Functional Group Interconversion of Alkylidenemalononitriles to Primary Alcohols by a Cooperative Redox Operation
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Abstract

Functional group interconversions are essential chemical processes enabling synthesis. In this report, we describe a strategy to convert alkylidenemalononitriles into primary alcohols in one step. The reaction relies on a choreographed redox process involving alkylidene reduction, malononitrile oxidation, and acylcyanide reduction where molecular oxygen and NaBH₄ work cooperatively. The method was applied to a variety of carbon skeletons and was utilized to synthesize complex terpenoid architectures.
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Alkylidenemalononitriles are easily available, versatile building blocks that can be manipulated in various ways. For example, they can undergo conjugate addition or reduction to yield alkylmalononitriles. In turn, alkylmalononitriles can be processed to malonic acid derivatives, reduced to 1,3-diamines, and undergo oxidative decyanation reactions. Most relevant to this work, Hayashi and co-workers developed an elegant oxidative protocol to convert malononitriles into amides or esters using molecular oxygen as the oxidant via the intermediary of an acylcyanide (Scheme 1A). We have been developing routes to a variety of complex scaffolds where alkylmalononitriles and/or alkylidenemalononitriles are key intermediates. As such, we have invested interest in developing new alkylidenemalononitrile functional group interconversion reactions.

Inspired by Hayashi’s work, we proposed that alkylidenemalononitriles could be converted into 1° alcohols by simultaneous reaction with a reductant and an oxidant. While each fundamental step in the sequence is well understood (conjugate reduction, malononitrile oxidation, acylcyanide reduction), we were intrigued by this sequence for two main reasons: (1) Alkylidenemalononitriles are valuable building blocks and new ways to manipulate them would be generally valuable to chemical synthesis and (2) the transformation would require a cooperative redox operation: Could a reductant and an oxidant cohabit a reaction medium to achieve an alternating reduction/oxidation/reduction sequence without neutralizing themselves? If conditions and compatible reductant and oxidant could be identified, then alkylidenemalononitriles could be directly converted into 1° alcohols (Scheme 1B). While there are obvious challenges to redox transformations of this type, there are known and effective combinations of reductant/oxidant for chemical transformations. For example, molecular oxygen is compatible with mild reductants such as borohydrides (NaBH₄, Bu₄NBH₄) and silanes (Et₃SiH and PhSiH₃) and these redox partners have been utilized to achieve a variety of transformations, such as aerobic oxidation of alkenes or hydrogenation reactions. In terms of other oxidants, tert-butyl hydroperoxide has been used in combination with PhSiH₃ to achieve alkene reductions via metal-catalyzed hydrogen atom transfer (HAT). As a final example, Toste and co-workers have shown that phase separation of oxopiperidinium (oxidant; aqueous phase) and Hantzsch ester (reductant; solid phase) could allow for controlled redox transformation. Inspired by this...
literature precedent, we now report that the combination of oxygen and NaBH₄ can interconvert alkylidenemalononitriles to 1° alcohols by an alternating reduction/oxidation/reduction sequence.

