En bloc Resection of Solitary Cranial Tumors: An Algorithmic Reconstructive Approach
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Abstract

Background This study analyzes the surgical outcomes for single setting surgeries involving en-bloc solitary calvarial tumor resection in combination with three-layered reconstruction, presenting a novel planning algorithm.

Methods Data were retrieved for all patients undergoing single-stage tumor excision, using our novel three-layered reconstructive approach (duraplasty, cranioplasty, and soft tissue reconstruction) between 2005 and 2017 at a single tertiary hospital center. Patients >18 years with a Karnofsky Performance score (KPS) >70 and a life expectancy of >2 months were included. Patient characteristics, surgical specifics, histological diagnoses, outcomes, and complications were reviewed.

Results Eighteen single-staged excisions and three-layered reconstructions were performed. Seven patients presented with primary tumors and 11 patients with metastases. Mean age was 62 years. Mean follow-up time was 39 months. Primary closure was used in 12 of 18 patients, microvascular free flap with skin grafting in 4 of 18, and local advancement or rotational flap in 2 of 18. Two compromised free flaps were revised. There was no flap necrosis, skin graft failure, or wound infection observed in this series. Neurosurgical complications included two cases with seizures, one sublesional intraparenchymal hematoma, one adjacent parenchymal infarct, one case of delayed postradiation cerebrospinal fluid leakage, and one case of subdural hemorrhage.

Conclusion En-bloc excision followed by three-layered reconstruction is a feasible and often suitable single-stage technique for complex solitary metastasis or primary calvarial tumors, which historically have been challenging to treat. It can offer an alternative approach to primary and metastatic calvarial tumors other than palliative treatment or hospice care.

The management of patients presenting with complex, solitary cranial tumors involving multiple compartments poses a technical challenge. The osseous calvarium is a frequent site of metastasis for systemic neoplasms, including cancer of the breast, lung, prostate, kidney, and thyroid.¹⁻³ Primary bone tumors of the skull comprise only 0.8 to 1% of all bone tumors.⁴ Indications for surgical resection of calvarial tumors have been poorly defined. Solitary metastasis, neurological deficit, widespread destruction of bone and dura, infiltration of the overlying scalp and skin,
significant pain, and/or a corroborating pathological diagnosis are/is needed. En bloc resection has been described as superior compared with other piecemeal or debulking procedures, as it is associated with achieving clear margins with minimal procedural tumor spillage. This treatment involves circumferential osteotomies to remove involved bone, affected adjacent layers and leaves substantial soft tissue defects requiring multistaged closure.

Soft tissue closure in this region is challenging due to the inelastic skin and fibrous structure of the galea aponeurotica, which limits local skin mobility. Tissue expansion is an alternative for scalp tissue defect closure, replacing like tissue. However, this approach requires multiple procedures prior to definitive surgical reconstruction and carries the risk of infection and extrusion.

Factors complicating these reconstructions include a history of radiation therapy, preexisting scar formation, underlying compromise of the immune system (secondary to the use of steroids), and prior surgical intervention.

This article reports our experience with complex, solitary calvarial tumors involving en bloc resection followed by “duraplasty, cranioplasty, and soft tissue” reconstruction. We developed a novel algorithm for a “three-layered” closure technique for complex lesions with dural, skull, and scalp involvement, presenting two illustrative cases.

**Patients and Methods**

A retrospective analysis of the electronic medical records was performed on all patients older than 18 years with solitary cranial tumors who underwent en bloc resection and “three-layer reconstruction” at our academic tertiary care center from 2005 to 2017. Patients with a Karnofsky Performance score (KPS) >70 and a life expectancy of >2 months were eligible for inclusion. Complex reconstructions of the soft tissue and skull were undertaken with a combined reconstructive and neurosurgical approach. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee.

**Patient and Surgical Data**

Patient characteristics included: gender, age, race, comorbidities, medical management, and follow-up in months. Tumor-specific variables include: clinical presentation, tumor site and dimensions, pathological diagnosis, skull involvement, brain invasion, and cancer recurrence. Operative details were analyzed from the perspective of the respective specialty.

Neurosurgical specifics included: craniotomy, lobotomy, type of allograft or implant material, postoperative outcomes, complications, and the need for chemotherapy or adjuvant radiotherapy.

