International Microsurgery Club: An Effective Online Collaboration System
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Abstract

Background This study aimed to determine if International Microsurgery Club (IMC) is an effective online resource for microsurgeons worldwide, in providing an avenue for timely group discussions and advice regarding complicated cases, and an avenue for collaboration and information sharing.

Methods All posts on the IMC Facebook group from member 1 to 8,000 were analyzed according to inclusion criteria and categorized into three categories—case discussion, question, and information sharing. Posts were retrospectively analyzed for number of responses, time of responses, number of “likes,” number of treatment options, time of day, and demographics of authors and responders.

Results A retrospective analysis of 531 cases showed an average response rate of 75.7% within 1 hour and as membership grew. The response rate stabilized averaging between 72.5 and 78% across all times of the day. An average of 11.8 microsurgeons was involved per case discussion, and 5.7 treatment options were provided per case.

Conclusion IMC is shown to be an effective resource to allow microsurgeons to access timely advice from other microsurgeons without time and distance limitation, and to have interactive group discussions on complicated cases.
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The role of online learning has become invaluable in today’s modern age of technology. The availability of online resources, including journals, websites, YouTube, and social networking websites, provides instant access to answers to clinical questions. Microsurgery is no exception in the developing network of online learning.1–4 Mabvuure et al reported in 2014 that 82% of plastic surgeons from the United Kingdom participated in at least one online platform.5–6 Since Facebook started in 2006, it has become the largest social media platform in the world. In 2019, Facebook is the third most visited website in the world with more than 2 billion users, followed by Google and YouTube.1,6–9
According to a study conducted by Clinical Care Options in 2017, more than 50% of physicians in the United States access social media for professional purposes. Among those physicians, 46% reported they also used Facebook for personal use. For these reasons, Facebook was chosen for the development of the International Microsurgery Club (IMC). Medical interest groups are not new to Facebook. There exist hundreds of medical groups already; however, their utility is often limited by low number of members or inactivity. Some examples of effective medical interest groups include the Interactive Plastic Surgery Network (IPSN), Orthobullets, and Handsurgery.cn. IPSN is an established plastic surgery group on Facebook, started in 2012, who provide case discussion in all fields of plastic surgery. Orthobullets.com and Handsurgery.cn are customized websites which provide platforms to share information and create discussion. As microsurgery is a highly specialized field, surgeons with this specific knowledge, experience, and skills are a rare commodity. The aim of IMC is to provide connection and access to global microsurgeons for online consultation, discussion, and collaboration in an easy and economical way that previous conventional methods of connection, such as journals, textbooks, and conferences, fail to provide.

IMC is a professionals-only semiclosed group on Facebook, initiated by Dr. Tommy Nai-Jen Chang in May 2016. Membership is granted on validation of title, department, hospital, and specialty. As of August 2019, more than 11,600 members have joined the group and now IMC is one of the largest online microsurgery education groups worldwide. The aim of IMC is to provide an online forum for microsurgeons worldwide to communicate effectively. Members are able to post cases, questions, and clinical photos/videos in a format which most people are familiar with, due to the popularity of Facebook. The posts not only provide valuable advice to surgeons but also provides opportunities for collaborations in research and learning resources for surgical trainees. Access to this network of information on IMC is free.

Only posts related to microsurgery are authorized to be posted on IMC, with an exception for information regarding conferences. Information on conferences may be relevant for the members of IMC, as the membership consists of predominantly plastic surgeons and orthopaedic surgeons. With the growth and activity seen in IMC, we hypothesize that IMC will continue to grow and provide a valuable resource of online learning and consultation for microsurgeons worldwide.

**Methods**

All posts from the date of establishment (May 6, 2016) until February 17, 2018, 8,000 members were retrospectively analyzed and categorized into three broad categories—case discussion, questions, and information sharing. Groups of 1,000 members were allocated a group number sequentially. Inclusion criteria for case discussion posts included posts which provided a clear history, photos/videos, and other important investigations such as X-rays. Inclusion criteria for information sharing posts included conference information and medical news.

