Rhinoplasty is a surgical procedure to improve the form or external appearance of the nose while preserving function of the nasal airway. Functional and aesthetic nasal deformities are considered one of the most challenging aspects of reconstruction in patients with cleft lip and palate. The goal of this article is to review the recent literature on controversies in cleft rhinoplasty and to summarize the senior author’s (T.T.T.) experience. This article will include illustrative cases to summarize some of the controversies in cleft rhinoplasty including (1) advantages and disadvantages of the extent and timing of primary rhinoplasty, (2) differences in grafting materials, and (3) the utility of presurgical infant orthopaedics (PSIO) and postoperative molding.
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Abstract

Rhinoplasty for cleft lip nasal deformities challenges all cleft surgeons. There is great variability of phenotypical anatomy, but iatrogenic changes and scarring from the previous surgeries add another layer of complexity. Rhinoplasties on a patient with cleft lip–palate are technically and intellectually challenging to master requiring a patient-tailored approach. The shape and structure of the nose are changed to improve both function and aesthetic appearance. In the primary setting, nasoalveolar molding is a form of presurgical infant orthopaedics used for preparation before the cleft lip and nose repair. Intermediate stages should be conservative to minimize scarring, while the definitive cleft rhinoplasty utilizes cartilage grafts from septum, ear, or rib to sculpt the nose. Hereinto, we will outline the controversies, the evidence supporting certain techniques, and our preferences.

Background

Cleft lip and palate deformities occur in ~1 in 500 to 1 in 1000 live births that make it the most common congenital craniofacial abnormality. Asians and Native Americans have the highest incidence of cleft deformities, whereas people of African descent have lower incidence. There are well-established deformities noted with unilateral (►Table 1) and bilateral (►Table 2) cleft nasal deformities.

Rhinoplasty techniques are designed to address these common anatomic dysmorphisms. The goal of the unilateral cleft rhinoplasty is to reposition the cleft side lower lateral cartilage (LLC), create dome symmetry, align the caudal septum, elongate the columella, correct alar webbing, medialize the cleft alar base, and build structural support. Similar goals are implicated in bilateral cleft rhinoplasty; however, there is typically less asymmetry. PSIO, such as nasoalveolar molding (NAM) are effective at preparing the cleft alveolus and soft tissues of the lip–nose construct. NAM requires significant commitment by the family and cleft orthodontist with a variety of institution-dependent protocols. Nasal stenting is used to mold the nasal contours for 1 to 6 weeks after the primary rhinoplasty, but data supporting its use is limited.

Over the past hundred years cleft surgeons have attempted to develop, revise, and perfect techniques for remedying these anatomically complex deformities. The senior author (T.T.T.) considers the timing and extent of rhinoplasty to be the unanswered dilemma, due to the...
Variety of treatment paradigms. Timing of cleft rhinoplasty has remained a controversy for decades and has been divided into primary, intermediate, and definitive cleft rhinoplasty. Primary rhinoplasty is performed at the time of cleft lip repair and has gained popularity in the past several decades. Definitive rhinoplasty usually takes place once a patient reaches facial skeletal maturity; 14 to 16 years old for females and around 16 to 18 years old for males. There is a trend to address the cleft nasal deformity at younger ages.

Table 1 Characteristics of unilateral cleft lip nasal deformity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Type of deformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grossly asymmetric</td>
<td>Base of columella deviated toward nonleft side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nostril is wider and retrodisplaced on cleft side</td>
<td>Nostril margin on left side buckles inward because of bowing by internal vestibular web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficient maxilla on left side (often absent nasal floor affecting premaxilla)</td>
<td>Posterolaterally displaced alar base and premaxilla on left side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviated premaxilla, columella, and caudal septum toward noncleft side</td>
<td>Posteriorly displaced cleft-side dome of lower lateral cartilage (LLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased angle between medial and lateral crura on left side</td>
<td>Short mediodian crus on left side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortened lateral crus on left side</td>
<td>Upper lateral cartilage and LLC on cleft side are side by side rather than normal overlap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2 Characteristics of bilateral cleft lip nasal deformity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Type of deformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grossly symmetric</td>
<td>Wide nose with broad and depressed tip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide nostrils with inward collapsing margins</td>
<td>Shorter lateral crura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior nasal spine and caudal septum are inferiorly displaced relative to the alar bases</td>
<td>Deficient or absent bony nasal floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Based on the psychosocial effects of prolonged nasal deformities and the ability to correct the nasoseptal deviations in early teen years. This is supported by evidence of minimal impact on growth, which was the original reasoning behind recommending delay of the definitive rhinoplasty. Most surgeons continue to defer significant nasal skeletal changes and remain conservative in these settings.

