Type VI Choledochal Cysts—Case Report and Review of Literature
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Abstract
Choledochal cysts (CDC), are rare congenital dilations involving the extra hepatic biliary apparatus with or without dilation of the intrahepatic bile ducts. They are conventionally classified into five types. A new type, type VI, causing dilation of the cystic duct between the neck of the gall bladder and the common hepatic duct (CHD) has been described in medical literature which is the rarest of all these subtypes. They are commonly observed in middle aged females and are mostly symptomatic. Most of these cysts need magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) for accurate diagnosis. Treatment options for these lesions are not well defined but range from simple cholecystectomy to complete excision of the entire bile duct and biliary reconstruction, as there is a concern of malignant transformation in these cysts. Hence, these rare cysts, though rare, must be borne in mind when dealing with suspicious cystic lesions in the biliary tract. Here, we present an interesting case of such a rare cyst and its management in a middle aged woman.
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Case Report
A 40-year-old female presented with complaints of pain in the right side of upper abdomen which was aggravated by fatty meals for 6 months of duration. Clinical examination of abdomen and laboratory tests were normal. Ultrasound of the abdomen revealed a hypoechoic lesion of approximately 3 cm in size in close proximity to a thickened gall bladder (GB) with few stones in fundus. There was no intrahepatic biliary radicle dilatation (IHRBD). Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) revealed a 3 cm × 4 cm cyst interposed between the GB and the common hepatic duct (CHD), with no IHRBD, CHD, or common bile duct (CBD) dilation (►Fig. 1). A provisional diagnosis of type II/type VI choledochal cyst was made. Patient also had an incidental congenital anomaly involving the uterus (bicornuate uterus) seen on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; ►Fig. 2).

Due to concerns of adhesions and difficult dissection between the cystic duct cyst and CHD, which may result in incomplete cyst excision, open mini-cholecystectomy with cyst excision was planned instead of laparoscopic cyst excision and cholecystectomy.

Intraoperatively, the GB and cystic duct cyst were dissected free from the surrounding structures and the plane between the cystic duct cyst and CHD was clearly defined. As the communication between the cyst and CHD was small, cholecystectomy and complete cyst excision was done.

The postoperative course was uneventful and patient was discharged on postoperative day (POD) 3 after surgery. The specimen revealed a distal contracted GB with minute stones in fundus with cyst proximally (►Figs. 3 and 4). Microscopy of the GB showed features suggestive of chronic cholecystitis.

Discussion
Choledochal cysts (CDC) commonly cause dilations in the extrahepatic bile ducts. They are classified by Todani et al1
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Five subtypes where type I CDC is the most common, (60%) causing fusiform dilation of the common biliary duct apparatus. Type II involves saccular diverticulum of the CBD, type III involves perivaterian part of CBD, type IV involves multiple focal dilations of the bile ducts which are further subdivided into extrahepatic with intrahepatic involvement (4a) and extra hepatic involvement only (4b). Type V involves the intrahepatic bile ducts only (Caroli’s disease).

Serena Serradel et al² modified the widely accepted and used classification of Alonso–Lej which was previously modified by Todani et al¹ to include cystic duct cysts as a separate entity. Though the first such case was described by Bode and Aust in 1983,³ these lesions are very rare and only a few cases have been described in literature (~Table 1).

Most of these cystic duct lesions are symptomatic with most common symptom being epigastric and/or right upper quadrant pain aggravated by a fatty meal (as in this case). Although the exact etiology of these cysts is unknown, type VI CDC is thought to occur due to ectasia at the cystic duct caused by an abnormal pancreaticobiliary duct junction (APBDJ).³,⁷,¹³,¹⁶ An abnormal APBDJ is, however, not seen in all cases and a focal aganglionosis of the cystic duct, such as seen in Hirschsprung’s disease, is thought to play a role.²⁵

Abdominal ultrasonography is commonly the initial investigation and an MRCP is ideal to delineate the entire biliary system including the course of the cystic duct, presence or absence of ABBDJ, GB thickening, presence of gall stones, IHBRC, and CBD involvement. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is invasive, though providing the same information and detail regarding the biliary system as MRCP. ERCP and Tc–99m Hydroxy Imino Diacetic Acid (HIDA) scan can be used for diagnosis but are not commonly used.

Typical radiologic abnormalities that are specific to type VI CDC includes dilatation and squaring of the cystic duct, acute angulation of the CHD, and cystic duct junction with a distinct plane present between the dilated cystic duct and CHD, a normal or wide (Mirrizi’s syndrome) opening of the cystic duct to the CBD, a normal CBD, and associated APBDJ.²⁶ Most common differential diagnosis is a type II or type I CDC due to similarities in appearance of cyst in close proximity to CBD. Type VI choledochal cysts can further be described based on morphology as fusiform (more common) and saccular.

