Patient Positioning in Shoulder Arthroscopy: Which is Best?
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Abstract

When performing diagnostic and surgical arthroscopic procedures on the shoulder, the importance of patient positioning cannot be understated. The optimum patient positioning for shoulder arthroscopy should enhance intraoperative joint visualization and surgical accessibility while minimizing potential perioperative risk to the patient. Most shoulder arthroscopy procedures can be reliably performed with the patient either in the lateral decubitus (LD) or beach chair (BC) position. Although patient positioning for shoulder arthroscopy has been subject of controversy, there is no conclusive evidence to suggest superiority of one position versus another. Each position offers advantages and disadvantages and surgeon’s experience and training are pivotal on selecting one position versus another. Regardless of the position, a proper positioning of the patient should provide adequate access to the joint while minimizing complications. The purpose of this review is to summarize setup and technical aspects, the advantages and disadvantages, and the possible complications of the LD and BC positions in shoulder arthroscopy.
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Introduction

Patient positioning is a critical step in surgical preparation for shoulder arthroscopy. In addition to providing optimal visualization and access to the shoulder, careful positioning can minimize the risk of perioperative complications. Most arthroscopic shoulder procedures can be reliably performed either in the lateral decubitus (LD) or beach chair (BC) position. However, the advocates of one position over another differ over the intraoperative visualization and joint accessibility, ease and cost of the setup, ease of conversion to an open procedure, and complication types and rates. These complications include consequences of physiological changes affecting cerebral perfusion and soft tissue complications due to pressure or traction, specifically skin pressure injuries and nerve or vascular injuries.

In the absence of conclusive evidence that indicates superiority of one position versus another,1 surgeon’s experience, training and level of comfort are pivotal on the selection of patient positioning. Regardless of the position, optimal shoulder arthroscopy positioning must include three components to achieve safe and successful patient positioning: knowledge, planning, and teamwork.2 Surgeons, anesthesiologist, and operating room staff must know and be familiar with the technique, safety principles, physiological changes, and possible risks associated with the position utilized. Additionally, careful planning that involves communication and teamwork is the key to anticipate specific patient challenges (e.g., obese patients, rheumatoid arthritis patients, previous arthroplasties) and to prevent possible complications.
This article reviews the advantages and disadvantages, setup and technical aspects, physiological changes, and possible complications of the LD and BC positions in shoulder arthroscopy. This review will emphasize recommendations for correct positioning and review preventive measures to achieve a safe, reliable, and reproducible technique for patient positioning in both the BC and LD positions.

Lateral Decubitus Position

The LD position with the arm held in traction parallel to its long axis is the classical positioning for shoulder arthroscopy (Fig. 1). The main advantage of this position is that with traction on the arm there is a good visualization of the joint and there is an adequate working space within the glenohumeral joint. Specifically, the LD allows good visualization and access to the inferior and posterior labrum, inferior capsule, subacromial space, and articular side of the rotator cuff. Other advantages reported in the literature include that the operating room table or patient’s head is not in the way of working for posterior and superior shoulder, increased comfort and decreased fatigue for the surgeons while operating with arms at side (as opposed to with their arms in an abducted position as in the BC position), decreased risk of hypotensive-bradycardiac episodes, lower incidence of cerebral desaturation events compared with the BC position, and that bubbles created by electrocautery move laterally out of view. The main disadvantages of the LD position reported in the literature include the use of traction which has been implicated in postoperative neurapraxias, the nonanatomical orientation of the joint, the need to lift and turn the patient, the tendency for the traction device to place the patient’s arm in internal rotation, the difficulty manipulating the operative arm when in applied traction, the need to reach around the arm for anterior portal, the need for general endotracheal anesthesia as patients might not tolerate regional anesthesia and the risk of airway obstruction, an increased risk of injury to axillary and musculocutaneous nerves when placing an anteroinferior portal, and the possible need to repeat the skin preparation and draping if conversion to an open procedure is necessary.