Intermediate rhinoplasty is performed in between these time periods. Timing is not the only issue, but the degree of surgery performed at each stage. More extensive surgery will lead to greater scarring that can influence the difficulty of the definitive rhinoplasty. In addition, the need for grafting and type of graft to implement in this patient population is of particular debate.

Presurgical Infant Orthopaedics

PSIO is a controversial preoperative strategy that uses external appliances to reposition anatomic structures into more favorable positions before undergoing surgery. Reports date back to the sixteenth century, in which the premaxilla was repositioned prior to the cleft lip and palate repairs. In the 1980s, the Latham (Leibinger Ltd.) device was introduced that uses a screw mechanism to shift the premaxilla and the lateral alveolar cleft segments. The modern NAM appliance was introduced by Grayson et al. in the 1990s. After its introduction, Grayson and Cutting noted that NAM improved cosmetic outcomes with a reduction in the need for secondary nasal surgeries and alveolar bone grafting. In a large survey of active cleft surgeons in North America regarding unilateral cleft lip repair, ~26% of respondents used active presurgical orthopaedics such as the Latham appliance and 38% used NAM. In another large survey study of North American cleft surgeons regarding bilateral cleft lip repair, 39% used NAM, while only ~9% used an active device (e.g., Latham appliance).

NAM involves molding of the maxillary segments by incremental adjustments of the oral appliance and nasal soft tissue expansion with nasal stents fixed to the appliance. This appliance allows the maxillary segments and the nasal alar cartilages to be brought to a more favorable position. Additionally, the nasal stents encourage approximation of the lip segments, elongation of the columella, and expansion of the nasal mucosal lining. These changes have been objectively quantified as positive changes in nasal aesthetics. This technique is supported by the demonstration of moldability of neonatal auricular deformities by Matsuo et al. NAM is most effective shortly after birth to adapt the pliability of these tissues. Some believe that circulating maternal hormones in the newborn potentiate the molding of the nasal cartilages by making it more elastic.

Children treated with NAM within 1 month of life achieve more improvements compared with children treated later in life. Using this modality requires substantial commitment and compliance from caregivers of a child, as the mold is worn full time with weekly or biweekly adjustments by an orthodontist until the cleft segments are narrowed to at least 5mm. Once this goal has been achieved, nasal prongs are added to the appliance to contact the dome to extend the columella. Parental compliance is improved by establishing trust and open shared
decision-making, so that they will be comfortable with dealing with difficulties like appliances causing irritation, ulcerations, and bleeding.18

Systematic reviews are equivocal on the effectiveness of NAM. Proponents19 and opponents20,21 have failed to reach a consensus, partially due to protocol and technical differences among institutions. Other factors involve the differences in baseline patient characteristics, limited number of high-level studies, and lack of clear objective outcome measures.

The major arguments against NAM are based on (1) the possible inhibition of midface growth and (2) the potential relapse of the nasal changes. A recent retrospective cohort study of 56 consecutively treated children with unilateral cleft lip and palate treated with NAM protocol (mean age 8.6 years) versus 56 children not treated with NAM (mean age 9.7 years) did not find NAM to impact skeletal or soft tissue growth in school-aged children after comparing seven midface measurements between each group.22 Liou et al followed the progressive changes of nasal symmetry after NAM in a 3-year follow-up study of 25 children and found that nasal asymmetry partially relapsed after a year, but remained stable at 2 and 3 years after NAM and primary cheiloplasty.23 Similarly, in a larger study of 57 children who were followed for a year after NAM found that there was a relapse rate of 10% in nostril width, 20% in nostril height, and 4.7% in angle of the columella.24 Some surgeons choose to slightly overcorrect during rhinoplasty to compensate for these potential relapses.25

Although there is substantial controversy regarding its benefits, studies have demonstrated that NAM reduced the need for secondary nasal surgeries with an associated decrease in overall cost.26 Currently, the senior author (T.T.T.) partners with a cleft orthodontist to conduct NAM for the wider unilateral and bilateral cleft lip deformities. Other than the severity of the cleft, other factors used to screen these infants for NAM include the additional burdens to the family that may affect their compliance (e.g., long travel distances). Pretreatment education for the family caregivers is imperative.

