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Summary

Objectives: To summarize recent research and select the best papers published in 2018 in the field of computerized clinical decision support for the Decision Support section of the International Medical Informatics Association (IMIA) yearbook.

Methods: A literature review was performed by searching two bibliographic databases for papers referring to clinical decision support systems (CDSSs). The aim was to identify a list of candidate best papers from the retrieved bibliographic records, which were then peer-reviewed by external reviewers. A consensus meeting of the IMIA editorial board finally selected the best papers on the basis of all reviews and the section editors’ evaluation.

Results: Among 1,148 retrieved articles, 15 best paper candidates were selected, the review of which resulted in the selection of four best papers. The first paper introduces a deep learning model for estimating short-term life expectancy (>3 months) of metastatic cancer patients by analyzing free-text clinical notes in electronic medical records, while maintaining the temporal visit sequence. The second paper takes note that CDSSs become routinely integrated in health information systems and compares statistical anomaly detection models to identify CDSS malfunctions which, if remain unnoticed, may have a negative impact on care delivery. The third paper fairly reports on lessons learnt from the development of an oncology CDSS using artificial intelligence techniques and from its assessment in a large US cancer center. The fourth paper implements a preference learning methodology for detecting inconsistencies in clinical practice guidelines and illustrates the applicability of the proposed methodology to antimicrobial.

Conclusions: Three of the four best papers rely on data-driven methods, and one builds on a knowledge-based approach. While there is currently a trend for data-driven decision support, the promising results of such approaches still need to be confirmed by the adoption of these systems and their routine use.
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Paper Selection Method

A comprehensive literature search on topics related to CDSSs and CPOE systems was performed to identify candidate best papers following the protocol previously described by Lamy et al. [2]. Two bibliographic databases were used: first, the PubMed/MePub database (from NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information) which is dedicated to the biomedical domain, and the Web of Science® (WoS, Clarivate Analytics) which has a broader scope. Both databases were searched with similar queries targeting journal papers published in 2018, written in English language, and on the aforementioned topics. The adopted strategy, which was implemented for the last 2 years [3-4] and replicated this year, was based on four exclusive queries yielding four disjoint citation subsets: $Q_{Pub\_plain}$ based on a plain-text search in PubMed titles and abstracts using keywords; $Q_{Pub\_indexed}$, based on the PubMed indexing scheme using MeSH terms and exclusive of the previous set; $Q_{WoS\_restricted}$ based on a WoS search on non-PubMed-indexed papers restricted to the two subject areas “Medical Informatics” and “Health Care Sciences & Services” and, finally, $Q_{WoS\_filtered}$ based on other non-PubMed-indexed papers filtered by non-relevant subject areas.

A first review of the four subsets of retrieved citations was performed by the two section editors to select 15 candidate best papers. Then, following the IMIA Yearbook protocol, these candidate best papers were individually reviewed and rated by external reviewers from the international Medical Informatics community. Based on the reviewers’
ratings and comments, the Yearbook editorial committee had to select the best papers of the year in the decision support domain.

**Review Results**

Our literature search was conducted on January 14, 2019. A total of 1,148 references were obtained, distributed as follows according to the four queries: 869 for \( Q_{\text{Pub filter}} \), 122 for \( Q_{\text{Pub indep}} \), 16 for \( Q_{\text{WoS reindex}} \), and 141 for \( Q_{\text{WoS filter}} \) yielding sub-totals of 991 references from PubMed and 157 from WoS. Compared to the previous year, we retrieved 46 papers less in total. After the first individual review by each of the two section editors, a total of 56 papers (which were not rejected by both section editors) were reviewed again by the two editors to achieve a selection of 15 can-

section editors) were reviewed again by the two editors to achieve a selection of 15 candidate best papers. Then, following the IMIA Yearbook best paper selection process, these 15 papers were rated by external reviewers and the Yearbook editors. Four papers were finally selected as best papers for 2018 [5–8] (Table 1). These are discussed in the next section, while summaries of their contents are available in the Appendix of this synopsis.

**Discussion and Outlook**

In the first paper, Banerjee et al. [5] elaborate on an AI-based approach for predicting complex disease trajectories, focusing particularly on a probabilistic-based, prognostic estimation of survival for metastatic cancer patients. For this purpose, the study analyzed free-text clinical notes contained in electronic medical records (EMRs), while maintaining the temporal visit sequence; a critical aspect for the targeted problem, given that events are irregular in metastatic cancer patients and should be weighted based on persisting temporal context. The main elements of the respective predictive model included: (a) a hybrid pipeline that combined semantic data mining with neural embedding for creating context-aware dense vector representation of the multiple types of free-text clinical notes considered in the study; (b) an efficient deep prognostic model that took as input the context-aware vectorized representation of sequential clinical notes and generated the probability of short-term life expectancy estimate (>3 months), and (c) an interactive visualization method aiming to improve physician understanding of the provided predictions. Especially the last part constitutes an important contribution in the domain with an explicit focus on explainability, which constitutes currently a central issue for the adoption of AI in medicine. Data from relevant databases from the Stanford Cancer Center were employed in the study that demonstrated both high accuracy and explainability, and showcased a promising decision support tool to personalize metastatic cancer treatment.

