Pull-Type Radiologically Inserted Gastrostomy: An Improvised Technique Using a Frugal Innovation
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Abstract

Purpose  To describe a new technique for “pull type” radiologically inserted gastrostomy (RIG) and prospectively compare it with conventional (described) technique in terms of technical ease (fluoroscopy time, radiation dose) and safety profile.

Materials and Methods  Adult patients with head injury with Glasgow coma scale (GCS) (M score) < 5, one week after decompression or those requiring nutritional support for > 4 weeks, or patients with recurrent aspiration pneumonitis on nasogastric feeding were included. Hemodynamically unstable patients or those with uncorrectable coagulopathy were excluded. Patients underwent pull-type RIG with alternate patient getting allocated to groups A (conventional technique) and B (new technique). The authors compared the technical success, complication rate, fluoroscopy time, radiation dose, and cost of hardware in these two groups.

Results  The average fluoroscopy time for group A (9 patients) was 498.7 ± 145.3 seconds, whereas for group B (16 patients), it was 302.8 ± 54.1 seconds with p-value = 0.06. The mean radiation dose of group A was 74.7 ± 15.7 mGy, whereas for group B, it was 56.7 ± 14.1 mGy (p-value = 0.004). The minor complication rates were 11.1% in both the groups.

Conclusion  The authors’ innovative technique using a customized snare has the potential to increase the technical ease of pull-type RIG with reduced fluoroscopy time, radiation dose, and cost with a similar safety profile.
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Introduction

In patients with head injury, adequate and early (within 48 hours) nutritional supplementation improves the immediate clinical course and may improve neurologic outcome at 3 months.¹,² Early gastrostomy (< 24 hours of intubation) is associated with a lower frequency of ventilator-associated pneumonia compared with a nasogastric (NG) tube in mechanically ventilated patients with head injury.³ Gastrostomy has been established as the method of choice for enteral feeding in patients with head injury.⁴ As opposed to percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy that is well established, radiologically inserted gastrostomy (RIG) has evolved as a very useful but underused technique primarily due to limited availability of expertise. There are two predominant techniques of RIG: push and pull (peroral) techniques. Push technique usually uses small- or medium-bore tubes and requires gastropexy for large bore (> 20F) gastrostomy tubes. Push tubes also have a higher peritoneal placement rate, due to inadvertent loss of apposition of the stomach to abdominal wall during serial dilatation of stoma.⁵ The per-oral pull technique with mushroom-shaped silicon disc retainers combines the benefits of endoscopic technique, by being wide bore (typically 24F) and radiologic techniques, owing to its higher success rate.⁶ Additionally, they are very secure and long lasting because of their fixed retention mechanism, which cannot be unlocked or deflated.⁷ Pull technique has a lower complication rate but a higher fluoroscopy time as compared with push technique.⁸ Multiple improvisations
over the originally described per-oral technique have been described to reduce the radiation dose and improve technical ease and success of the procedure. These improvisations would add to the overall cost of the procedure, requiring additional hardware. We devise a new technique using frugal hardware to make it technically easy by reducing radiation dose, fluoroscopy time, and hardware cost.

Materials and Methods

It was a retrospective study approved by the institute review board. Adult patients with head injury who underwent pull-type per-oral feeding gastrostomy from April 2017 till March 2018 were included. Two techniques were used for the pull-type feeding gastrostomy, and patients were grouped into groups A (conventional technique) and B (new technique) based on it. We retrospectively compared the technical success, complication rate, fluoroscopy time, radiation dose, and cost of hardware in these two groups.

Protocol

All patients were kept fasting for a minimum of 8 hours before procedure. A single dose of prophylactic intravenous cefazolin was given 30 minutes before the procedure. Agitated patients were sedated using midazolam (0.02 mg/kg) and fentanyl (1 μg/kg). All the patients had a cuffed tracheostomy tube in situ at the time of procedure with variable ventilation requirements. Patients usually had a 16F NG tube placed bedside on admission. If there was a difficulty in securing an NG tube bedside, it was inserted under fluoroscopic guidance before the procedure. The inferior margin of left lobe of the liver was identified using ultrasound and marked on the skin surface. Transverse colon could usually be identified on fluoroscopy and could be displaced by adequate gastric distension. The likely stoma site in the anterior abdominal wall on lateral fluoroscopic projections were smooth and convex with stomach wall touching the costal margin and until the margins of the stomach were equidistant from both curvatures and the skin site is anesthetized using 1% lignocaine. Snare is progressed across the GE junction just prior to the puncture to avoid gastric decompression by leakage of air via the residual lumen of suction catheter, and large snare loop is placed in the body of distended stomach. A 14G puncture cannula provided in the PEG 24 Pull-S (Cook Medical) kit was used with a thrust to ensure gastric wall puncture observed under lateral fluoroscopy targeting the large snare loop. After puncture, 2 to 3 mL air was aspirated to confirm intragastric position and the inner stylet was removed. The blue GW provided with the PEG kit was inserted and negotiated through the snare loop followed by pulling out the entire assembly altogether. The 24G gastrostomy tube was then attached to the oral end of blue GW, and a knotless connection was made (Fig. 1, step 4). The assembly was then pulled from the puncture end of blue GW under fluoroscopic guidance until its tip was opposed against the anterior wall of stomach. A deep stab 1.5 to 2 cm in size was given along the wire to take out the tapered end of the G-tube onto the skin surface and further pulled it till the inner mushroom bolster opposed the gastric wall to the abdominal wall. Another external fixation plate provided fixation on the cutaneous surface to keep the stoma site compressed.

