Effect of ultrasound-guided percutaneous neuromodulation applied to the femoral nerve on pain and range of motion in patients with anterior knee pain: A case study
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Abstract

Introduction Anterior knee pain (AKP) is one of the most frequent reasons for physical therapy consultations, remaining a difficult treatment challenge for professionals. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of an intervention using ultrasound-guided percutaneous neuromodulation (US-guided PNM) applied to the femoral nerve on pain and knee flexion range of motion (ROM), in patients with unilateral chronic anterior knee pain.

Methods Eight patients received a single intervention of NMP-e on the femoral nerve of the symptomatic knee. The level of pain and ROM were measured before, immediately after and 24 hours after the intervention.

Results A decrease in pain and an increase of ROM were observed after the intervention and at 24 hours. For pain values, a medium effect size was observed (ES = 0.63 ± 0.43) after the intervention, and a large effect size (ES = 1.73 ± 0.44) was found at 24h. Concerning ROM, the effect size was large at both moments (ES = –1.30 ± 1.14; –1.76 ± 0.80, respectively).

Conclusion A single intervention of US-guided PNM on the femoral nerve produces a decrease of pain and increases the ROM, which is greater 24h after the stimulation.

Level of Evidence Level II-3.

Introduction

Anterior knee pain (AKP) is one of the most frequent reasons for physical therapy consultations, remaining a difficult treatment challenge for professionals. It is characterized by a non-specific knee pain located around the patella, mainly anteromedial and/or retropatellar.1

The etiology of AKP is unclear, although it is suggested to be multifactorial,2,3 including patellar anomalies,4 biomechanical disorders5 or overuse, especially among teenagers.5 However, different authors7 have suggested that AKP is not only a local mechanical pain, but rather the result of sensitization of the neural system, likewise, this occurs in other musculoskeletal pathologies. De-la-Cruz-Torres8 demonstrated that patients with unilateral chronic epicondylalgia had a decreased excitability of the radial nerve, independent of the symptomatic elbow. Rodriguez-Fernández et al9 reported that patients with functional ankle instability displayed a decreased excitability of the common peroneal nerve, compared with subjects without ankle lesions. Regarding the knee, Lin et al7 found that patients with AKP presented an alteration in the mechanosensitivity of the femoral nerve. As a result, many therapists...
include clinical tests of peripheral nerves within their assessment protocols of AKP.

The electric stimulation of the peripheral nerve is a therapeutic procedure which applies an electrical current on the peripheral nerve in order to treat chronic pain.\textsuperscript{10,11} The electric impulses interfere with the action of the impulses transmitted by the central nervous system, which make the person feel pain. At present, the use of ultrasound-guided percutaneous neuromodulation (US-guided PNM) on the peripheral nervous system is on the rise. This minimally-invasive intervention consists of the application of a percutaneous electric stimulation via an acupuncture needle which is placed close to the nerve (perineurium) or the motor point of the muscle, under ultrasound guidance.\textsuperscript{12} Mainly, US-guided PNM is used for therapeutic purposes, such as the relief of chronic pain\textsuperscript{13} and neuropathic pain\textsuperscript{14} or with the aim of improving muscle activity.\textsuperscript{15} Regarding chronic pain, different authors\textsuperscript{7} justify the application of neuromodulation due to mechanisms of central sensitization. However, there is still limited evidence regarding the effectiveness of physical therapy techniques directed at chronic pain affecting the peripheral nerves for the treatment of different musculoskeletal pathologies, especially in more chronic cases.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of an intervention of US-guided PNM on the femoral nerve on variables of pain and knee flexion range of motion (ROM) in patients with unilateral AKP.

**Methods**

In total, eight patients (six women, two men) with unilateral AKP were recruited from a private clinic. The subjects received an intervention of US-guided PNM on the femoral nerve of the affected side. The inclusion criteria were as follows: presenting unilateral knee pain, duration of pain greater than three months and aged between 25–55 years old. The exclusion criteria were: presenting a structural lower limb length discrepancy, surgery on any of the knees, lumber spine pathology (protrusion, herniated disk,...) due to the possible involvement of the lumbar plexus,\textsuperscript{16} being under medication and the specific contraindications for US-guided PNM (needle phobia, pregnancy, pacemaker, epileptic seizures).\textsuperscript{12}

**Ethical Considerations**

This study was approved by the local ethics committee (08/2008) and fulfilled all the principles established in the Helsinki Declaration. All the subjects signed the informed consent in writing to participate in this study.

