Effects of Rapid Maxillary Expansion and Facemask Therapy on the Soft Tissue Profiles of Class III Patients at Different Growth Stages
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Objectives  The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of rapid maxillary expansion (RME) and facemask therapy on the soft tissue profiles of class III patients at different growth stages.

Materials and Methods  Forty-five subjects (23 females and 22 males) were divided into prepubertal, pubertal, and postpubertal groups. Bonded type RME appliances and Petit-type facemasks were fitted to each patient, and intraoral elastics were applied from the hooks of the RME appliance to the facemask.

Statistical Analysis  All measurements were statistically analyzed with SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) for Windows. Repeated-measures of ANOVA and posthoc Tukey tests were used to compare the groups.

Results  The soft tissue nasion, pronasale, subnasale, soft tissue A point, and labrale superior landmarks were all displaced forward and downward, and the most dramatic changes were recorded in the pubertal group. The labrale inferior, soft tissue B point, soft tissue pogonion, and soft tissue menton landmarks moved backward and downward in all groups, and the greatest displacements were observed in the pubertal group.

Conclusions  The soft tissue profiles improved significantly and became more convex in all treatment groups. Although, the most favorable facial changes were observed in the pubertal growth stage, the treatments applied in the postpubertal stage also elicited significant changes and should thus be considered viable treatment options.
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Introduction

At the beginning of the 20th century, the primary goal of the orthodontic treatment was to achieve normal occlusion. Therefore, orthodontists focused on the ideal positions and relations of the teeth and their basal bones. However, the soft tissue is the primary determinant of the facial appearances of the patients. After the paradigm shift that occurred in the second half of the 20th century, orthodontists began to place more emphasis on the soft tissue outcomes of their treatments. Today, the patients’ and parents’ esthetic expectations are more important than ever, and orthodontists should plan their orthodontic treatments to achieve a balanced and esthetic soft tissue profile, a beautiful smile, ideal and stable occlusion, and a healthy temporomandibular joint (TMJ).

The prevalence of class III malocclusion in orthodontically referred populations has been reported to be 12%.1 Such malocclusion is characterized by a prognathic/protrusive mandible, rethognathic/retrusive maxilla, protrusive maxillary incisors, retrusive mandibular incisors, a concave soft tissue
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profile, and an anterior cross-bite.5,3 The early treatment of class III malocclusion includes the inhibition/modification of mandibular growth with chin-cap4 and the stimulation/modification of maxillary growth with facemask appliances.3 Rapid maxillary expansion (RME) is commonly associated with facemask therapy because the maxilla is often transversally underdeveloped and requires expansion.6

The early treatment of class III malocclusion has been widely advocated to facilitate maximal growth and development, to create a more normal environment for the growth of the maxilla and the mandible, and to improve facial esthetics for more normal psychosocial development.2,7 Early treatment has been reported to enhance the rate of skeletal correction.5,8,9 With increasing age, dental correction overwhelms skeletal correction. However, orthodontists are not always able to initiate the class III treatment in the early stage of growth. Treatment becomes more challenging with increasing age and the results and stability of treatments conducted in the pubertal and postpubertal growth stages are questionable.

In the literature, the effects of RME and facemask therapy in the treatment of class III malocclusion have been well documented and investigated.8,10-14 However, some of these studies have mainly focused on hard tissue changes rather than soft tissue changes,13,14 and the effects of treatment timing have been considered in only a few studies.7,8,13,15,16 To our knowledge, there are no studies that have solely and exclusively evaluated the effects of RME and facemask therapy on the soft tissue profiles of class III patients at different growth stages.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of RME and facemask therapy on the soft tissue profiles of class III patients at different growth stages. The results of this study will aid in the identification of the optimal treatment timings for the correction of class III anomalies and the accompanying concave soft tissue profiles.

Our null hypothesis was that there would be no difference between the effects of RME and facemask therapy on the soft tissue profiles of class III patients in different growth stages.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Suleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Table 1 Descriptive statistics according to the chronologic age (in years) and treatment period (in months) of the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prepubertal</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubertal</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpubertal</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>9.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation.
group, and 7.69 ± 1.08 months in the postpubertal group (►Table 1).

