Correction of Biapical Tibial Deformity by True Spherical Osteotomy, Modified Circular External Skeletal Fixation and Distraction Osteogenesis
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Abstract

Objectives  The aim of this study was to describe a case of biapical tibial deformity as a result of premature distal physeal closure corrected by true spherical osteotomy, circular external skeletal fixation and distraction osteogenesis.

Methods  A 6-month-old male Labrador Retriever was presented for the evaluation and treatment of angular limb deformity of the left pelvic limb, with radiography and computed tomography revealing a multiplanar, biapical, compensatory tibial growth deformity, with marked distal tibial recurvatum and varus. A true spherical osteotomy was performed at the distal tibial centre of rotation of angulation (CORA), allowing for correction of the deformity in three planes, with a transverse osteotomy performed at the most proximal CORA. A circular external skeletal fixator was applied and distraction osteogenesis performed at the transverse osteotomy. Latency, distraction osteogenesis, and consolidation were performed over a 113-day period.

Results  At frame removal, tibial length discrepancy improved from 16.8% to 0.6% and frontal plane varus angulation improvement from 20° to 5°, when compared with the contralateral limb. Long-term evaluation revealed a satisfactory clinical and cosmetic outcome, judged by the clinician and owners, with force plate analysed symmetry index of the pelvic limb within reported normal limits.

Clinical significance  To our knowledge this is the first case report illustrating the value of true spherical osteotomy for the treatment of an angular limb deformity when performed in combination with distraction osteogenesis in a canine pelvic limb.

Introduction

Angular limb deformities in dogs more commonly affect the antebrachium with pelvic limb deformities being less frequent.1 Premature cessation of pelvic limb growth due to physeal growth plate disturbances is reported in just 12% of injuries, with the tibia affected in 4.4% to 6.9% of physeal injuries.1–3 Eccentric medial closure of the distal tibial physis results in asymmetric growth of the distal tibia, growth retardation and pes varus deformation.1–3 The most common causes of premature physeal closure may be traumatic or developmental, with indication of a genetic aetiology of premature physeal closure in chondrodystrophic dogs.2,3 Other possible causes include nutrition, metabolic disorders, osteomyelitis or septic physis or other developmental disorders such as skeletal dysplasia.2–7

Varus tibial deformity can result in shortening of the affected tibia with a ‘bow-legged’ appearance resulting from stifle abduction required to facilitate paw placement.8 Varus tibial deformity creates abnormal axial loading of the talocrural joint with potential for ligamentous injury, lameness and progressive osteoarthritis.9 Increased contact pressures at the tarsal joints lead to altered cartilage metabolism and...
arthritis. Concurrently, stifle pathology including patellar luxation and cranial cruciate disease can be ascribed to pes varus.\textsuperscript{10-12}

Surgical management of distal tibial physeal closure in Dachshunds has been described by opening or closing wedge osteotomies stabilized with a locking plate\textsuperscript{13} modified external skeletal fixation\textsuperscript{7} or hybrid external skeletal fixation.\textsuperscript{14} These procedures aim to realign proximal and distal articular surfaces into a normal frontal plane orientation. More recently, limb lengthening techniques such as distraction osteogenesis have gained popularity as a potential treatment for distal tibial physeal closure.\textsuperscript{15,16}

When treating distal tibial valgus deformities, true spherical osteotomy has been reported in combination with a hinged circular external fixation.\textsuperscript{8} True spherical osteotomies enable correction of deformities with three rotational degrees of freedom: angulation, rotational and translational.\textsuperscript{17,18}

To the authors’ knowledge, the use of true spherical osteotomy has not been implemented for the treatment of distal tibial varus in adjunct with limb lengthening techniques. This report describes the use of true spherical osteotomy, modified circular external skeletal fixation and distraction osteogenesis for the treatment of a biapical angular limb deformity and limb length discrepancy in a Labrador puppy.

**Case Description**

**Examination**

A 6-month-old male Labrador Retriever (13 kg) was referred for the evaluation and treatment of angular limb deformity of the left pelvic limb. The dog was presented with a 3-month history of progressive left pelvic limb lameness exacerbated by increased activity levels, unresponsive to medical management or rest. There was no apparent history of trauma.

