Continuous EEG Monitoring in Intensive Care Unit
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Abstract

Trends of electroencephalogram (EEG) over 24 to 48 hours can help in prognostication in patients. Continuous electroencephalography (cEEG) allows for “real-time” bedside evaluation of cerebral function and can help to monitor patients in intensive care units. Lack of expertise in interpretation of the long-term EEG patterns and controversies in treatment implications have restricted the widespread use of this modality. This review summarizes the indications, techniques, duration, and pitfalls in cEEG monitoring. Compared with routine planned EEG, use of cEEG monitoring increases the sensitivity to detect nonconvulsive seizures (NCS) or nonconvulsive status epilepticus (NCSE) in unresponsive patients with no or subtle clinical signs of seizures. cEEG helps in reducing the overall intensive care unit (ICU) stay by timely detection of possible ischemic or ictal insults, alleviating the need for costlier imaging tests, and by precise drug adjustment in case of SE. However, standardization of the technical terms for wider applicability is needed. Analysis of automated computerized assays in seizure detection and their clinical role and addressing the technical aspects in long-term recordings should be evaluated; cEEG is gaining an important role in the multiparametric neuro–critical care units. Development of defined guidelines for the indications and application of cEEG, technological advances, and ongoing refinements are expected to enhance its utility in clinical practice.
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Introduction

Patients in the intensive care units (ICUs) have to be monitored for various critical events such as seizures, elevated intracranial pressure, ischemia, infection, or alterations in mental status. Continuous electroencephalography (cEEG) allows for “real-time” bedside evaluation of cerebral function. Compared with routine planned EEG, it increases the sensitivity to detect nonconvulsive seizures (NCS) or nonconvulsive status epilepticus (NCSE) in unresponsive patients with no or subtle clinical signs of seizures. The dawn of computerized era has made long-term EEG monitoring using digitalized recording techniques and data transmission convenient. Trends of EEG over 24 to 48 hours can help in prognostication in comatose patients. The neuro–intensive care unit (NICU) of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) has recommended guidelines with well-defined indications for cEEG monitoring in critically ill patients. Nonetheless, lack of expertise in interpretation of the long-term EEG patterns and controversies in treatment implications have restricted the widespread use of this modality. This review summarizes the indications, techniques, duration, and pitfalls in cEEG monitoring.

Indications

The indications for cEEG monitoring are summarized in ► Table 1.2,3

Nonconvulsive Seizures or Nonconvulsive Status Epilepticus

Non convulsive seizures (NCS) or NCSE is common in critically ill patients and associated with poor neurological outcome and significant mortality. Use of cEEG monitoring has shown that NCS occurs in 48% and NCSE in 14% of patients.
admitted to ICU with seizures. It can occur in patients without prior seizures with acute neurological conditions such as stroke, CNS infections, traumatic brain injuries, post-neurosurgical procedures; or it may complicate systemic disorders such as sepsis, metabolic encephalopathies, withdrawal from alcohol, opiates, benzodiazepines or is associated with use of antibiotics such as cefepime, clarithromycin, or fluoroquinolones. Both NCS and NCSE may exist with subtle clinical signs, or as mere confusion, agitation, aphasia, or behavioral alteration even without preceding seizures. Clinical recognition may be confounded by the common use of sedation, anesthetics, or neuromuscular blocking agents in patients in ICU, thereby highlighting the role of cEEG monitoring in such cases. Specific EEG patterns are significantly associated with seizures (Fig. 1) either NCS/NCSE, such as generalized periodic discharges (GPDs), lateralized periodic discharges (LPDs), or bilateral independent periodic discharges (BIPDs), lateralized rhythmic delta activity (LRDA), or as burst suppression pattern with intermittent ictal discharges against a “flat” background. Monitoring with cEEG helps not only to detect seizure, but also to assess efficacy of antiepileptic and anesthetic treatment during SE with suppression of these patterns. Although introduction or intensification of antiepileptic therapy with early detection of NCS/NCSE on cEEG is expected to improve the neurological state, the underlying etiology and comorbidities remain significant predictors of outcome.

**Characterization of Paroxysmal Events**

In critically ill and comatose patients, subtle paroxysmal movements may raise concern for ongoing seizures such as myoclonus, nystagmus, gaze deviation, and brief facial twitching. In cases that are otherwise unexplained, such as paroxysmal tachycardia or episodic posturing, it is essential to evaluate presence of an ictal correlate. A long-term video EEG record may help delineate occurrence of epileptiform discharges associated with these movements. If myogenic artifacts obscure the EEG findings, use of a short-acting neuromuscular blocking agent in patients on ventilatory support may help to recognize presence of any EEG abnormality.

