Metal About the Hip and Artifact Reduction Techniques: From Basic Concepts to Advanced Imaging
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Abstract

Promising outcomes of hip replacement interventions in this era of aging populations have led to higher demands for hip arthroplasty procedures. These require effective methods and techniques for the detection of postoperative outcomes and complications. Based on the presence or absence of radiographic findings, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) may be required to detect and further characterize different causes of failing implants. Yet metal-related artifacts degrade image quality and pose significant challenges for adequate image quality. To mitigate such artifacts in MRI, a set of techniques, collectively known as metal artifact reduction sequence (MARS) MRI, were developed that optimize the framework of the conventional pulse sequences and exploit novel multispectral and multispatial imaging methods such as Slice Encoding for Metal Artifact Correction (SEMAC) and Multi-Acquisition Variable-Resonance Image Combination (MAVRIC). Metal-induced artifacts on CT can be effectively reduced with virtual monochromatic reconstruction of dual-energy CT data sets, metal artifact reduction reconstruction algorithms, and post-processing image visualization techniques.

Improvements in implant design and surgical techniques, along with higher demands for maintained mobility despite older age, have drastically increased the number of patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. As one of the surgical procedures with the best outcome, total hip arthroplasty (THA) is estimated to reach an annual rate of 570,000 in the United States1 and 324,000 in the United Kingdom2 by 2030.

Complications associated with THA may originate from the implant itself, surrounding osseous structures, periprosthetic soft tissues, or synovial reaction.3 Although complication rates are low,4 the high prevalence of the hip replacement procedures will result in an overall growing number of patients with complications that will be encountered in daily radiology practice.

Conventional radiography is the primary imaging tool for the routine surveillance of patients following hip replacement surgery and to investigate symptomatic individuals. Cross-sectional imaging is reserved for further characterization of radiographic abnormalities or investigation of radiographically occult complications. Owing to recent developments in imaging techniques, an accurate diagnosis of THA complications in their early stages has now become feasible.

In this article, we review the basis of metal artifacts caused by THA implants on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) and explain basic and advanced metal artifact reduction (MAR) techniques, as well as practical tips and tricks to optimize CT and MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants.
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Metal-related MRI Artifacts

Image quality in the presence of metal is impaired by the inhomogeneity of the static ($B_0$) and radiofrequency ($B_1$) magnetic fields. The $B_1$ field inhomogeneity in the vicinity of metal is particularly accentuated by shielding of the radiofrequency (RF) pulse and local electric fields induced by switching gradient fields.\(^5\)–\(^7\) In comparison with $B_1$, inhomogeneity of the $B_0$ magnetic field has been studied more extensively and forms the basis for all MAR techniques currently available for clinical use.\(^8\) Perturbations in $B_0$ in the vicinity of metal occur in various degrees depending on the hardware material type, orientation, and configuration, and they result in three broad categories of artifacts: spatial misregistration, signal loss, and failed fat suppression.

Spatial Misregistrations

To form an image in MRI, spatial localization of each voxel of tissue is achieved by applying position-dependent gradient fields during slice selection and readout.\(^9\) As such, the location of each spin ensemble is linearly related to the local magnetic field in that particular location, and hence to the spin precession frequency. Metal-related $B_0$ inhomogeneities violate this linearity by altering the precession frequency of the affected spins. As a result, spins outside the slice of interest are excited during slice selection and wrongfully contribute to the formed image. Similarly, during readout, pixels are misregistered to the wrong locations along the frequency-encoding (readout) direction. Such misregistrations appear as geometric distortion, signal loss, or pileup\(^9\),\(^10\) (Fig. 1). Because all lines of the k-space undergo a similar phase shift as a result of metal-induced $B_0$ perturbations, unlike slice- and frequency-encoding processes, phase encoding is immune to spatial misregistrations.