To begin our studies, we optimized the proposed redox functional group interconversion as described in Table 1. Utilizing model substrate 1, our first success was realized when the transformation was performed in polar solvents with oxygen (1 atm) as the oxidant and NaBH₄ as the reductant (entries 2–4). Choice of solvent was crucial as we observed significant amounts of methyl ester byproduct in methanol¹⁹,²⁰ and no conversion in nonpolar solvents due to insolvency of the NaBH₄, presumably (entry 1). As previously reported by Hayashi and co-workers,¹⁹,²⁰ the reaction had to be performed under strictly anhydrous conditions to prevent the formation of carboxylic acid byproducts. The use of molecular sieves was therefore imperative to maximize conversion into the desired product. Addition of potassium carbonate in the reaction mixture favored the formation of desired product 3a (entries 5, 6). We speculate that this addition of a base in the system results in a higher concentration of alkylmalononitrile anion, which the transformation must progress through. Finally, by adjusting the reaction time and temperature to 1.5 hours and 50 °C respectively, we were able to form 3a as a sole product during this transformation (entry 8). Interestingly, the reaction could be conducted under a constant flow of air (entry 9), though the transformation was significantly slower. It should also be noted that the conversion from 1 to 2 and/or 3a was excellent in all cases. Indeed, reduction of the alkylidenemalononitrile was extremely facile (completed within minutes, observable by TLC). We found that the rate-determining step was the oxidation from 2 to 3a which was considerably slower.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base (2 equiv.)</th>
<th>Solvent (0.1 M)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Ratio 2/3a (NMR)</th>
<th>% Conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0:0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50:50</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47:53</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33:66</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40:60</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30:70</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>17:83</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0:100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>73:27</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction performed under air instead of O₂.

Having found the optimized conditions, we next explored the scope utilizing a variety of alkylidenemalononitriles (Scheme 2). The initial model substrate 1 was converted into its respective 1° alcohol 3a in 62% yield. A variety of other arene-containing products 3b–3d were successfully prepared. As the transformation was optimized for a mild reductant, NaBH₄, chemoselective transformation of the alkylidenemalononitrile can be achieved over other reducible functional groups such as esters, alkenes, and carbamates (e.g., 3e–3g, respectively). Considering this, we prepared an α-ester-functionalyzed alkylidenemalononitrile which can undergo the desired functional group interconversion to the 1° alcohol with concomitant lactonization to 3m in 65% yield. Similarly, oxygen is a fairly gentle oxidant. We found that e–rich arenes (3h), and to a less extent pyridines (3i), could be tolerated in the transformation. We also uncovered some functional groups that were only modestly tolerated including alkenes, phenols, alkylamines, and alkynes (3j–3l, 3n, respectively). We suspect that these compounds are sensitive to the NaBH₄/O₂ conditions. An increased tolerance to these functional groups will be investigated in the future.
Based on our scope from Scheme 2 and a comparison of literature strategies to prepare some of these molecules, it became apparent that this sequence (Knoevenagel condensation and redox functional group interconversion) is an attractive alternative to the known methods. For example, it is common to take a commercial ketone and yield the targeted alcohol 3g by Wittig olefination and BH$_3$ reduction (Scheme 3).$^{46,47}$ Knoevenagel condensation and O$_2$/NaBH$_4$ functional group interconversion have the potential to be a more practical/operationally simple two-step sequence as it avoids pyrophoric and air-sensitive butyllithium and boranes.

Having a better understanding of the substrate scope and limitations for alkylidenemalononitrile redox functional group interconversion to 1° alcohols, we wished to apply this transformation to γ-allyl-alkylidenemalononitriles (Scheme 4A and Scheme 5). Such scaffolds would be readily available from alkylidenemalononitriles and allylic electrophiles by deconjugative alkylation yielding 3,3-dicyno-1,5-dienes followed by thermal Cope rearrangement.$^{21,48–53}$ Thus alkylidenemalononitrile redox functional group interconversion to 1° alcohols would provide a straightforward route to 1,6-hexenols 4a–4d. Notably, such products would bear resemblance to ‘oxy-Cope products’$^{46–51}$ but via an alternative route (Scheme 4A vs Scheme 4B). To examine this, we prepared several γ-allyl-alkylidenemalononitriles or reduced variants. Regarding the reduced variants, in some of our previous work, we developed ‘reductive Cope rearrangements’ to promote thermodynamically favorable Cope rearrangements.$^{49,53}$ 2b–2d (Scheme 5) were prepared as such. Regarding the redox functional group interconversion to 1° alcohols, the reaction was successful at yielding a variety of 1,6-hexenols with unique substitution patterns and functional groups. In this regard, 4a bears a ketal and a 1,3-diphenylallyl moiety, 4b is monoterpenoid derived (myrtenol), and 4d has an additional ester functional group.