Cephalometric Evaluation
Ten soft tissue landmarks were identified on each cephalogram. The total structural superimposition methods of Björk and Skieller8 were used to assess the changes in the positions of the landmarks during the study period. The sella–nasion line was used as a horizontal reference line (X) in the total structural superimposition. A perpendicular line passing through the sella was drawn to the horizontal axis and used as a vertical reference line (Y). The distances of 10 landmarks on the X and Y coordinate axes were measured to determine the exact positional changes of the anatomic landmarks (►Table 2). All cephalometric tracings and measurements were performed by the same researcher.

The measurements were performed using a NemoCeph NX Imaging System (Nemotech; Madrid, Spain).

Statistical Analysis
All measurements were statistically analyzed with SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) for Windows. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to test the normalities of the data distributions. Repeated-measures ANOVAs and posthoc Tukey tests were used to compare the groups, and to investigate the interactions between the group (prepubertal, pubertal, and postpubertal) and time factors (T1 and T2).

Results
To calculate the method error of the study, 20 of the 90 lateral cephalometric films were randomly selected, and both the tracings and measurements were repeated within 1 month. The repeatability coefficients were calculated using the analysis of variance. The coefficients were found to be very close to 1.00.

The ANOVA results regarding the measurements are displayed in ►Table 3. Statistically significant group X time interactions were observed in the Pnx, Snx, Sny, A’x, A’y, Lsx, Lsy, Sty, Liy, B’y, and Me’y measurements (p < 0.05). The Pnx, Snx, A’x and Lsx measurements were significantly increased in all groups, which indicated the forward movements of the related landmarks (p < 0.05). The Sny, A’y, Lsy, Sty, Liy, B’y, and Me’y measures were significantly increased in all groups, which indicated the downward movements of the related landmarks (p < 0.05).

The displacements of the soft tissue landmarks resulting from the treatments are displayed in ►Figs. 1 to 4. The soft tissue nasion, pronasale, subnasale, soft tissue A point, and labrale superior landmarks were all displaced forward and downward, and the most dramatic changes were observed in the pubertal group (►Figs. 1 and 2). The stomion moved slightly forward and mostly downward in the prepubertal group, whereas this movement was nearly completely downward in the pubertal group and backward and downward in the postpubertal group (►Fig. 2). The labrale inferior, soft tissue B point, soft tissue pogonion, and soft tissue menton landmarks moved backward and downward in all groups,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Description of the measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N’x</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sny</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</table>
and the greatest displacements were observed in the pubertal group (→ Figs. 3 and 4).

### Discussion

The chief concerns of class III patients are their facial appearances. Improvements in appearance positively contribute to patients’ appearances, self-concepts and psychosocial well-being during the teenage years. Therefore, this study primarily focused on the soft tissue changes that occurred after RME and facemask treatments.

The nose continues to grow in a downward and forward direction until adulthood. The nasal tip has been reported to move forward by approximately 1 mm annually. Nanda et al. reported increased amounts of forward displacement of the nasal tip with protraction forces. The reduced forward displacements of the pronasale in the postpubertal group might have resulted from decreased skeletal changes during this period and a slowing of the sagittal growth of the nose.

The upper lip reflects changes in the underlying bony structures of the premaxilla and the upper incisors, and significant forward displacements of the A point and labi-alar tipping of the incisors have been reported to result from anterior protraction forces. Moreover, by virtue of its attachment to the nose, the position of the upper lip is affected by the growth of the nose. The effect of the treatment was found to be more prominent in the upper lip area, which is consistent with previous studies. Kapust et al. reported forward movement of the upper lip of 3.57 mm. This forward movement amount was far greater than the expected soft tissue growth and was primarily caused by the forward displacement of the maxilla and anterior nasal spine due to the protraction forces. Our results are consistent with those of previous studies that have reported increased amounts of forward displacement of the nasal tip with protraction forces. The reduced forward displacements of the pronasale in the postpubertal group might have resulted from decreased skeletal changes during this period and a slowing of the sagittal growth of the nose.