Signal Loss

Significant variation of the local magnetic field within a single voxel that can occur in the vicinity of metallic implants leads to rapid dephasing and incoherence of the intravoxel spins and appears as a dark area of signal void surrounding the implant (Fig. 1). Signal loss may also be due to failed excitation of those periprosthetic spins that resonate at a frequency outside the bandwidth of the RF pulse.\(^8\)

Failed Fat Suppression

Chemical-shift-selective fat suppression benefits from the different resonance frequency of fat and water protons. By applying a saturation pulse tuned to the fat resonance frequency, it selectively suppresses the fat signal. Metal-related $B_0$ inhomogeneity shifts the fat peak outside the frequency-specific saturation pulse, resulting in failure of fat suppression (Fig. 2). It may also suppress the water signal by shifting the water precession frequency into that of the fat tuned suppression pulse.

Basic Strategies of MRI Metal Artifact Reduction

Imaging at Lower Field Strength Magnets

Susceptibility-induced field inhomogeneity is linearly proportional to the field strength, and therefore one expects less metal-related artifacts at 1.5 T and more metal-related artifacts at 3T. However, clinical MAR techniques can be implemented on both 1.5 and 3T scanners. The higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 3T imaging offers flexibility for higher

![Fig. 1](image1.png)  
**Fig. 1** Axial intermediate-weighted turbo spin-echo MR image of a 66-year-old man with right total hip replacement demonstrates geometric distortion, signal loss, and signal pileup (arrows) that occur in a frequency-encoding direction. The phase-encoding direction (right to left) is immune to such effects.

![Fig. 2](image2.png)  
**Fig. 2** Coronal T2-weighted MR image with spectral fat suppression technique of a 73-year-old man with left total hip replacement demonstrates the failure of fat suppression (arrow) around the hip implants due to shifting of the periprosthetic fat spin frequencies that consequently no longer fall within the frequency-specific saturation pulse.
image quality and together with advanced and carefully optimized MAR methods make reasonable artifact reduction possible at 3T as well.

**Spin-echo–based Pulse Sequences**
Spin-echo–based pulse sequences effectively mitigate signal loss due to intravoxel dephasing by applying a 180-degree pulse to refocus the dephasing spins (Fig. 3).

**Selection of Phase and Frequency-Encoding Directions**
Because phase encoding is unaffected by spatial misregistrations, the user may swap the phase- and frequency-encoding directions with the aim of displacing the artifacts in a direction that causes less tissue obscuration. This, however, may occur in time penalties due to the new need for phase oversampling to overcome potential wrap artifacts.

**High Receiver Bandwidth**
Spin-echo class pulse sequences can be optimized for MAR by increasing the bandwidth of the receiver that reduces the number of voxels across the signal displacement extends (Fig. 4). Increasing the receiver bandwidth additionally results in improved edge sharpness but also lower SNRs.

**High Radiofrequency Pulse Bandwidth**
Similar to the receiver bandwidth, increasing the bandwidth of the excitation pulse decreases the number of slices across which the signal misregistrations propagates. This comes with a penalty of increased specific absorption rate (SAR) deposition.

**Imaging with Thinner Slices**
Assuming fixed RF pulse bandwidth, thinner slices are achieved by applying stronger slice-selection encoding gradients. Sharing the same concept with high bandwidth RF pulses, this results in less metal-related artifacts. Although there is no increase in SAR, thinner slices are associated with decreasing SNR.

**Increasing the Image Matrix Size**
Increased matrix size results in smaller voxels associated with reduced intravoxel signal loss and increased conspicuity of metal-related artifacts, both resulting in improved...
12 It has no or minimal effect on in-plane distortions if other scan parameters are left unchanged. Similarly, increasing the echo train length has no direct effect on MAR. However, platform-specific associated effects due to changes to the receiver bandwidth in the background may result in apparent MAR effects.

### Using Short Tau Inversion Recovery and Dixon Methods for Fat Suppression

Short tau inversion recovery (STIR) is a reliable technique for achieving homogeneous fat suppression of tissues surrounding metal implants. Acting based on the different T1 values of water and fat, STIR is immune to metal-induced field perturbations. In the presence of metal, residual failed fat suppression in STIR can be eliminated by matching of the bandwidths of the inversion and excitation pulses. Despite its superior fat suppression, STIR is of limited value in postcontrast fat suppression because contrast-enhanced tissues may also be nulled due to their reduced T1 relaxation times. Dixon-based techniques acquire in- and opposed-phase images and allow for secondary water-only and fat-only image reconstructions. Compared with STIR, the fat suppression ability of Dixon in the presence of metal is inferior. However, it can facilitate successful postcontrast MRI. In the absence of patient motion, postprocessing subtraction of the pre- and postcontrast T1-weighted images with identical image parameters results in the most accurate fat suppression when metal implants are present (Fig. 5, Table 1).