Table 1 Key steps for patient positioning in the lateral decubitus position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Plan and anticipate specific patient challenges for positioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ensure that all required equipment setup is available and properly working.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Level the upper border of the bean bag with the patient’s upper thorax yet not protrude into the axilla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Turn the patient onto the nonoperative side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The head is stabilized by the anesthesia team during positioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Ensure a neutral position of the head during the procedure to prevent cervical strain. Folded sheets under the padded surface may be used to level the head.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Place the axillary roll just inferior to the axilla (two to three finger-breadths) adjacent to the chest wall, not into the axilla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Carefully position the contralateral arm on a padded arm board at 90 degrees of abduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Place a pillow under the bottom knee and between the knees to avoid pressure injuries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Check and avoid any undue pressure zones in the genitals, areola, or bony prominences from the bean bag or rigid post.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Secure the patient to the table using a safety strap. Heavy tape may be used to maintain the position during the surgical procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Place the arm into the traction/holding device, and apply the traction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Be aware when applying traction to avoid neurological damage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LD Position Setup

A summary of key steps for LD patient positioning is provided in Table 1. The essential equipment required in the setup of the LD position includes a device to stabilize the patient in a lateral position, padding for the bony prominences, and a shoulder traction device. The stabilization device to maintain the LD position may be a vacuum bean bag (e.g., Olympic Vac-Pac System, Natus Medical, San Carlos, California, United States) that creates a firm boundary for patient’s torso or a rigid post system (e.g., pegboard). One advantage of the bean bag is that allows to set a modified LD position in which the patient’s torso leans posteriorly 30 to 40 degrees. This modification to the direct LD position was introduced by Gross and Fitzgibbons in 1985 (Fig. 2) based upon the observation that the scapula rests on the thorax at a 30 to 40-degree angle with the frontal plane of the patient. This repositioning puts the plane of the shoulder joint in a horizontal position, making the arthroscopy more natural and comfortable. Additionally, this posteriorly leaned position or “floppy lateral” position has been proposed as an easier position than the direct LD in case of conversion to an open procedure since the bean bag may be flattened and the patient can be gently leaned backward in a safe position. This also may save time and supplies by obviating the need for a new drape and prep of the shoulder and extremity.
Disadvantages of the bean bag are the need for suction for a secure fit and the bag may lose rigidity over time if damaged.\textsuperscript{1} Leaks in the bean bag cannot be easily repaired and require that other methods may be necessary if other bean bags are not available. Factors that might favor the use of the rigid post system are that no suction is required, intraoperative patient position changes are less likely, and patient size is less of an issue.\textsuperscript{1}

Traction devices for use in the LD position hold the arm in a slightly abducted and flexed position. There are several commercially available traction devices and can be categorized as static pulley devices, adjustable pneumatic or mechanical devices.\textsuperscript{1} Most of the pneumatic or mechanical arm holding devices commonly used with the BC position (e.g., Spider 2 Limb Positioner, Smith and Nephew, Andover, Massachusetts, United States) can be utilized for the LD position (\textsuperscript{→} Fig. 3). Static pulley devices rely on simple traction and are typically adjusted with the application of weight (e.g., Acufex Shoulder Holder, Smith and Nephew, Andover, Massachusetts, United States; Shoulder Traction Device, Biomet Orthopedics, Warsaw, Indiana, United States). Although static pulley devices allow more limited arm motion and positions than those achieved with arm-holding pneumatic devices, they have a decreased cost and a relatively simple setup.\textsuperscript{1} Some static systems permit rotational adjustment of the arm (e.g., Shoulder Distraction System/STA\textsuperscript{R} sleeve (Arthrex, Naples, Florida, United States), but the advantage of this feature is unclear.

**LD Position Physiological Effects**

In the LD position, especially if reverse Trendelenburg position is used, blood may pool in the dependent lower extremities, causing reduced venous return to the central circulation followed by hypotension.\textsuperscript{11} However, hypotensive anesthesia during orthopedic procedures has been shown to be a safe and effective anesthetic technique for reducing operative blood losses and helping to maintain a clear surgical field.\textsuperscript{12,13} Morrison et al\textsuperscript{14} indicated that a safe and clear operative field can be achieved by a pressure difference of 49 mm Hg between the systolic blood pressure and the pressure measured within the subacromial space. Options to achieve such a difference can be increasing the arthroscopic pump pressure or hypotensive anesthesia, or a combination of both. Because excessive pump pressures can lead to complications related to fluid extravasation into the soft tissues,\textsuperscript{15–17} the use of deliberate hypotension in combination with modest elevation in pump pressure has been advocated as the optimum strategy.\textsuperscript{4,14}

Another physiological change that may occur with the LD positioning is a pulmonary effect called ventilation–perfusion mismatch.\textsuperscript{18} Perfusion of the dependent lung usually increases, while ventilation decreases. In the nondependent lung, the opposite occurs with the ventilation increasing and the perfusion decreasing. This potentially can result in hypoxemia and should be considered when using the LD position in patients with reduced pulmonary reserve.