As the epithelium of these cysts are prone to develop biliary intraepithelial neoplasia (BIN), onus must be placed on complete surgical excision and multiple cut sections of the histopathology specimen must be analyzed.⁶ This is the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Associated biliary anomalies</th>
<th>Diagnosis: intraoperative/preoperative (I/P)</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bode and Aust</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Dilated cystic duct cyst with narrow neck</td>
<td>Cholangitis</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy, cyst excision, choledochoduodenostomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champetier et al</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Case 1: CBD cyst, case 2: cholelithias</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Case 1: excision of cyst with bile duct cyst and cholecystectomy; case 2: excision of cyst with cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serena Serradel et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Cystic dilatation of cystic duct</td>
<td>Cystolithiasis</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy, cystic duct excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loke et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Dilated cystic duct with wide opening into the CBD</td>
<td>Cystolithiasis</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy, cystic duct excision with RYHJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bresciani et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Cyst of cystic duct</td>
<td>Anomalous duct joining the cyst to right hepatic duct</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Video laparoscopic en bloc resection of cyst and GB with ligature with a clip of the cystic duct and anomalous duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baj et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation, wide opening</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Patient refused surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiler et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>APBDJ</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Excision of cyst, CBD with cholecystectomy and RYHJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manickam et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>APBDJ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Excision of cyst with cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Case 1: fusiform dilatation of cystic duct; case 2: fusiform dilatation joining by a wide opening; case 3: fusiform dilatation with wide opening in the CBD</td>
<td>Case 1: advanced carcinoma GB with lymphadenopathy; case 2: fusiform dilatation of CBD; case 3: CBD dilatation, GB polyps</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Case 1: not known; case 2: refused surgery; case 3: cyst excision, RYHJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation with narrow opening in CBD</td>
<td>Cholelithiasis, chronic Intraoperative</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Laparoscopic excision of the cyst with cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conway et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation with narrow opening in CBD</td>
<td>Intraoperative</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Excision of cyst duct cyst with cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghatak</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Saccular dilatation</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation of CBD Not known</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Excision of cyst, CBD, RYHJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khanna et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Cystic dilatation with wide opening into the common bile duct</td>
<td>–Dilation of CHD, CBD –Carcinoma gall bladder</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Excision of cyst, gall bladder, and common hepatic duct with hepatocoeuностомия</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Cystic duct cyst with wide opening into CBD and normal distal CBD</td>
<td>Cholecystitis</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Excision of cyst, gall bladder, and distal CBD, hepatocoonferostomia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maheshwari</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation in six, saccular dilatation in four</td>
<td>1 case-fusiform CBD dilatation 1 case-cystic duct calculi and malignancy</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Surgical management of cyst: five cases, details of surgery not known Surgery for other indications, no of intervention for cystic duct cyst: 1 case; expectant management: 3 cases; refused follow-up: 1 case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Cystic dilatation with wide opening</td>
<td>Cholecystitis</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Excision of cystic duct and part of CBD with RYHJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mishra et al</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Case 1: fusiform dilatation with wide opening; case 2: fusiform dilatation of CBD with a wide opening</td>
<td>Case 1: CBD, diverticulum, Preoperative choledochocele, cholelithiasis; case 2: dilated CBD, right and left hepatic ducts, cholelithiasis</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Case 1: excision of CDC with RYHJ, deroofing of the choledochocoele; case 2: CDC excision with RYHJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kesici et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation of cystic duct</td>
<td>Cholelithiasis</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Elective excision of GB and cystic duct cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sethi et al</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Case 1: cystic dilatation of cystic duct with wide opening; case 2: fusiform dilatation of cystic duct with wide opening; case 3: cystic dilatation of cystic duct with narrow opening</td>
<td>Case 1: carcinoma gall bladder; case 2: fusiform dilatation of hepatic duct; case 3: fusiform dilatation of both hepatic duct and common hepatic duct</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Case 1: cholecystectomy with cystic duct cyst excision, removal of CBD with RYHJ; case 2: cholecystectomy with cystic duct excision, and CBD excision with RYHJ; case 3: open cholecystectomy with</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rationale for complete surgical excision of the cyst along with cholecystectomy.  

Hence, the treatment for symptomatic cystic duct cysts is cholecystectomy with complete excision of the cystic duct cyst.  

For cysts with narrow opening of the cystic duct cyst into CHD, cholecystectomy with complete cystic duct excision alone would suffice and it can be done through laparoscopy by clipping the cyst opening into the CHD.  

However, if the communication between the cystic duct cyst and CHD is wide, with adhesions precluding safe clipping, an open cyst excision along with Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy as reconstruction may be performed.

Bresciani et al, Chan et al, and Ray et al have reported on the laparoscopic management of the cystic duct cysts where the most common surgery is a laparoscopic cholecystectomy with cystic duct cyst excision. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy with cyst excision can be done with low threshold for conversion to open cholecystectomy in case of anatomical difficulty and associated biliary anomalies which are seen in most reported cases in literature. (Table 1)

**Conclusion**

The increasing use of MRCP to diagnose hepatobiliary problems will result in an increasing number of such cystic duct dilations in the near future. In today’s laparoscopic era, many surgeons may also be faced with such cysts intraoperatively when they are operating on cases of acute cholecystitis or symptomatic biliary cholelithiasis. Hence, knowledge of type VI CDC, its diagnosis by MRCP, and treatment options are the need of the hour for effective treatment and management of this rare entity.
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**Table 1 (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Associated biliary anomalies</th>
<th>Diagnosis: intraoperative/preoperative (I/P)</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Çamlıdağ et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation of the cystic duct with the CBD; choledangiocarcinoma in distal part of both cystic duct and CBD</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>complete excision of extra hepatic biliary ducts with RYHJ with right and left hepatic ducts separately</td>
<td>Whipple’s operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nambiar et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation of the cystic duct with GB with distal CBD including intrapancreatic portion</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Lap converted to open cyst excision with cholecystectomy with hepaticojejunostomy</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation of cystic duct with no IHBR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UpadhyayaVD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Dilated cystic duct (3) dilated CBD(3) I(3)</td>
<td>Cyst excision with RYHJ(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This case</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusiform dilatation of cystic duct with no IHBR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Open cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: APBDJ, abnormal pancreaticobiliary duct junction; CBD, common bile duct; CDC, choledochal cysts; GB, gall bladder; IHBR, intrahepatic biliary radicle; N/A, not available; RYHJ, Roux-en-Y Hepaticojejunostomy.
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