### Advanced Techniques of MRI Metal Artifact Reduction

#### View Angle Tilting

Metal-related signal displacement along the slice-selection and frequency-encoding directions is proportional to the corresponding gradient field strengths in these directions. View angle tilting (VAT) applies this principle to decrease in-plane misregistrations by replaying the slice-selection gradient field during readout (Fig. 6). This added gradient field tilts the readout direction, with the slope of the tilt being the ratio of slice selection to frequency-encoding gradient fields.

---

**Table 1** Conventional metal artifact reduction protocol for MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Coronal IW TSE</th>
<th>Coronal STIR TSE</th>
<th>Sagittal IW TSE</th>
<th>Sagittal STIR TSE</th>
<th>Axial IW TSE</th>
<th>Axial STIR TSE</th>
<th>Axial T1 TSE&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE/TR, ms</td>
<td>30/3,800</td>
<td>6.3/3,000</td>
<td>30/3,800</td>
<td>6.3/3,000</td>
<td>30/3,800</td>
<td>7/3,000</td>
<td>6.8/650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver bandwidth, Hz/pixel</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of slices/Flip angle, degrees</td>
<td>27/150</td>
<td>21/140</td>
<td>31/150</td>
<td>21/140</td>
<td>35/150</td>
<td>31/140</td>
<td>25/140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view, mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>270 × 270</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
<td>270 × 270</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
<td>230 × 230</td>
<td>230 × 230</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>320 × 70%</td>
<td>256 × 80%</td>
<td>320 × 70%</td>
<td>256 × 80%</td>
<td>320 × 80%</td>
<td>256 × 80%</td>
<td>205 × 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness/Gap, mm</td>
<td>3.5/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>3.5/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>4.5/0.4</td>
<td>5/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of excitations/Concatenations</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration factor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time, mins</td>
<td>3:31</td>
<td>3:44</td>
<td>3:31</td>
<td>3:56</td>
<td>2:45</td>
<td>2:56</td>
<td>2:45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IW, intermediate weighted; MR, magnetic resonance; STIR, short tau inversion recovery; TE, echo time; TR, repetition time; TSE, turbo spin echo.

<sup>a</sup>Optional use for pre- and postcontrast gadolinium-enhanced MRI.

---

**Fig. 5** Axial T1-weighted (a) precontrast and (b) postcontrast SEMAC turbo spin-echo (TSE) MR images of a 62-year-old patient with right total hip replacement (arrows) demonstrate the improved visualization of areas of contrast enhancement on the subtraction image (c, asterisk), created through the subtraction of the precontrast (a) from the postcontrast (b) image.
making it parallel to the spatial signal displacements. Accordingly, in-plane signal distortions are mitigated, albeit at the expense of degrees of blurring (Fig. 7). From a practical standpoint, when adequate artifact reduction is achieved at increased receiver bandwidths > 500 Hz/pixel, application of VAT may not result in additional MAR given its image blurring effect (Fig. 7). The combination of VAT and isotropic three-dimensional (3D) fast spin-echo sequences, such as sampling perfection with application-optimized contrasts by using different flip angle evolutions (SPACE; Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany), has effectively reduced metal artifacts about a variety of implant types. However, 3D imaging of a sizable anatomical region such as the hip joint remains challenging due to time-consuming oversampling requirements in the two phase-encoding directions.