**LD Position Complications**

Fortunately, complications in shoulder arthroscopy in the LD are uncommon and many can be prevented with a careful patient positioning technique. These complications include neurovascular injuries, fluid-related obstructive airway compromise, and skin pressure-related issues.

**Neurovascular Injuries**

The most commonly reported complication in the LD position is neurological injury which can be due to excessive strain on the brachial plexus as a result of intraoperative traction, external nerve compression, or direct injury during the arthroscopic...
procedure. The reported incidence of transient paresthesia or nerve palsies after arthroscopic surgery in the LD has been reported to be 0.2% to 10% \(^{19-24}\) (Table 2). Despite this relatively high incidence of nerve injuries, in almost all cases reported the injuries were neuropraxias and recovered in the reported cases. Andrews et al\(^{19}\) in a series of 120 patients who underwent shoulder arthroscopy in the LD position reported three cases of clinically important neuropraxia. The musculocutaneous nerve was involved in one patient and ulnar nerve in two patients. Ogilvie-Harris and Wiley\(^{20}\) reported one case of musculocutaneous nerve palsy in 439 patients who underwent arthroscopic surgical procedures in the LD position. This injury resolved in approximately 6 weeks without any long-term sequelae. Berjano et al\(^{22}\) reported three ulnar neurapraxias attributed to the use of a traction device in a series of 156 patients who underwent shoulder arthroscopy in the LD position. Similarly, Paulos and Franklin\(^{24}\) reported in a series of 76 patients, one case of axillary nerve neurapraxia with deltoid muscle dysfunction, which resolved after 2 months.

Pitman et al\(^{21}\) utilized somatosensory evoked potentials (SEP) to evaluate the onset of neurapraxia during shoulder arthroscopy performed in the LD position in 20 patients.

Results showed a 100% incidence of abnormal SEP of the musculocutaneous nerve, and 50% of patients also had varying combinations of involvement of the median, ulnar, and radial nerves. Fortunately, injury was subclinical in all but two patients (10%) who had a neurapraxia which was transitory and recovered fully. Abnormal SEPs were related with the amount of weight used in the traction system. No abnormal SEP was found in any of the nerves of the brachial plexus with a weight lower than 12 lb. used for long-axis traction, and with a weight lower than 7 lb. used for perpendicular mid-humerus traction.

For the LD position, many traction devices involve a stocking or a device to hole the hand and where the traction is applied. As a result, compression of the digital nerves in the hand with subsequent neurapraxias has been reported.\(^{23}\) Ellman\(^{23}\) reported three cases of transient dysesthesia of the dorsal digital nerve of the thumb believed to be due to poor padding of the extremity at the wrist.

In the LD position, brachial plexus palsy has also been reported to the nonoperative extremity closer to the bed.\(^{25}\) There has been only one report of a patient with a cervical rib who developed a transient C7-T1 contralateral neurapraxia after a shoulder arthroscopy in LD position. Although the presence of the cervical rib was suggested as the possible cause, use of a gel type of axillary roll between the chest and the bed is recommended to prevent these types of injuries to the nonoperative extremity.

