Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor (MIF)-Based Therapeutic Concepts in Atherosclerosis and Inflammation
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Chemokines orchestrate leukocyte recruitment in atherosclerosis and their blockade is a promising anti-atherosclerotic strategy, but few chemokine-based approaches have advanced into clinical trials, in part owing to the complexity and redundancy of the chemokine network. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) is a pivotal mediator of atherosclerotic lesion formation. It has been characterized as an inflammatory cytokine and atypical chemokine that promotes atherogenic leukocyte recruitment and lesion inflammation through interactions with the chemokine receptors CXCR2 and CXCR4, but also exhibits phase-specific CD74-mediated cardioprotective activity. The unique structural properties of MIF and its homologue MIF-2/D-DT offer intriguing therapeutic opportunities including small molecule-, antibody- and peptide-based approaches that may hold promise as inhibitors of atherosclerosis, while sparing tissue-protective classical chemokine pathways. In this review, we summarize the pros and cons of anti-MIF protein strategies and discuss their molecular characteristics and receptor specificities with a focus on cardiovascular disease.
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Introduction
Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disease of our arteries that is characterized by the development of lipid-rich inflamed plaques in the vessel wall. Lesion progression and plaque rupture may result in detrimental cardiovascular events such as acute myocardial infarction and ischaemic stroke,1,2 the leading causes of death worldwide.3 Influenced by genetic and environmental risk factors such as hyperlipidaemia, atherosclerosis is initiated by endothelial dysfunction, followed by an accumulation of oxidized low-density lipoproteins (oxLDLs) and an inflammatory cell infiltrate.
dominated by monocytes and T cells into the atherogenic vessel wall. Infiltrating monocytes differentiate into macrophages and lipid-laden foam cells. Lesion progression also involves vascular smooth muscle cell (VSMC) proliferation, necrotic core formation and wall remodelling that may eventually lead to plaque destabilization, rupture and thrombosis.6

These processes are mediated by inflammatory cytokines and chemokines at all stages. Some 50 classical chemokines interact with 18 G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR)-type chemokine receptors. This network is characterized by a high degree of redundancy and promiscuity and chemokines are divided into CC-, CXC-, CX3C- and C-type sub-classes and correspondingly termed receptors.5,6

Due to their causal role in atherogenesis, anti-cytokine/-chemokine approaches are pursued as therapeutic strategies to attenuate atherosclerosis.7 Several chemokine-blocking antibodies and chemokine receptor-inhibiting small molecule drug (SMD) compounds are in advanced pre-clinical testing and (early) clinical trial phases.7–11 Importantly, the promising results obtained with an interleukin-1β (IL-1β)-blocking antibody in the CANTOS trial have validated the inflammatory hypothesis in atherosclerosis and demonstrated the power of cytokine-based anti-inflammatory drugs in patients with established atherosclerotic disease.12

Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) is an inflammatory cytokine with chemokine-like characteristics and unique structural properties and is classified as a prototypical member of the emerging family of atypical chemokines (ACKs).13–16 ACKs lack the typical chemokine-fold and conserved N-terminal cysteines of classical chemokines,6 but exhibit chemotactic activity and bind to classical chemokine receptors.16 MIF is up-regulated in human atherosclerotic lesions17 and its levels correlate with coronary artery disease (CAD).18,19 MIF gene deletion (Mif-KO) and antibody-based neutralization of MIF in experimental atherosclerosis suggest it is a major driver of atheroprogression during several stages of the disease.14,18

Here, we discuss molecular strategies to inhibit MIF and its structural homologue D-dopachrome tautomerase (D-T), also termed MIF-2, in atherosclerosis and other inflammatory diseases. We cover antibody-based strategies, small molecules directed at the unique MIF catalytic pocket around N-terminal proline-2 or at allosteric sites and emerging peptide-based approaches. The pros and cons of these strategies, potential side effects and envisaged receptor pathway specificities are compared.