**Slice Encoding Metal Artifact Correction**

Slice Encoding for Metal Artifact Correction (SEMAC) is a two-dimensional (2D) imaging method that adds multiple spatial partitions to the turbo spin-echo pulse sequence to mitigate the metal-related through-plane artifacts. Fundamentally, SEMAC exploits an additional phase-encoding step in the slice-selection dimension to resolve the z-location of each distorted slice profile, similar to the way the z-direction is encoded in 3D imaging. For each slice, images from each spatial partition are reconstructed separately and then combined into a final composite image through linear or quadratic summation (Fig. 8). Furthermore, SEMAC may implement VAT to decrease in-plane distortions. Compared with the conventional techniques, SEMAC has proven more efficient at metal-related artifact reduction (Fig. 7). The optimal number of additional phase-encoding steps in SEMAC, known as SEMAC steps, is a compromise between the degree of artifact reduction and longer acquisition times. Vendors may commercialize SEMAC with different names, such as O-MAR XD (Philips, Best, Netherlands) and Advanced WARP (Siemens). Table 2 shows the Johns Hopkins MRI protocol for patients with hip arthroplasty, composed of turbo spin-echo SEMAC pulse sequences.

---

**Fig. 6** Schematic illustration of the view angle tilting (VAT) technique. (a) Two adjacent blocks of tissue are represented as solid gray and white. The solid gray block is not affected by metal-related field distortions; the adjacent white block is misregistered to a new position (cross-hatched). In-plane and through-plane displacements along the readout (x) and slice-selection (z) directions are shown by \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta z \), respectively. The ratio of displacement \( \tan(\phi) = \Delta x/\Delta z \) is equal to \( G_s/G_f \), where \( G_s \) and \( G_f \) represent slice selection and readout gradient fields, respectively. (b) In conventional imaging (b), readout in x direction results in remarkable in-plane signal displacement, shown as the overlap between the two blocks, whereas with the use of VAT (c), replaying the slice-selection gradient during readout practically tilts the readout direction at the similar angle of \( \phi \). The image acquired with this tilt results in less apparent in-plane displacements, although it comes at the expense of the introduction of blurring at the junction of the two blocks.
Multi-Acquisition with Variable-Resonance Image Combination (MAVRIC)

Field inhomogeneity around the metal hardware causes spin precession at a wide range of frequencies, of which only a small subset, also known as "on-resonant spins," are excited by the RF pulse. Lack of excitation of the off-resonant spins results in periprosthetic signal void. In Multi-Acquisition with Variable-Resonance Image Combination (MAVRIC), this problem was addressed by splitting each excitation into multiple frequency bins (known as spectral bins) with discrete offsets in central frequencies. For each frequency bin, a sub-image is acquired with a similar offset in the readout frequency. The resultant sub-images are then combined through a sum-of-squares or maximum-intensity projection scheme. General Electric (GE, Milwaukee, WI) platforms may implement the MAVRIC-SL (MAVRIC-Selective) variant, a hybrid form of MAVRIC and SEMAC. Lack of slice selectivity is a major drawback of MAVRIC, requiring inflexible and time-consuming 3D imaging. Recently, a rapid and flexible 2D version of MAVRIC was proposed that excites a limited slice and spectral region using gradient reversal between excitation and refocusing pulses.

Acquisition Time Considerations

Although multispectral (MAVRIC) and multispatial (SEMAC) imaging techniques have substantially mitigated metal-related artifacts, this improved image quality is coupled with longer scan durations, owing to additional spectral bins in MAVRIC and spatial partitions in SEMAC. To bring the acquisition times below the clinically viable levels, various acceleration algorithms such as partial Fourier encoding and parallel imaging were implemented. Further acceleration has been achieved recently using compressed sensing (CS) that saves time by pseudo-random sampling of the k-space and retrieves the lost data through iterative image reconstruction. This technique was combined successfully with both MAVRIC and SEMAC. With preserved image quality, SEMAC has gained an eightfold acceleration by exploiting all synergies between parallel imaging and CS, resulting in acquisition times that are similar to those of turbo spin-echo pulse sequences (Fig. 9). The highly efficient combination of CS and SEMAC is expected to become available for clinical practice in the near future. The optimal choice of CS-SEMAC steps and iteration parameters for visualization of periprosthetic soft tissues was determined in a recent study.
Metal-related CT Artifacts

Metal artifacts (►Fig. 10) are seen in different grades of severity due to the eclectic range of metals, shapes, and sizes used for hip arthroplasty implants. These artifacts limit the accuracy for assessment of the bones, bone–metal interface, and soft tissue structures, thus may render the images unreliable in some cases. This is confounded by the fundamental nature of CT imaging, where multiplanar reconstructions are all extrapolated from single-image