Neurovascular injuries seen in patients in the LD position may also be related with arthroscopic portal placement due to the patient being on their side. Only one cadaveric study has compared the risk of neurovascular injuries as they relate with the patient positioning. In that study, compared with the BC position, the LD position had an increased risk of injury to the musculocutaneous and axillary nerves when a 5 o’clock transsubscapular or anteroinferior portal was utilized.\(^{9}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Incidence of clinically evident neurapraxia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrews et al</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>2.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogilvie-Harris and Wiley</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellman</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitman et al</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulos et al</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berjano et al</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerves involved</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Traction method</th>
<th>Time to recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Musculocutaneous, ulnar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Longitudinal continuous traction with static pulley system</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculocutaneous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Manual traction supplied by an assistant</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal digital nerve of the thumb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Longitudinal continuous traction at 15 degree of abduction with 10–15 lb.</td>
<td>24–48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial, musculocutaneous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Longitudinal continuous traction at 15–20 lb.</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axillary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Longitudinal continuous traction at 30 degree of abduction and 15 degree of flexion with 3 kg.</td>
<td>2–12 wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulnar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{Table 2} \quad \text{Incidence and characterization of nerve injuries related with the lateral decubitus position}\]
In addition to contribute to neuropraxias or skin problems, traction during shoulder arthroscopy with the patient in the LD position can cause a partial or complete tourniquet effect which could potentially impair the perfusion of the operative extremity. Henrikus et al.\textsuperscript{26} studied the effect of three methods of shoulder traction during arthroscopy on arterial oxygen saturation (SaO\text{2}) measured by a pulse oximeter applied to the fingertip of the arm in traction of 30 patients. They found that simple longitudinal traction was the safest method in terms of limb’s perfusion. Only 1 of 30 patients had decrease of the SaO\textsuperscript{2} with this method of traction. On the other hand, when perpendicular traction was applied with a narrow 2-in sling, compression of the brachial artery by the sling caused decrease of the SaO\textsuperscript{2} in 25 of 30 limbs. The wider 4-in sling used for perpendicular traction resulted in decrease of limb perfusion in only 7 of 30 patients and was found safer than the narrow sling.

**Fluid-related Obstructive Airway Compromise**

One concern with the LD position is accessibility to the airway should there be any airway compromise. In the limited cases reported, there were several potential causes of complete airway obstruction during shoulder arthroscopy in LD.\textsuperscript{15–17,27,28} Gravitational influence contributes to extravasated fluid-related airway compromise in the LD position. Risk factors which may contribute to this complication include protracted duration of procedure, arthroscopy in subacromial space (potential space with no encapsulation), increased pump pressure, large volume of irrigation fluid, and obesity.\textsuperscript{17} Although airway obstruction in those case reports has occurred both intraoperatively and postoperatively, endotracheal intubation has been suggested as the best way to maintain the airway and prevent airway compromise during arthroscopic shoulder surgery in the LD position.

**Pressure-Related Injuries**

In the LD position, direct pressure is applied to the contralateral half of the body which is in contact with the table. Routine procedures to protect the down hip and to protect the peroneal nerve are recommended. Keyurapan et al.\textsuperscript{29} reported three patients (0.3%) with severe pressure ulcerations to the dependent opposite thorax on a 10-year experience with 896 shoulder arthroscopy procedures in the LD position. Biopsy of the lesion in one patient was consistent with skin pressure ulceration. The exact cause of these lesions was deemed unknown by the authors, but the combination of the use of an intravenous fluid bag as an axillary roll, fluid between the skin and the roll, and friction from moving the patient were the contributing factors.

The peroneal nerve is subcutaneous at the fibular head on the contralateral leg and should be padded to prevent contact with the table or bean bag. Also, although it is a very uncommon injury, neuropraxia of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve of the dependent extremity after shoulder arthroscopic surgery in the LD position has been reported.\textsuperscript{30}

A summary of pearls and pitfalls of the LD position is provided in Table 3.

**Beach Chair Position**

The BC position, also referred to as the sitting position, was first developed on the east coast of the United States in the early 1980s as an alternative patient position to address the

