt-salen-based PEDOT-substituted film.⁹⁷ For this purpose, the as-mentioned cobalt-salen-based PEDOT polymers were electropolymerized onto an interdigitated microelectrode array (black in Figure 4, top) and the difference in the resistance (ΔR) between the parent sensor (R_1) and the sensor under a stream of NO gas (R_2) was evaluated (black and red curves in Figure 4, bottom). The comparison to a similar setup with an electropolymerized PEDOT without salen units (blue curve, Figure 4, bottom) clearly indicated the role of the Co-salen moieties as the recognition sites of the sensor films.⁹⁷





Figure 4 Top: schematic representation of interdigitated microelectrode array with an electropolymerized Co(III)-salen PEDOT copolymer as a sensor layer for NO sensing. Bottom: differential resistance (R_2/R_1 , see top figure) against time with various $\text{NO}_{(g)}$ concentrations. Black: unconditioned poly-Co(III)-PEDOT film; red: conditioned (at 0.262 V) poly-Co(III)-PEDOT film; blue: PEDOT film without salen moieties.⁹⁷ (Adapted with permission from Ref. 97. Copyright © 2006 American Chemical Society.)


Heterogeneous Catalysts

The use of salens as well as salphens in homogenous catalysis inevitably led to the development of polymeric salens or salphens as heterogeneous catalysts. As early as in 1983, Wöhrle and coworkers demonstrated that a simple Co(II)-salen polymer can catalyze the valence isomerization of quadricyclane to norbornadiene, which has been proved to be superior for example to Co(II)-porphyrin polymers (Scheme 18).¹²⁹



Scheme 18 Valence isomerization of norbornadiene to quadricyclane catalyzed by a Co(II) salen polymer.¹²⁹

Gupta *et al.* constructed *N,N*-bis(3-allyl salicylidene)-*o*-phenylenediamine (*N,N*-BSPDA) based polymer beads (Scheme 19). It is worth mentioning that the polymer beads were among one of the first polymeric salphen materials with permanent porosity proven by gas sorption (Table 1).^{119a} Depending on the cross-linker, specific surface areas up to 380 m^2/g have been obtained. After Co(II)



Scheme 19 Gupta's *N,N*-BSPDA-based beads by radical polymerization. Right: SEM micrograph of the corresponding bead after swelling. (Adapted with permission from Ref. 119a. Copyright © 2003 Elsevier Science B. V.)

Table 1 Porous salen- and salphen-containing organic polymers used as heterogeneous catalysts

Name	Metal	S _{BET} ^a [m ² /g]	Catalytic reaction	Yield [%] up to ^b	Ref.
JUC-509-Cu	Cu	957	Dismutation of O ₂ ^{•-}	100 ^c	124
JUC-509-Mn	Mn	1016	Dismutation of O ₂ ^{•-}	~50 ^c	124
JUC-509-Eu	Eu	942	Dismutation of O ₂ ^{•-}	~10 ^c	124
CCOF-4-Mn	Zn/Mn	614	Asym. epoxidation of alkenes	79 ^d (97% ee) ^e	113a
CCOF-4-V	Zn/V	547	Asym. cyanation of aldehydes	79 ^d (94% ee) ^e	113a
CCOF-4-Co	Zn/Co	628	Asym. Diels–Alder reaction	87 ^d (96% ee) ^e	113a
CCOF-4-Fe	Zn/Fe	566	Asym. epoxidation of alkenes	71 ^d (92% ee) ^e	113a
CCOF-4-Cr	Zn/Cr	633	Asym. aminolysis of <i>trans</i> -stilbenes	76 ^d (86% ee) ^e	113a
CCOF-4-Cr-Mn	Zn/Cr/Mn	616	Alkene epoxidation with subsequent epoxide aminolysis	84 ^d (91% ee) ^e	113a
Co/Salen-COF	Co	854	Henry reaction	92 ^f	112
COF-salen-Co	Co	1065	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	91 ^d	113b
COF-salen-Co(III)	Co(III)	836	Epichlorohydrin hydration	98 ^d	113b
COF-salen-Mn	Mn	961	Styrene epoxidation	74 ^d	113b
COF-salen-Cu	Cu	1258	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	20 ^d	113b
COF-salen-Zn	Zn	1032	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	90 ^d	113b
MsMOP-1	Pd	554	Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions	99 ^d	118a
Cr-MON	Cr	522	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	66 ^g	128
Al-MON	Al	650	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	71 ^g	128
Co-MON	Co	580	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	94 ^g	128
Co-CMP	Co	965	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	81 ^h	118b
Al-CMP	Al	798	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	91 ^h	118b
Mn-POP	Mn	836	Alkene epoxidation	>99 ^d	118d
POP-1-Co	Co	370	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	97 ^g	118e
POP-1-Cr	Cr	732	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	98 ^g	118e
DVB@ISA	Al	590	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	99 ^d	110c
Al-CPOP	Al	136	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	>99 ^d	132
Zn@SBMMP	Zn	423	CO ₂ addition to epoxides	97 ^f	133
N,N'-BSPDA Bead Type I	–	56	H ₂ O ₂ decomposition	–	119a
N,N'-BSPDA Bead Type II	–	72	H ₂ O ₂ decomposition	–	119a
N,N'-BSPDA Bead Type III	–	94	H ₂ O ₂ decomposition	–	119a
N,N'-BSPDA Bead Type IV	–	132	H ₂ O ₂ decomposition	–	119a
N,N'-BSPDA Bead Type V	–	380	H ₂ O ₂ decomposition	–	119a

^aDerived from N₂ sorption experiments at 77 K.

^bFor selected examples.

^cClearance rate.

^dDetermined by GC analyses.

^eDetermined by HPLC analyses.


^fIsolated yield based on benzaldehyde.

^gDetermined by ¹H-NMR analyses.

^hIsolated yield.

complexation, the beads became catalytically active for the decomposition of H₂O₂.^{119a}

Mn-POP, a 2D salen polymer obtained via the above-described Sonogashira–Hagihara approach (for the structure see discussion above; Scheme 12), was also permanently porous (S_{BET} = 836 m²/g, Table 1) and was capable to catalytically transfer olefins to the corresponding epoxides with conversions of up to 99%, similar to Vancheesan's M(III) polysalphenes (Scheme 20).^{118d,130}




A large number of metal-salen and -salphen polymers have been used in the synthesis of cyclic carbonates from epoxides upon CO₂ capture, as it is known from the corresponding discrete complexes.^{72a,128,131} The key advantage to their smaller congeners is their recyclability as a heterogeneous catalyst.^{110a,118e,119b,132} For example, Deng *et al.*'s CMP series (for the structure see discussion above; Scheme 12) was able to be used for cyclic carbonate formations in up to 22 cycles even under ambient conditions.^{118b}

Polymers such as POP-1-Cr (S_{BET} = 732 m²/g),^{118e} POP-1-Co (S_{BET} = 370 m²/g),^{118e} Al-CPOP (S_{BET} = 136 m²/g)¹³² or Co- (S_{BET} = 580 m²/g),^{118b} and Al-CMP (S_{BET} = 798 m²/g)^{118b} possess permanent porosity as indicated by nitrogen sorption (see discussion below; Table 1). High turnover frequencies (TOF) of up to 1875 h⁻¹^{113b} and turnover numbers (TONs) of over 200 have been achieved for


example in addition reactions of CO₂ to epoxides.¹³³ The highest TONs (496) were achieved with an ionic ammonium polymer bearing salen Co(III)-salens^{110b} or with POP-1-Cr (693).^{118e}