Table 2  SEMAC metal artifact reduction protocol for MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Coronal IW TSE</th>
<th>Coronal STIR TSE</th>
<th>Sagittal IW TSE</th>
<th>Sagittal STIR TSE</th>
<th>Axial IW TSE</th>
<th>Axial STIR TSE</th>
<th>Axial T1 TSEa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE/TR, ms</td>
<td>32/2,800</td>
<td>6.8/3,180</td>
<td>32/3,000</td>
<td>6.8/3,180</td>
<td>32/3,360</td>
<td>6.8/4,660</td>
<td>6.8/650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of SEMAC steps</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver bandwidth, Hz/pixel</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of slices/Flip angle, degrees</td>
<td>27/140</td>
<td>21/140</td>
<td>31/140</td>
<td>21/140</td>
<td>35/140</td>
<td>31/140</td>
<td>25/140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view, mm²</td>
<td>270 × 270</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
<td>270 × 270</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
<td>270 × 270</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
<td>300 × 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>320 × 70%</td>
<td>256 × 80%</td>
<td>320 × 70%</td>
<td>256 × 80%</td>
<td>320 × 75%</td>
<td>256 × 80%</td>
<td>192 × 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness/Gap, mm</td>
<td>3.5/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>3.5/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>5/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of excitations/Concatenations</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time, min:s</td>
<td>7:12</td>
<td>7:14</td>
<td>7:20</td>
<td>7:36</td>
<td>6:51</td>
<td>7:29</td>
<td>6:53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IW, intermediate weighted; STIR, short tau inversion recovery; TE, echo time; TR, repetition time; TSE, turbo spin echo.

*Optional use for pre- and postcontrast gadolinium-enhanced MRI.

Metal-related CT Artifacts

Metal artifacts (►Fig. 10) are seen in different grades of severity due to the eclectic range of metals, shapes, and sizes used for hip arthroplasty implants. These artifacts limit the accuracy for assessment of the bones, bone–metal interface, and soft tissue structures, thus may render the images unreliable in some cases. This is confounded by the fundamental nature of CT imaging, where multiplanar reconstructions are all extrapolated from single-image...
acquisitions – unlike independent multiplanar acquisitions of MR imaging.

Contributors to metal artifacts include several factors that may result in reconstruction errors near metal implants due to corrupted data, ultimately resulting in an inaccurate representation of tissues and possibly of abnormalities. These effects are broadly categorized into four main domains that overlap in their underlying etiology and effects including beam hardening, scatter, quantum noise and photon starvation, and edge effects.

**Beam Hardening**

Beam hardening artifacts appear as dark streaks between heavily attenuating structures, secondary to abrupt X-ray beam inhomogeneities and polychromatic scatter caused by metal implants. This effect can be explained by the high density and high atomic numbers of metals used for hip replacements when compared with background bone and soft tissues. As X-ray beams pass through metal implants, the photon flux decreases with fewer low-energy photons and much more numerous high-energy photons, resulting in “hardening” of the X-ray beam.

**Scatter**

Increased scatter of these high-energy photon beams confound standard reconstruction algorithms that ultimately results in incorrect registration. The scattered photons add to the measured intensity and lead to an underestimation of the absorption and thus to dark streaks in the image, where white streaks are caused by an overestimation of the absorption.

**Quantum Noise and Photon Starvation**

Quantum noise refers to the statistical uncertainty of low photon flux due to the quantum nature of the photon counts. It manifests as random bright and dark streaks particularly appearing along the direction of highest attenuation. Photon starvation can be seen in high-density metals and in metals with a high atomic number. It leads to low photon counts and thus to increased noise and missing projection data. The background signal of the detector also adds to the noise level when no photons are detected at all.