Case discussion posts were analyzed for number of responses, timing of responses, treatment options provided, number of members involved in discussion, time of day, demographics of members, and number of “likes” (which includes other positive icons such as happy, surprised, and love after Facebook software updated). Question and information sharing posts were analyzed for number of “likes” and number of responses. Pearson’s chi-square test was used for the analysis of time of day and subspecialties involved in discussion. Sociograph.io software was used for analysis of post authorship.

**Results**

A total of 1,839 posts were analyzed: 944 case-related discussion posts (pre- and postoperation discussions), 740 information sharing posts, and 155 question posts. 

**Fig. 1A** shows a positive correlation between number of members and response rate. As the number of members increases, the response rate also increases. At 8,000 members, 81.4% of posts received a response within 1 hour, and 74.4% received at least three responses within 2 hours. 

**Fig. 1B, C** compares all three types of posts. Case-related discussion posts received the most “likes” (includes other positive icons) and respondents, whereas information sharing posts received the least.

A total of 531 clinical cases were included in the study. The average number of cases posted per day was 0.8. The percentage of responses received within 1 hour was 75.7 ± 1%. The percentage of first responses within 1 hour increased as member numbers increased and then gradually stabilized over time (**Fig. 1A**). An average of 11.8 ± 8.0 microsurgeons was involved, and 5.7 ± 3.8 options for reconstruction were provided on each individual case. The average number of “likes” per case was 46.3 (**Fig. 1B, C**).

It was observed that the number of responses was correlated with certain case topics and subspecialties in microsurgery. For example, we compared hand surgery cases with peripheral nerve surgery cases. Hand surgery is thought to be one of the most popular fields, and peripheral nerve surgery to be less popular. The number of responses and response rate within 1 hour for cases involving peripheral nerve surgery were found to be lower than that for cases involving hand surgery. However, this result was found not to be statistically significant (**Table 1**).

In order to observe the effect of time zones on response time, we divided 24 hours into four equal time intervals based on Taiwan time (GMT + 8). It was found that across all time intervals, the percentage of posts with a first response time within 1 hour ranged between 72.5 and 78%. This was found not to be statistically significant (p = 0.739) (**Fig. 2A**).

An increase in diversity of members of IMC also expands the breadth of knowledge and experience held within the network. Facebook background analysis of members’
Table 1 A comparison of various data points between hand surgery posts and peripheral nerve surgery posts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of microsurgery</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First response within 1 h (%)</td>
<td>Hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ responses within 2 h (%)</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options of reconstruction</td>
<td>6.3 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members involved in discussion</td>
<td>11.9 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case number</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case Presentation

Case 1: Posted by Dr. Samir Ghoraba (Egypt)

A 46-year-old woman presented with a wrist amputation and replantation. Despite brisk capillary refill postoperatively, the performing surgeon, Dr. Ghoraba, was concerned about venous insufficiency to the replanted hand. He posted on IMC requesting member input. The first response was received 11 minutes after the post, 11 responses received within 1 hour, and 17 members worldwide were involved in the discussion.
the case discussion. Seven different treatment suggestions were offered and discussed by members, including (1) observation, (2) re-explore and perform additional vein anastomoses, (3) shorten the bone 1.5 cm, suture the main arteries and nerves, and find additional veins for anastomosis, (4) release of sutures and evaluate, (5) proximal fasciotomy, (6) use of leeches, heparin, and continuous infusion of dextran, and (7) removal of nail and application of topical nitroglycerin patches near the anastomosis (►Fig. 3A–C).

Based on the advice received, Dr. Ghoraba performed the following: (1) release of all sutures, (2) re-explored the veins and confirmed their patency, (3) performed an additional venous anastomosis, (4) exposed nail beds and applied heparin, and (5) released the fascia between the metacarpals. As a result, the color of the replanted palm appeared pink with good capillary refill immediately postoperatively, and the hand replantation was salvaged successfully (►Fig. 3D). The 13-month follow-up photos showed acceptable functional and aesthetic results after tenolysis and covered with thoracodorsal artery perforator flap (►Fig. 3E, F).

