Evandro de Oliveira: From Anatomy to Science and the Art of Microneurosurgery
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It is classic to state: medicine is science and art. Medicine and art go hand in hand. Hippocrates’s medicine (460–378 BC) was born during Pericles century (495–429 BC), at the nadir of Greek art of Fidias (490–430 BC). Both submerged during the middle ages and reborned during the Italian renaissance.

Science can be defined like organized knowledge and be confirmed through observation and experimentation. However, it is difficult to define art because the term could mean multiple things in different places and time. The greek term tékhné, translated to latin as technique and also as art. The later meaning, whatever is well done by the man. For the greeks artwork was to perform a technique with excellence. Hence, in the first Hippocrates’ aphorism, “The life is short, the art last long.” (Ωbios brakhys É dé tékhné makhrē), the term tékhné refers to art meaning technique acquisition, practical knowledge the execution of work in the medical field.

In all branches of knowledge, including medicine and surgery, there are archaic civilizations referred to medicine as art, or better as technique based in mythical explanation. The “Greek miracle” exclude the mythical explanation and submitted the technical knowledge to the sieve of rationality, creating Science as we know. Therefore, medicine and surgery are old as art but new as science. In reality, way before the emergence of science in Greece at the 6th century B.C., the Neolithic man already had practiced the art of surgery as documented in several trephined skulls found in several different archeological sites.

The intimate relation between technique and science in the pre-Socratic Greece was ruptured by Plato (428–348 B.C.) philosophy, which was disputed by the intellectual capacity of the technicians.

This fact determined the discredit of the manual labor, including plastic artists, during the medieval and classic period, being one of the main reasons for the dichotomy between theory and practice, science and technic, medicine (scientific knowledge) and surgery (technical knowledge). This dichotomy increased with the medieval scholars. Only during the Renaissance the artist and handcrafters recuperated their dignity and prestige.

The Vesalius’s (1514–1564) dissections depicted in De Humani Corpori Fabrica (1543), inaugurate the modern medicine. In his work, still considered the most outstanding in medicine, the narrow relation between science and art takes place.

The Vesalius’ text is as important as the exquisite illustrations of Calcar (1499–1546), Tiziano’s disciple. Therefore we can say that modern medicine was born in an anatomy laboratory and in the workshop of a renaissance painter.

The word surgery came from the Greek kheir, hand, and ergon, “work”. Until the XVI century, surgery was only manual labor, without scientific bases, and wasn’t performed by doctors but by barber surgeons. Ambroise Paré (1510–1590) saw the new Vesalius’s anatomy as the foundation of surgery. He transformed the barber-surgery art into the medieval art and science of surgery, giving the dignity and respect toward to the surgeon’s work.

In the Seventeenth century, the knowledge acquired in the two previous renaissance centuries increased even more, leading to the birth of the modern science.

In this context neurosciences was born in 1664, with the work named Cerebri Anatomie from Willis (1621–1675), in which medieval concepts regarding cerebral function were repealed. The pillars of modern neurosciences and neurology were established. As a matter of fact, in this work the word neurology was coined. Again, one more time we witnessed the narrow association between art and science, the outstanding text written by Willis was impeccably illustrated by Christopher Wren (1632–1723), the greatest british artist from all times. Sir Wren, himself, was responsible for the London’s reconstruction after the devastating 1666 fire. The Saint Paul cathedral being his masterpiece. Wren’s superb drawings revealed great elegance and precision, showing the brain in such detailed and realistic fashion never seen before in any publication.

Subsequently in the Nineteenth century, John Hunter applied experimental scientific method to surgery, creating modern surgery. At the end of the Nineteenth century, advances in general surgery and the better understanding of
anatomy and physiology of the nervous system, made possible
the creation of neurosurgery as a modern medical specialty.

The modern neurosurgery was born from the hands of an
artist-scientist, Harvey

Cushing (1869–1939). The artistic talent from this neuro-
surgery pioneer was well portrayed in his landscape draw-
ings and pictures in his own monumental neurosurgical
publications.

