Distraction Lengthening of First Metacarpal in Traumatic Thumb Amputations

Abid Saleem¹  Ramesh Kumar Sharma²  Parmod Kumar²

¹Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Max Hospital, Patparganj, Delhi, India
²Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India

Address for correspondence: Saleem Abid, MBBS, MS. Mch, Flat no. 101, Azad Apartments IP extension, Patparganj, Delhi 110092, India (e-mail: abidsaleem10@gmail.com).

Introduction

The thumb in the human hand represents the most important digit because of its opposition ability and the fact that it accounts for 40 to 50% of hand disability following its loss.⁵-⁷ Depending on the level of amputation, different management options are available, of which distraction lengthening is old and time-tested method. Matev was the first to introduce the lengthening of digits by the distraction technique for the hand.⁴ Since then, the application of distraction histogenesis for increasing the functional length of a skeletally deficient hand has gone through an evolution in both technique and technology.⁵-⁹ This study is our experience of distraction lengthening of the first metacarpal among selected patients of traumatic thumb amputations. Amputations at the level of interphalangeal (IP) joint or distal to it may not require reconstruction as patients compensate for the lost length. Patients with amputations at the level of metacarpal head or metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joint or amputations up to the middle of the proximal phalanx usually need reconstruction, which can range from simple procedures such as phalangization to complex ones like toe transfer. After being provided with multiple options, those patients who opted for distraction lengthening were selected for the study. The main aim was to observe the functional improvement and length gained.

Patients and Methods

Over a period of 19 months, we operated on 10 patients who presented after traumatic amputation of the thumb. Only those patients were considered for distraction who did not give their consent for other preferred methods of thumb reconstruction.
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Surgical technique: The procedure was performed under general or regional block anesthesia. A 1- to 1.5-cm dorsolateral incision was made and subcutaneous tissue was divided in line with the skin incision. Blunt dissection was carried out to spread, divide, and protect important soft tissue structures; the extensor tendon was retracted toward the midline to clear off the periosteum. Two minifixator (1.5–2.5 mm self-tapping Schanz) pins were then placed with a hand drill distal to the metacarpal head, corresponding to the openings in the mini-fixator. An osteotomy was then performed with a 2 or 3 mm osteotome. The preferable site of osteotomy is the middle of diaphysis. A unilateral, uniaxial and uniplanar dynamic mini external fixator was assembled and attached to the pins. Intraoperative distraction was carried out for 4 mm to check the functioning of distractor, and a gap of 0.5 mm was kept between the two osteotomy ends before skin and soft tissue closure in layers. A 0.5-mm Kirschner wire was passed across the two osteotomy ends before skin and soft tissue closure in layers. A 0.5-mm Kirschner wire was passed across the two osteotomy ends before skin and soft tissue closure in layers. A 0.5-mm Kirschner wire was passed across the two osteotomy ends before skin and soft tissue closure in layers.

After a latent period of about 5 to 7 days, distraction was begun at a rate of 0.5 mm to 1 mm per day, and in increments of 0.25 mm/0.50 mm in the morning and 0.25 mm/0.50 mm in the evening; this was explained to the patient/attendant.

Follow up: During distraction, patients were followed up on a biweekly basis. Sutures were removed after 10 days. After completion of distraction, a digital X-ray was repeated for documentation of the same. The device was kept in place during the consolidation phase for a period of 2 days for each 1 mm of distraction (50–60 days). The patients were kept on follow up and were assessed after every 4 weeks.

After the completion of the consolidation phase, the quality of bone regenerate was assessed through digital X-ray. The mini-external fixator was removed after ensuring adequate bone regenerate. The patients were reviewed 1 to 2 weeks after the fixator removal for assessment of outcome parameters, i.e., length gained and the functional assessment of distracted thumb; till that period, the patients were kept on a thumb Spica splint (Figs. 1 to 3).

Results

Nine of our patients completed the treatment and follow-up. In one patient, we had to abandon distraction after about 3 weeks in view of severe pin tract infection and no response to antibiotics. The distractor was removed and osteoplastic thumb reconstruction was conducted at a later date. The post-distraction assessment was carried out with respect to duration of treatment, gain in length, sensory and functional assessment (Table 1).