**Variables**

The demographic data were obtained, including age, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), sex, dominant side, symptomatic side, and duration of symptoms. The clinical variables analyzed were:

- The level of pain reported by the patient was evaluated using a visual analog scale (VAS).\textsuperscript{17} To do so, a ruler measuring 100 mm was used with two labels, “no pain” and “maximum pain”, located on either side of a line, and the patient had to indicate their level of pain with a vertical line (0, no pain; 100 mm, maximum pain).
- The ROM of active knee flexion was measured using a goniometer.\textsuperscript{18} Goniometry assessment procedures are one of the most common procedures in the daily clinical practice. Furthermore, the use of the universal goniometer has shown to have a good inter and intra observer reliability.\textsuperscript{19} For the measurements, the patients were placed in supine lying with the legs outstretched. Subsequently, the patient was asked to perform knee flexion until the appearance of pain in the anterior aspect of the knee, which reminded the person of their pain. Special care was taken to avoid the patient reaching a ROM of flexion until the maximum pain possible, to avoid triggering a knee aggravation which could affect subsequent measurements. An examiner with over 5 years’ experience in goniometry performed three measurements, selecting the mean of these as the final value.

All the variables were measured before, immediately after, and 24 hours after the intervention.

**Procedure**

All subjects received a single intervention of US-guided PNM on the femoral nerve. Patients were placed in supine lying with the anterior aspect of the hip uncovered. The intervention consisted of the application of a biphasic square wave electric current, with a frequency of 10 Hz, a phase duration of 250 μs, and a maximum tolerable intensity to cause an exacerbated muscle contraction for 1.5 minutes in total, according to the protocol by Valera-Garrido and Minaya-Muñoz.\textsuperscript{12} A health-certified device (Physio Invasiva, PRIM, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain) was used. The femoral nerve was located at the cross-section of the groin crease, using an ultrasound device with a high-frequency 12L linear probe (transverse section; Logiq e, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, US). Subsequently, a stainless steel acupuncture needle (0.30 mm x 30 mm; Physio Invasiva, PRIM) was inserted into the short thigh until the appearance of pain in the anterior aspect of the knee, which reminded the person of their pain. Special care was taken to avoid the patient reaching a ROM of flexion until the maximum pain possible, to avoid triggering a knee aggravation which could affect subsequent measurements. An examiner with over 5 years’ experience in goniometry performed three measurements, selecting the mean of these as the final value.

**Statistical Analysis**

For the statistical analysis, the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US) software, version 21.0 for MAC OS was used. The normality of the sample distribution was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For the descriptive analysis, the results were expressed as means and standard deviations. Likewise, for the comparison between the different measurement moments (M1: preintervention; M2: postintervention; and M3: 24 hours...
after the intervention), the Student’s t-test was used for related samples, considering a significance level of \( p < 0.05 \).

The effect size (ES; 90% confidence interval [90%CI]) was calculated for the selected variables.\(^{20}\) The threshold values to calculate the magnitude of the ES were \(<0.20\), \(0.20–0.59\), \(0.60–1.2\) and \(>1.2\) for trivial, small, moderate and large, respectively. The qualitative changes of greater to lesser magnitude were quantitatively evaluated as follows: \(<1\%,\) almost certainly ‘no’; \(1–5\%,\) very unlikely; \(5–25\%,\) unlikely; \(25–75\%,\) possible; \(75–95\%,\) likely; \(95–99\%,\) very likely; \(>99\%,\) almost certain.\(^{21}\) A substantial effect was considered \(>75\%\).\(^{21}\)

Finally, the inter-examiner reliability was calculated via the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC), the standard error of measurement (SEM) and the minimal detectable change (MDC) at 95% for the knee flexion ROM.

Results

- **Table 1** displays the sociodemographic variables of the sample, including age (36 ± 5.76 years), weight (65.37 ± 8.48 Kg), height (164 ± 0.05 cm), BMI (23.96 ± 1.69), duration of symptoms (5.81 ± 0.53 months). We recruited six women and two men, all of whom had pain in the right knee.

- **Table 2** displays the values of the flexion ROM and the level of pain at the beginning of the study, after the intervention, and 24 hours after the same. Compared with the baseline measurements, differences were observed in the level of pain immediately after the intervention (likely; ES: \(-1.30 ± 1.14\)) and after 24 hours (almost certain; ES: \(-1.76 ± 0.80\)). However, the percentage of change was greater at 24 hours (\(-71.4\%\)) than immediately after the intervention (14.3%). Regarding the knee flexion ROM, a significant difference was only observed 24 hours after the intervention (almost certain; ES: \(1.73 ± 0.44\)). However, the percentage of change was greater at 24 hours (15.2%) (\(\rightarrow\) **Table 2**, \(\rightarrow\) **Fig 2**).