Surgical Timing and Techniques

There are differing opinions about how to balance the extent (how much surgery) and timing for rhinoplasty in these patients. Over half of cleft surgeons perform some form of primary rhinoplasty in infancy.13 The basic premise of using rhinoplasty techniques in infancy is to utilize the malleability of the nasal cartilages and soft tissues.27 Proponents of primary cleft rhinoplasty suggest that primary treatment reduces the severity of the nasal deformity, reduces the number of revision surgeries required in adulthood, and may lessen psychosocial impact of deformity during development.28–30

Historically, cleft surgeons were influenced by animal studies that suggested that rhinoplasty (before full skeletal maturity) could induce long-term nasal growth inhibition due to disruption of nasal growth centers.31 There is a lack of rigorous evidence pertaining to this clinical question. Anecdotal experience of the senior author (T.T.T.) and other reports suggest rhinoplasty can be safely performed. Midface and nasal growth have not been shown to be grossly affected after septal repositioning. Other advantages include improvement in nasal tip symmetry and less complex secondary procedure required.30,32

Primary Cleft Rhinoplasty

The overall goals of the primary cleft rhinoplasty are accurate positioning of the ala and nasal sill, nasal floor closure, repositioning the LLC, alar base repositioning, and increasing tip support. In the bilateral deformity, elongation of the columnella and symmetric projection of the nasal tip with correction of columellar lining deficiency are distinct challenges. The extent of the primary rhinoplasty is debated as more extensive surgery may lead to significant scarring at the time of definitive rhinoplasty; however, proponents suggest it may reduce the severity of the final deformity at facial maturity. A balance is sought and in most cases, we would support at least some rhinoplasty to be performed at the time of the unilateral or bilateral cleft lip repair. We prefer a graded surgical technique based on the severity of the nasal deformity.

Intermediate Cleft Rhinoplasty

Intermediate rhinoplasty was named as a more traditional approach by surgeons who limited the primary rhinoplasty and delayed rhinoplasty until 4 to 10 years of age. We do not use a treatment algorithm for a rhinoplasty between primary and definitive rhinoplasty, but do offer these revision procedures. The indications for intermediate rhinoplasty involve the following situations: (1) severe septal deviation resulting in functional nasal obstruction, (2) severe alar deformities, and (3) when a child is facing severe psychological distress from their peers due to their nasal deformity. Children with orofacial cleft suffer the highest incidence of depression, anxiety, and negative peer-relationships around the ages 8 to 10 years.33 This supports a tiered-approach with psychosocial support, counselling, and surgery used together within a multidisciplinary cleft and craniofacial team.

Again, the amount of surgery performed should be balanced with a preponderance of benefit and minimal harm. Ayeroff et al demonstrated improvement in nasal photomorphometric relationships for up to 3 years after intermediate component restoration for unilateral cleft nasal deformity.34 Two suggested intervals for intermediate rhinoplasty include (1) age ~4 to 6 years to coincide with lip revision and (2) 8 to 12 years once orthodontia has been addressed and alveolar bone grafting complete to allow for a stable maxillary skeletal foundation.35

Definitive Rhinoplasty

Definitive cleft lip rhinoplasty is most often performed at or after skeletal maturity has been achieved. The long-standing aesthetic and functional outcomes of this rhinoplasty rely on a stable maxillary skeletal foundation. Ideally, the dentofacial proportions will be first addressed with alveolar bone grafting, orthodontic and in some cases orthognathic surgery.36 During definitive rhinoplasty, the cleft surgeon may be more assertive with cartilage grafting and soft tissue rearrangement. This will usually include a more extensive septoplasty, nasal bone
osteotomies, and cartilage grafting.\textsuperscript{37} The ultimate goal of definitive rhinoplasty is creation of lasting symmetry, definition of the nasal tip and base, relief of nasal obstruction, and correction of nasal scarring and webbing.\textsuperscript{38}