1,6-Hexenols, such as those prepared in Scheme 5, are polyfunctional and can be utilized in chemical synthesis to make valuable scaffolds. As final aspect of this study, we aimed to demonstrate that terpenoid-like scaffolds can be accessed by straightforward sequences (Scheme 6). Starting from a common precursor 4a, we were able to access 6,7-bicyclic ring system 5a in four steps: (i) alcohol oxidation, (ii) Brown alkylation, (iii) ring-closing metathesis, and (iv) alcohol oxidation. Notably, multiple consecutive steps could be telescoped reducing the need of intermediate purifications (see the Supporting Information for details). Thus, yields are reported after the final step when chromatographic purification was performed. 5a maps well onto the daphnane and tigliane terpenoid scaffolds, among others, and bears a variety of other functionality for further chemical transformation. A few other related scaffolds 6–9 were prepared by similar telescoped sequences.

In conclusion, we have developed a strategy to convert alkylidenemalononitriles into primary alcohols. The reaction relies on a choreographed redox process involving allylidene reduction, malononitrile oxidation, and acyclcyanide reduction where molecular oxygen and NaBH$_4$ work...
The corresponding alkylidenemalononitrile adduct (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DCM (0.2 M) at rt and pyridinium chlorochromate (1.5 equiv.) was added. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of silica gel with elution mixture (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

**Oxidation of Primary or Secondary Alcohols to Aldehydes or Ketones; General Procedure C**

The corresponding alcohol (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DCM (0.2 M) at rt and pyridinium chlorochromate (1.5 equiv.) was added. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of silica gel with elution with the corresponding Hex/EtOAc mixture. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

**TiCl4-Catalyzed Allylboration of Aldehydes; General Procedure D-1**

The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in toluene (0.2 M) at rt in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N2. Allylboronic acid pinacol ester (1.5 equiv.) was then added dropwise at rt, followed by TiCl4 (1 mol%). After reaction completion, the mixture was diluted with H2O (same volume as the reaction mixture) and extracted with EtOAc three times (1/3 of the total volume each time). The combined organic layers were dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

**Allylboration of Aldehydes; General Procedure D-2**

The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in toluene (0.2 M) at rt in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N2. Allylboronic acid pinacol ester (1.5 equiv.) was then added dropwise at rt and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2–5 min (until effervescence stopped). Then, K2CO3 (2 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C. O2 was bubbled through the mixture until reaction completion. Once completion was achieved (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and the O2 balloon was removed. The excess NaBH4 was slowly quenched with H2O (1 mL) and the reaction mixture was diluted with 2 M HCl (5 times the reaction volume). The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc three times (2 times the aqueous phase volume). The combined organic layers were washed with 2 M HCl (equal volume as the organic phase) and brine (equal volume as the organic phase), and dried over Na2SO4. The EtOAc was removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

Reduction of Alkylidenemalononitriles with NaBH4; General Procedure B

The corresponding alkylidenemalononitrile adduct (1 equiv.) was dissolved in MeOH (0.3 M) at 0 °C in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N2. NaBH4 (1.5 equiv.) was then added and the reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After completion was achieved, the excess NaBH4 was slowly quenched with H2O (1 mL) and the reaction mixture was diluted with H2O (5 times the reaction volume). The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc three times (2 times the aqueous phase volume). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na2SO4. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.
mixture was heated at 80 °C. After reaction completion, the mixture was diluted with H2O (same volume as the reaction mixture) and extracted with EtOAc three times (1/3 of the total volume each time). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na2SO4. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

**Grignard Addition to Aldehydes; General Procedure E**
The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF (0.2 M) in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N2. The reaction mixture was then cooled to ~78 °C. Vinylmagnesium bromide solution (1.3 equiv., 1 M in THF) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at ~78 °C. After reaction completion, the mixture was allowed to reach rt and was quenched with 2 M HCl(aq) (3 times the reaction volume). The resulting mixture was extracted 3 times with EtOAc (1/3 of the total volume, 3 times). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na2SO4. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

**Ring-Closing Metathesis; General Procedure F**
The corresponding diene (1 equiv.) was dissolved in toluene (0.05 M) in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N2. Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst (1 mol%) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C overnight. After reaction completion, the toluene was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc).

