Imaging in Obstructive Jaundice: What a Radiologist Needs to Know before Doing a Percutaneous Transhepatic Biliary Drainage
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Abstract

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) is one of the commonly performed biliary interventions. In patients with obstructive jaundice, PTBD may be a lifesaving emergency procedure or may serve as an alternative intervention in patients who fail to undergo endoscopic drainage or those who are too sick to be considered for endoscopic drainage. The key factor in technical and clinical success of PTBD is a thorough preprocedure imaging evaluation. In this review, we highlight the imaging aspects that should be evaluated and reported by a radiologist when evaluating a patient planned for biliary drainage.
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Introduction

Obstructive jaundice is a type of jaundice which occurs due to a mechanical obstruction in the biliary drainage pathways.1 It is not a disease per se but is the manifestation of some underlying disease process. It can present with acute symptoms or gradually progressive indolent course depending upon the underlying cause.2 Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) is a minimally invasive procedure for drainage of the biliary system.3 PTBD is preferred as the primary procedure in patients who have had prior biliary-enteric anastomosis, or those who are unsuitable for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) due to other reasons. Imaging plays an essential role in the diagnosis of underlying etiology and provides a road map for the procedures.5 Imaging modalities for evaluation of biliary tree are ultrasonography (US), endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)/MR cholangiopancreatography (MRCP).5 In this review article, we highlight the important imaging aspects that should be evaluated by a radiologist in a patient being planned for biliary drainage.

Causes of Obstructive Jaundice

Any obstruction starting from the level of intrahepatic biliary radicles till the ampulla of Vater either intrinsic or extrinsic can cause obstructive jaundice. These can be divided into congenital causes like a choledochal cyst, postoperative strictures, and inflammatory causes like post inflammatory ampullary stricture, choledocholithiasis, neoplastic etiologies like carcinoma gallbladder, periampullary carcinoma, etc., and traumatic etiologies. It has been observed that the most common causes of surgical obstructive jaundice are malignant lesions.1,2 – Table 1 highlights the various important causes of obstructive jaundice.

Indications for PTBD

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) may be performed for both benign and malignant causes.3 It can be used as a palliative procedure in nonoperable patients, a bridging procedure for further biliary stenting, or as an emergency procedure for clinically unstable patients presenting with acute severe cholangitis. – Table 2 highlights the indications for PTBD.
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Role of Imaging before PTBD

Specific questions that a radiologist should answer on imaging prior to PTBD include:

- Is there obstruction?
- Which modality is the best to ascertain biliary obstruction?
- What is the level of obstruction?
- What is the cause of obstruction?
- What is the extent of disease?
- Is PTBD amenable?

Besides, imaging also helps in planning the procedure by addressing:

- Variants of bile ductal anatomy.
- Postoperative appearances that are relevant to PTBD.

Is there Obstruction?

Benign strictures have a broad spectrum of presentation ranging from subclinical to jaundice, pruritus, and cholangitis. Imaging diagnosis of biliary obstruction is straightforward in most cases. The normal intrahepatic bile ducts may measure up to 2 mm or less than 40% of the diameter of the accompanying portal vein branch. In certain situations, particularly postcholecystectomy and hepaticojejunostomy (HJ) strictures, there may be minimal biliary dilatation (Fig. 1). Additionally, in patients with HJ strictures, the presence of pneumobilia may preclude a comprehensive evaluation of biliary tree on ultrasound (US). The clinical features may be much more pronounced compared with imaging findings. In these situations, biliary dilatation may not be detected on US and computed tomography (CT). Although MRCP has a higher sensitivity for detection of biliary dilatation, in the presence of pneumobilia may render the evaluation of biliary system difficult. In most of the patients with malignant extra hepatic biliary obstructive (EHBO), the diagnosis of biliary obstruction is easily made on US. However, in patients who have undergone ERCP and stenting or EUS-biliary drainage, the evaluation may be challenging due to the presence of pneumobilia.

Which Modality is the Best to Ascertain Biliary Obstruction?