On the late half of the last century another scalpel genius,
Yasargil, took neurosurgery to another level. Using his exten-
sive and intensive laboratory work he created Microneurosur-
gery, allowing us to perform miniature art, under microscopic
visualization. Indeed, that represented a true revolution in the
field, debuting new surgical approaches and better treatment
options. Yasargil established several steps. First, the profound
and thorough knowledge in central nervous system anatomy.
Second, the need of relentless laboratory training and last, but
not least, to approach the pathology in the brain in as harmless
way as possible through its natural pathways: the sulci and
cisterns.

Another essential master to established microneurosurgery
was Rhoton. He taught us, using his own exquisite anatomical
preparations, to understand better tridimensional brain Anat-
omy and microanatomy and its variations.

Rhoton created a legion of microneuroanatomists that
preached their knowledge in all continents. His personal as
well as his collaborators endless work constitute the unique
preliminary requirement to enter into the science and art of
the microneurosurgery world.

His most dear and brilliant pupil was Evandro de Oliveira
(– Fig. 1). After training and conclusion of several projects with
his mentor, Evandro returned to Brazil in the earlier eighties.
Even before the advent of the internet, he disseminated moc-
rosurgical knowledge applied to neurosurgery among the
Brazilian neurosurgeons. Actually, he initiated a new era of
microneurosurgery in his country. To perform microneurosur-
gery is not just limited to the use of the microscope (which by
the way was already present in our laboratory rooms. Not too
long after that he created the magnificent anatomy laboratory
at Beneficência Portuguesa Hospital. For almost four consecu-
tive decades this laboratory has been the main center for
microsurgical training for residents and young neurosurgeons.
It is for sure a sacred temple for science and art in micro-
nurosurgery. The work of Evandro de Oliveira was without
any doubt one of the main factors in the process to raise the
Brazilian neurosurgery to the top of the Latin America micro-
surgery and to be considered one of the best of the world.

Beyond this essential work in training the last generations
of Brazilian neurosurgeons, Evandro de Oliveira developed
and improved new microsurgical approaches, shown to our
neurosurgery in all four corners of the world and abroad and
subsequently opened the doors for many young Brazilian
neurosurgeons.

With this skilful hands like a Chinese artist in porcelain
from the Ming dynasty, he touched the brain like a priest
touches a sacred icon, more so, with his restless brain that
kept persuading the brain’s mysteries, he restored and gave life
to thousands of brains. Without passion, life is meaningless.

With passion, Evandro took his work to the edges of perfec-
tion, turning into pure art. His happiness is to find pleasure in
this unique form of art.

It will never be redundant to state how important is the
laboratory work in the learning process of microanatomy and
surgical technique. Evandro had a fundamental role in improve-
ment in the many generations from Brazil and other countries.

Actually, the modern medicine originated in a laboratory,
when Vesalius in 1543, performed magnificent dissections to
better understand the human body and to illustrate his
Fabrica. At the end of the nineteenth century the neurosur-
gery had its beginning with Horsley. He was summoned to
initiate surgical treatment in the neurological patients of the
famous Queen Square, due to his notorious skill to open
monkey skulls in a laboratory installed in his own house.
Yasargil also created microneurosurgery in a laboratory.

The Italian renaissance master painters were in fact the
first anatomists. To place in canvas the enigmatic face of
Monalisa and to carve in pure marble the harmonious lines of
David, Leonardo Da Vinci e Michelangelo, they had to dissect
cadavers and be aware of the representation object, the
human body. In the same fashion, the similar requirements
are recommended to the microneurosurgeon. Extreme ded-
ication in studying the anatomy in the laboratory to repair
the most complex organic matter ever existent in the uni-
verse, the human brain. Only by that way is possible to
acquire the mastery in science and art of microneurosurgery.