**Grading the Severity of Encephalopathy and Prognostication**

The EEG patterns correlate with severity of encephalopathy associated with sepsis or other metabolic conditions, ranging from mild slowing in theta (>4 but <8 Hz) to intermittent delta range (≤4 Hz) to rhythmic and later continuous and arrhythmic, then occurrence of triphasic, and ultimately to burst suppression pattern. There is linear correlation of worsening of EEG patterns with increasing mortality due to multiorgan failure. cEEG can help in assessing the course and effect of therapy. The predictors of poor outcome in patients with encephalopathy include age more than 65 years, anoxic–ischemic etiology, background EEG suppression, and lack of EEG reactivity.

The EEG grading may help to understand the severity of encephalopathy (Fig. 2) and help in the prognosis:

- **Grade 1:** Background is α rhythm with or without scattered theta range activity (Fig. 2A).
- **Grade 2:** Background of theta intermixed with some α or delta range activity (Fig. 2B).
- **Grade 3:** Background of continuous polymorphic delta with little faster frequencies. Reactivity to external stimuli and variability present (Fig. 2C).

### Table 1 Indications for continuous EEG monitoring in critically ill patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Clinical indication for cEEG monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.     | Detection of nonconvulsive seizures/nonconvulsive status epilepticus, and monitoring effect of antiepileptic therapy  
  a. After convulsive status epilepticus  
  b. Unexplained alteration of mental status  
  c. Fluctuating mental status |
| 2.     | Characterization of paroxysmal events  
  a. Abnormal movements such as myoclonus  
  b. Sustained posturing, head or eye deviation, pupillary hippus  
  c. Paroxysmal autonomic spells such as tachycardia |
| 3.     | Monitoring the depth and trends of sedation |
| 4.     | Grading the severity of encephalopathy |
| 5.     | Detection of cerebral ischemia  
  a. After subarachnoid hemorrhage  
  b. During or after vascular interventional radiological procedures  
  c. Patients with hemodynamic instability |
| 6.     | Prognostication  
  a. Hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy  
  b. Post cardiac arrest |
| 7.     | During therapeutic hypothermia and within 24 hours of rewarming |
| 8.     | Brain death |

Abbreviation: cEEG, continuous electroencephalography.
Further studies are required, however, to determine the EEG parameters and their predictive roles.

**Technical and Operating Guidelines**

**EEG Electrodes and Montages**

Although a standard EEG montage with 21 electrodes with 10–20 system is recommended, in the ICU settings it may not be feasible due to lack of expertise or in applying all scalp electrodes in case of neurosurgical or trauma patients. A more simplified electrode placement method may be used in emergent situations of the neuro–critical care unit. Three different montages—double diamond, circumferential, and Cz referential—using easy placement of 7 electrodes (Fp1, Fp2, T3, T4, O1, O2, and Cz) based on anatomical landmarks were shown to be almost 93% sensitive for seizure detection. Standard collodion applied disk electrodes are preferable due to easy applicability. However, these may fail during long-term EEG monitoring. Subdermal wire electrodes secured with collodion or any sealant are used at some centers. Video EEG recording is preferred so as to correlate the EEG discharges with any paroxysmal movements seen.

Continuous raw EEG data may be laborious to interpret. Automated displays using color density spectral array (CDSA) and amplitude-integrated EEG compress the EEG into trends of changes in frequencies and voltage against time. These displays make it feasible for non-neurologists and paramedical staff to track the changes in EEG trends and seizure detection bedside.

**Duration of Monitoring**

Almost 50% of NCS have been shown to be detected within first 60 min of EEG recording. Almost 95% noncomatose patients had their first seizure detected on cEEG within first 24 hours of initiation of the monitoring, while only 80% of comatose patients did so. Recording for at least of 24 hours is recommended in critically ill patients. Routinely duration for monitoring patients with cEEG is dictated by the indication, probability of seizures, and likelihood of further deterioration in clinical course. Patients who are under

**Prognostication**

In comatose patients, especially in anoxic–ischemic encephalopathy post cardiac arrest, or in traumatic brain injury patients and ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke patients, EEG may aid as a prognostic tool. Certain EEG patterns have been identified as indicators of poor outcome such as complete background suppression, a burst suppression with generalized periodic epileptiform discharges within bursts, or generalized periodic epileptiform discharges against a near flat background. Reactivity to external stimuli in the form of change in amplitude or frequency in background rhythms indicates a better chance of recovery together with other clinical parameters. This should be determined with various stimuli such as loud sounds, response to pain, and passive eye opening. Worsening EEG patterns are associated with mortality as studied in sepsis-associated encephalopathy.

Further studies are required, however, to determine the EEG parameters and their predictive roles.

