Findings to Leptomeningeal Metastases in Lung Cancer: A Case Report
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Abstract

Leptomeningeal metastases (LM) is defined as diffuse or focal infiltration of malignant cells into the meninges that bathe the brain and spinal subarachnoid. All malignant tumors may cause LM; however, there are several tumor entities that are more frequently associated with leptomeningeal spread than others such as lung cancer, breast carcinoma, melanoma, and hematologic neoplasms as well as primary brain tumor. Here, we present a case of LM from a 53-year-old woman with lung tumor and show the importance of cerebrospinal fluid analysis in the diagnosis of LM.
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Introduction

The leptomeninges are the membranes lining the brain and spinal cord. The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) lies in between these membranes.1 According to the classic hypothesis, the majority of CSF is produced by the choroid plexus and circulates through the ventricles, cisterns, and subarachnoid space to be absorbed into the blood vessel by the arachnoid villi2 (►Fig. 1A).

Leptomeningeal metastases (LM), also referred to as leptomeningeal carcinomatosis, carcinomatous meningitis, or neoplastic meningitis, is defined as diffuse or focal infiltration of malignant cells into the meninges that bathe the brain and spinal subarachnoid.3 LM is found in 1 to 5% of patients with solid tumors, 5 to 15% of patients with hematologic neoplasms, and 1 to 2% of patients with primary brain tumors.4 In this way, all malignant tumors may cause LM; however, there are several tumor entities that are more frequently associated with leptomeningeal spread than others such as lung cancer, breast carcinoma, melanoma, and hematologic neoplasms as well as primary brain tumors.5 The clinical manifestations of LM result from malignant cells clogging the normal exits for CSF causing a fluid buildup and increased pressure in the brain (►Fig. 1B). This increased intracranial pressure can cause nausea and vomiting, headaches and neck pain, vision changes and difficulty walking, and paresthesia and paresis.1,5

Diagnosis of LM is based on three assessments types: clinical, imaging, and CSF analysis. The most useful laboratory test is the CSF analysis that commonly shows increased opening pressure (> 200 mm H2O), high leukocytes (> 4/mm3), high protein (> 50 mg/dL), low glucose (< 60 mg/dL), and the presence of malignant cells.4

Case Report

A 53-year-old woman was admitted at the emergency facility complaining of cephalgia, drowsiness, mental confusion, and vomiting. Cranial computed tomography (CT) at admission shows hyperdensity of left temporal lobe without hydrocephalus. Carotid CT angiography has no lesions; however, a mass (3.1 × 3.0 cm) in the right upper lobe of the lung was detected (►Fig. 1C), with spiculated, irregular margins (arrow, ►Fig. 1D) suggestive of malignant neoplasia. CSF analysis was performed on suspicion of LM or central nervous system infection. Opening pressure was not quantified during puncture, but with output under high pressure. CSF test revealed a total protein of 94.1 mg/dL; glucose of 59 mg/dL; CSF red blood cells of 17/mm3; and white cell count of 20/mm3 with a positive oncotic cytology with 33% of large-sized cells, small-medium nucleus/cytoplasm relationship, abundant cytoplasm and hyperbasophilic, in association with some binucleated cells (►Fig. 1E, F).
Clinical suspicion and early diagnosis are essential to preserve neurological functions and quality of life. The CFS analysis obtained by lumbar puncture is the main complementary test used in the diagnosis of LM. The presence of malignant cells in the CSF is considered the gold standard method for the diagnosis with rare false-positive findings. However, 45% of patients have a normal initial CFS examination, but the yield is increased to 80% with analysis of a second CFS puncture.

Other laboratory tests might be helpful, as a flow cytometry and genomic analysis of malignant cells from the CSF. This approach, however, has not yet reached clinical routine.

The prognosis of LM is poor, and survival time of untreated patients is approximately 4 to 6 weeks and treated patients with a median survival duration of 2 to 3 months.

LM remains incurable, so treatments are essentially palliative. The optimal treatment of LM remains poor and is based on two general approaches: to reduce pressure on the brain caused by CSF buildup and to reduce the number of malignant cells (radiotherapy-intrathecal-systemic chemotherapy). If the CSF pressure is elevated, it can be reduced via lumbar puncture or use of some medications. If needed, a permanent drain can be used.

The response to treatment in patients with LM is monitored by CSF cytology and clinical improvement in neurological signs and symptoms. Besides, CSF analysis and biochemical markers, no other CSF parameters predict response. Negative cytology requires confirmation by both ventricular and lumbar CSF cytology. In conclusion, LM remains a therapeutic challenge, and early diagnosis is essential to preserve neurological functions and quality of life. In addition, there is lack of data for specific tumor treatment and the activity of many drugs that have become available within the past years remains unknown.
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