**MIF is a Chemokine-Like Inflammatory Mediator that Promotes Atherosclerosis**

MIF is one of the first cytokines to be discovered. It was originally described in 1966 by John David as a soluble factor produced by human lymphocytes that was capable of inhibiting the random migration of macrophage-like cells out of capillary tubes, while earlier reports on myeloid cell migration even date back to 1932.15,20,21 MIF is a 12.5 kD protein containing 114 amino acids that crystallizes as a trimer, but equilibria between monomers, dimers and trimers are observed under physiological solution conditions.22,23 Today, MIF is known as a pleiotropic inflammatory mediator that is structurally distinct from other cytokines, but shares structural homology with bacterial tautomerasers, suggesting evolutionary conservation.15,23,24 It is broadly expressed, but regulated secretion that occurs from semi-constitutive cytosolic stores by a p115-dependent non-conventional mechanism is predominantly seen in cells of the immune system as well as endothelial and tumour cells.15,25,26 MIF is the founding member of the MIF protein family that also comprises D-DT/MIF-2 and MIF-like orthologs in numerous species. MIF is an upstream regulator of the host innate and adaptive immune response, but—if dysregulated—it is a driver of inflammatory diseases as well as cardiovascular diseases including atherosclerosis. Contrary to its eponymous name, MIF has been classified as an ACK that, similar to arrest chemokines such as CXCL1/8, enhances atherogenic leukocyte chemotaxis and arrest. It has been suggested that inhibition of random macrophage migration as observed in the historic experiments, is likely to represent a desensitization effect as well-known for chemokines.14,16

Serving as an inflammatory, chemokine-like cytokine and upstream regulator of innate immunity, it is not unexpected that MIF has a key role in numerous inflammatory and autoimmune conditions, including septic shock, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus, Crohn’s disease, obesity, glomerulonephritis and inflammatory and allergic lung conditions (reviewed in Refs.15,27–30). Owing to the close mechanistic links between chronic inflammation and cancer, MIF also has been identified as a pro-tumorigenic factor in several tumour entities, enhancing cancer cell proliferation, promoting tumour angiogenesis and modulating anti-tumour immunity.15,31–34

Its chemokine-like and inflammatory properties render MIF a potent regulator of the atherogenic process. MIF expression is up-regulated in human and murine atherosclerotic lesions with peak levels observed in advanced plaques.17,19 It is not only up-regulated in the atherogenic endothelium and infiltrating leukocytes, but also in VSMCs and platelets following inflammatory stimulation.17,35,36 Antibody-mediated neutralization in Apoe−/− mice resulted in reduced lesional immune cell content and lowered levels of inflammatory mediators associated with atherosclerosis.37 Similarly, Mif-deficient Ldlr−/− mice showed reduced atherosclerotic plaque areas compared with controls.14,38 Targeting MIF with neutralizing antibodies resulted in significant plaque regression.14 The pro-atherogenic activity of MIF is predominantly mediated via non-cognate interaction with the chemokine receptors CXCR2 and CXCR4, leading to monocyte and T cell recruitment, respectively.14 This is accompanied by an up-regulation of adhesion molecules like intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and release of atherogenic chemokines such as CCL-2.39,40 Moreover, MIF stimulates oxLDL uptake to promote foam cell formation. Foam cells undergo apoptosis and form a necrotic core surrounded by a fibrous cap.41 MIF is associated with plaque instability as it induces matrix degradation through
matrix metalloproteinases, followed by fibrous cap thinning resulting in plaque rupture. MIF also promotes intra-plaque inflammation by stimulating macrophages to secrete inflammatory mediators such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α or IL-1β. The role of MIF-2 in chronic atherogenesis is subject to current investigations.