**Techniques**

**Primary Rhinoplasty in the Unilateral Cleft Nasal Deformity**

Our approach to the rhinoplasty performed at the time of the unilateral cleft lip repair has evolved over the past 15 years. An inexperienced surgeon should use techniques that limit inadvertent downstream sequelae and soft tissue scarring. Observing your own patients over the decades of growth is key to understanding what effects your technical choices have on the nose. In all cases, the alar base width is set with an “cinching suture,” which runs from the anterior nasal spine/caudal septum to the base of the ala (► Fig. 1 with caliper). The key structures to address during unilateral cleft lip rhinoplasty include the columella, dome, cleft side LLC, alar rim, and alar base. The approaches are all endonasal without external skin incisions, which historically were popular. Incisions chosen by some surgeons can include the marginal incision, inter-cartilaginous incision, or a reverse-U incision, with medial extension over the point of maximal alar hooding. In rare cases of severe nasal hooding, a Tajima reverse U rim incision is created on the cleft side and elliptical incision of soft tissue hooding.\textsuperscript{39}

The senior author (T.T.T.) is a proponent of a conservative primary rhinoplasty during the cleft lip repair. In the unilateral lip repair, rounded tip scissors are placed under the columellar skin to meticulously dissect the LLCs from the skin soft tissue envelope of the nose (► Fig. 2). After release of the nasal cartilages from the overlying skin, retractors or forceps are used to push the cleft side LLC in a cephalad and medial position (► Fig. 3). While suspending these cartilages, two sets of sutures are placed through the vestibular lining of the nose to suspend the cartilage, which include (1) triangular fixation sutures of the ala and (2) interdomal sutures. These are placed from inside the nose using a resorbable 6–0 Monocryl suture (Ethicon Inc.) (► Fig. 4). Two or three of the triangular fixation sutures are placed by passing the needle from inside the nostril through the alar crease, and then back in the needle hole before tying inside the nose. The knots are cut short to

---

**Fig. 1** Primary rhinoplasty showing the caliper that is used to measure the alar base width. The alar base suture and orbicularis oris muscle closure is imperative to create nasal symmetry. (Reproduced with permission from Tollefson TT, Sykes JM. Cleft lip repair: unilateral. In: Goudy SL, Tollefson TT, eds. Complete Cleft Care. New York: Thieme; 2015:55)

**Fig. 2** Primary rhinoplasty rounded tip scissors placed under columellar skin to dissect the lower lateral cartilages from the skin soft tissue envelope of the nose. (Reproduced with permission from Tollefson TT, Sykes JM. Primary cleft rhinoplasty and gingivoperiosteoplasty. In: Goudy SL, Tollefson TT, eds. Complete Cleft Care. New York: Thieme; 2015:86)

**Fig. 3** After release of the nasal cartilages from the overlying skin, a Ragnal retractor or Brown forceps are used push the cleft side lower lateral cartilage (LLC) in a cephalad and medial position. The intradomal and alar resorbable sutures are placed while positioning the LLCs.
promote early resorption and to minimize the relative frequency of suture abscesses that were more common when braided Vicryl (Ethicon Inc.) was used. The interdomal sutures can be placed in the same fashion through the nasal vestibular lining with the knot tied externally, or submucosally using a longer lasting 5–0 polydioxanone suture.

Primary Rhinoplasty in the Bilateral Cleft Nasal Deformity

Bilateral cleft lip nasal deformity tends to be more symmetric but includes many of the similar features of the unilateral deformity. In bilateral cleft lip nasal deformity, it is important to consider the maxillary bony deficiency, more inferiorly and posterolateral positioning of the alar bases, under-projected and broad nasal tip, and short columella (Fig. 5). Additionally, surgeons must be cognizant of the unique risk of pro-labium and columellar necrosis if there is extensive soft tissue dissection bilaterally that may compromise blood supply. For primary bilateral cleft nasal deformity, the senior author (T.T.T.) begins with bilateral partial marginal incisions to expose the nasal tip fat pad, which is freed and passed superiorly. The LLCs are subsequently sewn together in the midline with a 6–0 Monocryl to allow a lateral crural steal effect. The cephalic borders of the LLCs are secured cephalad onto the ULCs using a 5–0 polydioxanone suture on each side as described by Skoog. In less than 10% of the cases, an elliptical excision of the soft tissue triangle hooding is performed using a Tajima’s reverse U incision.