**Edge Effects**

Edge effects are observed at sharp edges between high and low attenuating tissues. This effect also contributes to nonlinear partial volume and misregistrations of data.
Basic Strategies of CT Metal Artifact Reduction

Multiple basic steps may be applied to data acquisition, image reconstruction, and image visualization to improve the quality of CT images around hip arthroplasty implants. Increasing the tube voltage and current are recognized standard techniques to reduce the magnitude of metal artifacts by allowing for more penetration power and photons, respectively, resulting in less scatter and absorption and minimizing heterogeneity in the exiting photon beam. This targets scatter, noise, and photon starvation artifacts at the expense of an increased radiation dose.

Reducing the detector size reduces the total scatter registered, thus minimizing overall scatter artifacts. Similarly, using narrow collimation can reduce the partial volume effects and simultaneously minimize scatter-related artifacts.

Patient positioning, for example with bilateral hip arthroplasty implants not completely aligned parallel to each other, and, where applicable, gantry tilt, which acts along similar principles as the VAT MRI acquisition technique, may also reduce artifacts in specific scenarios. During acquisition, acquiring the largest number of projections per rotation may help reduce the extent of aliasing and undersampling artifacts, and increase the resolution of fine details and intricate structures.

Use of model-based or iterative image reconstruction, using a soft reconstruction kernel instead of a sharp kernel, and reconstruction of the images from the acquired raw data with thicker slices reduce the visual conspicuity of metal artifacts. Iterative reconstruction algorithms apply a larger quantity of acquired data and include photon statistics in the reconstruction, analyzed by intelligent extrapolations. This in theory results in minimized scatter and reduced edge effects by using dedicated correction algorithms.

Visualization of tissues around the metal hardware may be effectively improved by the use of an extended Hounsfield unit scale.

Advanced Techniques of CT Metal Artifact Reduction

Dual-energy CT with Monochromatic Extrapolation

Dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) imaging reconstructs images acquired with two photon spectra at different tube voltages, for example, 90 and 140 kV. This is achieved simultaneously either by having two separate tubes for each specified kV, fast kV-switching of tube voltage, using beam-split filters, or operating dual-layer detectors. This is followed by using virtual extrapolated monoenery analysis to better evaluate different tissues adjacent to one another, minimize artifacts, and evaluate structures close to implants, in addition to the actual implants at various extrapolated monochromatic energies.

Virtual monochromatic imaging reduces beam hardening artifacts. Optimal monochromatic energies vary for different kinds of metal hardware and tissue type, but most range between 90 and 190 keV (Fig. 11 and 12). DECT, therefore, enables the reader to evaluate each tissue type at its optimal energy level, without the need for additional imaging.

DECT artifact reduction proficiency is inversely proportional to increasing molecular weight of the metal, larger...
volume implants, and metal implants with sharp edges. Switching to higher beam energies might provide some additional artifact reduction; however, in these cases, MAR software is often helpful.51

**Metal Artifact Reduction Software**

MAR algorithms are based on “projection completion,” also known as sinogram inpainting techniques. Simply put, in this class of techniques, the corrupt X-ray projections that traversed the metal hardware are removed and replaced with interpolation from adjacent unaffected projections (Fig. 13). Some implementations may also benefit from iterative or model-based reconstructions that additionally incorporate a more realistic model for image acquisition.52 Several MAR algorithms were developed in the past. Prototypes include Normalized MAR (NMAR),53 Frequency Splitting MAR (FSMAR),54 more recently iMAR (iterative metal artifact algorithms that combine the effects of NMAR and FSMAR), adaptive mixing,55,56 tissue modeling and adaptive filtering,57 and iterative frequency splitting.58

**Fig. 12** Periprosthetic osteolysis of left femoral hip arthroplasty component. (a) Coronal monoenergetic computed tomography (CT) image of the left femur at 180 keV, (b) volume rendering CT image of the left femur, and (c) sharp X-ray-type volume rendering CT image demonstrate periprosthetic osteolysis of the femoral component (arrow), varus deformity, and cortical erosion.

**Fig. 13** Total right hip arthroplasty implant in a 71-year-old man. (a) Comparison of conventional filter back-projection computed tomography (CT) image reconstruction and (b) iterative metal artifact reduction CT image reconstruction with inpainting technique demonstrates markedly reduced metal artifacts (arrows) in iterative metal artifact reduction.
MAR algorithms, despite improving image quality, may obscure parts of the metal hardware, alter the data of areas next to the metal edge, and introduce new artifacts.