---

**Table 3** Pitfalls and pearls of the lateral decubitus position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitfall</th>
<th>Pearls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurological injuries</strong></td>
<td>• The safest traction method that would maximize visibility while minimizing strain to the nerves and\footnote{detriment to the perfusion of the limb is the traction parallel to the long axis of the arm} with less than 12 lb.\footnote{21} in any of two positions: 45 degree of forward flexion and 90 degree of abduction or 45 degree of forward flexion and 0 degree of abduction.\footnote{26} • Another method of traction is the lateral traction to the mid-humerus perpendicular to the axis of the arm. While the risk of neurapraxia has been reported as inexistent with this method of traction,\footnote{10} there is a demonstrated detrimental effect in the perfusion of the arm from the constriction of the sling.\footnote{26} Therefore the use of a wide 4-in sling to decrease the tourniquet effect\footnote{26} with less than 7 lb. of weight traction to avoid strain to the nerves\footnote{21} is recommended. • When wrapping the traction system avoid direct pressure over bony prominences (wrist and elbow). • Additional care and counseling must be taken with patients with cervical ribs for the rare but possible risk of contralateral brachial plexus compression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airway obstruction</strong></td>
<td>• Minimize arthroscopic pump pressures. • Use of deliberate hypotensive anesthesia in selected patients. • Limit the amount of irrigation fluid. • Restrict surgical time. • Longer cases should be performed under general anesthesia so that adequate control over airway is ensured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure-related injuries</strong></td>
<td>• Pay attention to the detail in padding and positioning patients. • Check bony prominences, areola, and genitals for undue pressure zones. • Careful padding of contralateral arm and knees (special care to the lateral side of the dependent knee/common peroneal nerve). • Proper axillary roll position optimizes ventilation while preventing brachial plexus compression injury.\footnote{Axillary roll: not directly in the axilla. If possible, avoid the use of bags of fluids to make the axillary roll.} • Carry out frequent evaluation of patient positioning and padding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
issue of brachial plexus traction injuries experienced by patients in the LD position. Another advantage of the BC was that the arm was not in a fixed position as in LD but could be moved into a variety of positions. In 1988, Skyhar et al was the first to describe the experience with arthroscopic shoulder surgery performed in 50 patients in the BC position sitting up at a minimum of 60 degree. The authors reported that this position provided excellent visualization of the joint without any complications. This position gained popularity in the United States and today approximately two-thirds of the arthroscopic shoulder procedures are performed with the patient in the BC position. The main advantages of the BC position include: (1) a decreased risk of neurovascular complications in the operative extremity as traction is not needed, (2) an “anatomical” view of the joint that might result in an easier orientation and understanding of the shoulder anatomy for surgical trainees, (3) easier humeral rotation and translation control, (4) easier conversion to an open procedure, (5) flexibility of choosing general or regional anesthesia, and (6) easier access to the airway if complications arise.

Advocates of the BC position argue that BC allows increased access to the anterior, posterior, and superior glenohumeral joint and to the subacromial space. Other technical advantages are easier examination under anesthesia of the operative arm as it can be dynamically evaluated. Also the BC is suggested to have easier access to the anterior portal as the arm is not on the way.

While there are purportedly many advantages of the BC position, there are some disadvantages which must be considered in some patients. The main disadvantage of the BC position is its physiological effect on the cardiovascular system. With the patient sitting essentially upright, there is a higher rate of hypotensive and bradycardic events. This can lead to cerebral hypoperfusion and may result in strokes and in some cases death. Though the risk of a cerebrovascular event during shoulder surgery in the BC position is extremely rare, its complications can be catastrophic. Other disadvantages include: (1) camera view may be obscured due to fluid causing fog on the camera or cautery bubbles in the subacromial space, (2) there is a theoretically increased risk of air embolus, (3) there is a limited access to the posterior and posterior–inferior aspects of the joint, and (4) the setup may be expensive if specialized headrest (i.e., BC attachments) and arm-positioners are utilized.

**BC Position Setup**
A summary of key steps for LD patient positioning is provided in Table 4.

As described in the original paper by Skyhar et al, the BC position may be successfully accomplished with a standard operating table. Currently, there are several commercially available BC attachments with specialized headrest devices (e.g., Ultra Shoulder Positioner, Mizuho OSI, Union City, California, United States or Lift-Assist Beach Chair Positioning, Arthrex, Naples, Florida, United States) and mechanical or pneumatical arm-positioners such as the Trimano Fortis (Arthrex, Naples, Florida, United States) or the Spider 2 Limb Positioner (Smith and Nephew, Andover, Massachusetts, United States) (Fig. 3). While these devices add ease to the positioning and to the procedure, they increase the cost of the setup and they are not an absolute necessity to accomplish a safe and successful arthroscopic procedure in the BC position.