One interesting approach to capture CO₂ that is different from the one described above is realized with ionic Zn(II)-salen polymer DVB@ISZ, which converts the CO₂ to synthetically more valuable formamides in high yields of up to 99% from amines using PhSiH₃ as an in-situ reductant (Scheme 21).^{110c} The high reactivity was attributed to the




Lewis acidic nature of the Zn(II) centers in salen and salphen moieties, as has been reported for other Zn(II) salphens too.^{72a,73a,b,78a,134}

It is obvious that asymmetric transformations were realized by using chiral salen polymers. For example, Takata and coworkers reported the asymmetric addition of diethylzinc to aldehydes using a chiral helical Zn(II)-polysalen (structure in Scheme 5) in high enantiomeric excess (*ee*) of 95%, while the use of the related enantiomerically pure mononuclear Zn(II) salphen resulted in a product with an *ee* of only 5% (Scheme 22).^{101a,c}




An isostructural chiral helical poly-Mn(III)-salen was used in the asymmetric epoxidation of styrenes, but only delivered a low selectivity of 17% *ee*,^{101b} which is higher than that for the homogenous pendant (1–6% *ee*), but unfortunately lower than that of other chiral salen complexes (*ee* up to 98%).¹³⁵ This was improved in 2005 by Gothelf and coworkers using also chiral Mn(III) salen polymers (structure in Scheme 9; see above), but this time chirality was introduced by enantiomerically pure diamines.¹¹¹ The transformation of *cis*-methyl styrene was performed with a *cis/trans*-ratio of 12:1 and an *ee* of 67%.

The epoxidation by porous salphen polymers was further improved by Cui and coworkers in 2017.^{113a} The porous Mn(III)- (CCOF-4-Mn; S_{BET} = 614 m²/g) alongside the Fe(III)-salen polymers (CCOF-4-Fe; S_{BET} = 566 m²/g; for structures, see Scheme 10) were able to convert 2,2-dimethyl-2H-chromene with iodosylbenzene (or derivatives thereof) as an oxidant to the corresponding epoxides with up to 97% *ee* (Scheme 23).^{113a} In this publication, the full potential of embedding different metal ions into the pockets of salens



(here CCOF-4-M) for different reactions was demonstrated. For instance, other asymmetric transformations were achieved with high yields and high *ee* values, such as the cyanosilylation of aldehydes (M = V; up to 94% *ee*), Diels-Alder reactions of 1-amino-substituted butadienes and α -amino acroleins (M = Co; up to 96% *ee*), aminolysis of *trans*-stilbene oxide with anilines (M = Cr; up to 96% *ee*), or epoxidation of alkenes followed by a subsequent ring



Scheme 24 Asymmetric transformations catalyzed by different members of Cui's CCOF-4-M series (for synthesis and structures, see Scheme 10).^{113a}

opening in the presence of anilines to obtain amino alcohols ($M = \text{Cr}, \text{Mn}$; up to 91% *ee*) (Scheme 24).

All polymers were porous (S_{BET} up to 683 m^2/g , Table 1) and their reusability was exemplarily proven for the cyanation reaction: no leaching of metal ions was detected by ICP-OES and the crystallinity remained even after five runs as investigated by PXRD.^{113a}

Other examples are Co(III) -salen polymers catalyzing the Henry reaction of substituted benzaldehydes and nitromethane¹¹² or the hydration of epichlorohydrin (EPH).^{113b}

Interestingly, Pd(II) -salen polymers have been reported to catalyze cross-coupling reactions such as Suzuki–Miyaura reactions of various arylhalides with phenylboronic acid or Heck reactions of arylhalides with styrene or ethyl acrylate in yields up to 99%.^{118a} This rare and unusual use of metal salphens in C–C cross-coupling reactions¹³⁶ underlines that the broad potential of porous polymetal-salphens still needs to be explored.

Porous Materials for Gas Sorption and Separation

Most of the above-discussed catalytically active metal salen or salphen polymers are permanently porous, with high surface areas, which is favorable to provide accessible

catalytic centers. The data of nitrogen sorption experiments of these salen and salphen polymers are summarized in Table 1. Among the metal-containing porous salen and salphen polymers, Yang's COF-salen-Cu (see above; Scheme 10) that was used for catalytic CO_2 fixation and the corresponding metal-free COF-salen precursor have with $S_{\text{BET}} = 1,258$ and 1,646 m^2/g , respectively, the highest reported specific surface areas of all here-discussed polymers (Scheme 24).^{113b} Besides for heterogeneous catalysis, porous salphen and salen polymers were investigated for gas sorption and separation.

As discussed above, Mastalerz *et al.* reported 3D porous networks based on a tetraphenylmethane unit as the building block in 2012 (structure in Scheme 13). The resulting porous salphen polymers were named MaSOF-1 ($M = \text{Ni(II)}$, $S_{\text{BET}} = 647 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) and MaSOF-2 ($M = \text{Zn(II)}$, $S_{\text{BET}} = 630 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$; Table 2).¹²³ Both MaSOFs adsorbed comparable amounts of H_2 at 77 K and 1 bar (4.46 mmol/g, 0.90 wt.% and 4.14 mmol/g, 0.90 wt.%) but the zinc-containing MaSOF-2 showed a slightly higher uptake of CO_2 (2.23 mmol/g, 9.8 wt.%) than the nickel analogue MaSOF-1 (1.83 mmol/g, 8.1 wt.%), which was attributed to the higher Lewis acidity of the incorporated zinc centers (Table 2).¹²³

For some of the salphens used for CO_2 fixation (see above), the corresponding volumetric uptakes of CO_2 at 1 bar were determined before catalysis testing. Co- as well as Al-CMP for example takes up 1.80 mmol/g (7.93 wt.%; Co-CMP) and 1.73 mmol/g (7.65 wt.%; Al-CMP) at 298 K, respectively (Table 2).^{118b} Among those salphen polymers used for heterogeneous catalysis for CO_2 addition on epoxides, Zn@SBMMP took up the highest amount of CO_2 (2.14 mmol/g, 9.40 wt.%). This value is only outperformed by salphen networks designed for gas sorption (Table 2).^{118c,115,123,127} For example, one of the first porous MaSOFs, MaSOF-2, has already been reported to have an uptake of 2.23 mmol/g (9.8 wt.%) of CO_2 at 273 K and 1 bar.¹²³

In 2018 the Mastalerz group introduced the next generation of MaSOFs based on a triptycene hexakisallyl-aldehyde. In combination with different metal sources, two isostructural series of compounds (M -MaSOF₅₀ and M -MaSOF₁₀₀) with $M = \text{Zn(II)}, \text{Cu(II)}, \text{Ni(II)}, \text{Pd(II)}$ as well as Pt(II) were synthesized at 50 and 100 °C (denoted by the suffix in the name). The BET surface areas measured by nitrogen sorption at 77 K (Figure 5) of all compounds range between 492 and 816 m^2/g . More importantly, all structures have pronounced and defined pores in the microporous regime with a diameter of approximately 5.7 Å (Figure 5), thus making these compounds ideal to compare the influence of the metal centers on gas sorption and selectivity. Significantly higher CO_2 uptakes were detected for the M -MaSOF₅₀ and M -MaSOF₁₀₀ series in comparison to the MaSOFs 1 and –2. For instance, Ni-

Table 2 Comparison of porous salen- and salphen-containing organic polymers used for gas sorption and separation