Five centuries passed by and Vesalius’s statement is still
true: “the anatomy has to be considered the most solid pilar of
the art of medicine, its preliminary essential. The central
nervous system anatomy is our preliminary essential in the
work field where we practice our job. The brain is the most
complex and elaborated matter in our known universe. The
brain named itself and creates the universe in which we
realized the origin of all forms of art. Significant art is required
from someone that desires to enter in the temple of all arts.

The art has the power to emphasize and refine our senses
and to stimulate our awareness in search of occult essence of
life’s phenomena. The antagonism between art, the daughter
of inspiration, and science, originated from methodic observa-
tion of facts is only apparent. Art in the Hellenic sense of what
is well done and that embroiders all man’s achievements,
including science, because the beauty is everywhere, from a
mathematical equation to a Rembrandt (1606–1669) canvas.

Medicine and art complement each other. It is very
superficial to imagine a conflict existent between a practical
art such as surgery, that depends of judgement, intuition and
skill, and the precision of science that requires elimination
of all human elements. Patient care and treatment of diseases
are problems to science, but the excellence in both depends
on the art that the doctor applies with scientific knowledge.

In Neurosurgery, the complex central nervous syste-
amy, its low threshold for manipulation and the rigidity
of the cranium osseous compartment make the challenges
even worse, thus requiring refined science and art.

The surgeon in action is no longer a handicraft that cuts,
ligates, detaches or sutures. However, he is not a technician
either, but a physician that carries deep knowledge in the
human being and his emotional problems and precise domain regarding diseases mechanisms, its diagnostics, pathological manifestations and treatment. Such knowledge, associated with the wisdom originated with experience, it is at the fundamentals of abilities in surgical judgement, which is the most difficult requirement to be acquired in the art of surgery.

Our art reflects our life, because nothing can come out from the artist if it is not in the man. Be a good neurosurgeon depends on first in being a good doctor. And what defines a good doctor? Kindness, empathy, conscience, ethics, and the ability to make sensible decisions and conscience, ethics, and the ability to make sensible decisions and make proper judgements, as well as the desire in doing the best for the patient. In the nervous systems there are islands of knowledge, where science can be applied, and a vast ocean where we can only offer hope and comfort. The latter is, a major part of the art of neurosurgery, where we feel and intuit, but cannot prove. Like knowledge doesn’t resume life, science does not limit medicine. The art is necessary.

The accurate surgical technique, like any other ability, requires repetitive training associated with passion. We can build nothing big without passion. The passion leads to pleasure in our work and that perfects the technique until it meets the art. Therefore excellence in microneurosurgery is a matter of technique, because this originates in the brain of the technician. It is a matter of personality, attitude and character. Those qualities are present in the masters Yasargil and Rhoton. After several years of coexistence and working alongside, I could notice them well in Evandro de Oliveira. His precise microsurgical technique, reached the state of the art, and is nothing more than his character almost paranoid in chase of the truth, the essence of things, the perfect technical detail. On the foundation of all that is the respect to the brain’s complexity and the love toward the human being that suffers, generating passion for his duty. According to van Gogh (1853–1890), “The essence of art is the love to the human being.” The essence of medicine is the love for the human being that suffers. Only love and art can make existence tolerable, and there is the place that the art of medicine acts. A lot of dignity and humility is necessary from someone whose duty involves love, art and life.

As mentioned above, the master Yasargil, Rhoton and Evandro de Oliveira extensively contributed to the establishment of the art and science of microneurosurgery. The same way Hippocrates removed medicine from the gods temple giving to it mankind, those masters revealed upon us the safe pathways to get in all hidden compartments of the sacred temple of the human brain.

Human knowledge will continue flowing implacably, generating new technologies that probably will reinvent our specialty, that will require from us more science to dominate them and more art to apply them with wisdom in favor of our patient’s life and fulfillment of our own. At last, there is only one art undeniable important: to live; everything else is secondary. To our master Evandro de Oliveira, that has helped many in the difficult and dangerous art of living, we can only to thank using the words of the genius of the Portuguese language (Camões): “E mais vos pagamos e mais vos devemos” (The more we pay you, the more we owe you).