Pro-atherogenic effects of MIF are supported by observational clinical studies in CAD patients. For the G/C single-nucleotide polymorphism rs755622 at position −173, a higher susceptibility to develop CAD has been observed for C allele carriers. Moreover, the MIF gene features a tetranucleotide repeat polymorphism ('the CATT5–8 microsatellite') at position −794 that was initially identified in RA patients and controls gene expression from the MIF promoter. CATT5 or CATTnon-5 MIF high expressers show an increased severity of coronary artery atherosclerosis and patients carrying the rs755622 C allele and CATT5/C haplotype are more prone to develop CAD. This correlates with associations between plasma MIF and CAD, for example, in acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Moreover, MIF plasma levels were found to be elevated in a high proportion of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients, were suggested to be an early marker of acute STEMI, and STEMI patients with high admission MIF level experienced a poorer recovery of cardiac function and worse long-term adverse outcomes. Moreover, the role of MIF in myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion injury (MI/RI) has become apparent from clinical studies in cardiac surgery patients and mouse models. The cardiac surgery procedure recapitulates the ischaemic and reperfusion stress seen in myocardial infarction patients, but in contrast to the endogenously occurring myocardial infarction pathology in STEMI patients subjected to percutaneous coronary intervention, the onset of inflammation and oxidative injury in cardiac surgical patients is predictable as cardiac surgery with the cardioplegia-induced myocardial arrest, assistance of cardiopulmonary bypass and the following myocardial reperfusion, reproducibly elicits an ischaemia–reperfusion sequela. Intriguingly, the increase in peri-operative MIF levels in cardiac surgery patients, as well as ratios of MIF and its soluble receptor CD74 (sCD74), suggest a cardioprotective role of MIF in the ischaemic and early reperfusion phase after myocardial infarction. In fact, cardioprotection by MIF in MI/RI is confirmed in numerous mouse models. Along the same lines, the myocardium-specific conditional knockout of Ddit/MIF-2 exacerbates MI/RI, while MIF-2 levels positively correlated with worse outcome in cardiac surgery patients. Interestingly, experimental models addressing the later post-ischaemic phase indicated that the role of MIF in cardiac ischaemia is complex, with phase-dependent cardioprotective and exacerbating effects observed. MIF is initially released by ischemic cardiomyocytes or endothelial cells and triggers a cardioprotective autocrine/paracrine signalling response in cardiomyocytes. Here, MIF not only binds to the chemokine receptors CXCR2 and CXCR4, but also to CD74, the surface-expressed form of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II invariant chain, which serves a secondary function as a high-affinity MIF receptor.

Cardiac-derived—first wave—MIF interacts with cardiomyocyte-expressed CD74 in the ischaemic and early reperfusion phase of MI/RI to trigger cardioprotective signalling through adenosine monophosphate (AMP) kinase metabolic reprogramming, an increase in glucose uptake via membrane translocation of GLUT4 and the AKT and extracellular-signal-regulated kinase survival pathways, while pro-apoptotic c-Jun N-terminal kinase signalling is attenuated. MIF also mediates cardioprotection in this phase via CD74/AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signalling. MIF/CD74/AMPK-mediated ischaemic recovery is impaired in the senescent heart, suggesting that this protective mechanism could be dampened in aged CHD patients. MIF’s antioxidant capacity that is based on its redox-active CXXC motif and that it shares with thiol–protein oxidoreductases such as thioredoxin also contributes to cardioprotection in the early phase of MI/RI stress. In contrast, MIF’s role in the later phase of MI/RI stress in the heart is an inflammatory one that is mediated by CXCR2/CXCR4-dependent recruitment of monocytes and neutrophils. Second wave MIF is additionally and abundantly produced by infiltrating inflammatory cells to amplify the inflammatory response. MIF’s chemokine receptors serve a dual role in this phase with both protective (cardiomyocyte-expressed CXCR2/4) and pro-inflammatory (CXCR2/4 expressed on infiltrating myeloid cells) activity.

**MIF Proteins and Their Receptors**

MIF binds to CXCR2 and CXCR4, representing non-cognate interactions between an ACK and classical chemokine receptors. MIF also binds to CD74, the surface-expressed form of invariant chain. All three receptors have important roles in atherosclerosis and cardiac disease (see above). Recent evidence also suggests engagement of CXCR7-mediated pathways by MIF.

Binding of MIF to CXCR2 drives atherogenic recruitment of monocytes and neutrophils. Mechanistically, binding of MIF to CXCR2 is similar but not identical to that of the cognate ligand CXCL8 and requires an N-like loop and pseudo-ELR motif. While data are not yet available, it has been speculated that MIF-2 does not activate CXCR2 as it lacks the pseudo-(E)LR motif of MIF. MIF/CXCR4 binding supports the recruitment of atherogenic T cells, but has also been implicated in cancer metastasis and endothelial progenitor cell recruitment. Recent evidence suggests an important role of the MIF/CXCR4 axis in B cell migration that may also contribute to the pro-atherogenic phenotype of MIF. CXCR4 is one of the few GPCRs for which an X-ray structure has been elucidated and recent structure–activity studies (SAR) revealed that the MIF/CXCR4 interface involves an extended N-like loop of MIF, an Arg-Leu-Arg (RLR) motif at position 87–89 and the N-terminal Pro-2. Interestingly, both CXCR2 and CXCR4 are able to form receptor complexes with CD74, offering unexpected mechanistic options as to the fine-tuning of MIF-driven pathways in atherogenesis. In fact, MIF-mediated CXCR2/CD74 signalling
has a role in atherogenic leukocyte recruitment, while CXCR4/CD74 complex formation and/or cross-talk is necessary for MIF-driven B cell migration responses and elicits downstream ZAP-70 signalling.