**Table 4** Key steps for patient positioning in the beach chair position

1. Plan and anticipate patient challenges.
2. Stratify patients for the risk of cerebral desaturation events in the BC position (Table 5).
3. Check that the break of the table is at the level of patient’s greater trochanter prior to rise the back of the table up.
4. Raise the back of the table up between 30 and 60 degree. The use of a more upright position (80 to 90 degree) might improve the access to the posteroinferior shoulder and an easier orientation of the anatomy.
5. Close monitoring of the blood pressure and cerebral saturation is required during and after positioning the patient.
6. If a traditional table is utilized shift the patient toward the operative side so the medial border of their scapula is just at the edge of the bed.
7. Make sure that an adequate access to the operative field and a satisfactory mobility of the operative arm are achieved.
8. Place a large pad or several pillows underneath the patient’s legs.
9. Secure the nonoperative arm to the patient’s abdomen or on a padded arm board.
10. Secure the patient’s head and neck. Regardless of the method utilized to secure the head, ensure a neutral position.
11. If an arm-positioning device is used, care must be taken when applying the traction to the arm.
12. Secure the patient to the table with a security strap. Avoid direct pressure by the strap on bony prominences or the skin.
13. Check the patient’s position frequently during surgery securing a neutral head alignment.

**BC Position Physiological Effects**
Cardiovascular changes are the most important physiological effect of the BC position. In the normal physiological state, the sympathetic nervous system is activated when assuming the seated position. This results in increased systemic vascular resistance and heart rate alterations to maintain mean arterial pressure. Conversely, under general anesthesia the autonomic nervous system response is blunted by the vasodilating effects of anesthetics, resulting in decreased cardiac output, decreased mean arterial pressure, and subsequent cerebral hypoperfusion. These changes can lead to detectable intraoperative cerebral desaturation events (CDEs) seen in as many of 80% of the patients as measured with near infrared spectroscopy. These desaturation events typically can potentially lead to cerebral ischemia.

The precise pathophysiology of the ischemic neurological events remains unclear. Some investigators suggest that the relationship between desaturation events and cerebral ischemia is related to the patient-specific risk factors such as...
congenital anomalies of cerebral circulation. Patients may be at increased risk without any knowledge as congenital variations of the circle of Willis anatomy have been described in 59 to 79% of the adults.

Besides the possible correlation with ischemic cerebrovascular events, CDEs might be correlated with neurocognitive abnormalities after surgery. Studies that have assessed the clinical implications of CDEs in patients undergoing shoulder arthroscopic surgeries in the BC position are inconclusive.

The degree and duration of cerebral ischemia required to produce neurocognitive dysfunction in this population remain unidentified.

The incidence of CDEs in shoulder arthroscopic procedures in the BC position has been associated with the type of anesthesia. CDEs in the BC position may be less likely during regional anesthesia compared with general anesthesia. In a prospective comparative study, Koh et al compared the rate of CDEs of awake patients when procedures were performed in the BC position compared with patients under general anesthesia. A higher incidence of CDEs was seen in the asleep group (56.7% vs. 0% awake group), and more CDEs were seen per patient (2.97 in asleep vs. 0 awake, p < 0.0001). The reasons for less cerebral desaturation with regional anesthesia are unclear but may include better preservation of blood pressure and higher partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) during regional anesthesia.

### BC Position Complications
Shoulder arthroscopy in the BC position is generally safe with a low rate of complications. Complications are very rare and may be prevented with adequate planning and positioning technique. A summary of pearls and pitfalls of the LD technique. A summary of pearls and pitfalls of the LD position is provided in Table 5.

#### Neurological Injuries
Neurological complications are the most common and devastating complications in the BC. They can be roughly categorized as cerebrovascular events and peripheral compression nerve injuries resulting from patient positioning.

#### Cerebrovascular Events
Friedman et al surveyed American shoulder surgeons, who had performed over 200,000 shoulder procedures in the BC position, mostly arthroscopic, and found eight incidences of cerebrovascular events, for an overall incidence of 0.003%. Despite this very low incidence, the neurological sequelae can be devastating for the patient, the family, and the providers.

