An Inadvertent Peripheral Arteriovenous Malformation Cannulation
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The incidence of accidental arterial cannulation and injection varies from 1 in 56,000 to 1 in 3,440.¹ Many cases of accidental arterial cannulation and injection have been reported in literature, but a seemingly simple peripheral venous cannulation landing in a preformed arteriovenous malformation (AVM) is of special interest and has not been reported earlier. After obtaining written informed consent from the patient, we report such a rare case of accidental AVM cannulation in which its prompt recognition prevented any further complication. A 22-year-old woman, known case of hydrocephalus, presented to the operating room for endoscopic third ventriculostomy. A 22-gauge cannula was already in situ, just above the wrist on the radial side of the forearm. As per the standard protocol, all monitors were attached and an infusion of normal saline was started through peripheral intravenous cannula. Immediately, we noticed a profuse backflow of blood in the intravenous line. We checked the force of backflow even by attaching an empty 2-mL syringe to it, which got rapidly filled by backpressure. On transducing the pressure, a distinct arterial waveform could be appreciated but with low mean pressure. The blood gas analysis report revealed partial pressure of oxygen: 77 mm Hg, partial pressure of carbon dioxide: 48 mm Hg, arterial oxygen saturation: 88% at fraction of inspired oxygen: 0.4, end-tidal carbon dioxide: 30 mm Hg, and pulse oximetry oxygen saturation: 100%. These values were indicative of mixed arteriovenous sample. We secured another intravenous access for carrying out surgery. After the tracheal intubation, standard anesthesia technique was followed for maintenance of anesthesia, and the surgery proceeded uneventfully. To seek the reason for these abnormal findings, we used an ultrasound to insonate the area where the cannula was placed. We could distinctly appreciate an aberrant connection between an artery and vein on long-axis view (►Fig 1A). The findings were confirmed by pulse wave Doppler study, which showed arterial and venous waveforms at respective sites (►Fig 1B). After scanning the area, we removed the cannula immediately. In the postoperative period, we observed the left hand for development of any complications.

Fig. 1 (A) Ultrasonographic long-axis image showing a communication between the artery and the vein. (B) Pulse wave Doppler showing arterial waveform.
angiography. The timely detection and subsequent removal of cannula prevented development of complications.

Thus, the point of care, “ultrasound” proved its mettle to prevent a complication from occurring. Accidental cannulation of such rare vascular malformations can result in a diagnostic dilemma, and ultrasound can be an impeccable tool that should be used at the slightest suspicion. There is also a word of caution that drugs administered through such lines may result in catastrophic consequences, so vigilance is of utmost importance.
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We felt relieved when we discharged our patient home, after a stay of 2 months at our hospital. It was as much a relief for us, as it was for the patient. Over the preceding 2 months, we had repeatedly felt lost regarding the medicolegal implications of treating the patient.

Two months back, a middle-aged European citizen was admitted to our neuro intensive care unit (NICU). He was flying alone on a 14-hour long international flight, with neither origin nor destination in India. He suffered a generalized tonic–clonic seizure on-board and the flight made an emergency landing. He was deboarded and brought to our hospital, accompanied by airport personnel who completed the admission formalities. When admitted to NICU, the patient was postictal. For the next 2 days in ICU, he was aggressive, occasionally cooperating with our instructions, and repeatedly expressing displeasure over being admitted. Treatment was initiated based on initial investigations and whatever little discussion was possible with him. The international help desk (IHD) at our hospital informed the embassy about the patient’s condition and admission. The embassy contacted his family, who were unwilling to visit. On day 3, when the patient developed respiratory distress and needed intubation, obtaining consent for intubation proved to be a difficult task. There was no family member available, nor were embassy officials available for consent. The embassy contacted the patient’s family who were still reluctant to come. After trying for an hour and consulting both embassy and hospital management, we had to intubate the patient without written informed consent due to deteriorating clinical condition. We only had telephonic assurance from the embassy that we could do whatever was medically necessary for the patient. A minor bedside diagnostic procedure was then planned. We again performed the procedure without written informed consent. However, after detailed discussion with hospital management, medical superintendent (MS) of the hospital later signed the consent forms. Subsequently, the patient gradually improved and was