The mean time of distraction was 39.77 days, and the consolidation phase was nearly double of that of the distraction phase at a mean of 84.66 days. On average, the total duration of treatment was approximately 124.44 days/patient. Also, the mean gain in length was 25.33 mm (range of 20–28 mm), which constituted an average increase of 26.6% length in each case (Table 2).

Pin prick sensations and light touch were checked over the pulp of the involved thumb and it showed no significant change. Two patients recorded a score of 1 and 7 patients registered a score of 2.

Also, there was no significant change in 2PD, over the pulp of thumb, before and after distraction (p = 0.548). Thus, all of our patients had retained their sensibility before and
Table 1  Patient characteristics and post distraction changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Level of amputation</th>
<th>Pre-op length (cm)</th>
<th>Post-op length (cm)</th>
<th>Duration of distraction (d)</th>
<th>Duration of consolidation (d)</th>
<th>Pinch strength (kg) pre-op</th>
<th>Pinch strength (kg) post-op</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>MCP joint</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Base of PPX</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Proximal to MCP joint</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>MCP joint</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>MCP joint</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Base of PPX</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>MCP joint</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>MCP joint</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Base of PPX</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Base of PPX</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Distraction stopped in view of pin tract infection and patient excluded from the study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MCP, metacarpophalangeal.
after distraction and there were no neurovascular problems encountered. The functional improvement was noticed among 8 patients, with improvement in mean pinch power, which increased from a mean of 0.91 to 2.06 kg, i.e., 44.17% improvement from preoperative pinch strength.

### Discussion

Traumatic amputation of the thumb is one of the worst consequences one’s hand will ever suffer. One loses 40 to 50% of hand function after thumb amputation.1-3 Multiple reconstructive options are available, depending on the patient’s age, sex, profession of patient, level of amputation, patient preferences, and surgical skill of surgeon.

The commonly used procedures for thumb reconstruction are re-implantation, whenever possible, toe-to-thumb transfer, pollicization, on-top plasty, osteoplastic thumb reconstruction, phalangization techniques, and distraction callotasis of the first metacarpal. Toe-to-thumb transfer is the preferred procedure for thumb amputations, but it is a technically challenging procedure and requires sacrifice of the second toe, which most patients in our part of the country refuse to give consent for due to cosmetic reasons, social barriers, and the fear of losing the grip while wearing footwear. Considering pollicization for thumb reconstruction, a surgeon should be well-versed with the procedure, as it is a technically demanding procedure and may lead to weak power grip. Motivating a patient for pollicization is another hurdle, as many patients are reluctant to sacrifice their index finger.

On-top plasty is an option among patients having multiple digit amputations. Transfer of a part of another digit to the thumb will depend upon the level of amputation of the donor digit. Disadvantages may be reduced grasp strength and palm span. Osteoplastic thumb reconstruction is a staged procedure using a bone graft and a soft tissue flap to cover it. Although it is a relatively rapid method of thumb reconstruction, its disadvantages include an insensitive thumb, poor cosmetic appearance and the loss of a flap or bone graft.

Distraction callotasis presents a unique clinical tissue engineering technique which was first reported by Codvilla in 1905.10 The technique is unique in that it applies gradual and incremental traction, and releases inherent biological forces to generate human tissues that are bone and associated neurovascular and soft tissue complex.11 It provides adequate length, making use of native tissues, lengthens soft tissues gradually without any neurovascular compromise, and gives one a sensate thumb when there are no donor site morbidity issues.