Name	Metal	S _{AgET} ^a [m ² /g]	CO ₂ uptake ^b [mmol/g] (wt.%)	IAST selectivity		Ref.
				CO ₂ /CH ₄ ^c	CO ₂ /N ₂ ^d	
MaSOF-1	Ni	647	1.83 (8.05)	– ^e	–	123
MaSOF-2	Zn	630	2.23 (9.81)	–	–	123
Zn-MaSOF ₁₀₀	Zn	638	4.28 (13.6)	10	48	127
Zn-MaSOF ₅₀	Zn	540	4.02 (12.8)	9.5	45	127
Ni-MaSOF ₁₀₀	Ni	816	4.83 (15.6)	9.0	42	127
Ni-MaSOF ₅₀	Ni	754	4.48 (14.5)	8.8	44	127
Cu-MaSOF ₁₀₀	Cu	697	4.66 (14.9)	10.3	52	127
Cu-MaSOF ₅₀	Cu	725	4.72 (15.1)	9.9	50	127
Pd-MaSOF ₁₀₀	Pd	511	4.11 (12.0)	10.5	56	127
Pd-MaSOF ₅₀	Pd	575	4.24 (12.4)	8.7	43	127
Pt-MaSOF ₁₀₀	Pt	572	4.39 (10.9)	9.5	86	127
Pt-MaSOF ₅₀	Pt	492	4.09 (10.2)	9.5	48	127
H ₂ -SOF	–	116	2.12 (7.84)	10.5	32	127
Ni ₃ -MaSOF _{as}	Ni	373	2.36 (10.4)	16	89	115
Ni ₃ -MaSOF _w	Ni	441	2.45 (10.8)	11	64	115
Cu ₃ -MaSOF _{as}	Cu	625	3.39 (14.9)	12	69	115
Cu ₃ -MaSOF _w	Cu	890	5.02 (22.1)	9	40	115
MsMOP-Ni	Ni	1087	1.85 (8.15)	–	46 ^f	118c
MsMOP-Zn	Zn	785	1.17 (5.16)	–	31 ^f	118c
MsMOP-Pt	Pt	1202	1.67 (7.33)	–	20 ^f	118c
CMP	–	772	1.61 (7.10)	–	–	118b
Al-CMP	Al	798	1.73 (7.65)	–	–	118b
Co-CMP	Co	965	1.80 (7.93)	–	–	118b
DVB@ISA	Al	590	1.14 (5.04)	–	–	110c
Al-CPOP	Al	136	0.54 (2.36) ^g	–	–	132
Zn@SBMMP	Zn	423	2.14 (9.40)	–	–	133

^aDerived from N₂ sorption experiments at 77 K.

^bAt 273 K and 1 bar.

^cFor a 50:50 mixture at 273 K and 0.1 bar.

^dFor a 20:80 mixture at 273 K and 0.1 bar.

^eNot determined.

^fIdeal selectivity.

^gAt 298 K and 1 bar.

MaSOF₁₀₀ adsorbs the highest amount of CO₂ (4.83 mmol/g, 15.6 wt.%) at 273 K and 1 bar (Figure 5 and Table 2) within this series.¹²⁷ For all MaSOFs in these series, high Henry- and ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) selectivities of CO₂ against CH₄ or N₂ were determined with Pt-MaSOF₁₀₀ having the highest selectivity for CO₂/N₂ of $S_{IAST} = 86$ at 0.1 bar at 273 K.¹²⁷ This value is one of the highest reported for all polysalphen, higher than those found in the MsMOP-M series (S_{IDEAL} up to 46)^{118c} and only outperformed by Ni₃-MaSOF_{as} ($S_{IAST} = 89$ (CO₂/N₂) at 0.1 bar; see also discussion below).¹¹⁵

The next evolutionary step in the construction of MaSOFs for gas sorption was the development of the M₃-MaSOFs (M = Cu and Ni).¹¹⁵ Based on previous results in




Figure 5 Top: nitrogen sorption isotherms (77 K) of MaSOF₅₀ series. Bottom: QSDFT pore-size distributions of the MaSOF₅₀ series.¹²⁷ (Adapted with permission from Ref. 127. Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society.)





Figure 6 Adsorption geometry of one molecule of CO₂ on a [2 + 3]-nickel complex calculated by DFT (def2-SVPD level of theory) as a model complex for the M₃-MaSOF series.¹¹⁵ (Adapted with permission from Ref. 115. Copyright © 2019 American Chemical Society.)

the area of MOFs,^{117,137} the idea was to generate networks that contain trinuclear metal units, where the metals are in close proximity to synergically bind CO₂. Indeed, model complexes of these trinuclear units revealed metal–metal distances ($d = 6.65\text{--}6.74 \text{ \AA}$) that should be ideal for CO₂ sorption (see above; Scheme 11, Table 2, and Figure 6).¹¹⁵ For Ni₃-MaSO_F_{as}, an isosteric heat of adsorption of $Q_{\text{st}} = 35.6 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (Figure 6 and Figure 7) was measured, which is comparable to the values of some of the best-performing MOFs such as Ni-MOF-74 ($Q_{\text{st}} = 37\text{--}42 \text{ kJ/mol}$),¹³⁸ HKUST-1 ($Q_{\text{st}} = 15\text{--}35 \text{ kJ/mol}$),¹³⁹ or MIL-120 ($Q_{\text{st}} = 38 \text{ kJ/mol}$).¹⁴⁰ The resulting selectivities are S_{IAST}

$= 16 \text{ (CO}_2\text{/CH}_4\text{)}$ and $S_{\text{IAST}} = 89 \text{ (CO}_2\text{/N}_2\text{)}$ for Ni₃-MaSO_F_{as} at 0.1 bar and 273 K (Table 2 and Figure 7).¹¹⁵ To get a deeper insight into the adsorption processes, DFT calculations (def2-SVPD) on the synergic binding of CO₂ were performed (Figure 7). A high energy gain for the adsorption of two molecules of CO₂ suggests cooperative effects during the adsorption event. This is experimentally supported by a stepwise adsorption of CO₂ at 195 K (Figure 7). Finally, the potential application in gas separation was shown by calculated breakthrough curves which was found to occur after approximately 10 min for an 80:20 mixture of N₂ and CO₂ at 1 bar and 1 L/min flow



rate with a hypothetical column of 200×30 mm and 60 g of substrate.¹¹⁵

Miscellaneous Applications

In addition to the as-discussed applications, salen- and salphen-containing polymers were used for example as light-emitting materials in solution.^{103–106,141} While some of the polymers were only investigated phenomenologically,¹⁰³ MacLachlan's linear 1D Zn(II)-containing poly(salphenyleneethynylenes) showed a fluorescence enhancement upon addition of Lewis bases such as pyridine, proving a potential application as a fluorescent sensor for such.^{104b} This was further underlined by a series of poly(Pt-salphen)-(para-phenyleneethynylenes) providing low-energy emission ($\lambda_{Em} \sim 580\text{--}700$ nm) with a selective fluorescence quenching in the presence of Cu^{2+} ions, while other main group and transition metals did not influence the emission properties.¹⁰⁵

Another example for the use of 1D salen polymers is the metal-free polymer P1, which was used to enantio-discriminate chiral α -hydroxyl carboxylic acids. For instance, for mandelic acid an enantiomeric fluorescence difference ratio (ef)¹⁴² of up to 8.41 in preference to the (*L*)-enantiomer was found (Figure 8).¹⁴³ When reduced to the corresponding salan polymer, a “turn-off” of the fluorescence was observed, proving again that minor structural




Figure 8 Top: structure of a chiral polymer sensor P1. Bottom: fluorescence enhancement of P1 with (*D*)- and (*L*)-mandelic acid. Inset: photographic images of solutions of P1 (left), P1 with (*D*)-mandelic acid (middle), and P1 with (*L*)-mandelic acid in toluene/DME.¹⁴³ (Adapted with permission from Ref. 143. Copyright © 2012 American Chemical Society.)

changes in the polymeric backbone can tune the corresponding properties dramatically.