The nasal tip has been reported to move forward and downward in all treatment groups, and the greatest amount of forward displacement was observed in the pubertal group (3.57 mm). This forward movement amount was far greater than the expected soft tissue growth and was primarily caused by the forward displacement of the maxilla and anterior nasal spine due to the protraction forces. Our results are consistent with those of previous studies that have reported increased amounts of forward displacement of the nasal tip with protraction forces. The reduced forward displacements of the pronasale in the postpubertal group might have resulted from decreased skeletal changes during this period and a slowing of the sagittal growth of the nose.
postpubertal patients, which has been reported in several studies.\(^8,10,13,24\)

The position of the lower lip has been reported to be largely dependent on the incisor inclination.\(^21\) One of the most significant effects of the facemask therapy is the retrusion of the lower incisors due to the pressure exerted with the chincap unit.\(^2,3,10-12,24\) The retrusion of the lower incisors results in retrusion of the lower lips. Moreover, the lower lip is not only affected by the retrusion of the lower incisors but also by the protrusion of the upper incisors due to the correction of the anterior cross-bite.\(^22\) In the present study, we observed significant backward and downward lower lip displacements in all groups, and these findings are consistent with previous studies.\(^10,12\) No difference in backward displacement was observed between the groups. The greatest downward displacement was observed in the pubertal group, whereas the least displacement was observed in the postpubertal group. In contrast with our results, Halicioglu et al\(^11\) reported no significant changes in the position of the lower lip in young adults who were treated with facemasks with or without RME. However, the mean age of their sample was at least 1-year-older than that of our sample, and this difference might have affected the results. Yavuz et al\(^24\) also reported no significant changes in the positions of the lower lip of adolescents and young adults.

The soft tissue chin has been demonstrated to be closely related to the position of the skeletal chin,\(^20\) which indicates that the extents of increases or decreases in the prominence of the soft tissue chin are closely correlated with the degrees of change in the prominence of the skeletal chin.\(^20\) Therefore, orthodontists can successfully alter the position of the soft tissue chin by stimulating, inhibiting, or modifying mandibular growth. Nanda et al\(^21\) reported that the soft tissue thicknesses at the level of the soft tissue pogonion exhibit total increases of 2.7 mm in males and 2.0 mm in females during normal growth between the ages of 7 to 18 years. This finding indicates that approximately 2.5 mm of forward displacement of the soft tissue pogonion occurs during 11 years of growth and development. Particularly in class III patients, greater forward growth of the mandible is expected to result in considerable forward movements of the skeletal and soft tissue chins. However, in our study, the soft tissue pogonion moved backward and downward significantly in all groups. In the pubertal group, the backward displacement was nearly 6.00 mm. The backward and downward displacement of the soft tissue chin might have resulted from the chincap effect of the facemask, which inhibited the forward growth of the mandible and forced the mandible to rotate posteriorly.\(^16,21,24\) In accordance with our results, the backward displacement of the soft tissue pogonion has been reported in previous studies.\(^3,10,12,22\)

**Fig. 1** Displacement of the landmarks: soft tissue nasion, pronasale, and subnasale.

**Fig. 2** Displacement of the landmarks: soft tissue A point, labrale superior, and stomion.
Based on the results of this study, our null hypothesis was rejected. There were significant differences between the effects of RME and facemask therapies on the soft tissue profiles of class III patients at different growth stages. These results provide scientific evidence that the treatment of class III anomalies with RME and facemasks during the pubertal growth stage elicits more favorable facial changes than treatment applied in the prepubertal and postpubertal growth stages. Therefore, to achieve favorable facial changes in class III patients, the optimal treatment time seems to be the pubertal period. However, it should not be omitted that RME and facemask therapies are still effective in the postpubertal growth stage, albeit to lesser extents.

**Conclusions**

Significant forward movement of the upper lip was observed. However, the least amount of forward displacement was observed in the postpubertal group. The lower lip was displaced backward and downward in all groups. The greatest amount of downward displacement was observed in the pubertal group, whereas the least amount of displacement was observed in the postpubertal group. The soft tissue chin was displaced backward and downward in all groups. The soft tissue profiles significantly improved and became more convex in all treatment groups. Although, the most favorable facial changes were observed in the pubertal growth stage, the treatments applied in the postpubertal stage also elicited significant changes and should thus be considered viable treatment options.
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