Intracellular CD74/invariant chain acts as an MHC II chaperone facilitating antigen loading to class II complexes in the endoplasmic reticulum. However, CD74 may be also expressed in class II-negative cells, that is, upon inflammatory stimulation, and exhibits a major role as cytokine receptor for MIF and MIF-2. MIF and MIF-2 bind to the extracellular domain of CD74, but as CD74 exhibits a short cytoplasmic domain, signal transduction necessitates accessory molecules such as CD44 or CXCR2/4. A soluble form of CD74 (sCD74) was identified in patients with autoimmune liver disease, and as outlined above, evidence from cardiac surgery patients suggests that circulating sCD74 levels correlate with better outcome. sCD74-derived strategies could thus represent interesting MIF-targeting approaches in the future.

**Antibody-Based Anti-MIF Strategies**

Antibody-based anti-cytokine/-chemokine strategies are promising therapeutic approaches in inflammatory and cardiovascular diseases. Prominent examples are the IL-1β antibody canakinumab, which was shown in the CANTOS trial to reduce vascular inflammation accompanied by a lower rate of recurrent cardiovascular events, the anti-TNF-α antibody infliximab, successfully used in RA, and numerous chemokine antibodies such as anti-CCL2 (CNOT888/ABN912), which are in phase 1 and 2 clinical studies for various inflammatory conditions and cancer.

Chemokine antibodies such as anti-CCL2 have been efficacious in pre-clinical models of atherosclerosis, suggesting their potential in CAD patients.

Neutralization of MIF by blocking antibodies has improved disease exacerbation in numerous pre-clinical inflammation models including atherosclerosis. The most widely used antibody has been the monoclonal NIH/IIID.9, which was raised against full-length mouse MIF. It was initially tested in the anti-MIF/anti-MIF-2 strategy due to class II-associated functions of CD74/invariant chain. In fact, specific peptide-based strategies have been developed to block class II-associated functions of CD74 in multiple sclerosis (see below).

A neutralizing CD74 mAb has shown potent inhibitory activity in haematologic cancers such as chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) and multiple myeloma. One of these mAb clones, a humanized anti-CD74 monoclonal termed milatuzumab/hLL1, is in clinical trials for CLL and multiple myeloma treatments. However, although gene deletion of CD74 significantly attenuates atherosclerosis in atherogenic Ldlr−/− mice and although CD74 serves as an accessory molecule in MIF-driven CXCR2/4-mediated leukocyte recruitment responses, neutralizing CD74 antibodies have not been studied in atherosclerosis. It should be emphasized that anti-CD74 strategies may be intrinsically limited regarding their translational potential in atherosclerotic disease due to cardiac protection mediated via the CD74/AMPK pathway in cardiomyocytes following ischaemic stress. It rather seems that MIF-based strategies in cardiovascular disease should aim at sparing CD74-mediated pathways.

CXCR2 and CXCR4 are bona fide GPCRs, but antibody development against GPCRs has been delayed. In fact, of the numerous GPCR-modulating agents available, most are small molecules or peptides. Recently, the first GPCR-
directed antibody (erenumab), an antibody against calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor, was Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for treatment of migraine.\textsuperscript{111} Meanwhile, the development of additional anti-GPCR antibodies including antibodies against CC and CXC chemokine receptors is underway.\textsuperscript{111}