**Wittig Reaction on Aldehydes; General Procedure G**
Methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (2 equiv.) was dissolved in THF (0.4 mL, 0.1 M final concentration) was added dropwise at –78 °C. After 14 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated NH4Cl(aq) solution (10 mL) and was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The corresponding aldehyde (1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF (0.2 M) in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N2. The reaction mixture was then cooled to –78 °C. Vinylmagnesium bromide solution (1.3 equiv., 1 M in THF) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at –78 °C. After reaction completion, the mixture was allowed to reach rt and was quenched with 2 M HCl(aq) (3 times the reaction volume). The resulting mixture was extracted 3 times with EtOAc (1/3 of the total volume, 3 times). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (equal volume as the organic phase) and dried over Na2SO4. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) or used as crude when sufficiently pure.

**Solvent system for chromatography:** Hex/EtOAc 10%.

**1H and 13C NMR data matched reported values.62** See 1H and 13C NMR spectra of (4-Phenylcyclohexyl)methanol (3e) in the supporting information for more details.

**Ethyl 4-(Hydroxymethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (3e)**
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 56 mg, 65%, 2:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%. 1H and 13C NMR data matched reported values.62 See 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 3e in the supporting information for more details.

**((5R)-2-Methyl-5-(prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexyl)methanol (3f)**
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 56 mg, 90%, 14:2:1 mixture of isomers, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 10%.

**Solvent system for chromatography:** Hex/EtOAc 10%.

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.25–7.08 (m, 4 H), 3.81 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.04–2.94 (m, 1 H), 2.77 (td, J = 5.8, 3.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.96–1.81 (m, 3 H), 1.81–1.70 (m, 1 H), 1.49 (bs, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H).**

**13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 138.3, 136.8, 129.5, 128.9, 126.3, 125.8, 67.3, 40.4, 29.9, 25.3, 19.9.**

© 2020. Thieme. All rights reserved. Synthesis 2020, 52, A–I
3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (3h)
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 52 mg, 60%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 30%. 1H and 13C NMR data matched reported values.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 6.82–6.76 (m, 1 H), 6.73–6.68 (m, 2 H), 3.86 (dd, J = 3.8, 0.9 Hz, 6 H), 3.53 (ddt, J = 10.5, 5.9, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (ddt, J = 10.4, 6.0, 0.9 H, 1 H), 2.70 (dd, J = 13.5, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.38 (dd, J = 13.6, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.00–1.85 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (bs, 1 H), 0.92 (dd, J = 6.8, 1.0 Hz, 3 H).

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 148.9, 147.4, 133.3, 121.1, 112.4, 111.19, 67.9, 56.0, 39.5, 38.0, 16.7.

2-(Pyridin-3-yl)ethanol (4a)
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (yellow oil, 36 mg, 20%, impure). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 40%. 1H and 13C NMR data matched reported values.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.47 (s, 1 H), 8.42 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (dd, J = 7.9, 5.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.89 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H).

13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 147.8, 145.4, 140.0, 137.6, 125.1, 62.1, 35.8.

2-Cyclopropylhexa-4,5-dien-1-ol (3j)
Prepared from general procedure A-2 (colorless oil, 2 mg, 11%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5% to 20%.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 5.15 (dq, J = 7.9, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.66 (dt, J = 6.8, 2.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.68 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.25–2.13 (m, 2 H), 1.50 (bs, 1 H), 0.87 (ddq, J = 9.5, 7.3, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 0.65–0.56 (m, 1 H), 0.52–0.47 (m, 2 H), 0.23–0.17 (m, 1 H), 0.16–0.12 (m, 1 H).