**Table 2 Graded mortality associated with EEG patterns in sepsis-associated encephalopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EEG pattern</th>
<th>Percentage mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theta</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triphasic waves</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst suppression</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: EEG, electroencephalography.
sedation or already treated with antiepileptics may need prolonged monitoring to detect occurrence of NCS. Lack of any epileptiform discharges in the initial (<2 hours) recording was suggestive of low (<5%) chance of seizures in the next 72 hours. Brief 30-min EEGs repeated serially were also shown to have similar clinical yield in patients post cardiac arrest as monitored with cEEG.\textsuperscript{15} Longer duration up to several days may be required in setting of detection of DCI in patients with SAH or ischemic strokes.

**Significant EEG Patterns**

**Periodic Discharges**

Periodic discharges (PDs) including lateralized (LPDs) or generalized (GPDs) are associated with worse outcomes in post hypoxic encephalopathy or as marker of SE, but can be seen in other conditions such as acute infarct, herpes encephalitis, autoimmune encephalitis, and Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (►Figs. 3 and 4).

**Triphasic Waves**

These are high-amplitude (200–300 µV), positive sharp transients preceded by short duration, small-amplitude negative sharp waves followed by a long-duration negative slow wave, with fronto-occipital lag of 25 to 140 milliseconds. They may be mono-, di- or quadric-phasic and may occur as short or long paroxysms, or repeat at 2 to 4 c/s (pseudoparoxysmal). They are typically seen in and highly specific for hepatic encephalopathy but may also occur in uremic encephalopathy, anoxia, hyperosmolar state, and metabolic disturbances such as hypoglycemia, hyponatremia, hypercalcemia, and hyperthyroidism\textsuperscript{16} (►Fig. 5A–C).

**Ictal-Interictal Continuum**

Several of the above-described patterns are not clearly ictal or interictal, especially in critically ill patients. GPDs or LPDs are seen in approximately 12% to 14% of cEEG recordings.\textsuperscript{17} Presence of these discharges not only supports NCS/NCSE in the acute settings but also predicts future risk. Patients with LPDs are at almost seven times increased risk of developing epilepsy.\textsuperscript{18} Lateralized rhythmic delta activity has a similar significance and is associated with high risk of acute, especially nonconvulsive seizures.\textsuperscript{19} Clinical correlates may not always be discernible in comatose patients, thereby making the addition of antiepileptics or sedation controversial. A trial of benzodiazepines may be diagnostic if it resolves the EEG patterns and at the same time leads to clinical improvement. However, this may not always be conclusive and carries potential sedative effect, which may not be acceptable in all clinical settings especially with hepatic or renal dysfunction. A nonsedating antiepileptic drug trial may serve as a better alternative.\textsuperscript{20} A thorough clinical evaluation of the probable etiology, prior ictal rhythms, and nature of evolution of current ambiguous EEG patterns along with proper imaging (magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]–diffusion weighted imaging [DWI] and perfusion studies) and biochemical parameters (serum neuron-specific enolase NSE) may help in the management\textsuperscript{20} (►Fig. 6).

**Limitations**

Few of the practical limitations of cEEG include\textsuperscript{2,3} the following:

- The vast data provided by cEEG are labor intensive both for technologists and clinicians.
- Quantitative EEG algorithms with compressed spectral arrays can prove helpful but need validation for clinical application.
- The compatibility of routinely used electrodes may interfere with other investigations such as MRI.
- For patients on ventilatory support, pacemakers, air beds, and infusion pumps, or with insistent movements such as chewing and myoclonic jerking may pose additional artifacts during recordings. Video-EEG recording may help recognizing these artifacts.
- There is no standard nomenclature or classification into ictal-interictal categories of commonly seen rhythmic or periodic patterns in critically ill patients, creating interobserver bias and difficulty in analyzing records.
At times, detection of ambiguous patterns may subject the patients for further expensive evaluations and prolonged sedation or antiepileptic treatments. It remains unclear whether aggressive treatment of intermittent NCS alters the final outcome. Thus, caution must be exercised not only in interpretation of the vast data, but its clinical implications as well.

Though current literature on the relative cost-effectiveness of cEEG in intensive care units is lacking, with increasing availability of these technical amenities at lower cost over past few years, it is promising for widespread use in practice. When implemented, cEEG may help reduce the overall ICU stay by timely detection of possible ischemic or ictal insults, alleviating the need for costlier imaging tests and by precise drug adjustment in case of SE.

Future Research

Many unresolved questions in cEEG monitoring include the following:

- EEG patterns that should be chased therapeutically,
- Standardization of the technical terms for wider applicability,
- Analyzing the automated computerized assays in seizure detection and their clinical role,
- Addressing the technical aspects in long-term recordings.

Conclusion

Use of cEEG is gaining an important role in the multiparametric neurocritical care units. Development of defined guidelines for the indications and application of cEEG, technological advances, and ongoing refinements are expected to enhance its utility in practice.
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