Case 2: Posted by Dr. Tommy Nai-Jen Chang (Taiwan)
A 30-year-old woman required resection of an anterior abdominal wall desmoid tumor, measuring 10 × 10 × 8 cm in
Reconstruction was planned around the patient’s desire to bare children in the future. Due to the complexity of the case, Dr. Chang posted on IMC 8 hours prior to the operation requesting further reconstruction options. The first response was received 11 minutes after the initial post. Nine responses were received prior to the operation, with involvement of 16 members in discussion. Seven different suggestions were offered and discussed, including (1) reconstruction using a latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap, (2) reconstruction using a rectus femoris (RF) flap harvested from the anterolateral thigh (ALT) flap, (3) reconstruction using a tensor fascia lata (TFL) flap, (4) use mesh for hernia prevention and reconstruction using a pedicled innervated vastus lateralis and anterolateral thigh flap, (5) use of radiotherapy to reduce the tumor size and reconstruction using a greater omentum flap, (6) reconstruction using a free gracilis flap, (7) use mesh initially and then reconstruct the lateral abdominal muscles with preoperative botulinum toxin, followed by separation of the posterior components (bilateral transversus abdominis release) to achieve direct myofascial closure and reinforcement with a sublayer of mesh in the retrorectus transversus abdominis/transversalis plane (►Fig. 4A, B).

After the tumor was excised, an abdominal defect to the rectus abdominal muscle, abdominal fascia, and overlying skin remained. After consideration of all suggestions from IMC, Dr. Chang decided to perform a pedicle RF muscle to replace the rectus abdominis (RA) muscle defect, to vascularize the fascia from the TFL, to replace the abdominal fascia with the lateral thigh, and to perform an ALT flap to cover the soft tissue and skin defect. Flap vascularity was monitored. The lateral circumflex femoral artery was used to produce a pedicle chimeric (muscle–fascia–skin) flap. The RF muscle was designed as a functional pedicle muscle, and the motor nerve of the RA was translocated to the T11 intercostal nerve via a 15-cm nerve graft. During pregnancy, respiratory control is used to control muscle movement, rather than knee extension (►Fig. 4C–G).

The operation had no complications and the patient was discharged 10 days postsurgery. Seven months postoperatively, the patient returned to normal activities without complications. She is able to perform abdominal exercises without difficulty and knee extension was normal. On analysis, this case discussion received 187 “likes” and 63 responses.

**Discussion**

As technology and infrastructure continue to improve, accessibility to the internet continues to allow the impact...
of social media to expand.\textsuperscript{1,6,13} Social media allows access to a greater audience and the ability to communicate information to that audience more effectively.\textsuperscript{6,26} It is common practice for professionals to perform web searches or view instructional videos on “YouTube” rather than shifting through large volumes of textbooks or journal articles. Although instantaneous, the interactive element is lacking. It has become an increasing trend for professional websites to have social media platforms, such as Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn, to be integrated within their websites.\textsuperscript{1,2,4,6,7,9,12,13} Compared with previous conventional learning resources, such as conferences, journals, and textbooks, online learning has revolutionized the way knowledge and information is delivered. Previously, by the time textbooks and hardcopy journals were published, much of the information became outdated. Online, any individual with access to the Internet is able to share their own experiences and knowledge to others in a matter of seconds.\textsuperscript{1–3} Social media platforms are reliant on members to build, enrich, nurture, and maintain the content and activity.