A grade 2/5 lameness of the left pelvic limb was apparent, with a visible limb length discrepancy and pelvic dip during loading. Varus angulation of the pes and distal tibia was seen at standing and ambulation, with recurvatum of the tibia palpable on examination. There were no signs of pain evident on manipulation.

**Diagnostic Imaging and Surgical Planning**

Orthogonal radiographic (craniocaudal and mediolateral projections) and computed tomographic (CT) assessment of the left tibia revealed a multiplanar (frontal, sagittal, torsional), biapical, compensatory tibial growth deformity, with marked distal tibial recurvatum and varus (\textsuperscript{\textdegree}Fig. 1, \textsuperscript{\textdegree}Fig. 2A, \textsuperscript{\textdegree}Fig. 2B). The left tibia measured 14.4 cm from the proximal joint orientation line, transecting the proximal tibial physis, to the distal joint orientation line, transecting the distal tibial physis. The contralateral tibia measured 17.3 cm. The mechanical medial proximal tibial angle (mMPTA), mechanical medial distal tibial angle (mMDTA) were measured in the frontal plane with the mechanical caudal proximal tibial angle (mCdPTA) and mechanical cranial proximal tibial angle (mCrDTA) determined on the sagittal plane (\textsuperscript{\textdegree}Table 1).

The centre of rotation of angulation (CORA) method and the mean mMDTA, mMPTA, mCdPTA and mCrDTA in Labrador Retrievers, as defined by Dismukes and colleagues,\textsuperscript{19,20} were utilized to identify the location for corrective osteotomies in the frontal and sagittal plane. A line bisecting the proximal joint orientation line and distal joint orientation line defined the anatomical axis, intersection of these lines identifying the CORA. Osteotomies were performed at the angulation correction axis and CORA co-located. Degree of correction was determined by comparison with contralateral limb (\textsuperscript{\textdegree}Table 1).

**Surgical Technique**

The dog was pre-mediated with a combination of methadone (0.2 mg/kg intravenous [IV]; Comfortan, Dechra Veterinary Products, United Kingdom) and acepromazine (0.02 mg/kg IV; Elanco Animal Health, United Kingdom). Anaesthesia was induced with propofol (4 mg/kg IV; PropoFlo, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Illinois, United States), maintained with isoflurane in oxygen. The left pelvic limb was clipped and prepared with chlorhexidine and an alcohol solution, and the patient positioned in dorsal recumbency. Owner consent was obtained prior to surgery.

Two 1.6 mm Kirschner wires were driven percutaneously mediolaterally and transcutically into the proximal tibia and fixed to a circular external skeletal fixation 5/8 ring (IMEX Veterinary, Inc. Longview, Texas, United States). Further
1.6 mm Kirschner wires were inserted percutaneously into the mid-tibia and distal tibia. A 5 cm incision was then performed medially at the level of the mid-tibia to allow visualization and access to the bone. Correction by true spherical osteotomy was performed in the distal tibia at the level of the CORA (Fig. 3A), 4.9 cm proximal to the talocrural joint, utilizing an 18 mm dome blade (DOMESAW Matrix Orthopaedics Inc, Idaho, United States), resulting in apposed concave and convex surfaces. A transverse osteotomy was performed 5.2 cm distal to the tibial plateau, using an oscillating saw and osteotome (Fig. 3B). A circular external skeletal fixation ⅝ ring was fixed to the mid-tibial Kirschner wires and connected to the proximal ring by

Fig. 2 Preoperative radiographic projections demonstrating tibial deformity (A, B). Immediate postoperative radiographic projections showing TSO and site of linear distraction (C, D). Initial evidence of cortical bridging of the osteotomy and intramedullary infill of regenerate bone (E, F). TSO site demonstrating radiographic healing by day 35 (G, H). Appropriate left pelvic limb tibial length was confirmed by orthogonal radiography and computed tomography at day 68 (I, J). Radiographic projections at 12 months postoperatively (K, L).
three linear motors. Re-alignment of the middle and distal tibial segments at the level of the dome osteotomy was achieved. A stretch ring (IMEX Veterinary, Inc. Longview, Texas, United States) was placed distally, allowing a degree of flexion and extension through the hock, and connected to the middle tibial segment by threaded connecting rods. A 3 mm threaded external fixation pin was driven into both the proximal and distal segments for additional construct stability, engaging both cortices without penetrating the transcortex, and fixed onto the modified circular external skeletal fixation (Fig. 3C). Routine surgical closure of the incision was performed (Fig. 3D, Fig. 2C, Fig. 2D).