Ultrasound is the initial imaging test of choice for evaluation of biliary system as it is widely available, relatively inexpensive, and has high sensitivity and specificity for detection of biliary dilatation. Additionally, it is highly accurate in the evaluation of gallbladder abnormalities, including cholelithiasis. The disadvantages are the user dependence, difficulty in evaluation of obese patients, inability to evaluate the lower end of common bile duct (CBD), and the periampullary region. EUS has high accuracy in the assessment of the lower end of the CBD and the periampuillary region. CT has a high sensitivity and specificity in the local staging of malignant disease involving the biliary tree. Contrast-enhanced MRI and diffusion-weighted MRI
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Fig. 2 Ultrasound image shows dilated common bile duct with benign stricture at lower end (long arrow, A) with minimal intrahepatic biliary radicle dilatation. Ultrasound image in another patient shows dilated intrahepatic biliary radicles (arrow) with calculus in the dilated common bile duct (long arrow, B). Both these patients were referred for PTBD in view of moderate cholangitis; however, imaging identification of the cause and site of stricture led to successful ERCP in both these patients. PTBD, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

Fig. 3 Endoscopic ultrasound image shows a calculus impacted at the lower end of common bile duct (arrow). Endoscopic ultrasound has a complimentary role in imaging evaluation prior to biliary drainage.

Fig. 4 CT images show intrahepatic biliary radicle dilatation (arrow, A) caused by a mass in the gallbladder (arrow, B). In addition to the gallbladder mass (arrow, C), there are multiple omental deposits (long arrow, C). In view of unresectable disease, this patient underwent PTBD and was planned for metallic stenting. PTBD, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage.

increase the confidence of differentiation of benign from malignant biliary strictures\(^\text{13}\) (Fig. 6). When appropriately utilized, the imaging modalities discussed above provide a road map to decide the feasibility and approach to perform the biliary drainage.

What is the Level of Obstruction?

All the previous imaging available with the patient should be reviewed. The aim is to classify the location of biliary obstruction as proximal or distal. This will help in deciding the preferred access for biliary drainage. In general, for distal biliary obstruction (beyond the hilum), ERCP is preferred.\(^\text{14}\) For proximal biliary obstruction, PTBD is preferred.\(^\text{14}\) In case of proximal obstruction, the documentation of patency of the primary confluence is also essential as separation of right and left hepatic ducts may mandate a bilateral PTBD in certain situations. The patency of the confluence will also affect the technique of biliary stenting. Patients with obstruction following biliary-enteric anastomosis are also suitable for PTBD. \(\text{Figs. 7 to 10}\) highlight the classification of benign and malignant strictures based on the site of involvement.

Fig. 5 Endoscopic ultrasound image shows a calculus impacted at the lower end of common bile duct (arrow). Endoscopic ultrasound has a complimentary role in imaging evaluation prior to biliary drainage.
What is the Cause of Obstruction?

It is essential to differentiate between benign and malignant causes of biliary obstruction. Benign causes like Mirrizzi’s syndrome are best treated with surgical intervention. Benign periampullary strictures such as those caused by pancreatitis are suitable for sphincterotomy rather than percutaneous drainage. Strictures in the setting of biliary-enteric anastomosis and proximal benign strictures are candidates for percutaneous drainage if surgical resection is not possible. Certain imaging features favor malignant etiology, although this may be challenging and may require more comprehensive evaluation (►Table 3).15,16

What is the Extent of Disease?

It is essential to stage the malignancy and ascertain the resectability of the disease. Patients amenable for resection should be considered for surgical interventions first unless these have been complicated by cholangitis. Although a matter of debate, according to a recent review, preoperative biliary drainage is not usually indicated for middle–distal obstruction.14 On the other hand, proximal obstruction in patients who are potential candidates for major liver
resection requires preoperative drainage in most of the cases. Patients with unresectable or metastatic disease are considered for palliative biliary drainage and metallic stenting. The local extent of the disease may also affect the decision. If the secondary confluences are involved, then the contralateral side should be preferred. The decision to perform drainage in patients with involvement of bilateral secondary confluence should be made per case basis. The ductal system draining the maximum volume of functional residual liver should be preferred as more than 25% of the liver should be drained to relieve jaundice and pruritis. The right anterior ducts provide easier route for internalization and stenting.