Reconstructive surgical information included: width of the defect, depth of defect, type of reconstruction, flap type, recipient vessel type, need for vein grafts, and necessity of skin graft.

**Three-Layer Reconstruction**

Three-layer reconstruction encompasses the simultaneous reconstruction of a multilayered cranial defect including scalp, bone, dura (and possibly brain). The resection of the brain-invading component of such lesions is not notably different from other resections in neurosurgery; there is no unique aspect of the resection to report.

The next step addresses the closure of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) containing compartment. Dural reconstruction is performed as the first layer using a biosynthetic dural allograft (Duraform, Raynham, MA), as by the preference of the neurosurgeon.

The second layer includes skull reconstruction, which is performed by using a custom-molded titanium mesh (Synthes, Zuchwil, Switzerland) enhanced with methylmethacrylate matrix (MM) soaked in antibiotic solution (Bacitracin). Alternatively, prefabricated antibiotic enriched MEDPOR allograft (Portage, MI) can also be used.

The allograft is implanted to the healthy surrounding bone extending ca. 0.5 inch beyond the cut out of the bone. Intraoperatively, the allograft can be individually cut to size and molded to follow the contour of the skull for a better cosmetic fit. With the use of osteosynthesis miniplates and screws (Synthes), the mesh is attached. After the mesh graft is secured, it is augmented with porous methacrylate paste creating it a firmer construct with higher mechanical strength, prior to skin closure.

The third layer includes soft tissue reconstruction of the scalp. Primary closure is indicated and feasible if the scalp defect over one hemiconvexity measures less than 3 cm in maximum width (Fig. 1). Soft tissue defects measuring between 3 and 6 cm in width can be managed by a local scalp flap (e.g., rotational flap, V-Y advancement flaps, Orticochea

**Fig. 1** Scalp defect less than 3 cm in maximum width.
flaps, Z-plasty) (►Fig. 2).\(^{12-14}\) Finally, we consider microvascular free flap reconstruction necessary when the remaining scalp defect measures >6 cm in maximum diameter or the missing tissue area exceeds 36 cm\(^2\) (►Fig. 3). This three-layered approach is detailed in ►Fig. 4.

**Results**

**Demographics**

Eighteen patients were included. Seven patients were male and 11 were female with a mean age of 62 years (range: 20–85 years). The patients were predominately Caucasian \((n = 12)\). There were no active smokers or diabetic patients. Eight patients had underlying cardiovascular disease. All patients with malignant lesions had a preoperative KPS score above 70, with controlled systemic disease.

Of the 18 cases, 7 presented with a protruding scalp mass and 4 presented with an open scalp defect with exposed calvarium or mesh. Additionally, three patients had symptoms of increased intracranial pressure. Two patients had additional focal neurological deficits. Two cases were discovered incidentally during routine follow-up for other preexisting conditions.

**Pathology**

Of all calvarial lesions, nine were of metastatic origin: five breast cancers, one bronchogenic non-small cell lung carcinoma, one prostate cancer, one pancreatic cancer, and one hepatocellular carcinoma. Four cases presented as primary malignant tumors (dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans [DFSP], sarcoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and anaplastic meningioma), one as an atypical meningioma (World Health Organization; WHO grade II) and three cases were benign cranial WHO I or II tumors (cavernous hemangioma, epidermoid, and hemangiopericytoma). One patient presented with a recurrent meningioma (WHO grade I).

Sixteen cases were located supratentorially and two cases involved the posterior fossa. Six cases showed evidence of transdural brain invasion requiring intraoperative microscopic dissection of tumor from the brain parenchyma. Two cases displayed deep extension and required microscopic brain dissection. These cases required soft tissue coverage for superficial extension. In two cases, the lesions were completely intraosseous with limited involvement of the adjacent layers.

Four cases (anaplastic meningioma, meningioma, metastatic carcinoma of the lung, and one from the liver) required focal postoperative radiation therapy, while two cases (metastatic lesion from the breast and from the pancreas) needed adjuvant whole brain radiation therapy following surgery (►Table 1).