13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 209.1, 88.0, 74.5, 66.4, 46.5, 31.1, 13.1, 3.8, 3.4.

5-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-2-methoxyphenol (3k)
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (pale yellow oil, 21 mg, 22%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 30% and 1H and 13C NMR data matched reported values.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 6.81 (s, 1 H), 6.79 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.82 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.77 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H).

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 145.8, 145.4, 131.7, 120.6, 115.2, 110.9, 63.8, 56.1, 38.6.

(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)methanol (3l)
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 72 mg, 17%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20%. 1H and 13C NMR data matched reported values.

1H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-d4): δ = 7.44 (d, J = 6.7, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 3 H), 4.01 (s, 2 H), 3.40 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.93 (dt, J = 11.9, 2.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.61 (dd(, J = 13.4, 11.9, 3.2 Hz, 2 H), 1.99 (qd, J = 13.0, 3.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.56 (dd, J = 13.9, 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.36 (dddd, J = 16.6, 12.3, 6.6, 2.4 Hz, 1 H).

13C NMR (101 MHz, methanol-d4): δ = 134.4, 132.3, 129.8, 129.0, 71.2, 67.5, 57.6, 38.7, 25.4.

3,3-Diallyl-4-methylidihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (3m)
Prepared from general procedure A-1 (colorless oil, 90 mg, 65%). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.
Prepared from general procedure A-2 (pale yellow oil, 44 mg, 59%, 10:1 dr, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 10%.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 7.26 (m, 5 H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 5 H), 6.08 (dd, $J = 17.0, 10.2, 9.3$ Hz, 1 H), 5.19 (dd, $J = 10.2, 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (dd, $J = 17.0, 1.8, 0.9$ Hz, 1 H), 3.60–3.48 (m, 2 H), 3.30 (dd, $J = 9.4, 6.7$ Hz, 1 H), 2.65 (dd, $J = 13.8, 6.2$ Hz, 1 H), 2.46 (dd, $J = 13.8, 8.0$ Hz, 1 H), 2.30–2.19 (m, 1 H), 1.73 (dddd, $J = 13.9, 7.8, 7.0, 4.3$ Hz, 1 H), 1.59–1.49 (m, 1 H), 0.95 (bs, 1 H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 143.8, 141.1, 139.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.1, 126.4, 126.1, 117.0, 61.3, 53.3, 42.0, 38.7, 33.6.

Prepared from general procedure A-2 (colorless oil, 17.5 mg, 39%, 2:1 dr, major reported). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 20% to 30%.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 7.31–7.12 (m, 5 H), 5.74 (dd, $J = 17.2, 10.4, 8.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.23–5.17 (m, 1 H), 5.15–5.09 (m, 1 H), 4.16 (dd, $J = 7.1, 3.7$ Hz, 1 H), 4.09 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.61–3.52 (m, 2 H), 2.80 (dd, $J = 13.7, 5.1$ Hz, 1 H), 2.63–2.56 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (dd, $J = 13.7, 9.5$ Hz, 1 H), 2.06 (s, 3 H), 1.64–1.46 (m, 2 H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 171.2, 140.9, 136.2, 129.2, 128.5, 126.2, 118.3, 65.4, 60.9, 45.1, 38.0, 37.1, 34.0, 21.1.

Prepared from 4a via general procedure C followed by general procedure D-2 (white foam, 15 mg and 32 mg respectively, 80% over 2 steps, 2:1 dr). The intermediate after general procedure C was used crude without further purification. As the newly formed stereocenter will be obliterated later in the sequence, the stereochemical assignment was not determined. Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 15%.