Table 1 Pre- and postoperative measurements of the operated limb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Preoperative (L)</th>
<th>Preoperative (R)</th>
<th>Frame removal (L)</th>
<th>Frame removal (R)</th>
<th>12 months postoperative (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal plane varus angulation</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal mMPTA</td>
<td>95.4°</td>
<td>93.7°</td>
<td>105.4°</td>
<td>95.2°</td>
<td>104°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal mMDTA</td>
<td>76.1°</td>
<td>89.7°</td>
<td>85.3°</td>
<td>92.8°</td>
<td>86.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal mCdPTA</td>
<td>69.3°</td>
<td>68.5°</td>
<td>60.1°</td>
<td>67.7°</td>
<td>61.2°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal mCrDTA</td>
<td>85°</td>
<td>88.1°</td>
<td>86.2°</td>
<td>91.9°</td>
<td>86.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial length</td>
<td>144 mm</td>
<td>173 mm</td>
<td>190 mm</td>
<td>191 mm</td>
<td>192 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: mCdPTA, mechanical caudal proximal tibial angle; mCrPTA, mechanical cranial proximal tibial angle; mMDTA, mechanical medial distal tibial angle; mMPTA, mechanical medial proximal tibial angle.

(L), Left tibia; (R), Right tibia.

Fig. 3 Correction by TSO was performed in the distal tibia at the level of the centre of rotation of angulation (A). A transverse osteotomy was performed 5.2 cm distal to the tibial plateau, using an oscillating saw and osteotome (B). The frame constructs and linear motors were connected (C), and a routine surgical closure performed (D). Postoperative assessment of alignment and stifle and tarsus range motion were judged satisfactory. Sterile sponges were applied between the skin and frame to reduce postoperative swelling, with sterile swabs and bandage additionally applied to absorb discharge and act as an anti-microbial barrier.
**Perioperative and Postoperative management**

Perioperative antibiotic therapy consisted of cefuroxime (20 mg/kg IV; Zinacef, GlaxoSmithKline Ltd, Middlesex, United Kingdom) at least 30 minutes prior to first incision, and every 90 minutes during surgery thereafter, with cefalexin (20 mg/kg per-os every [q] 12 h; Therios, Ceva Animal Health Ltd, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) then dispensed for 10 days postoperatively. Perioperative analgesia included an epidural of morphine (0.15 mg/kg; Hameln pharmaceuticals Ltd, Gloucester, United Kingdom) and bupivacaine (0.7 mg/kg; AstraZeneca, Cheshire, United Kingdom), with methadone (0.2 mg/kg IV every 4 hours; Comfortan, Dechra Veterinary Products, United Kingdom) administered intraoperatively as required. Postoperative analgesia consisted of methadone (0.2 mg/kg IV q4h) and robencoxib (1–2mg/kg orally every 24 hours; Onsior, Eli Lilly and Company Ltd, Indiana, United States). Pain scores were performed every 4 hours with appropriate change from methadone to buprenorphine (0.01–0.02 mg/kg IV every 6 hours; Vetersjesic; Ceva Animal Health Ltd, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). The patient was weight-bearing on the affected limb by day 5 postoperatively.

**Distraction Osteogenesis**

Following a latency period of 7 days, distraction osteogenesis was performed at a rate of 1 mm per day in increments of 0.25 mm every 6 hours, as per tension-stress shown to stimulate initial osteochondral ossification, at the site of the proximal osteotomy.

After 4 days of distraction (day 11), radiography revealed inadequate callostasis and bone formation, with a 7.4 mm gap present between the proximal and middle tibial segments. Distraction was reversed and osteotomy compressed. An additional Kirschner wire was driven into the proximal tibial segment under deep sedation. Distraction osteogenesis was re-initiated after 4 days at an index of 1 mm per day.

Radiographic and CT assessment at day 21 revealed adequate and progressive regenerate bone from apposing osseous surfaces with a fibrous interzone within the distraction gap (Fig. 2E, Fig. 2F). A 48-hour rest period was initiated prior to re-starting distraction (Table 1).