**Reconstructive Management**

Dural reconstruction was performed using biosynthetic allograft duraplasty (Duraform). A titanium mesh (Synthes) was used for 16 patients combined with MM for skull reconstruction. One patient did not require MM. Additionally, one patient who had a distinct intraosseous neoplasm with lymphangiomatosis...
with a visible infiltrated and destructed bone on a density-graded computed tomography (CT) scan received a prefabricated medpor allograft. Prediction of craniectomy size was performed on the presurgical CT scan. Virtual imaging and planning software were used to define the affected bone combined with 8 mm margin. A presurgical explant model was used. The actual craniectomy was performed by loading the same presurgical imaging set into an intraoperative image guidance system allowing demarcation and resection of the pathological bone segment. The prefabricated Medpor graft allowed us to close the newly created defect in a single step during the same surgery.

Twelve patients underwent primary closure for a defect measuring less than 3 cm. One patient received a bilateral scalp advancement flap combined with an 8 × 26 cm split-thickness skin graft (STSG). Another patient received a rotational flap with subgaleal release. Defects larger than 6 cm were reconstructed using free muscle flaps. The latissimus dorsi (LD) flap was used in two cases. The serratus anterior flap and the anterolateral thigh free flap were both used in one case (→Table 2). The superficial temporal artery was used as the recipient vessel in all cases.

**Postoperative Outcomes**

Mean postoperative hospital stay was 6.8 days. Follow-up periods ranged from 6 to 86 months. Five patients had neurosurgical postoperative complications. These included: one episode of intraparenchymal hematoma, one recurrent chronic subdural hematoma, one middle cerebral artery infarction, and one patient with increased frequency of seizures at 6 months.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Lesion size (mm)</th>
<th>Neurosurgical procedure</th>
<th>Duraplasty/cranioplasty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>20 × 20</td>
<td>Bifrontal craniectomy + partial frontal lobotomy + frontal air sinus exenteration</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Intraosseous cavernous hemangioma</td>
<td>25 × 25</td>
<td>Frontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>102 × 102</td>
<td>Bilateral frontoparietal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (lung)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Left suboccipital craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (breast and lung)</td>
<td>25 × 53</td>
<td>Right parietal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (breast)</td>
<td>6 × 7</td>
<td>Left frontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (breast)</td>
<td>20 × 50</td>
<td>Right frontotemporal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Atypical meningioma</td>
<td>33 × 21</td>
<td>Left frontotemporal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DFSP</td>
<td>40 × 35</td>
<td>Left frontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (liver)</td>
<td>20 × 10 × 10</td>
<td>Right frontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (prostate)</td>
<td>55 × 15</td>
<td>Left frontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Anaplastic meningioma</td>
<td>17 × 35</td>
<td>Bilateral frontoparietal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (breast)</td>
<td>10 × 30</td>
<td>Left frontoparietal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (breast)</td>
<td>41 × 34</td>
<td>Right high frontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Epidermoid cyst</td>
<td>25 × 15</td>
<td>Combined supra- and infratentorial craniectomies</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Hemangiopericytoma</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Debridement + removal of the exposed titanium mesh, MM bone cement and preirradiated skin</td>
<td>Pericranial allograft for duraplasty + 2-y Porex allograft cranioplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Metastatic cancer (pancreas)</td>
<td>47 × 41 × 43</td>
<td>Right-sided posterior auricular supra- and infratentorial craniectomies</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Recurrent meningioma</td>
<td>21 × 14 × 18</td>
<td>Bifrontal craniectomy</td>
<td>Dural allograft + titanium mesh + MM cement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DFSP, dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans; MM, methylmethacrylate matrix.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Plastic procedure</th>
<th>Plastic complications</th>
<th>Neurosurgical complications</th>
<th>Wound infection</th>
<th>Local recurrence</th>
<th>Postoperative RT/CT</th>
<th>Follow-up (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LD flap + STSG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Left uncal and subfalcine hemiation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Death within 1 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Recurrent subdural malignant collection</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Scalp advancement flap + STSG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Progressive systemic disease with leptomeningeal spread + seizures</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Death within 7 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Right MCA infarction + massive edema &amp; death</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Death within 1 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SA flap + STSG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>New lesions (right frontal and left occipital)</td>
<td>RT/CT</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rotational flap + subgaleal release</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Right frontal intraparenchymal hematoma + radiation-induced encephalopathy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>RT/CT</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>RT/CT</td>
<td>6 mo, then hospice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>LD flap + skin graft</td>
<td>Re-exploration Revision of anastomosis with GSV graft</td>
<td>Focal (partial) sensory seizures 3 mo later</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Primary closure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CSF leak 3 mo following surgery</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>ALT + STSG</td>
<td>Re-exploration anastomosis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ALT, anterolateral thigh; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CT, chemotherapy; GSV, great saphenous vein; LD, latissimus dorsi; MCA, middle cerebral artery; RT, radiation therapy; SA, serratus anterior; STSG, split-thickness skin graft.
Two patients died within 1 month of surgery: one after right middle cerebral artery infarction and one due to recurrent subdural hemorrhage. In both of these cases, goals of care were changed and the families of both patients opted to withdraw active care.

