Abdominal Cutaneous Nerve Entrapment Syndrome, Case Report and Diagnostic Evaluation
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Jose Fernando Guedes-Correa1 Stephanie Oliveira Fernandes de Bulhões1

1Division of Neurosurgery, Hospital Universitário Gaffrée e Guinle, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Abstract
Abdominal cutaneous nerve entrapment is a rarely diagnosed condition that leads to intense neuropathic pain in the anterolateral wall of the abdomen. Generally, it is triggered by some factor implied in the increase of the pressure on the nerve in its passage by the abdominal wall. Its most important differential diagnosis is pain of visceral origin.

Keywords
► nerve compression syndrome
► ultrasonography
► diagnostic imaging

Resumo
A compressão do nervo cutâneo abdominal é uma condição raramente diagnosticada que causa dor neuropática intensa na parede anterolateral do abdome. Geralmente, ela é iniciada por algum fator implicado no aumento da pressão sobre o nervo na passagem deste pela parede abdominal. Seu diagnóstico diferencial mais importante é a dor de origem visceral.
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Introduction
Abdominal cutaneous nerve entrapment syndrome (ACNES) is a commonly misdiagnosed condition, its prevalence among patients referred to general practitioners, abdominal surgeons, or pain clinics for chronic abdominal pain ranges from 10 to 30%.1,2 In an emergency department setting studied by van Assen et al.,3 it was found that ~ 2% of the patients with acute abdominal pain had ACNES. The most common symptom is a dull or burning pain with a sharp, well-localized component in a restricted area of the abdominal wall. The pain can be chronic or acute. It generally arises from lower intercostal nerves (T8–T11) probably caused by entrapment of the cutaneous abdominal nerve at the lateral border of the rectus abdominis muscle (RAM), specifically where this nerve enters the RAM channel through a fibrous ring. As the nerve passes through the RAM, each nerve and its vessels are surrounded by fat, binding the nerve, arteries and veins into a bundle. Separating the bundle from the muscle, there is the fibrous ring situated about midway between the anterior surface of the
RAM and its posterior side, providing a smooth environment through which the bundle can slide. This area is believed to be more susceptible to ischemia or to physical compression of the nerve due to the juxtaposition of the soft neurovascular bundle to the firm fibrous ring.\(^2\)\(^\text{–}\)\(^10\)

We present a case of ACNES seen at the Division of Neurosurgery of the Hospital Universitário Gaffrée e Guinle of the Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (HUGG - UNIRIO, in the Portuguese acronym), calling attention to the clinical diagnosis, pointing out its imaging characteristics, highlighting the value of high definition linear ultrasound (HDLUS) as a valuable diagnostic method and showing that a noninvasive treatment can be effective.

**Case Report**

A Caucasian male, 69 years old, presented with burning pain on the right anterolateral abdominal wall in the region of the T9–T10 dermatomes. The pain had begun 4 months earlier and started after lifting a heavy weight. The patient had diabetes mellitus (DM), systemic arterial hypertension, and was overweight (29.1 kg/m\(^2\)).

A general practitioner, a cardiologist, and a general surgeon successively evaluated the patient. The cardiological tests, the thoracic abdominal and pelvic computed tomography (CT), and the abdominal-pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that were requested for investigation were all considered inconclusive.

The patient was seen at the HUGG neurosurgery division due to the persistence of pain with neuropathic characteristics. At the neurological evaluation, he reported intense burning pain at the left T9–T10 dermatome area (visual analogue scale [VAS] 8), with no changes at ectoscopy apart from the overweight. The pain was continuous without remission periods and extremely worse during the night. The patient presented with hypoesthesia and discriminative sensibility was reduced compared with the contralateral abdominal wall in the T9–T10 area. The Carnett test was positive. The Valsalva maneuver also enhanced the pain.

As the previous imaging exams of the patient were inconclusive and intercostal nerve compromise was suspected, it was performed a HDLUS of the abdominal wall that demonstrated the left RAM and its sheath lesion in a paramedian and supraumbilical topography with cicatricial fibroadipose tissue. The muscle injury was associated with fibrocatricial thickening of the abdominal cutaneous nerve. Supraumbilical diastasis of the RAM could also be noticed. (\(\text{► Fig. 1}\))

Other imaging studies (MRI and CT) were reanalyzed by the radiologist of our institution, after the diagnosis with HDLUS. Some abnormalities were noticed with the reevaluation of the images, such as high signal intensity on the MRI T2 image and decreased density on the CT image around the RAM strain area. (\(\text{► Fig. 2}\))

With a clinical and imaging diagnosis of ACNES, the patient was oriented to nutritional evaluation for weight control and pregabalin was administered at a dose of 75 mg 3 times a day in the 1\(^{\text{st}}\) month. The patient was accompanied at the outpatient clinic and, after 2 months, reported pain relief of 80% (VAS dropped from 8 to 3). Three months after the beginning of the treatment, the patient reported a total relief of pain and, after 10 months, he had lost 15 kg and the pregabalin administration could be reduced to 75 mg/day. The last evaluation was 1 year after the diagnosis, the patient was on physiotherapy, had a normal control of his DM and continued nutrition support. The pain did not return.