**Is PTBD Amenable?**

PTBD requires dilatation of the ductal system for the intervention radiologist to puncture the duct. For routine purposes, peripheral ductal dilatation of more than 2 mm is desirable. However, under expert hands, nondilated or minimally dilated systems can also be punctured using micropuncture sets and other techniques (opacification of the ductal system through an indwelling T-tube, use of the T-tube tract, computed tomography (CT) guidance, and percutaneous cholecystotomy). The presence of moderate to gross ascites has been reported to increase the risk of bleeding and biliary peritonitis. The presence of a

---

**Fig. 8** MRI/MRCP images depicting Bismuth–Corlette classification. (I) Block at the common hepatic duct/common bile duct (arrow, A); (II) primary confluence is involved (arrow, B) by a mass at the neck of the gallbladder (long arrow, B); (II) MRCP image showing separation of the right and left ductal system (arrow, C); (IIIb) MRCP image showing involvement of the primary and left secondary confluence (arrow, D); (IV) involvement of bilateral secondary confluences (arrow, E). MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

**Fig. 9** Bismuth’s classification of benign biliary strictures. (I) Stricture more than 2 cm from the confluence; (II) stricture less than 2 cm from the confluence and not involving the confluence; (III) stricture involving the confluence, but the confluence is patent; (IV) the confluence is not patent; (V) stricture of the aberrant right hepatic duct.

**Fig. 10** MRI/MRCP images depicting the Bismuth’s classification for benign strictures. (I) Stricture of the common bile duct (arrow, A); (II) primary confluence is involved (arrow, B) and there is a postcholecystectomy collection in the perihepatic location (long arrow, B); (V) stricture of the aberrant right hepatic duct (arrow, C). MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Table 3  MRCP imaging features favoring malignant etiology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetrical thickening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-segment involvement (&gt; 15 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement of the wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal irregularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indistinct outer margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancillary features including lymph nodes, ascites, and liver lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography.

distended gallbladder should be recorded as in some situations when all attempts to drain the biliary radicles have failed, and drainage may be achieved via percutaneous cholecystostomy.22

Planning for Intervention: Variant Biliary Anatomy

It is not uncommon to find variant biliary ductal anatomy (►Fig. 11). MRCP is the imaging method of choice for evaluating biliary anatomy. The preoperative assessment of biliary anatomy helps in deciding the routes of drainage.23 This information is also helpful in guiding internalization, particularly in difficult cases.

Planning for Intervention: Postsurgical Biliary Anatomy

Biliary-enteric anastomosis is performed for a variety of benign and malignant diseases.24 The most common type of biliary-enteric anastomosis is HJ. During HJ, usually a loop of jejunum is anastomosed to allow drainage of both right and left hepatic ducts. Less common, an anastomosis may be performed draining right and left ducts separately into the jejunal loop at two different sites (►Fig. 12). Less common types of biliary-enteric anastomosis are choledochoduodenostomy, portojejunostomy, and cholecystoenteric bypass.24

The performance of various imaging modalities in assessment of patients with EHBO has been compared in multiple studies (►Table 4).25-30 It had been found that MRI/MRCP and EUS provide better diagnostic yield in terms of detection of obstruction and ascertaining the level of obstruction.

Table 4  Diagnostic accuracy of various imaging tests for extrahepatic biliary obstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of obstruction</td>
<td>73–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of obstruction</td>
<td>27–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of obstruction</td>
<td>22–88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of obstruction</td>
<td>90–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of obstruction</td>
<td>81–94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of obstruction</td>
<td>88–92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI/MRCP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of obstruction</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of obstruction</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of obstruction</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant strictures</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign strictures</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: USG, ultrasonography; CT, computed tomography; MRI/MRCP, magnetic resonance imaging/magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound.
It is useful to follow a standard format for reporting imaging of patients presenting with obstructive jaundice (~Fig. 12).

Conclusion
In conclusion, detailed review of imaging is vital to the success of biliary drainage in patients with surgical obstructive jaundice. It plays an important role in deciding whether to undertake a percutaneous or endoscopic drainage and in patients undergoing PTBD; it helps in allowing adequate planning of the procedure.
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