Furthermore, 2 months postoperatively after having additional radiation therapy, a delayed complication of CSF leak was identified in one case, requiring neurosurgical intervention.

Two plastic surgery cases had a complication of a compromised free flap having the superficial temporal artery as the recipient vessel in both cases. One needed an anastomotic revision with the use of the great saphenous vein graft to restore blood flow. The other case needed hematoma and clot evacuation followed by anastomotic revision. Both free flaps were salvaged.

One episode of local disease recurrence was observed during the reported follow-up period. There was an additional case of regional malignancy recurrence of two skull lesions 16 months after the original resection. This was successfully treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. It should be noted that these lesions were not anatomically located in the resected region.

Cases

Case Illustration (Case 9)

A 74-year-old male presented to our clinic for surgical evaluation after excision of a soft tissue mass located in the high vertex of the scalp. The patient underwent Mohs micrographic surgery at another facility, which revealed a malignant spindle neoplasm with extension into the deep margins of the fascia specimens and likely bony infiltration. A scalp defect with bone exposure of 10.2 × 10.2 cm was present on the upper scalp at the vertex.

Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging confirmed a large scalp soft tissue defect in the posterior vertex with signal abnormalities extending into the bone at the resection site. No parenchymal extension was present. A complex bilateral frontoparietal craniectomy was performed after intraoperative image guidance, confirming tumor extension.

Infiltrated bone was removed and 2 cm safety margins were confirmed. The wound was irrigated with bacitracin. Onlay dural allograft was used to repair the dural defect. A titanium mesh cranioplasty was molded to the skull contour and applied to the bony defect using Synthes’ miniplating system for fixation, achieving solid and stable construct. The mesh was filled with a bacitracin-impregnated methacrylate optimizing the contour of the replaced skull portion. Cranioplasty hardware was visible at the center of the defect site which measured 8 cm in diameter (Fig. 6).

The reconstructive team chose bilateral opposing scalp advancement flaps for defect closure. The flaps were developed in a circumferential manner and extended laterally from the defect toward the anterior portion of the temporal line. The flaps were raised off the pericranium prior to medial advancement. They were sutured in place to cover the defect with the implanted cranioplasty. A skin graft measuring 8 × 26 cm was harvested from the left thigh and used to cover the temporal scalp donor defect. Vacuum-assisted closure dressings were applied to the grafted area.
The patient had an unremarkable postoperative course. There was no evidence of wound infection, flap necrosis, or skin graft failure (Fig. 7). The patient has no evidence of tumor recurrence during a follow-up period of 6 years and has required no revision surgeries (Fig. 8).

Discussion

In this case series, we present our novel algorithm for en bloc resection and three-layered reconstruction (dura, cranium, and scalp skin) of solitary calvarial tumors using an interdisciplinary approach.

En bloc resection of calvarial tumors is a complex procedure, requiring not only resection of the scalp skin and bone, but often requires resection of the dura. We used a biosynthetic allograft duraplasty (Duraform) in our study. Dura closure can be done through different types of sealant techniques and substitutes preventing CSF leakage, which occurs in 4 to 32% in all cranial surgeries. This complication has been correlated with meningitis, delayed wound healing, epidural infections, and pneumocephalus. A recent systematic review by Kinaci et al concluded no statistical significant difference in CSF leakage with the use of dural sealants compared with no sealants.

Achieving tension-free closure in scalp reconstruction is of the utmost importance to enable preservation of the underlying alloplastic cranioplasty. These defects should be covered with well-vascularized local or free flaps. Closure under tension may lead to scar alopecia, dehiscence, and infection. These, in turn, can cause exposure of the hardware and result in eventual hardware loss.