Based on defined pores, the COF-1 ($S_{\text{BET}} = 666$ m²/g) and COF-1-Zn networks ($S_{\text{BET}} = 460$ m²/g; see Scheme 11) were used as the stationary phase for HPLC to separate mixtures of ethylbenzene and xylene isomers (Figure 9).¹²⁵ With metal-free COF-1, baseline separation was observed with separation factors α of up to 2.0, resolution factors R of up to 5.2 as well as high effective theoretical plate numbers of up to 10980. These are in the range or even higher than those of, for example, stationary-phase bases on MOFs.¹⁴⁴




Figure 9 Representative chromatogram of a column packed with COF-1 (Scheme 15) used for the separation of ethylbenzene (EB) and xylene isomers upon different sample loading (depicted on the right).¹⁴⁴ (Adapted with permission from Ref. 144. Copyright © 2019 American Chemical Society.)

Conclusions and Outlook

To summarize, salen and salphen units and their corresponding metal complexes have been introduced into 1D, 2D, and 3D polymers and depending on the dimensionality and properties used for several applications. For instance, most 1D salphen polymers are conjugated compounds and thus it is not surprising that these have been investigated as conductive films, for example, to tune the redox properties of electrodes or the resistivity of multiwalled carbon nanotubes. Furthermore, some of the compounds show fluorescence and in combination with various Lewis acidic metal centers have been used for sensing by fluorescence quenching. If chiral salens instead of salphens are embedded into the polymer backbone, the sensing applications are broadened to chiral discrimination. It is worth mentioning that some of the first chiral helical ladder polymers synthesized by Katz and coworkers are based on the formation of metal salphens. There were three main synthetic approaches towards 1D polysalphens established: electropolymerization, condensation of aldehyde and amine moieties to imines, forming the salphen pockets, or cross-coupling reactions. The two latter have been used to make 2D salphen polymers as well. However, electropolymerization has not been used therefor. The vast majority of 2D as well as 3D salphen polymers are porous and therefore it is not surprising that in combination with the embedded metal centers, these have been used for catalytic applications as well as gas sorption and separation of smaller molecules. In both fields there are some materials that are comparable or even superior to similar compounds. For instance, some of the 2D chiral metal salen COFs are excellent catalysts for a number of asymmetric transformations, giving products in high yields and high *ee* values, thus perfectly combining heterogeneous reactivity with high surface areas. Due to the fact that almost all of the described 2D-salphen polymers are crystalline and being reported that the sheets are packed in an eclipsed fashion, the vast majority of metal salphen centers are not accessible for catalytic reactions or interactions with gases. Therefore, it is just logical that salphen COFs and polymers will be taken to the third dimension. Till date only a handful of 3D salphen polymers are known, but certainly they will be exploited in the future to enhance catalytic activities and gas sorption properties even more. The large plethora of metals that can be complexed by salen and salphen units as well as organic building blocks to construct polymers allows an infinite access of new materials with exciting properties.

References

- (1) Combes, A. C. *R. Acad. Fr.* **1889**, *108*, 1252.
- (2) (a) Takeuchi, T.; Böttcher, A.; Quezada, C. M.; Meade, T. J.; Gray, H. B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1999**, *7*, 815. (b) Kwiatkowski, E.;

- (c) Kwiatkowski, M. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1980**, *42*, 197. (c) Dedieu, A.; Rohmer, M. M.; Veillard, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 5789. (d) Böttcher, A.; Takeuchi, T.; Hardcastle, K. I.; Meade, T. J.; Gray, H. B.; Cwikel, D.; Kapon, M.; Dori, Z. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 2498.
- (3) (a) Matsumoto, K.; Oguma, T.; Katsuki, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7432. (b) Atwood, D. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *165*, 267. (c) Adão, P.; Costa Pessoa, J.; Henriques, R. T.; Kuznetsov, M. L.; Aveçilla, F.; Maurya, M. R.; Kumar, U.; Correia, I. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 3542.
- (4) (a) Whitelaw, E. L.; Loraine, G.; Mahon, M. F.; Jones, M. D. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 11469. (b) Whitelaw, E. L.; Davidson, M. G.; Jones, M. D. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 10004. (c) Matsumoto, K.; Saito, B.; Katsuki, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *35*, 3619. (d) Whitelaw, E. L.; Jones, M. D.; Mahon, M. F. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 7176.
- (5) (a) Li, X.-Y.; Chen, L.; Gao, L.; Zhang, Y.; Akogun, S. F.; Dong, W.-K. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 35905. (b) Dong, Y.-J.; Dong, X.-Y.; Dong, W.-K.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, L.-S. *Polyhedron* **2017**, *123*, 305. (c) Dong, W.-K.; Li, X.-L.; Wang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Ding, Y.-J. *Sens. Actuators, B* **2016**, *229*, 370. (d) Akine, S.; Taniguchi, T.; Nabeshima, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 6142.
- (6) (a) Akine, S.; Nabeshima, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 1205. (b) Yilmaz, I.; Temel, H.; Alp, H. *Polyhedron* **2008**, *27*, 125. (c) Sowden, R. J.; Trotter, K. D.; Dunbar, L.; Craig, G.; Erdemli, O.; Spickett, C. M.; Reglinski, J. *Biomaterials* **2013**, *26*, 85. (d) Patchett, R.; Magpantay, I.; Saudan, L.; Schotes, C.; Mezzetti, A.; Santoro, F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10352. (e) Fernandes, R. R.; Lasri, J.; Kirillov, A. M.; d. Silva, M. F. C. G.; d. Silva, J. A. L.; d. Silva, J. J. R. F.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *2011*, 3781. (f) Cox, K.; Kariuki, B. M.; Smyth, A.; Newman, P. D. *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 8485.
- (7) (a) Katsuki, T. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *140*, 189. (b) Whiteoak, C. J.; Salassa, G.; Kleij, A. W. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 622. (c) Canali, L.; Sherrington, D. C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1999**, *28*, 85. (d) McGarrigle, E. M.; Gilheany, D. G. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 1563. (e) Cozzi, P. G. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 410.
- (8) (a) Sasaki, H.; Irie, R.; Hamada, T.; Suzuki, K.; Katsuki, T. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 11827. (b) Jacobsen, E. N.; Zhang, W.; Muci, A. R.; Ecker, J. R.; Deng, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 7063. (c) Irie, R.; Noda, K.; Ito, Y.; Matsumoto, N.; Katsuki, T. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1991**, *2*, 481. (d) Irie, R.; Noda, K.; Ito, Y.; Matsumoto, N.; Katsuki, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 7345.
- (9) (a) Hamachi, K.; Irie, R.; Katsuki, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 4979. (b) Nishinaga, A.; Yamato, H.; Abe, T.; Maruyama, K.; Matsuura, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6309.
- (10) Fukuda, T.; Katsuki, T. *Synlett* **1995**, *1995*, 825.
- (11) (a) Palucki, M.; Hanson, P.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 7111. (b) Reddy, D. R.; Thornton, E. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, 172. (c) Adam, W.; Fell, R. T.; Stegmann, V. R.; Saha-Möller, C. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 708. (d) Caori, S.; Kiyohiko, N.; Masaaki, K.; Junnosuke, F. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *64*, 1318.
- (12) O'Connor, K. J.; Wey, S.-J.; Burrows, C. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1001.
- (13) (a) Jacobsen, E. N.; Kakiuchi, F.; Konsler, R. G.; Larrow, J. F.; Tokunaga, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 773. (b) Wu, M. H.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1693.
- (14) Schaus, S. E.; Brånalt, J.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 403.
- (15) North, M.; Orizu, C.; Tararov, V. I.; Ikonnikov, N. S.; Belokon, Y. N.; Hibbs, D. E.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Abdul Malik, K. M. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 387.
- (16) Balasanthiran, V.; Chisholm, M. H.; Durr, C. B. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 8205.