The utilization of distraction callotasis in hand was first described by Matev IB in 1970, when he presented 3 patients of thumb amputation who were treated by distraction lengthening. In their series, most of the adult patients required bone graft to fill the gap between the osteotomy sites due to slow rate of callus formation. Therefore, they recommended that a gap of 3 cm or more should be filled with corticocancellous bone graft, more so in patients who are over 20 years of age because of doubtful spontaneous consolidation. Most authors have followed this advice12-15

Later, many authors came up with their experience by keeping the distractor in situ till complete consolidation of newly formed callus without using any bone graft, as that required another surgery, besides having donor site morbidity.16-18,19 We also followed the identical procedure and obtained good measure of thumb length by gradual and incremental distraction without using bone graft. We started distraction after 5 to 7 days of surgery and it was performed at an average of 0.63 mm per day. The mean time of distraction was 39.77 days and total duration, including consolidation phase, was 124 days. Most of the authors similarly start distraction from 4 to 7 days after surgery.18-20 Robert Zimmermann in his study of 10 patients began distraction on the 7th day and at a rate of 1 mm per day.20 Matev, in his experience of 20 years, started distracting within three to four days after surgery at a daily rate of 7 to 7.5 mm. His total duration of treatment was three to four months when bone graft was not used and 2 to 3 cm of elongation was readily achieved.21

Roberto Adani et al completed distraction in approximately 65 days and were able to achieve a length of 23 mm.9 We obtained almost similar results, achieving a mean length of 25.33 mm (range of 20–28 mm). Komurcu et al performed distraction callotasis of thumb in 12 patients and achieved a mean length of 28.9 mm.22 Finsen and Russwurm conducted distraction over a median of 66 days (28 to 109 days) after osteotomy, resulting in a median lengthening of 30 mm (17–36 mm), which is more than what we achieved but they achieved it at the cost of a long period of treatment and follow-up that totaled 326 days (140–489 days).23 Our patients had distractor in situ for a mean of 124.44 days after which patients were able to return to their daily routine. Two of our patients, whose nondominant hand was involved, returned to their workplace after 2 weeks of distractor placement. Six patients later required webplasty but that was carried out as part of day-care procedure without the need for admission. Deepening of first web space is a practice followed by many other authors as well. Toh et al performed Z-plasty to deepen the first web in four of their patients who had developed adduction contracture.24

Sensation was retained in all thumbs with non-significant change in pin prick sensation, light touch sensation and 2PD. One patient was initially managed with the help of a groin flap cover to the amputated stump. The flap was covering the dorsum of thumb and his volar skin was intact. His volar skin sensation score was 2 but only deep

### Table 2 Mean gain in length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (mm)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative length</td>
<td>57.556</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.8280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative length</td>
<td>82.889</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.2931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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pressure sensation was present over the dorsum of the stump. Houshian and Ipsen also found that all of their patients possessed normal sensibility before and after distraction and neurovascular problems were not encountered. Finsen and Russwurm found that 2PD on the pulp of the reconstructed thumb was 8 mm (5–9 mm) compared with 4 mm (3–5 mm) on the pulp and 6 mm (4–9) on the volar skin over the MCP joint on the uninjured side. We did not find a significant difference between the 2PD of uninjured and injured thumb (3.61 and 5.55) over the pulp.

Toh et al achieved a mean pinch power of 6.8 kg (4.8–10.1 kg) in their 14 satisfied patients, which corresponds to 76% of that of the contralateral hand. Houshian and Ipsen also achieved pinch strength of 86% (range of 66–100%) in the normal hand. We believe that the reason for less gain of pinch strength (44.17%) in our patients was the associated injuries in four of our patients and the low pinch strength before going in for surgery (0.91 kg only). Three patients had also lost their index fingers during the primary insult. One patient had lost index finger only, another patient index and distal phalanx of middle finger, and third patient had lost index, middle and ring finger. Therefore, due to absence of a stable post against thumb, these patients could not improve much of their pinch power after distraction.

Thus, we suggest distraction callotasis as a method of thumb reconstruction among a selected group of patients wherein amputation is at the level of MCP joint or proximal phalanx, although toe-to-thumb transfer, on-top plasty and pollicization are better options wherever possible.

Key Messages
Thumb amputations are common injuries among farmers and industrial laborers. The options of reconstruction should be simple and safe; aesthetic is not the priority in these patients. Thumb lengthening by distraction, besides being safe and simple, preserves the sensations and improves pinch strength.
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