Postoperative Nasal Molding

Postoperative nasal stents are controversial, as there is a lack of high-level evidence to clearly demonstrate its role after cleft rhinoplasty. In a large survey study of cleft surgeons, ~54% of respondents incorporate postoperative molding into their practice. It is hypothesized that nasal stents postop-eratively oppose the memory of deformed cleft LLC and establishes an environment that gives the surgical wound the best chance of healing and less scar contracture thus resulting in improved nasal symmetry. Yeow recommended use of a Koken postoperative nasal stents for at least 6 months after primary unilateral cleft rhinoplasty. In this retrospective review, they reported improved nasal outcome after stenting as measured by nostril symmetry, alar cartilage slump, alar base level, and columellar tilt. However, to date no randomized clinical trials have supported efficacy. Currently, the senior author (T.T.T.) uses a silicone nasal conformer (size 3 or 4) for nearly all primary cleft lip repairs with rhinoplasty. These are secured with a 4–0 Prolene suture (Ethicon Inc.) placed through the stent and vestibular septum and the second pass coming anterior to the columella (for ease of cutting) when taking them out at 1 week.

Fig. 4 Triangular fixation sutures (6–0 Monocryl) are placed through the ala and interdomal sutures are shown. The lip closure shown is a rotation-advancement technique with two small triangle flaps at the cutaneous roll and dry vermillion junction. (Reproduced with permission from Tollefson TT, Sykes JM. Cleft lip repair: unilateral. In: Goudy SL, Tollefson TT, eds. Complete Cleft Care. New York: Thieme; 2015:56)

Fig. 5 Bilateral cleft lip nasal deformity showcasing maxillary bony deficiency, more inferiorly and posterolateral positioning of the alar bases, under-projected and broad nasal tip, and short columella. (Reproduced with permission from Tollefson TT, Senders CW. Cleft lip repair: bilateral. In: Goudy SL, Tollefson TT, eds. Complete Cleft Care. New York: Thieme; 2015:74)

Fig. 6 A silicone nasal conformer is shown secured with 4–0 Prolene suture placed through the stent and vestibular septum and the second pass coming anterior to the columella. (Note: tension on the columellar skin is minimized to prevent pressure necrosis.)
Grafting Materials for the Definitive Cleft Lip Rhinoplasty

The comprehensive management of the unilateral and bilateral cleft nose deformity inevitably requires cartilage grafting. Cartilaginous autografts (e.g., septal, ear, rib) may be harvested with their respective morbidity, and implemented as grafts such as the following: columellar strut, caudal septal extension graft, spreader graft, articulating rim graft, tip shield graft, lateral crus strut grafts, and onlay grafts for the middle and lower nasal thirds. The stability produced by these grafts increases when going from auricular cartilage to septal cartilage to septal bone (perpendicular plate) to rib grafts. Thus, the surgeon should choose the graft source wisely to fit the needs of the procedure.

In unilateral cleft lip and palate patients, Lu and Chen demonstrated that a primary septal cartilage rim graft resulted in better nostril height, height-to-width ratio, and nasal dome height compared with a nongrafted control patients without affecting future nasal growth. While septal cartilage and auricular cartilage may allow for greater efficiency and minimizing number of surgical incisions (septal), autologous rib cartilage is abundant, resistant to the forces acting on the nose, and is often considered the gold standard cartilage graft due to its durability and strength. Regardless of the donor site, cartilage of all forms is subject to unpredictable resorption, curling, and chance of dislocation. However, Lopez et al. demonstrated that large costal cartilage grafts harvested from the central portion of the rib resulted in less warping in a porcine model. Despite the durability of rib cartilage, complications may occur including pain, scarring, pneumothorax, infection, and increased surgical time. Allogeneic rib cartilage is an option in patients who warrant the strength of rib cartilage yet wish to avoid donor site morbidity.

Shortcomings of rib cartilage grafts include calcification of cartilage that makes harvest and sculpting more challenging. Cartilage from younger patients has a propensity to warp, while older patients may be more calcified. In mild-to-moderate cleft nasal deformities cases, the LLC may be reasonably repaired with auricular or septal cartilage; however, major dorsal augmentation will likely require costal cartilage. Rim grafts improve appearance of the alar margin and prevent alar rim collapse. The final choice of graft donor site and placement depends on each patient’s unique characteristics and a preoperative evaluation of patient factors and wishes. The following cases will emphasize some of these principles.