Drummond et al reported four cases of ischemic brain injury after shoulder surgery in the BC position that resulted in death in one patient and severe brain damage in three patients. Pohl and Cullen reported one case of stroke and right hemiparesis after shoulder surgery in the BC position in a patient with a congenital variation of circle of Willis anatomy. Visual loss and ophthalmoplegia have also been described after shoulder surgery in the BC position. While the exact pathophysiology of these cerebrovascular events is not well understood, strategies to decrease hypotensive/bradycardic events and CDEs are warranted. As outlined above, regional anesthesia has proven to decrease the rate of CDEs compared with general anesthesia when procedures are performed in the BC. Additionally, in a randomized controlled trial Chierichini et al reported that the use of norepinephrine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitfall</th>
<th>Pearls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Cerebrovascular events** | - Stratify patients for the risk of cerebral desaturation events in the BC position.  
  - High risk: obese patients (BMI > 34).  
  - Possible risk: diabetes mellitus, obstructive sleep apnea, hypertension, older patients with more medical comorbidities, and history of stroke.  
  - Consider the use of isolated regional anesthesia. The use of regional anesthesia under an interscalene block and sedation may be the most effective strategy to decrease the risk of CDE and ischemic neurological injury in the BC position.  
  - When general anesthesia is preferable or unavoidable, noninvasive monitoring of regional cerebral oxygenation with near-infrared spectroscopy is recommended.  
  - Consider the use of a less upright position (30 degree to 45 degree) for patients with a high or probable risk of CDE (Fig. 4). A linear relationship between the angle of the BC position and an increase of cerebral deoxygenation has been demonstrated.  
  - If a vasopressor agent is planned to be used in the irrigation fluid to decrease the intraoperative bleeding, consider the use of norepinephrine (0.66 mg/L) rather than epinephrine.  
  - Keep an open communication with the anesthesia team of cardiovascular changes and cerebral oxygenation during patient positioning and during the procedure. |
| **Peripheral neurological injuries** | - Head and neck should be neutral. Avoid flexion, hyperextension, or lateral flexion.  
  - Head position should be checked frequently during shoulder surgery especially if the angle of the operating table is changed.  
  - Protect the auricle against compression with extra padding of the headrest to minimize pressure.  
  - Careful attention to placing the security belt across the thighs, rather than higher up around the waist, may avoid compression of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN).  
  - Limiting hip flexion by using reverse Trendelenburg to maintain a modified beach chair position may minimize compression of the LFCN from the belt.  
  - Proper preoperative counseling to obese patients with a BMI > 34 of possible LFCN neurapraxia. |

Abbreviations: BC, beach chair; CDE, cerebral desaturation events.
diluted in irrigation fluid during arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with the patient in the BC position reduces the incidence of hypotensive/bradycardic events and is as effective as epinephrine in maintaining the visual clarity of the surgical field.

Peripheral Compression Nerve Injuries

Other neurological injuries reported in the literature with the BC position are direct external nerve compressions which are probably related to patient positioning. Lateral femoral cutaneous nerve palsy after shoulder surgery in the BC position is an uncommon complication, with a calculated prevalence of 1.3%. Patient BMI and body weight were found risk factors for its development. Other potential factors contributing to the development of lateral femoral cutaneous nerve palsy include the positioning and tightness of the restraining belt.

Cogan et al and Boisseau et al reported two cases of neuropaxia of the ninth, tenth, and twelfth cranial nerve pairs after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair in the BC position. Although cerebral hypoperfusion was considered as a possible cause, the most likely hypothesis proposed by those authors was that there was potentially a mechanical, extracranial cause. During surgery any change in position which modifies the angle of the trunk in relation to the headrest, can potentially cause nerve compression under the angle of the jaw.

Similarly, there have been five reported cases of isolated hypoglossal nerve neuropaxia reported in the literature in patients undergoing shoulder surgery in the BC position. While the exact mechanism is unknown, either compression or distraction of the nerve may be involved. Eight cases of neuropaxia of the greater auricular nerve have been reported in the literature after shoulder surgery in the BC position, and direct nerve compression by the headrest was considered to be the etiology.

Conclusion

Overall, the BC and the LD positions are safe and successful methods for patient positioning in shoulder arthroscopic procedures without conclusive evidence of superiority of one position to the other. Each position offers advantages and disadvantages and surgeon’s experience and training are pivotal when selecting one position versus another. Complications are very rare with both positions and most of them are avoidable.

To minimize complications and improve patient counseling, surgeons and their teams must understand the technique, physiological changes, and possible complications of the positioning method adopted in their practices. In the LD position, efforts must be directed to avoid neurological injuries related with the traction system, pressure injuries related with the position, and potential airway obstruction. In the BC position, strategies to decrease complications are the patient stratification of risk for cerebral desaturation events, careful monitoring of the cardiovascular changes, and cerebral oxygenation and an adequate positioning of the head and neck.
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