Prepared from 4a via successive general procedures C, E, F followed by C again. All intermediates were used crude without further purification. The intermediate after procedure F was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc 20%) but not characterized (yellow oil, 18 mg, 48% over 3 steps, 3:1 dr). Final compound 6a was obtained after final treatment via general procedure C (white solid, 10 mg, 80% or 38% over 4 steps, single diastereomer). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 7.35 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 3 H), 6.84 (dd, $J = 10.1, 3.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.15 (dd, $J = 10.2, 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.02 (m, 3 H), 3.94–3.89 (m, 3 H), 2.60–2.51 (m, 2 H), 2.22–2.10 (m, 1 H), 1.72 (tdd, $J = 12.4, 9.1, 3.0$ Hz, 2 H), 1.66–1.54 (m, 3 H).

$^{13}$C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 201.3, 151.0, 141.4, 128.8, 128.7, 127.2, 108.8, 64.7, 64.2, 45.0, 44.8, 42.6, 37.0, 33.4, 23.0.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z [M + H]$^+$ calc'd for C$_{12}$H$_{12}$O$_2$: 299.1642; found: 299.1632.

Prepared from 4a via successive general procedures C, E, F followed by C again. All intermediates were used crude without further purification. The intermediate after procedure F was purified via silica gel column chromatography (Hex/EtOAc 20%) but not characterized (yellow oil, 18 mg, 48% over 3 steps, 3:1 dr). Final compound 6a was obtained after final treatment via general procedure C (white solid, 10 mg, 80% or 38% over 4 steps, single diastereomer). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5%.

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 7.35 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 3 H), 6.84 (dd, $J = 10.1, 3.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.15 (dd, $J = 10.2, 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.02 (m, 3 H), 3.94–3.89 (m, 3 H), 2.60–2.51 (m, 2 H), 2.22–2.10 (m, 1 H), 1.72 (tdd, $J = 12.4, 9.1, 3.0$ Hz, 2 H), 1.66–1.54 (m, 3 H).

$^{13}$C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 201.3, 151.0, 141.4, 128.8, 128.7, 127.2, 108.8, 64.7, 64.2, 45.0, 44.8, 42.6, 37.0, 33.4, 23.0.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z [M + H]$^+$ calc'd for C$_{12}$H$_{12}$O$_2$: 285.1485; found: 285.1480.

Prepared from 4a via general procedure C followed by general procedure D (colorless oil, 11 mg, 22%, 94% brsm, >20:1 dr). Solvent system for chromatography: Hex/EtOAc 5% to 10%.

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 7.32–7.26 (m, 6 H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 4 H), 6.35 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (d, $J = 15.7, 9.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.13 (dd, $J = 17.0, 10.3, 9.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.21 (dd, $J = 10.3, 2.1$ Hz, 1 H), 5.14 (dd, $J = 17.1, 1.6$ Hz, 1 H), 3.91–3.82 (m, 2 H), 3.79–3.71 (m, 2 H), 3.19 (dd, $J = 11.3, 9.2$ Hz, 1 H), 2.69 (dd, $J = 9.0, 3.9$ Hz, 1 H), 2.24 (ddt, $J = 12.8, 11.3, 3.8$ Hz, 1 H), 1.87 (tt, $J = 14.9, 4.5$ Hz, 1 H), 1.77–1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.58 (ddt, $J = 13.0, 4.6, 2.5$ Hz, 1 H), 1.33 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1 H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 1 H).

$^{13}$C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 143.4, 137.7, 136.3, 132.8, 130.5, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.1, 126.3, 126.2, 117.5, 109.5, 64.2, 64.2, 53.1, 42.4, 39.6, 34.7, 30.5, 30.2.

[This document was downloaded for personal use only. Unauthorized distribution is strictly prohibited.]
SupportedInformation

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1707184. Additional experimental details and spectroscopic reprints (1H and 13C NMR) are available.

References

(1) Freeman, F. Chem. Rev. 1980, 80, 329.