CXCR4 antibodies are in advanced clinical trials for haematologic malignancies,\textsuperscript{112} and anti-CXCR4 SMDs such as the bicyclamplerixafor/AMD3100 which promotes CXCR4-dependent haematopoietic stem cell egress from bone marrow is clinically used in autologous stem cell transplantation of cancer patients.\textsuperscript{113} Nevertheless, anti-CXCR4 antibody strategies as a means to block MIF-driven pathogenic pathways in atherosclerosis should be pursued with caution. The CXCR4/CXCL12 axis has important homeostatic functions in development and physiology that render generalized anti-CXCR4 strategies difficult. Moreover, disrupting the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis in a mouse model of atherosclerosis promoted lesion formation through dysbalanced neutrophil homeostasis,\textsuperscript{114} and a recent study demonstrated a potent atheroprotective effect of vascular CXCR4 via maintaining arterial integrity, endothelial barrier function and preserving contractile VSMC functions.\textsuperscript{115}

Cxcr2 gene deficiency reduces the progression of advanced atherosclerosis in mice and, in fact, CXCR2 has been one of the first chemokine receptors implicated in atherogenesis.\textsuperscript{116,117} CXCR2 also is involved in MIF-elicited atherogenic monocyte and neutrophil recruitment,\textsuperscript{14,16,75} emphasizing the significance of the MIF/CXCR2 axis in leukocyte arrest and atherogenesis. Moreover, anti-MIF antibodies proved superior to anti-CXCL1 (and anti-CXCL12) in an atherosclerosis regression model.\textsuperscript{14} An anti-CXCR2 antibody therapy is considered a translatable strategy in solid cancer, for example, through improving the efficacy of checkpoint blockade by preventing trafficking of myeloid-derived suppressor cells to the tumour site\textsuperscript{118} and anti-CXCR2 strategies are pursued in various clinical trials,\textsuperscript{119} including ACS.\textsuperscript{120} An anti-CXCR2 bi-paratopic nanobody is in phase I development for the treatment of inflammation.\textsuperscript{111} Antibody strategies for epitopes specifically targeting MIF/CXCR2 receptor pathways in vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis have not been pursued.

Table 1 summarizes published antibody-based strategies directed at MIF proteins and/or their receptors.

Table 1 Antibodies targeting MIF proteins or their receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Target/Antigen</th>
<th>Application/Utility in atherosclerosis</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIH/IIID.9 (mAb)</td>
<td>Mouse MIF (full-length)</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models; blocks atherogenic effects of MIF</td>
<td>14,37,94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imalumab (Bax69) (humanized mAb)</td>
<td>Oxidized form of human MIF</td>
<td>Phase IIa trial for metastatic colorectal cancer</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaxB01, BaxG03, BaxM159</td>
<td>Oxidized form of human, mouse or rat MIF</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models</td>
<td>93,98–100,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbE10-NbAlb8-NbE10 (half-life-extended nanobody)</td>
<td>Human and mouse MIF</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical sepsis model</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-MIF-2/D-DT</td>
<td>Mouse MIF-2/D-DT (full-length)</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milatuzumab</td>
<td>CD74</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma, NHL, CLL</td>
<td>108,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-bodies (AM3–114, AM4–272, AM3–523; single domain antibody)</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDI3185</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CXCR2 bi-paratopic nanobody</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
<td>Pre-clinical models and phase I clinical trial</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAB331</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models</td>
<td>14,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD74</td>
<td>Human and mouse MIF</td>
<td>Research and pre-clinical models</td>
<td>56,65,88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; D-DT, D-dopachrome tautomerase; MIF, migration inhibitory factor; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma.
Note: sCD74 is not an antibody, but the soluble ectodomain of MIF receptor CD74.

Small Molecule Drug-Based Anti-MIF Strategies

MIF proteins are structurally unique among cytokines/chemokines in harbouring a conserved catalytic tautomerase cavity that contains the unusually acidic Pro-2 residue. This offers the opportunity to target pathogenic activities of MIF by small molecule approaches. Capitalizing on efficient drug discovery pipelines including in silico and high-throughput screening, numerous anti-MIF SMDs have been identified that bind into or modulate the tautomerase pocket of MIF and/or MIF-2/D-DT by covalent or non-covalent mode.