Cases

Case 1: Primary Unilateral Cleft Lip Rhinoplasty

The priorities of presurgical treatment of a child with unilateral cleft lip and palate are to expand the soft tissues of the nasal columella after contouring the maxillary arches with an orthodontic appliance. NAM began at 2 weeks of age. The family is screened with a detailed discussion to prepare them for the routine clinical visits and the importance of parental compliance. Prior to NAM, photographs are obtained and taping of the lip is taught to the parents. The oral appliance is created from an impression of the infant’s maxilla. Our specialized cleft orthodontist conducts regular adjustments and fittings of the appliance. The nasal wires are added weeks later to shape the nostril. After several months of NAM treatment, the cleft lip repair proceeds with a modified hybrid subunit technique with primary rhinoplasty. Bilateral LLCs are released from the skin-soft tissue envelope using rounded tip scissors dissection shown in highlighted area. The LLCs are then retracted and several interdomal and alar triangular fixation sutures are placed. It has been our practice to use a silicone nostril conformer (usually size 3 or 4) in the nostrils at the end of the surgery. Parents tape the stent into place for 4 to 6 weeks after the surgery. The rhinoplasty outcome is reasonable and will often be treated definitively after possible orthognathic surgery at full skeletal growth. These children’s dentofacial appearances should be addressed with a multidisciplinary...
team with expertise in not only rhinoplasty but also maxillofacial surgery and dentistry.

**Case 2: Definitive Cleft Lip Rhinoplasty**

This 18-year-old patient with unilateral left cleft lip and palate had repair of the lip in infancy. She notes that the nostril on the cleft side is posteriorly displaced and the tip projection is inadequate. She has left alar hooding present as shown in Fig. 12. Orthognathic surgery and treatment of dentofacial abnormalities should be completed or ruled out prior to rhinoplasty. The LLC on the cleft side is dysmorphic but not usually hypoplastic. The caudal septum is deviated to the noncleft side contributing to tip and nostril asymmetry.

This patient shows poor tip projection with a dorsal profile that can appear to have a larger hump proportionally. Computer simulation software can be effective at improving patient communication regarding the profile changes (Fig. 13). Conservative dorsal reduction and increased nasal tip projection can address this aspect.

Symmetry of the lower third usually requires rigid cartilage grafting and suture suspension techniques (Fig. 14). The upper lateral cartilages curve along with the dorsal-most septum. By dividing the upper lateral cartilages from the septum, two goals can be achieved: (1) the septum can be
released from the mucoperiosteal flaps through the open septorhinoplasty approach and (2) asymmetric spreader grafts (Fig. 15) can be applied into these spaces to correct the alignment of the middle nasal vault.

A thickened nasal tip soft tissue envelope can mask tip definition (Fig. 16). The alar base on the cleft side is most-often deficient and inferior-laterally displaced. A variety of subnasal grafting materials can be used; however, the senior author (T.T.T.) prefers to use autologous materials from the septum, ear, rib, or autologous fat grafts (in that order). This case illustrates the difficulty of creating adequate tip definition and nasal straightening.

Fig. 13 Patient with repaired left unilateral cleft lip and palate showing the alar hooding, tip fullness, and lack of projection in computer morphing software: (A) preoperative profile, (B) computerized morphing of increased projection and dorsal reduction, (C) postoperative rhinoplasty outcome.

Fig. 14 The rhinoplasty plan is outlined in the (A) profile and (B) frontal view diagram showing the grafts from the septum marked for use in the rhinoplasty, the caudal septal extension graft and extended spreader grafting, and the premaxillary grafting with morcelized cartilage.
Conclusion

Cleft surgeons are challenged by variabilities of the anatomy, scarring, and soft tissue changes of the nose treated for a cleft lip–palate. Many consider these the most technically challenging rhinoplasty procedures. Fundamental anatomic features are present for the unilateral and bilateral cleft lip nasal deformity, which allow for surgical planning, but require adaptation for each patient. A multidisciplinary approach allows for the dentofacial aspects and soft tissue surgical strategy to be devised. Specialized cleft orthodontists can prepare the infant lip and nose prior to the primary lip and nose repair. Most surgeons perform a primary rhinoplasty at the time of the cleft lip repair. Postoperative molding is controversial due to a lack of high-level evidence, but several case series suggest that it is well tolerated, and may provide additional benefit with wound healing and scarring. Intermediate rhinoplasties are less common, but may be influenced by nasal obstruction or bullying in this sensitive age-group. Durable results after definitive rhinoplasty performed at the time of skeletal maturity usually require cartilage grafting from the septum, auricle, or rib to optimize form and function. It is often necessary to employ various techniques to achieve successful cosmetic and functional outcomes for patients with cleft lip and palate. There is a need for high-quality randomized controlled trials with standardized outcome measures to guide cleft surgeons in their pursuit of giving children the best results.
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