In the second paper, Ray et al. [6] take note that automated CDSSs have become integral components of EMRs and that any unnoticed malfunction of them might impair the quality or safety of care. The goal of this research was to use and compare anomaly detection models to identify potential CDS malfunctions. Authors focused on three types of anomalies that occur in time and which can be detected by statistical models applied on time series. Retrospective data, originating from a large US hospital where CDS alert/rule triggering is logged, were used to compare six anomaly detection models on time series of four rules with known malfunctions. In these examples, malfunctions led to a rule firing stop or increase and came from changes in the rule premises or errors in drug codes. Models performed differently according to the rules. Further work would focus on online (real-time) anomaly detection. As they are routinely implemented, automated CDSSs should be monitored to avoid poor performance outcomes.

The third paper by Simon et al. [7] is a case study report on the experience the authors gained in developing the Oncology Expert Advisor (OEA), an AI-based CDSS, and through its clinical assessment while introducing it to clinic team members. OEA has been built on top of the widely advertised IBM Watson technologies [9] and has been developed for the MD Anderson cancer center (Houston, TX, USA). In the recent years, public attention had been focused on this important AI-based development along with extensive discussions about the feasibility of its promise. However, this paper is of note, since it scientifically provides insights on the motivations, the results, and the issues encountered. OEA was assigned three core functionalities to support healthcare professionals: patient history summarization from the EMR, treatment options recommendation, and disease management advisory. For each function, the authors discussed the obtained results and the limitations of the approaches, among which the difficulties in establishing ground truth for learning algorithms and in summarizing historical data. When the system was introduced with a clinic team, errors were collected and analyzed. This led the authors to conclude that the development of such systems should not be technology-driven, but that clinical expertise should be brought into the development process from the very early phases.

In the fourth paper, Tsopra et al. [8] elaborate in addressing contradictions and inconsistencies in clinical practice guidelines. In particular, the study proposes a method for the semi-automatic detection of inconsistencies in guidelines using preference learning, which has been successfully applied in an-

---

**Table 1**: Best paper selection of articles for the IMIA Yearbook of Medical Informatics 2019 in the section ‘Decision Support’. The articles are listed in alphabetical order of the first author’s surname.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Decision Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
tibiotherapy for primary care. Interestingly, before presenting the proposed method, the article provides comprehensive background information concerning preference learning, optimization approaches for metaheuristics, and a knowledge base for anti-biotherapy.

Learning of the preference model relied both on recommendations and on a knowledge base describing the domain. The authors argue that they successfully built a generic model suitable for all infectious diseases and patient profiles, including both references and necessary features. This model could be the basis for CDSSs targeting antibiotics prescription.

Besides the four best papers selected for the Decision Support section of the 2019 edition of the IMIA Yearbook, several works retrieved from our literature review deserve to be cited. In particular, in terms of methodological contributions, Peleg et al. [10] elaborated on mobile health behavioral support for patients targeting their compliance to therapy, illustrating the proposed approach through a case study on atrial fibrillation management. Kamišali et al. [11] studied the formalization and acquisition of temporal knowledge for decision support in medical processes, while Yan et al. [12] presented a novel, dialogue-based approach for dealing with uncertain and conflicting information in medical diagnosis. Interestingly, Goodwin and Harabagiu [13] presented a comprehensive study on knowledge representation and inference techniques for medical question answering. In terms of technical implementations, Wulff et al. [14] presented an interoperable CDSS for early detection of Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome in pediatric intensive care using openEHR, while El-Sapgh et al. [15] combined ontologies and fuzzy logic to develop a quite advanced system for diagnosing diabetes. An interesting study was also presented by Gombolay et al. [16], elaborating on robotic assistance in the coordination of patient care. As regards CDSS interaction design, Chattopadhyay et al. [17] presented a novel study focusing on the design and evaluation of trust-eliciting cues in drug–drug interaction alerts. Regarding CDSS evaluation and impact assessment, Khetarpal et al. [18] assessed the impact of a novel multiparameter DSS targeting intraoperative processes of care and postoperative outcomes. Wang et al. [19] evaluated clinical order patterns which were obtained by applying machine learning methods in clinician cohorts stratified by patient mortality outcomes. Finally, Parshuram et al. [20] presented the EPOCH randomized clinical trial which coped with assessing the effect of an early warning system on all-cause mortality in hospitalized pediatric patients.