Due to the inelasticity of the scalp, only defects less than 3 cm in width should be closed primarily. Depending on the soft tissue quality, undermining the scalp or scoring of the galea may help reduce tension during wound closure. Although healing by secondary intention or skin grafting is indicated in instances of superficial tumor excision or trauma when the pericranium remains intact, it is not applicable to our case series due to the soft tissue reconstruction needed to cover the alloplast implant. Additional considerations include a history of radiation therapy, which can lead to skin stiffening and inability to achieve primary closure with advancement flaps.

Local flaps (mostly used in defects between 3 and 6 cm) have the advantage of achieving defect coverage with hair-bearing, well-vascularized skin. Different types of local flaps can be used, including advancement flaps, rotational flaps, or regional flaps. Several procedures such as undermining, galeal scoring, or a back cut may help reduce tension. A local flap is often combined with a STSG, as illustrated in our case series. This combination provides the opportunity to achieve extra length and tension-free closure of the skin. Scalp defects up to 6 to 8 cm in width can often be closed using local flaps. Double-opposing flaps may be suitable for larger scalp defects, as seen in our case illustration. The Orticochea-type flap design has been reported to close large defects up to 15 × 17 cm in size. However, we operate within more conservative parameters, setting an upper limit of 6 cm for local flap closure.

Our case series is mostly composed of metastatic cancers and primary malignant tumors, with neoadjuvant or postoperative radiotherapy. Our three-layered closure expands the indication of free flap reconstruction of scalp defects to those exceeding 6 cm in width, thus allowing patients who were previously considered inoperable to seek surgical care and not pursue palliation only.

In our case series, two patients with large scalp defects were reconstructed using a LD musculocutaneous flap. A free flap is a safe and reliable reconstruction approach that can cover larger complex scalp defects (>6 cm in width), especially in the context of aggressive malignant tumor resection.
Among the free flap options, the LD musculocutaneous flap is considered the workhorse of the scalp reconstruction.\textsuperscript{22} This is due to its broad, thin, well-vascularized muscle and consistent axial vascular anatomy including length of vessel (~10 cm).\textsuperscript{3,8,9,20,22} Furthermore, vascularized bone (rib or scapula) can be incorporated with the combined LD flap as a composite cranial defect reconstruction.\textsuperscript{23}

The free serratus anterior muscle flap is another option for scalp defects.\textsuperscript{21} In our case the inferior four muscle slips were harvested. However, the serratus anterior muscle flap is usually limited to smaller scalp defects, as it has a surface area of ~10 \times 12 \text{ cm} compared with the 30 \times 11 \text{ cm} of the LD musculocutaneous flap.\textsuperscript{24} In our case, we chose the serratus muscle free flap after the pedicle length of the LD flap was determined to be insufficient.

Moreover, an important technical challenge during a combined neuro- and plastic surgical approach is the patient positioning. The LD free flap combined with a STSG has the disadvantage of changing patient position during flap harvesting.\textsuperscript{25} However, we were able to avoid patient reposition during harvest of the serratus anterior flap due to the use of lateral decubitus positioning and contralateral Mayfield pins in the cranium.

With increasing use of flap-monitoring technology, our algorithm becomes easier to implement and all the more feasible. In the past, postoperative monitoring of free flaps has relied on frequent clinical examinations to verify flap perfusion; however, Doppler technology, including external and implantable devices, has allowed for reliable flap monitoring and associated decreased rates of flap failure.\textsuperscript{26,27} New techniques have further improved the postoperative monitoring process, including “ViOptix Tissue Oximeter device” using near-infrared spectroscopy and “SmartBandage” using oxygenation sensing paint-on bandage, to monitor tissue oxygenation.\textsuperscript{26,28}

Potential limitations of this study are lack of a control group undergoing conservative therapy, coupled with its retrospective nature. This limits the ability to control for confounding factors or preexisting bias in patient selection. Moreover, the generalizability of the results may be limited by the small sample size. Moving forward, our case series establishes a fundamental foundation for utilization of the three-layer en bloc resection and reconstruction.

**Conclusion**

En-bloc excision followed by immediate three-layered reconstruction is a promising single-stage approach for solitary metastasis or primary calvarial tumors. It demonstrates the utility of an interdisciplinary neurosurgical and reconstructive approach that enables soft tissue reconstruction using different methods of soft tissue closure commensurate to defect size while minimizing postoperative complications and rate of tumor recurrence. Further studies are needed to document its utility in a larger population.
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