- (17) Reglinski, J.; Kennedy, A. R.; Steel, G. *New J. Chem.* **2015**, *39*, 2437.
- (18) Cui, Y.; Jiang, J.; Mao, X.; Wu, J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 218.
- (19) Corazza, F.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C.; Ciurli, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1988**, 2341.
- (20) Spassky, N.; Wisniewski, M.; Pluta, C.; Le Borgne, A. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *197*, 2627.
- (21) Wagler, J.; Böhme, U.; Roewer, G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1732.
- (22) Agustin, D.; Rima, G.; Gornitzka, H.; Barrau, J. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 4276.
- (23) Sánchez, M.; Harvey, M. J.; Nordstrom, F.; Parkin, S.; Atwood, D. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 5397.
- (24) Meermann, C.; Sirsch, P.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 1041.
- (25) Floriani, C.; Solari, E.; Corazza, F.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. *Angew. Chem.* **1989**, *101*, 91.
- (26) Seangprasertkij, R.; Riechel, T. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 3121.
- (27) Coggon, P.; McPhail, A. T.; Mabbs, F. E.; Richards, A.; Thornley, A. S. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1970**, 3296.
- (28) Wiznycia, A. V.; Desper, J.; Levy, C. *J. Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4693.
- (29) Darensbourg, D. J.; Ortiz, C. G.; Billodeaux, D. R. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *357*, 2143.
- (30) Pizzolato, E.; Natali, M.; Posocco, B.; Montellano Lopez, A.; Bazzan, I.; Di Valentin, M.; Galloni, P.; Conte, V.; Bonchio, M.; Scandola, F.; Sartorel, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 9941.
- (31) Amirnasr, M.; Schenk, K. J.; Gorji, A.; Vafazadeh, R. *Polyhedron* **2001**, *20*, 695.
- (32) Chong, J. H.; Ardakani, S. J.; Smith, K. J.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 11824.
- (33) Klement, R.; Stock, F.; Elias, H.; Paulus, H.; Pelikán, P.; Valko, M.; Mazúr, M. *Polyhedron* **1999**, *18*, 3617.
- (34) Anselmo, D.; Salassa, G.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Martin, E.; Kleij, A. W. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 7962.
- (35) Shen, Y.-Z.; Pan, Y.; Wang, L.-Y.; Dong, G.; Jin, X.-P.; Huang, X.-Y.; Hu, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *590*, 242.
- (36) Agustin, D.; Rima, G.; Gornitzka, H.; Barrau, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *592*, 1.
- (37) Costes, J.-P.; Dupuis, A.; Laurent, J.-P. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *268*, 125.
- (38) Zhu, H.; Wang, M.; Ma, C.; Li, B.; Chen, C.; Sun, L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 3929.
- (39) Floriani, C.; Solari, E.; Franceschi, F.; Scopelliti, R.; Belanzoni, P.; Rosi, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 3052.
- (40) Luts, T.; Frank, R.; Suprun, W.; Fritzsche, S.; Hey-Hawkins, E.; Papp, H. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2007**, *273*, 250.
- (41) Jurisson, S.; Lindoy, L. F.; Dancey, K. P.; McPartlin, M.; Tasker, P. A.; Uppal, D. K.; Deutsch, E. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 227.
- (42) Chichak, K.; Jacquemard, U.; Branda, N. R. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, 357.
- (43) Rogers, C. A.; West, B. O. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1974**, *70*, 445.
- (44) Yoon, I.; Narita, M.; Shimizu, T.; Asakawa, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 16740.
- (45) Barwiolek, M.; Sawicka, J.; Babinska, M.; Wojtczak, A.; Surdykowski, A.; Szczesny, R.; Szlyk, E. *Polyhedron* **2017**, *135*, 153.
- (46) Tajmir-Riahi, H. A. *Polyhedron* **1983**, *2*, 723.
- (47) Sharma, P.; Rosas, N.; Ramashankar, A. *Main Group Met Chem* **1995**, *18*, 621.
- (48) Srivastava, T. N.; Chauhan, A. K. S.; Mehrotra, G. K. *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.* **1982**, *12*, 705.
- (49) Klamm, B. E.; Windorff, C. J.; Marsh, M. L.; Meeker, D. S.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 8634.
- (50) Costes, J.-P.; Laussac, J.-P.; Nicodème, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2002**, 2731.
- (51) Taha, Z. A.; Ajlouni, A. M.; Al Momani, W.; Al-Ghzawi, A. A. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* **2011**, *81*, 570.
- (52) Tsuchimoto, M.; Ookusa, M.; Itoh, S.; Watanabe, M.; Nakajima, K. *Polyhedron* **2018**, *148*, 118.
- (53) Berardozi, R.; Pescitelli, G.; Di Pietro, S.; Resta, C.; Ballistreri, F. P.; Pappalardo, A.; Tomaselli, G. A.; Di Bari, L. *Chirality* **2015**, *27*, 857.
- (54) Tyagi, S. B.; Singh, B.; Kapoor, R. N. *Transition Met. Chem.* **1987**, *12*, 405.
- (55) Santini-Scampucci, C.; Wilkinson, G. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1976**, 807.
- (56) Yeung, K. T.; To, W. P.; Sun, C.; Cheng, G.; Ma, C.; Tong, G. S. M.; Yang, C.; Che, C. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 133.
- (57) Ison, E. A.; Cessarich, J. E.; Du, G.; Fanwick, P. E.; Abu-Omar, M. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 2385.
- (58) Che, C. M.; Cheng, W. K.; Mak, T. C. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 703.
- (59) Mercati, G.; Morazzoni, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1979**, 569.
- (60) Guo, Z.; Yiu, S.-M.; Chan, M. C. W. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 8937.
- (61) Barnholtz, S. L.; Lydon, J. D.; Huang, G.; Venkatesh, M.; Barnes, C. L.; Ketring, A. R.; Jurisson, S. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 972.
- (62) Pellerito, L.; Cefalù, R.; Ruisi, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1972**, *44*, 243.
- (63) Balasanthiran, V.; Chisholm, M. H.; Durr, C. B.; Gallucci, J. C. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 11234.
- (64) Agarwal, D. D.; Srivastava, S.; Chadha, P. *Polyhedron* **1990**, *9*, 1401.
- (65) Brancatelli, G.; Pappalardo, A.; Trusso Sfrazzetto, G.; Notti, A.; Geremia, S. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2013**, *396*, 25.
- (66) Copping, R.; Mougel, V.; Den Auwer, C.; Berthon, C.; Moisy, P.; Mazzanti, M. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 10900.
- (67) Zhang, H.-C.; Huang, W.-S.; Pu, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 481.
- (68) (a) Dai, Y.; Katz, T. J.; Nichols, D. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1996**, *35*, 2109. (b) Dai, Y.; Katz, T. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 1274.
- (69) Xu, Z.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Chen, C.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 5430.
- (70) Escárcega-Bobadilla, M. V.; Salassa, G.; Martínez Belmonte, M.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Kleij, A. W. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 6805.
- (71) (a) Zintl, M.; Molnar, F.; Urban, T.; Bernhart, V.; Preishuber-Pflügl, P.; Rieger, B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3458. (b) Vagin, S. I.; Reichardt, R.; Klaus, S.; Rieger, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 14367. (c) Vagin, S.; Winnacker, M.; Kronast, A.; Altenbuchner, P. T.; Deglmann, P.; Sinkel, C.; Loos, R.; Rieger, B. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 3963. (d) Reichardt, R.; Vagin, S.; Reithmeier, R.; Ott, A. K.; Rieger, B. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 9311. (e) Mandal, M.; Chakraborty, D. *J. Polym. Sci. A Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *54*, 809.
- (72) (a) Castro-Gómez, F.; Salassa, G.; Kleij, A. W.; Bo, C. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 6289. (b) Decortes, A.; Kleij, A. W. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 831. (c) Castro-Osma, J. A.; North, M.; Wu, X. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 2100. (d) Martín, R.; Kleij, A. W. *ChemSusChem* **2011**, *4*, 1259.
- (73) (a) Taherimehr, M.; Decortes, A.; Al-Amsyar, S. M.; Lueangchaichaweng, W.; Whiteoak, C. J.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Kleij, A. W.; Pescarmona, P. P. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 2231. (b) Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Kleij, A. W. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 4233. (c) Kleij, A. W.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Reek, J. N. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 3661. (d) Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Pasquini, C.; Rissanen, K.; Russo, L.; Schiaffino, L. *New J. Chem.* **2007**, *31*, 1633.