Finally, the measurement of the knee flexion ROM showed a high inter-examiner reliability (ICC = 0.98). No adverse effects were registered, neither during the intervention nor during the follow-up period.

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that an improvement was obtained for both variables under study (ROM and pain), which was greater at 24 hours after the stimulation. Concretely, a decrease in pain and increased ROM of knee flexion was observed, therefore knee mobility improved (\(\rightarrow\) **Table 2**).

These results confirm previous studies\(^{22,23}\) which focused on therapeutic benefits after the application of US-guided PNM.

Neuromodulation consists of the application of an electric current to provide pain relief. Although the physiological
mechanism is not well known, it is suggested that this is related to the gate-control theory proposed by Melzack and Wall. This theory states that any intervention that activates large-diameter mechanosensitive afferents has the potential to modulate the transmission of pain in the spinal cord. Currently, the use of percutaneous neuromodulation has been rapidly increasing, while undergoing clinical research to determine the most appropriate methodology. Indeed, De-la-Wall.

Cruz-Torres et al demonstrated that percutaneous applications produce a greater qualitative improvement of performance compared to transcutaneous applications after the stimulation of the flexor hallucis longus muscle in ballerinas.

The application of US-guided PNM has demonstrated to be an effective procedure, which is both easy to perform and safe. Positive effects have been obtained upon completion of treatment for the reduction of pain and re-establishment of strength. Ilfeld et al obtained a decrease of pain and an increase of passive and active ROM of knee flexion during the application of the current in patients with post-surgical pain. Also, Ilfeld et al found a decrease of pain after a treatment of US-guided PNM on the femoral nerve in patients with reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. Besides, Alvarez-Prats et al demonstrated an immediate increase in isometric quadriceps strength after the stimulation of the femoral nerve in patients with knee pain. The findings of this study suggest that, the percentage of change is greater at 24 hours after a single intervention. According to the authors, no previous study has analyzed the short-term effect of a single intervention using US-guided PNM, beyond the immediate effects or post-treatment effects. Therefore, the relevance of these preliminary data for clinical practice is that this study adds information regarding the duration of the effect of US-guided PNM treatment, in order to determine the correct application of the same with greater precision. Due to the clinical benefits of this technique, further studies are required to examine the methodological principles of this technique and discover its possible indications.

As in previous studies, a high inter-evaluator reliability for the knee flexion ROM was observed. Besides, the SEM and the MDC (90%CI) had values of 1.81 and 1.77 respectively, immediately after, and values of 1.57 and 1.54 respectively, 24 hours after the stimulation.

This study presents limitations. In the first place, the reduced size of the sample and the absence of a control group. Secondly, the lack of follow up in the mid and long term. Finally, this study did not consider psychosocial aspects such as fear of

**Table 2** Level of pain and knee flexion range of motion before (M1), immediately after (M2) and 24 hours (M3) after the intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Pre-intervention (M1)</th>
<th>Post-intervention (M2)</th>
<th>After 24 hours (M3)</th>
<th>Standardized differences and QA (90%CI) (M1 versus M2)</th>
<th>Mean change (%) (M1 versus M2)</th>
<th>Standardized differences and QA (90%CI) (M1 versus M3)</th>
<th>Mean change (%) (M1 versus M3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion (°)</td>
<td>135.5 ± 5.97 (131.4; 139.6)</td>
<td>139.7 ± 5.11° (136.2; 143.2)</td>
<td>147.1 ± 4.45° (144.0; 150.2)</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.43 (95/4/0); very likely</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.44° (100/0/0); almost certain</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of pain (mm)</td>
<td>64.3 ± 14.9 (54.0; 74.6)</td>
<td>42.5 ± 28.1° (23.0; 62.0)</td>
<td>34.7 ± 20.8 (20.3; 49.1)</td>
<td>−1.30 ± 1.14° (2/3/94); probable</td>
<td>−14.3</td>
<td>−1.76 ± 0.80° (0/0/100); almost certain</td>
<td>−71.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The data are expressed as mean ± standard deviations, with 90% confidence intervals.

*a* Substantial difference between the preintervention and postintervention values.

*b* Substantial difference between preintervention values and the values after 24 hours.

*p < 0.05, statistically significant difference between the preintervention and postintervention values.

**p < 0.001, statistically significant difference between the preintervention values and the values after 24 hours.
movement or pain catastrophizing, as this study measured the effects of the intervention in the short term (two days) and therefore it was not foreseeable for psychosocial aspects to change during the study.

**Conclusion**

A single intervention of US-guided PNM on the femoral nerve produces a decrease of the AKP and an increased range of flexion, greater at 24 hours after stimulation.
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