The experience and knowledge of senior surgeons worldwide are a treasured commodity. There are several barriers in communicating those experiences and knowledge to others. These include, language, platform barriers, cost, complexities in publishing formats and requirements, and time limitations.\textsuperscript{2,4} Therefore, an online platform, as a cost-free resource, may reduce barriers for global surgeons to interact, share experiences, and collaborate with other microsurgeons worldwide.\textsuperscript{2} Microsurgeons can use IMC to learn novel skills and knowledge, form professional friendships, collaborate in research, and hold international conferences.\textsuperscript{27}

In this study, we retrospectively analyzed 1,839 posts, including 531 cases, to assess the efficacy of IMC as a reliable and effective online collaboration and consultation system. It has been observed that members post cases on IMC with one of two purposes: (1) to seek recommendations or advice on cases to be performed in the future or to seek advice regarding complications occurring postoperatively, or (2) to share experiences on completed reconstructions. Although both purposes are equally important, in the cases which require advice, it is imperative that the online construct is able to support the need to have timely responses at all times of a 24-hour day. Response rate was found not to be directly proportional to the increase in members. Some possible reasons may be due to (1) sharing of educational information or experiences do not require a response, or (2) treatment options may have been exhausted in early responses. The number of “likes” per discussion is shown to increase with an increase in members. It is observed that even if treatment options were exhausted in early responses, members expressed their support for specific options by “liking” the posted response rather than repeating responses already mentioned. As IMC members increase, the response rate within 1 hour remains stable throughout all time intervals of the day and night. This is particularly relevant for members requiring time critical responses to clinical cases. For example, in case 1 presented earlier,\textsuperscript{1} as the patient had a time critical postoperative complication, urgent advice was needed. Within 1 hour 11 responses with different options were received.

In early statistics performed during the development of IMC (5,000 members mark), we identified a disparity in response rate between different subspecialty fields and topics. The responses in “less popular fields” such as peripheral nerve were statistically significantly slower than the response rate in more popular fields such as hand surgery. As the number of members has increased to 8,000, the difference in response rates between these two fields is no longer statistically significant. We hypothesize that as member numbers increase, this will provide an increase in the number of diverse specialists who are able to provide timely input into in-depth discussion, even in less popular fields.\textsuperscript{2,21,28}

We recognize there are several limitations of IMC. First, privacy is a major concern for any online-based group. In order to maintain confidentiality, our policy requires members to (1) obtain patient consent prior to posting, or (2) deidentify information and photos of patients. Failure to comply with confidentiality will result in nonpublication of posts. Due to varying privacy laws worldwide, the legal responsibility for confidentiality rests upon the author of the post. Privacy and content restriction laws and regulations do not allow content showing exposure of nipples or perineum to be available on Facebook. This regulation limits discussion topic content.\textsuperscript{23} Second, as a semiclosed Facebook group, membership is moderated. Although it is difficult to verify information provided by all members, fake accounts or unrelated people are removed immediately from IMC once identified. Even though the earlier moderation system is not ideal, there has been no major issues within the group in the past 3 years.\textsuperscript{20,29} Third, all attempts are made to moderate advertising from commercial vendors; however, it is also understood that members in IMC may also seek information regarding commercial products. For this reason, IMC has created subgroups to allow commercial vendors to post product advertising. Fourth, photos and videos on Facebook are able to be downloaded by other members of the group. Therefore, copyright and informed consent are mandatory for all posts. Finally, it is important to recognize that members of IMC exist at all levels, from junior doctors to highly experienced microsurgeons; therefore, discretion is required by readers to discern suitability of recommendations made. In order to address the earlier limitations, plans have begun to build a customized website, “International Microsurgery Website”, in order to continue to provide greater avenues for connectivity, communication, and consultation in the field of microsurgery.\textsuperscript{3,19,30–33}

In a community of more than 11,000 members from around the world, including novice microsurgeons and surgeons from developing countries, IMC holds enormous potential for reach in communication and dissemination of knowledge (\textsuperscript{–}Tables 2 and 3).
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Table 2 The top 10 countries with IMC members (September 15, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>1,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 The age/gender distribution of the IMC membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age/gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤24</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: There were 41.6% members between the age of 25 and 34 years and 35.5% between the age of 35 and 44 years, who were the main learning group (September 15, 2019).
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