- (74) (a) Theil, H.; von Richthofen, C-GF.; Stammler, A.; Bögge, H.; Glaser, T. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2008**, *361*, 916. (b) Sakata, Y.; Murata, C.; Akine, S. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 16005. (c) Houjou, H.; Ito, M.; Araki, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 10703. (d) Glaser, T.; Heidemeier, M.; Krickemeyer, E.; Bögge, H.; Stammler, A.; Fröhlich, R.; Bill, E.; Schnack, J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 607. (e) Glaser, T.; Heidemeier, M.; Fröhlich, R.; Hildebrandt, P.; Bothe, E.; Bill, E. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 5467. (f) Bazarnik, M.; Bugenhagen, B.; Elsebach, M.; Sierda, E.; Frank, A.; Proscenc, M. H.; Wiesendanger, R. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 577.
- (75) (a) Borisova, N. E.; Reshetova, M. D.; Ustynyuk, Y. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 46. (b) Akine, S.; Taniguchi, T.; Nabeshima, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 8861. (c) Hui, J. K. H.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2480. (d) Gallant, A. J.; Chong, J. H.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 5248. (e) Frischmann, P. D.; Facey, G. A.; Ghi, P. Y.; Gallant, A. J.; Bryce, D. L.; Lejl, F.; MacLachlan, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 3893. (f) Nabeshima, T.; Miyazaki, H.; Iwasaki, A.; Akine, S.; Saiki, T.; Ikeda, C. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 3328. (g) Frischmann, P. D.; Mehr, S. H. M.; Patrick, B. O.; Lejl, F.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 3443.
- (76) (a) Sakata, Y.; Chiba, S.; Miyashita, M.; Nabeshima, T.; Akine, S. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 2962. (b) Ng, C. K.; Toh, R. W.; Lin, T. T.; Luo, H-K.; Hor, T. S. A.; Wu, J. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1549. (c) Lai, J.; Ke, X-S.; Tang, J.; Zhang, J-L. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *26*, 937. (d) Akine, S.; Piao, S.; Miyashita, M.; Nabeshima, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 6541. (e) Akine, S.; Miyashita, M.; Piao, S.; Nabeshima, T. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 53. (f) Akine, S.; Miyashita, M.; Nabeshima, T. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 1432. (g) Akine, S.; Miyashita, M.; Nabeshima, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 4631.
- (77) (a) Mastalerz, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 2411. (b) Zhang, G.; Mastalerz, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 1934. (c) Mastalerz, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5042. (d) Beuerle, F.; Gole, B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 4850. (e) Santolini, V.; Miklitz, M.; Berardo, E.; Jelfs, K. E. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 5280. (f) Acharyya, K.; Mukherjee, P. S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 8640. (g) Rue, N. M.; Sun, J.; Warmuth, R. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2011**, *51*, 743.
- (78) (a) Kuil, M.; Puijk, I. M.; Kleij, A. W.; Tooke, D. M.; Spek, A. L.; Reek, J. N. H. *Chem. Asian J.* **2009**, *4*, 50. (b) Kleij, A. W.; Kuil, M.; Tooke, D. M.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; Reek, J. N. H. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 4743.
- (79) (a) Xuan, W.; Zhang, M.; Liu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Cui, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 6904. (b) Tan, C.; Jiao, J.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Han, X.; Cui, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 2085. (c) Jiao, J.; Tan, C.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Han, X.; Cui, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 2251. (d) Dong, J.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, F.; Cui, Y. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6455.
- (80) Dong, J.; Tan, C.; Zhang, K.; Liu, Y.; Low, P. J.; Jiang, J.; Cui, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 1554.
- (81) (a) Kitaura, R.; Onoyama, G.; Sakamoto, H.; Matsuda, R.; Noro, S-i.; Kitagawa, S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2684. (b) Oh, M.; Mirkin, C. A. *Nature* **2005**, *438*, 651. (c) Cho, S-H.; Ma, B.; Nguyen, S. T.; Hupp, J. T.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, *24*, 2563. (d) Oh, M.; Mirkin, C. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 5492. (e) Heo, J.; Jeon, Y-M.; Mirkin, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7712. (f) Jeon, Y-M.; Heo, J.; Mirkin, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7480. (g) Jeon, Y-M.; Armatas, G. S.; Heo, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mirkin, C. A. *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 2105. (h) Jung, S.; Oh, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2049. (i) Bhunia, A.; Roesky, P. W.; Lan, Y.; Kostakis, G. E.; Powell, A. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 10483. (j) Jung, S.; Cho, W.; Lee, H. J.; Oh, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 1459. (k) Li, G.; Zhu, C.; Xi, X.; Cui, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 2118. (l) Yuan, G.; Zhu, C.; Xuan, W.; Cui, Y. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 6428. (m) Song, F.; Wang, C.; Falkowski, J. M.; Ma, L.; Lin, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 15390. (n) Bhunia, A.; Lan, Y.; Mereacre, V.; Gamer, M. T.; Powell, A. K.; Roesky, P. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 12697. (o) Falkowski, J. M.; Wang, C.; Liu, S.; Lin, W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8674. (p) Huang, Y.; Liu, T.; Lin, J.; Lü, J.; Lin, Z.; Cao, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 2191. (q) Roesky, P. W.; Bhunia, A.; Lan, Y.; Powell, A. K.; Kureti, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 2035. (r) Shultz, A. M.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Nguyen, S. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13252. (s) Song, F.; Wang, C.; Lin, W. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 8256. (t) Wang, C.; Zheng, M.; Lin, W. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *2*, 1701. (u) Xiang, S-C.; Zhang, Z.; Zhao, C-G.; Hong, K.; Zhao, X.; Ding, D-R.; Xie, M-H.; Wu, C-D.; Das, M. C.; Gill, R.; Thomas, K. M.; Chen, B. *Nat. Commun.* **2011**, *2*, 204. (v) Falkowski, J. M.; Liu, S.; Wang, C.; Lin, W. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 6508. (w) Zhu, C.; Yuan, G.; Chen, X.; Yang, Z.; Cui, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 8058. (x) Ren, Y.; Shi, Y.; Chen, J.; Yang, S.; Qi, C.; Jiang, H. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 2167. (y) Li, J.; Yang, J.; Liu, Y. Y.; Ma, J. F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 4413. (z) Xi, W.; Liu, Y.; Xia, Q.; Li, Z.; Cui, Y. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 12581. (aa) Bhunia, A.; Dey, S.; Moreno, J. M.; Diaz, U.; Concepcion, P.; Van Hecke, K.; Janiak, C.; Van Der Voort, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 1401. (ab) Xia, Q.; Liu, Y.; Li, Z.; Gong, W.; Cui, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 13167. (ac) Zhu, C.; Xia, Q.; Chen, X.; Liu, Y.; Du, X.; Cui, Y. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 7590.
- (82) (a) McKeown, N. B. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2000**, *10*, 1979. (b) Manners, I. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 1664. (c) Baleizão, C.; Garcia, H. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 3987.
- (83) (a) Li, H.; Hill, M. R.; Huang, R.; Doblin, C.; Lim, S.; Hill, A. J.; Babarao, R.; Falcaro, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 5973. (b) Yang, S.; Peng, L.; Sun, D. T.; Asgari, M.; Oveysi, E.; Trukhina, O.; Bulut, S.; Jamali, A.; Queen, W. L. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 4542.
- (84) (a) Wezenberg, S. J.; Kleij, A. W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2354. (b) Yuan, G.; Jiang, H.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Y.; Cui, Y. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *378*, 483. (c) Crane, A. K.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 17.
- (85) Waltman, R. J.; Bargon, J. *Can. J. Chem.* **1986**, *64*, 76.
- (86) Boffa, L. S. *Applied Polymer Science: 21st Century*. Craver, C. D.; Carraher, C. E., eds. Pergamon: Oxford; **2000**, 1021–1042.
- (87) Odian, G. *Principles of Polymerization*. 4th edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, **2004**.
- (88) Marvel, C. S.; Tarköy, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, *79*, 6000.
- (89) Marvel, C. S.; Tarköy, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 832.
- (90) (a) Manecke, V. G.; Wille, W. E. *Makromol. Chem.* **1970**, *133*, 61. (b) Manecke, G.; Wille, W. E.; Kossmehl, G. *Makromol. Chem.* **1972**, *160*, 111.
- (91) Goldsby, K. A. *J. Coord. Chem.* **1988**, *19*, 83.
- (92) (a) Hoferkamp, L. A.; Goldsby, K. A. *Chem. Mater.* **1989**, *1*, 348. (b) Goldsby, K. A.; Blaho, J. K.; Hoferkamp, L. A. *Polyhedron* **1989**, *8*, 113.
- (93) (a) Dahm, C. E.; Peters, D. G. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66*, 3117. (b) Vilas-Boas, M.; Freire, C.; de Castro, B.; Christensen, P. A.; Hillman, A. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 4919.
- (94) (a) Reddinger, J. L.; Reynolds, J. R. *Macromolecules* **1997**, *30*, 673. (b) Reddinger, J. L.; Reynolds, J. R. *Chem. Mater.* **1998**, *10*, 3. (c) Kingsborough, R. P.; Swager, T. M. *Adv. Mater.* **1998**, *10*, 1100.
- (95) Kingsborough, R. P.; Swager, T. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 8825.
- (96) Tchepournaya, I.; Vasilieva, S.; Logvinov, S.; Timonov, A.; Amadelli, R.; Bartak, D. *Langmuir* **2003**, *19*, 9005.
- (97) Holliday, B. J.; Stanford, T. B.; Swager, T. M. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 5649.