\[\text{Fig. 1 Ultrasound of abdomen; arrow shows fibrocatricial thickening of the abdominal cutaneous nerve (black arrow).}\]

\[\text{Fig. 2 On the right axial abdominal MRI (T2) and on the left axial abdominal CT; both demonstrate the rectus abdominis strain area (white arrow).}\]
Abdominal cutaneous nerve entrapment syndrome is a commonly misdiagnosed condition that can have visceral pain as differential diagnosis, or the patient might even present with a coexisting visceral condition that does not explain the pain by itself. Therefore, it is important to recognize the clinical signs of ACNES and to look for the imaging characteristics of the lesion.3–5,9–15

Typically a unilateral neuropathic pain in the area of the T8–T11 dermatomes with hypoesthesia in this same region, ACNES has an important clinical test, formulated by Carnett,16 to aid in the diagnosis. This test is based on the presumption that if the pain has a visceral origin, the tensed abdominal muscles guard the underlying structures, reducing tenderness, whereas continued tenderness during muscle contraction implicates the abdominal wall as the source of the pain. In the presented case, the Carnett test was positive and the Valsalva maneuver enhanced the pain, as it can increase the abdominal pressure to the entrapped nerve.2–6,11,16,17

The next diagnostic step would be the imaging evaluation. Conventionally, to study the abdomen, physicians can use CT, MRI, and ultrasound (US). Computed tomography is the most commonly used in the emergency room in patients whose first clinical hypothesis is a visceral pain, and that was the case of our patient, who also underwent an MRI because of this suspicion. However, although the images showed indeed high signal intensity on MRI T2 and a decreased density on CT at the RAM strain area (→ Fig. 2), probably indicating fibrocicatricial tissue, as ACNES was not a clinical suspicion at the moment, these abnormalities were only seen after the reevaluation of the imaging exams in our institution.12–14,18

Nonetheless, although we could presume that the best imaging exam for these cases would be MRI, given that the abnormalities can be seen if followed by the clinical suspicion, and that this exam is known to be one of the best to study muscles, we should not forget that it is also one of the most expensive.18 Therefore, neuromuscular HDLUS (18–5 MHz), used in the present case, is a great contribution in the hands of an experienced examiner, revealing itself even more sensitive to diagnose some neuromuscular lesions than MRI, despite being an examiner-dependent technique. In addition, it is a relatively cheap and fast exam.19

Although Kanakarajan et al have already discussed the importance of US on ACNES as a guidance to therapeutic injections, we suggest its use for diagnostic purposes.8

With the use of HDLUS on the abdominal wall, it is possible to differentiate fiber discontinuity due to muscle strain of the RAM as decreased echogenicity areas and the fibrocicatricial tissue can be localized as increased echogenicity areas, corroborating the abnormalities found on the MRI and CT exams, imaging methods that demand more time and are more expensive.18 So, if the clinical evaluation indicates that problem is on the abdominal wall and not from a visceral origin, we suggest the use of HDLUS as the first imaging modality exam.

Nowadays, it is recognized that a multimodal approach for such cases is paramount, especially in chronic refractory cases. However, some authorities14,15,20 try to confirm the diagnosis and use trigger point injection (lidocaine) without mentioning any systemic drug (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, weak opioids, antiepileptics, and antidepressants) or other multimodal treatment.4

Weight control, physiotherapy, and systemic drug administration following the guidelines for neuropathic pain therapy was the protocol established for the presented case. The result was positive with this noninvasive management. It is important to point out, as the current literature reports, that systemic drugs may be used as a first step or as a complementary treatment for ACNES, considering the result with chronic pain relief. However, these studies do not confirm if the administration of systemic drugs alone can help patients with ACNES.4

If the use of systemic drugs fails to decrease the pain, the best option would be lidocaine trigger point injection, preferably ultrasound-guided, not associated with corticosteroids, as this combination is not certain to increase the success of this therapy. As for refractory cases in which multiple trigger point injections associated with a multimodal approach does not have success, the patient might need a more invasive strategy, such as a neurectomy of the anterior cutaneous nerve endings. These two types of management can lead to long-term pain relief in ~75% of the patients with ACNES.4,14,15,20

Other options described in the literature would be pulsed radio frequency treatment, spinal cord stimulation of the dorsal root ganglion, and intraperitoneal onlay mesh reinforcement. However, these methods still need further studies to confirm their efficacy.4,21,22

An important bias of our study is the fact that HDLUS, used in the presented case, is an examiner-dependent method, and that we compare only one case to the current English published literature, which may lead to some disagreements that could be overcome on a larger sample.

Conclusion

Patients who present on clinical evaluation with unilateral neuropathic pain in the area of the T8–T11 dermatomes, hypoesthesia in this same region should be investigated for ACNES with HDLUS performed by an experienced professional reducing the costs to conclude a correct diagnose, and the noninvasive management might be helpful and effective.
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