Vendors provide MAR software under different commercial names including SEMAR (single-energy MAR, Canon Medical Systems, Otawara, Japan), O-MAR (orthopaedic MAR, Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands), SMAR and MARS (Smart MAR and MAR Sequence, respectively, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI), and MARIS and iMAR (MAR in Image Space and iterative MAR, respectively, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). Specific details of these proprietary MAR software are undisclosed. If not already included, the addition of iterative or model-based reconstruction further increases the artifact reduction ability of such software.

Combined MAR Software and Virtual Monochromatic DECT
The combination of MAR software and virtual monochromatic DECT imaging was advocated in some cases because it may reduce metal-related artifacts more effectively than one technique alone. Limitations to combined use include affecting the appearance of metal implants, over- or under-estimating the size of the implant, and maybe even introducing secondary artifacts. Depending on the implant composition, size, and shape, no beneficial effects may arise from combining both virtual monochromatic DECT imaging and iterative MAR.

Three-dimensional Postprocessing
In volume rendering, data integration and averaging from consecutive axial planes into the reformation planes leads to weighing of the true signal over the randomly distributed artifacts that may result in improved image quality and often a visible reduction of metal artifacts (Fig. 14).

When compared to conventional volume rendering post-processing techniques, cinematic rendering is a recently introduced 3D visualization method of volumetric CT and MRI data that applies a highly sophisticated lighting model, enabling the generation of photorealistic images resembling gross anatomical specimens. Sharing a similar concept with volume rendering, cinematic rendering may also reduce tissue obscuration by metal-related artifacts.

Radiation Dose Considerations
Smart machine designs allow for automated and more accurate estimations of the required X-ray energies and tube currents for optimized CT imaging, based on an individualized patient approach. This helps minimize radiation exposure by ensuring that patients receive the smallest possible dose tolerable for a good quality diagnostic study.

Evolving algorithms allow lower dose CT acquisitions. Using deep learning artificial intelligence methods, CT imaging of THA phantoms using iterative MAR software resulted in the ability to maintain quantitative image quality parameters while reducing CT radiation dose up to 80%. Furthermore, implementation of an iterative MAR software did not compromise the accuracy of lesion detectability near hardware while reducing CT radiation dose by 50%.

Carefully constructed DECT protocols (Table 3) can have comparable effective radiation dose ranges when compared with single-energy CT protocols.
Rapid advances in metal implant designs and MAR techniques in CT and MRI, as well as evolving patient selection criteria, have significantly improved the visualization and diagnosis of hip arthroplasty implant-related abnormalities that not long ago would have been otherwise undetectable. In clinical practice, an imaging protocol composed of optimized conventional and advanced MAR pulse sequences enables substantial artifact reduction in clinically reasonable acquisition times. DECT with virtual monoenergetic reconstruction, MAR algorithms, or their conjoint implementation can remarkably reduce metal artifacts and improve diagnostic image quality in most cases. Due to the different nature of post-acquisition data analysis and interpolations, CT images reconstructed using MAR algorithms should be interpreted cautiously and in line with the original data sets. New innovations in optimizing imaging aim at refining the algorithms for both CT and MR to further reduce artifacts and adapt to new metal implant designs while aspiring for reductions in acquisition times for MRI and radiation dose for CT.
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### Table 3 Single and dual-energy protocol for CT imaging of hip arthroplasty implants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical parameter</th>
<th>Value: Single energy</th>
<th>Value: Dual energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube energy, kVp</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100/Tin (Sn) filtered 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference tube current with dose modulation, mAs</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>300/Adapted to tube A dose modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation time, s</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collimation</td>
<td>192 x 0.6 mm</td>
<td>2 x 192 x 0.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average acquisition time, s</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 15** Total left hip arthroplasty implant in a 59-year-old woman with heterotopic ossification. (a) Axial and (b) sagittal oblique multiplanar reformation computed tomography images demonstrate mature osseous bridging (arrows) between the femur and acetabulum consistent with Brooker class 4 (bone ankylosis), the magnitude of which is visualized to better advantage with cinematic rendering technique (c).
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