Distraction index was then altered to a rate of 0.5 mm per day for 4 days, and then 0.75 mm per day at increments of 0.25 mm every 8 hours for 2 weeks (days 29–44) to promote further callostasis and encourage a degree of procallus or callus formation (Table 1). Stabilization techniques, such as scarification, were repeated on days 26, 28 and 33, revealing cortical bridging of the osteotomy and intramedullary infill of regenerated bone (Fig. 2E, Fig. 2F). The true spherical osteotomy (TSO) site had healed by day 35 postoperatively (Fig. 2G, Fig. 2H).

Appropriate left pelvic limb tibial length was confirmed by orthogonal radiography and CT at day 68 (Fig. 2I, Fig. 2J). Final alignment was made between proximal and distal segments, distraction apparatus removed and frame locked in static fixation. The patient was discharged for at-home management.

At day 113, orthogonal radiography revealed adequate mineralization between bone segments, and the frame was removed. There were no signs of discomfort on manipulation of the limb, with satisfactory stifle and tarsal range of motion. An intermittent grade 1/5 lameness was observed following frame removal. The patient was discharged with Tramadol (2 mg/kg per os every 12 hours) for 3 days, with no further medication required.

**Clinical Outcome**

The patient re-presented 12 months postoperatively. The patient was undertaking unrestricted off-lead activity. No lameness was apparent, and clinical examination of the affected limb did not reveal abnormal findings.

Force plate was used to measure ground reaction force percentages and limb symmetry, identifying left and right pelvic limb ground reaction force as 39 and 41% respectively and a symmetry index of 4.05, within reported normal limits of healthy Labradors.

Orthogonal radiography and CT demonstrated that tibial length had increased to 19.2 cm, with frontal plane varus angulation, mmPTA, mMDTA, mCdPTA and mCrDTA measured (Table 1) (Fig. 2K, Fig. 2L). Postoperative TPA measured 27.3°, within reported normal limits of a healthy Labrador Retriever TPA. There was no evidence of progressive stifle or tarsal osteoarthritis, cranial cruciate disease or patellar luxation.

**Discussion**

Correction of angular growth deformities has been extensively described in veterinary literature with a focus on deformities of the antebrachium and limited investigation into pelvic limb deformities. Investigation into chondrodystrophic dogs has provided more current recommendations for approach to treating tibial growth deformities. Described surgical treatment for pes varus deformities in such dogs have shown success in limb re-alignment. Biopically affected limbs have a higher likelihood of being more severely affected in the sagittal plane, and thus compounding their complexity.

Realigning the mechanical axis and joint orientation of the stifle and tarsus requires a combination of angulation and translation. Conventional surgical treatments such as the simple transverse, open-wedge and closing wedge with internal fixation cannot accurately correct angulation and translation due to difference in the level of the CORA and the correction. The dome cut, according to Paley and others, is a cylindrical osteotomy which rotates around the central axis of a bone. Dome osteotomies allow the surgeon to pivot the bone segments in multiple planes to achieve appropriate alignment of the proximal and distal segments while maintaining osteotomy surface congruency avoiding translational deformities.

True spherical osteotomies in human surgery show positive outcomes in the treatment of limb deformities and dysplastic conditions. Application of TSO in canine radial dome osteotomy combined with external coaptation achieved good-to-excellent postoperative function in 95% of dogs, and no visible long-term lameness in 73%.
spherical osteotomies have demonstrated efficacy in intra-articular or juxta-articular CORAs due to the ability to offset the blade from the CORA. 26

In this case, true spherical osteotomy was selected to avoid limb shortening and minimize the risk of transcortical fractures following previously described guidelines. 28 Jaeger and colleagues 29 reported the use of other modalities for correction of distal tibial valgus deformities in non-chondrodystrophic breeds, including medical management, segmental fibular ostectomy, closing wedge ostectomy, planar osteotomy and hinged circular external fixation and true spherical osteotomy with hinged circular fixation. Neither long-term outcome nor comparison of techniques was described. Choate and colleagues 30 described the use of hinged circular external fixation, transverse ostectomy and concurrent angular and linear distraction osteogenesis for the treatment of tibial varus and valgus deformities as a result of traumatic premature physeal closure with sound results. Correction of biapical deformities utilizing external fixation and distraction osteogenesis has also been reported with successful outcomes. 17