Among the four best papers, three implemented data-driven methods [5-7], whereas one builds on a knowledge-based approach [8]. This is not inconsistent with the survey paper by Montani and Striani [1], which remarked on the prevalence of data-driven AI over knowledge-based AI in the recent scientific literature related to decision support. However, while data-driven approaches offer promising results, they need to bridge the gap with routine use and adoption. This still leaves space for various other approaches as illustrated by the selection of the candidate best papers on decision support introduced above.
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Appendix: Content Summaries of Best Papers for the Decision Support Section of the 2019 IMIA Yearbook

Banerjee I, Gensheimer MF, Wood DJ, Henry S, Aggarwal S, Chang DT, Rubin DL

Probabilistic prognostic estimates of survival in metastatic cancer patients (PPES-Met) utilizing free-text clinical narratives


Following a deep learning approach for the analysis of free-text clinical notes originating from various health IT modalities, the study focused on the probabilistic prognostic estimation of survival in metastatic cancer patients by proposing the so-called PPES-Met model. The work extends prior works targeting the prediction of complex disease trajectories by exploiting rich unstructured clinical data, rather than structured (e.g. lab values, demographics, etc.), or relatively simplistic information extracted from unstructured narratives (e.g. bag of words and term frequency-inverse document frequency). In technical terms, PPES-Met combines semantic data mining and neural embedding for creating a context-aware dense vector representation of the clinical notes, which was used as input to the prognostic model for estimating in turn the probability of short-term life expectancy (>3 months). The model was trained on a large dataset (10,293 patients) and validated on a separated dataset (1,818 patients), exhibiting an AUC (area under the ROC curve) value of 0.89. Equally important, aiming to facilitate the explainability of the prediction, PPES-Met offers an interactive visualization method for the end-user. Overall, the study introduced a data-driven approach for a promising decision support tool targeting personalized metastatic cancer treatment.

Ray S, McEvoy DS, Aaron S, Hickman TT, Wright A

Using statistical anomaly detection models to find clinical decision support malfunctions

J Am Med Inform Assoc 2018 Jul 1;25(7):862-71

Taking note that CDSSs become routinely integrated in health information systems and electronic health records (EHRs), the authors stress that malfunctions of these systems, if unnoticed, may have a negative impact on care delivery. The objective of this work was to use and compare anomaly detection models to see to what extent they could identify CDS malfunctions. The focus was on anomalies in time series, when there is a change or unexpected variation, more specifically on change-point anomaly, mean-shift anomaly, and mean-drift anomaly. Six statistical anomaly detection models were compared on retrospective data from EHRs at Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA, on four CDS alerts, implementing four clinical rules, with known malfunctions. Malfunctions were due to changes in the code of the CDS, or changes in terminology codes of the information system. They led alerts to stop firing, or to fire for more patients than relevant. The six models performed differently for each type of anomaly. These models were able to detect anomalies with offline data. Perspectively, they might enable to find the root causes of malfunctions, but the further challenge will be to detect anomalies online, i.e., in real time as they appear.


Applying Artificial Intelligence to address the knowledge gaps in cancer care

Oncologist 2018 Nov 16 pii: theoncologist.2018-0257

This article reports on lessons learnt from the development and the introduction of a large, AI-powered CDSS, in a large US cancer center. The aim was to bridge the gap between what is practiced and what is possible by promoting evidence-based care in real time. The CDSS, called the Oncology Expert Advisor (OEA), was built using IBM Watson’s technologies. OEA provided three clinical support functions: patient history summarization from EHR data and documents, recommendation of treatment options and clinical trials, and management advisory. It was first applied to leukemia, then to lung cancer. Retrospective data from around 1,000 patients were used to train machine-learning algorithms. Patient summarization through mining patient records performed with good results for non time-dependent concepts, but was less efficient for time-dependent concepts. Suggestion of therapy options with links to supporting evidence had good recall and precision (99.9% and 88%, respectively). A controlled introduction was performed in a clinic team, where errors were collected and analyzed. From their experience, authors conclude that AI-based approaches to decision support are technically feasible, but clinical expertise should be taken into account earlier and more extensively in the development process of such CDS applications.

Tsopra R, Lamy JB, Sedki K

Using preference learning for detecting inconsistencies in clinical practice guidelines: methods and application to antibiotherapy

Artif Intell Med 2018 Jul;89:24-33

Contradictions and inconsistencies in clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) are well-known problems in the domain of CPG-based CDSSs. This study employed preference learning to develop a method for the semi-automatic detection of inconsistencies in CPGs. The application focus of the study was antibiotherapy in the primary care setting. Key elements of the proposed approach included the adoption of the Artificial Feeding Birds (AFB) metaheuristic as a learning optimization algorithm and a knowledge base of the domain. The knowledge base was built and populated by a medical doctor through a two-step-process, incorporating information related to 11 infectious diseases, the 50 antibiotics marketed for use in primary care in France, and 21 patient profiles.
The knowledge base associates infectious diseases with the likely causative bacteria, describes a patient profile by the age class and the presence or absence of pregnancy, allergy, and history of antibiotic treatment, and corresponds a clinical situation to the intersection of an infectious disease and a patient profile. Interestingly, preference model learning relied both on CPG recommendations and on the knowledge base. Preference learning on the antibiotic knowledge base allowed the detection of 106 errors by a medical expert, 55 of which originated from CPG inconsistencies, 17 from flaws in the antibiotic knowledge base, 16 from by flaws in the preference model, while the rest could not be categorized by the medical expert. The authors argue that they successfully built a generic model suitable for all infectious diseases and patient profiles, offering a comprehensive CDSS for antibiotics prescription.