A gastrostomy was not required because the technique obviates any push maneuvers. The tube position may be further confirmed by contrast injection under fluoroscopy. Patients were kept fasting for another 2 hours after the procedure, which was followed by 50 mL saline pushes hourly for another 4 hours. Feeding with milk-based formula was started 6 hours after placement of gastrostomy tube. They were observed during their intrahospital course and 1 month after discharge for any tube-related complications. The complications were divided into major and minor complications according to the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) classification system.

Results

Total 24 patients were included in the study, with 9 patients in group A and 15 in group B. The mean age of patients in group A was 36.2 ± 4.9 years (range: 23–61 years), which was not significantly different from that in group B, which was
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32.3 ± 3.8 years (range: 22–46 years) (p = 0.26). All procedures were technically successful. Radiation dose in group B was less (56.7 ± 14.1 mGy) as compared with group A (74.7 ± 15.7) with significant p value (p = 0.004). The fluoroscopy time in group B (302.8 ± 54.1 seconds) was less than that in group A (498.7 ± 145.3 seconds), and this difference was approaching significance (p = 0.06). One patient each in groups A and B had peristomal infection/pus discharge during in-hospital follow-up. Each of these cases grew Pseudomonas on pus cultures and resolved with appropriate intravenous antibiotics. One patient in group A died on sixth day of the procedure due to unrelated causes. One patient in group B developed mild aspiration pneumonitis during postprocedure period, which could be attributable to their underlying illness and was not necessarily procedure related. It resolved over next 5 days on intravenous antibiotics. Thus, there were no major complications and only 9.1% minor complications in group A and 6.67% in group B. Cost analysis revealed that cost of standard hardware for group A patients ($275) was much higher than that used in group B ($150).

Discussion

Multiple techniques for obtaining GW access from the skin to the mouth have been reported for radiologically guided antegrade gastrostomy placement using a snare. One such technique uses a small-perimeter snare provided in the PEG kit for snaring the GW.10 We believe that the

Fig. 1  The schematic diagram of the various steps of our new technique.
small perimeter of snare makes it technically difficult to target it, thus increasing the fluoroscopy time. Another improvisation described by Cantwell and Murray\(^9\) made a large diameter (10 cm) customized snare using a set of four 20-cm-long 0.018-in nitinol wires crimped both distally and proximally and annealing them by heating and then sterilizing it. The large size of the snare acts as an easy target for GW without the need for sheath insertion and GW and catheter manipulation. Our technique is a similar technique, but uses a very large snare loop (perimeter ~50 cm) that is uniformly effective and technically easier. As these patients often require multiple head computed tomographic (CT) scans for their primary disease, reducing the cumulative radiation dose is important. The higher radiation dose with conventional technique might be due to difficulty encountered in cannulation of the GE junction or in retrieving the catheter through the mouth of patients with head injury with significant masseter spasm. A previous study\(^{12}\) comparing push and pull gastrostomy quoted a fluoroscopy time of 6 minutes for pull gastrostomy, which is comparable to that with our novel technique but lesser than observed with conventional technique in our study. Our patient subset included patients with head injury, most of whom were ventilated with multiple problems such as rigidity and masseter spasm. They were difficult patients for a per-oral (pull) approach than conscious patients with head and neck cancers or neuromuscular disease included in previous studies.\(^8\) Cost reduction is a big factor in a resource-challenged setting, and the new technique was effective in cutting the costs to almost half of the conventional technique. Thus this new technique retains all the advantages of the pull-through technique with reduction in fluoroscopic time, cost, radiation dose, and thus technical difficulty. The minor complication rate was similar in the two methods ensuring safety of the new technique. To our knowledge,
such improvisation has not been described as yet. Our study has a few limitations. First, this was a retrospective study and we cannot rule out any factors that might have influenced the interventional radiologist to choose one technique over the other, but we could not find any indications where one would be preferred over the other. Second, the sample size is limited and not robust to draw any conclusions. Third, we included patients with only a single indication for gastrostomy, that is, head injury, who usually had masseter spasm favoring our technique of snaring over the conventional retrograde technique. Patients having neurologic dysphagia due to other causes were not evaluated. Our technique needs to be applied to a wider and larger patient population. Additionally, we have had limited experience of performing per-oral pull-type gastrostomy since we were doing push-type gastrostomy before this and this might be one of the reasons for a higher fluoroscopy time. The essence of this study lies in using a frugal innovation to improvise a technical procedure reducing the procedure cost, procedure time, and radiation dose.

**Conclusion**

Our innovative technique of pull-type per-oral RIG using a large customized snare has the potential of improvising the procedure in terms of fluoroscopy time, radiation dose, and cost with a comparable safety profile.
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