Table 2 Phases of distraction osteogenesis for the treatment of tibial deformity in a Labrador puppy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Rate of distraction</th>
<th>Radiography/CT</th>
<th>Frame alterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 1–7</td>
<td>Latency</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 8–11</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>1 mm/day, 0.25 mm q6</td>
<td>Inadequate callostasis (Day 11)</td>
<td>Additional Kirschner wire added to proximal tibial segment, distraction reversed to compress osteotomy site (Day 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 12–15</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 16–21</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>1 mm/day, 0.25 mm q6</td>
<td>Progressive regenerate bone originating from both osseous surfaces (Day 21)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 22–23</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 24–28</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>0.5 mm/day, 0.25 mm q12</td>
<td>Continued intramedullary infill (Day 26, Day 28)</td>
<td>Straightened lateral aspect of frame, adjusted medial clamp (Day 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 29–34</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>0.75 mm/day, 0.25 mm q8</td>
<td>Parallel columns of procallus emanating from both cortical surfaces (Day 33)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 35–44</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>0.75 mm/day, 0.25 mm q8</td>
<td>Radiographic healing of TSO site (Day 35)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 45</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>0.75 mm/day, 0.25 mm q8</td>
<td>L Tibia = 16.3 cm R Tibia = 17.5 cm Satisfactory progression Satisfactory limb alignment</td>
<td>Tibial plateau transverse axis slightly tilted medially, therefore opened the medial side more. Removed three linear motors. Removed distal connecting element. Replaced wires and pins of proximal segment due to discharge at skin–pin interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 46–59</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>1 mm/day, 0.25 mm q6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 60</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>1 mm/day, 0.25 mm q6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Linear motors replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 61–68</td>
<td>Distraction</td>
<td>1 mm/day, 0.25 mm q6</td>
<td>Procallus bridging cortical surfaces both cranially and caudally Appropriate L tibia length (Day 68)</td>
<td>Linear motors removed. Manipulation of soft intercalary distraction zone to align proximal and distal bone segments. Frame locked into position (Day 68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 69–71</td>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 72–113</td>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Satisfactory alignment and healing Some degree of suboptimal transverse axis alignment between femorotibial and tibiotarsal joint</td>
<td>Frame removed (Day 113)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patient discharged for at-home management.

**Patient re-admitted for hospitalization.
The combination of modified circular external skeletal fixation and distraction osteogenesis allows for acute or progressive correction of angular, rotational and length discrepancies.\(^6\)\(^{,}\)\(^{16}\) Angular hinge assemblies and angular motor units provide precise postoperative adjustments while allowing controlled axial micromotion which stimulates callus formation and healing.\(^6\)\(^{,}\)\(^{16}\) Complications from distraction osteogenesis relate to elongation of soft tissue structures, with distraction exceeding 20% resulting in myotendinous and neural structures damage.\(^{21}\) The concept of latency duration in young dogs has been questioned,\(^{31}\) with an extended duration required for adequate pre-distraction callostaticis in this case.

Frontal and sagittal tibial angle reference ranges in Labrador Retrievers have been reported.\(^{19}\)\(^{,}\)\(^{20}\) These ranges were used in combination with measurements of the patients’ contralateral limb, and a decision was made to closely match measurements from the contralateral tibia for improved function and cosmesis. Tibial angle measurements are summarized ( – Table 2). Further, the tibial length discrepancy had improved from 29 to 1 mm at the time of frame removal.

Both mMMDTA and mCrDTA demonstrated correction progression towards the contralateral tibia values, with frontal plane varus angulation improvement from 20 to 5\(^\circ\). However, overcorrections were observed with both mMPTA and mCrPTA. The authors ascribe this to excessive medial tilting of the tibial plateau transverse axis throughout the distraction process despite attempts at correction at day 45. This did not appear to increase the propensity for the development of cranial cruciate insufficiency, patellar luxation or stifte or tarsal osteoarthritis.

The patient demonstrated a satisfactory clinical outcome, equal pelvic limb weight-bearing, no overt pain on limb manipulation and an acceptable cosmetic outcome at 12 months postoperatively.

### Conclusion

This report illustrates a successful functional and cosmetic outcome of a corrective procedure to realign a biapical tibial deformity by true spherical osteotomy, modified circular external skeletal fixation and distraction osteogenesis. To our knowledge, this is the first case report outlining the use of true spherical osteotomy for the treatment of angular limb deformity in combination with distraction osteogenesis in a canine pelvic limb.
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