- (98) (a) Chen, C.; Zhu, Z.; Li, X.; Li, J. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2017**, *134*, 44464. (b) Tedim, J.; Gonçalves, F.; Pereira, M. F. R.; Figueiredo, J. L.; Moura, C.; Freire, C.; Hillman, A. R. *Electrochim. Acta* **2008**, *53*, 6722. (c) Gao, F.; Li, J.; Kang, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; Ye, F.; Yang, J. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 11822.
- (99) (a) Zhang, Y.; Li, J.; Gao, F.; Kang, F.; Wang, X.; Ye, F.; Yang, J. *Electrochim. Acta* **2012**, *76*, 1. (b) Chen, C.; Li, X.; Deng, F.; Li, J. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 79894.
- (100) (a) Wittung, P.; Nielsen, P. E.; Buchardt, O.; Egholm, M.; Nordén, B. *Nature* **1994**, *368*, 561. (b) Leslie, A. G. W.; Arnott, S.; Chandrasekaran, R.; Ratliff, R. L. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1980**, *143*, 49.
- (101) (a) Yoshio, F.; Takeshi, M.; Takeshi, T.; Nobuaki, M.; Toshikazu, T. *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *30*, 1020. (b) Maeda, T.; Furusho, Y.; Takata, T. *Chirality* **2002**, *14*, 587. (c) Maeda, T.; Takeuchi, T.; Furusho, Y.; Takata, T. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2004**, *42*, 4693.
- (102) (a) Houjou, H.; Shimizu, Y.; Koshizaki, N.; Kanesato, M. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 1458. (b) Houjou, H.; Sasaki, T.; Shimizu, Y.; Koshizaki, N.; Kanesato, M. *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 606.
- (103) Lavastre, O.; Illitchev, I.; Jegou, G.; Dixneuf, P. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 5278.
- (104) (a) Leung, A. C. W.; Chong, J. H.; Patrick, B. O.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 5051. (b) Leung, A. C. W.; MacLachlan, M. J. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2007**, *17*, 1923.
- (105) Sun, S.; Tong, W.-L.; Chan, M. C. W. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2010**, *31*, 1965.
- (106) Zhao, C.; Sun, S.; Tong, W.-L.; Chan, M. C. W. *Macromolecules* **2017**.
- (107) Wang, J.; Huang, W.; Pan, L.; Wang, H.; Zhang, C.; Liu, X. *Macromol. Res.* **2015**, *23*, 309.
- (108) Chantarasiri, N.; Tuntulani, T.; Tongraung, P.; Seangprasertkit-Magee, R.; Wannarong, W. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2000**, *36*, 695.
- (109) Jiang, J.; Leung, A. C. W.; MacLachlan, M. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, 39, 6503.
- (110) (a) Luo, R.; Zhou, X.; Chen, S.; Li, Y.; Zhou, L.; Ji, H. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 1496. (b) Leng, Y.; Lu, D.; Zhang, C.; Jiang, P.; Zhang, W.; Wang, J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 8368. (c) Luo, R.; Chen, Y.; He, Q.; Lin, X.; Xu, Q.; He, X.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, X.; Ji, H. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10*, 1526.
- (111) Nielsen, M.; Thomsen, A. H.; Jensen, T. R.; Jakobsen, H. J.; Skibsted, J.; Gothelf, K. V. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *2005*, 342.
- (112) Li, L.-H.; Feng, X.-L.; Cui, X.-H.; Ma, Y.-X.; Ding, S.-Y.; Wang, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 6042.
- (113) (a) Han, X.; Xia, Q.; Huang, J.; Liu, Y.; Tan, C.; Cui, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 8693. (b) Li, H.; Feng, X.; Shao, P. P.; Chen, J.; Li, C. Z.; Jayakumar, S.; Yang, Q. H. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2019**, *7*, 5482.
- (114) (a) Haak, R. M.; Castilla, A. M.; Belmonte, M. M.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Kleij, A. W. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 3352. (b) Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Kleij, A. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 7265.
- (115) Elbert, S. M.; Zhang, W.-S.; Vaynzof, Y.; Oberhof, N.; Bernhardt, M.; Pernpointner, M.; Rominger, F.; Schröder, R. R.; Mastalerz, M. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 6210.
- (116) Elbert, S. M.; Rominger, F.; Mastalerz, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 16707.
- (117) Johnson, J. A.; Chen, S.; Reeson, T. C.; Chen, Y.-S.; Zeng, X. C.; Zhang, J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 7632.
- (118) (a) Li, H.; Xu, B.; Liu, X.; A S, He, C.; Xia, H.; Mu, Y. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 14108. (b) Xie, Y.; Wang, T.-T.; Liu, X.-H.; Zou, K.; Deng, W.-Q. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1960. (c) Li, H.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, X.; Xia, H.; Liu, X.; Mu, Y. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 37767. (d) Liu, T. T.; Lin, Z. J.; Shi, P. C.; Ma, T.; Huang, Y. B.; Cao, R. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 2340. (e) Alkordi, M. H.; Weseliński, Ł.; D'Elia, V.; Barman, S.; Cadiau, A.; Hedhili, M. N.; Cairns, A. J.; AbdulHalim, R. G.; Basset, J.-M.; Eddaoudi, M. J. *Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 7453.
- (119) (a) Gupta, K. C.; Abdulkadir, H. K.; Chand, S. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2003**, *202*, 253. (b) Alvaro, M.; Baleizao, C.; Carbonell, E.; El Ghoul, M.; García, H.; Gigante, B. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 12131.
- (120) Wang, R.-M.; Hao, E.-X.; Shen, G.-R.; He, Y.-F.; Lei, Z.-Q. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2009**, *111*, 1999.
- (121) (a) Côté, A. P.; Benin, A. I.; Ockwig, N. W.; O'Keeffe, M.; Matzger, A. J.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* **2005**, *310*, 1166. (b) Ding, S.-Y.; Wang, W. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 548. (c) Diercks, C. S.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* **2017**, *355*.
- (122) Mastalerz, M.; Opperl, I. M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 5971.
- (123) Mastalerz, M.; Hauswald, H.-J.S.; Stoll, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 130.
- (124) Yan, S.; Guan, X.; Li, H.; Li, D.; Xue, M.; Yan, Y.; Valtchev, V.; Qiu, S.; Fang, Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 2920.
- (125) Huang, J.; Han, X.; Yang, S.; Cao, Y.; Yuan, C.; Liu, Y.; Wang, J.; Cui, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 8996.
- (126) Liu, H.; Feng, J.; Zhang, J.; Miller, P. W.; Chen, L.; Su, C.-Y. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 2292.
- (127) Reinhard, D.; Zhang, W.-S.; Vaynzof, Y.; Rominger, F.; Schröder, R. R.; Mastalerz, M. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 2781.
- (128) Chun, J.; Kang, S.; Kang, N.; Lee, S. M.; Kim, H. J.; Son, S. U. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 5517.
- (129) Wöhrle, D.; Bohlen, H.; Rothkopf, H. W. *Makromol. Chem.* **1983**, *184*, 763.
- (130) Krishnan, R.; Vancheesan, S. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2000**, *157*, 15.
- (131) (a) Martín, C.; Fiorani, G.; Kleij, A. W. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 1353. (b) Decortes, A.; Castilla, A. M.; Kleij, A. W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 9822.
- (132) Liu, T.-T.; Liang, J.; Huang, Y.-B.; Cao, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 13288.
- (133) Bhunia, S.; Molla, R. A.; Kumari, V.; Islam, S. M.; Bhaumik, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 15732. It is worth mentioning that for similar transformations much higher TONs of up to 2000 and TOFs of 1000 h^{-1} were found for MOF-based heterogeneous catalysts, as seen in: Zhou, Z.; He, C.; Xia, J.; Yang, L.; Duan, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 15066. For comparable homogeneous catalysts, TONs as high as 112000 and TOFs up to 36000 h^{-1} are reported as seen in: Whiteoak, C. J.; Kleij, A. W. *Synlett.* **2013**, *24*, 1748.
- (134) Kleij, A. W. *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 4635.
- (135) Katsuki, T. *J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn.* **1995**, *53*, 940.
- (136) (a) Liu, P.; Feng, X.-J.; He, R. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 631. (b) Gogoi, A.; Dewan, A.; Borah, G.; Bora, U. *New J. Chem.* **2015**, *39*, 3341. (c) Borhade, S. R.; Waghmode, S. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 3423.
- (137) Li, J. R.; Yu, J. M.; Lu, W. G.; Sun, L. B.; Sculley, J.; Balbuena, P. B.; Zhou, H. C. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1538.
- (138) (a) Vaidhyanathan, R.; Iremonger, S. S.; Dawson, K. W.; Shimizu, G. K. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 5230. (b) Dietzel, P. D. C.; Besikiotis, V.; Blom, R. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2009**, *19*, 7362. (c) Dietzel, P. D. C.; Johnsen, R. E.; Fjellvåg, H.; Bordiga, S.; Groppo, E.; Chavan, S.; Blom, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 5125.
- (139) (a) Min Wang, Q.; Shen, D.; Bülow, M.; Ling Lau, M.; Deng, S.; Fitch, F. R.; Lemcoff, N. O.; Semanscin, J. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2002**, *55*, 217. (b) Farrusseng, D.; Daniel,

- C.; Gaudillère, C.; Ravon, U.; Schuurman, Y.; Mirodatos, C.; Dubbeldam, D.; Frost, H.; Snurr, R. Q. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 7383.
- (140) Volkringer, C.; Loiseau, T.; Haouas, M.; Taulelle, F.; Popov, D.; Burghammer, M.; Riekel, C.; Zlotea, C.; Cuevas, F.; Latroche, M.; Phanon, D.; Knöfel, C.; Llewellyn, P. L.; Férey, G. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 5783.
- (141) Tong, W-L.; Lai, L-M.; Chan, M. C. W. *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, (11): 1412.
- (142) The enantiomeric fluorescence difference ratio (ef) is defined as the ratio in fluorescence intensity of the sensoric polymer at similar concentration of each enantiomer.
- (143) Song, F.; Wei, G.; Wang, L.; Jiao, J.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 4759.
- (144) (a) Alaerts, L.; Maes, M.; Giebler, L.; Jacobs, P. A.; Martens, J. A.; Denayer, J. F. M.; Kirschhock, C. E. A.; De Vos, D. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14170. (b) Finsy, V.; Verelst, H.; Alaerts, L.; De Vos, D.; Jacobs, P. A.; Baron, G. V.; Denayer, J. F. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 7110. (c) Holcroft, J. M.; Hartlieb, K. J.; Moghadam, P. Z.; Bell, J. G.; Barin, G.; Ferris, D. P.; Bloch, E. D.; Algaradah, M. M.; Nassar, M. S.; Botros, Y. Y.; Thomas, K. M.; Long, J. R.; Snurr, R. Q.; Stoddart, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5706. (d) Moreira, M. A.; Santos, J. C.; Ferreira, A. F. P.; Loureiro, J. M.; Ragon, F.; Horcajada, P.; Shim, K-E.; Hwang, Y-K.; Lee, U. H.; Chang, J-S.; Serre, C.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 5715. (e) Moreira, M. A.; Santos, J. C.; Ferreira, A. F. P.; Loureiro, J. M.; Ragon, F.; Horcajada, P.; Yot, P. G.; Serre, C.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2012**, *158*, 229. (f) Moreira, M. A.; Santos, J. C.; Ferreira, A. F. P.; Loureiro, J. M.; Ragon, F.; Horcajada, P.; Yot, P. G.; Serre, C.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 3494. (g) Moreira, M. A.; Santos, J. C.; Ferreira, A. F. P.; Loureiro, J. M.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *50*, 7688. (h) Moreira, M. A.; Santos, J. C.; Ferreira, A. F. P.; Müller, U.; Trukhan, N.; Loureiro, J. M.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *46*, 1995. (i) Moreira, M. A.; Santos, M. P. S.; Silva, C. G.; Loureiro, J. M.; Chang, J-S.; Serre, C.; Ferreira, A. F. P.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Adsorption* **2018**, *24*, 715. (j) Nicolau, M. P. M.; Bácia, P. S.; Gallegos, J. M.; Silva, J. A. C.; Rodrigues, A. E.; Chen, B. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 13173. (k) Yang, C-X.; Yan, X-P. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *83*, 7144.