Small molecule MIF inhibitors have been compiled in several recent review articles.\textsuperscript{24,95,121,122} Here, we discuss some of these compounds with a focus on their potential utility in atherosclerosis. Table 2 summarizes the key features of these inhibitors.
Mechanistically, small molecule MIF inhibitors are classified into different categories: (1) competitive inhibitors that non-covalently bind into the cavity; (2) suicide inhibitors that covalently bind into the cavity; (3) allosteric inhibitors that disrupt the active-site through dissociation of the MIF trimer or an otherwise-induced conformational switch; and (4) allosteric inhibitors that prevent higher-order MIF oligomers. In addition, stabilizers of the MIF monomer have been proposed as inhibitors through prevention of re-association into trimer. These may only qualify as inhibitors of MIF/CD74 interactions, which involve trimeric MIF, whereas it has been assumed that the interaction between MIF and CXCR2/CXCR4 is a function of the monomer. While most inhibitors have been developed against MIF, some also block MIF-2, although significant differences in $K_i$ and IC$_{50}$ values have been noted. A recent study has identified a selective MIF-2 inhibitor that exhibits 13-fold higher binding to MIF-2 than MIF.

The MIF tautomerase activity is highly conserved across kingdoms, but to date, physiological substrates in mammals have not been identified, raising the possibility that it is an evolutionary remainder with no function in humans. Thus, it is now thought that by binding to the tautomerase site, these compounds induce conformational changes in MIF.

### Table 2: Small molecules targeting MIF proteins or their receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small molecule drug (SMD) inhibitor</th>
<th>Target/Binding mode</th>
<th>$K_i$</th>
<th>IC$<em>{50}$/EC$</em>{50}$</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAPQI (N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine)</td>
<td>MIF Pro-2 Covalent</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (dopachrome) = 40 µM</td>
<td>131,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-IPP (4-iodo-6-phenylpyrimidine)</td>
<td>MIF/D-DT Pro-2 Covalent</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (HPP) = 0.2–0.5 µM</td>
<td>169,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO-1 (4,5-Dihydro-3-(4-hydroxypyrenyl)-5-isoxazoleacetic acid methyl ester)</td>
<td>MIF Tautomerase site Competitive</td>
<td>$K_i$ (HPP) = 24 µM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (dopachrome) = 7 µM</td>
<td>130,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD-19 isocoumarin</td>
<td>MIF Tautomerase site Competitive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>100% inhibition at 100 µM</td>
<td>172,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-CPPC (4-(3-Carboxyphenyl)-2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid)</td>
<td>MIF-2/D-DT C-terminus V114 – L118</td>
<td>$K_i$ (HPP) = 33 ± 0.7 µM</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebselen (2-Phenyl-1,2-benziselenazol-3(2H)-one)</td>
<td>MIF trimer Cys-81 Covalent</td>
<td>$K_i$ (HPP) = 0.57 µM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (dopachrome) = 2.4 µM</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p425 6,6'-[(3,3-Dimethoxy[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-dyl)bis(azo)]bis[4-amino-5-hydroxy-1,3-naphthalenedisulphonic acid]</td>
<td>MIF trimer Allosteric</td>
<td>$K_i$ (HPP) ≤ 12 µM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (CD74 inhibition) = 0.81 µM</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibudilast AV-411; 3-isobutyl-2-isopropylpyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyridine</td>
<td>MIF Tyr-37 Allosteric</td>
<td>$K_i$ (HPP) = 30.9 µM</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>73,132,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plerixafor/AMD 3100 (1-[4-(1,4,8,11-Tetraazaacyclotetradec-1-ylmethyl)phenyl][methyl]-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecan)</td>
<td>CXCL12/CXCR4 Orthosteric antagonist MIF/CXCR4 Partial allosteric antagonist</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IC$<em>{50}$ (CXCR4) = 0.65 µM EC$</em>{50}$ (HIV entry) = 0.4–2 µM</td>
<td>80,82,156,174–177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITI Isothiourea-1t 6,6-dimethyl-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]thiazol-3-yl)methyl N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbamimidothioate</td>
<td>CXCL12/CXCR4 Orthosteric antagonist MIF/CXCR4 Partial allosteric antagonist</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (gp120 inhibition) = 8 nM</td>
<td>80,82,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reparixin (αR)-α-methyl-4-(2-methylpropyl)-N-(methylsulfonyl)benzenecacamide</td>
<td>CXCL8/CXCR2 Allosteric antagonist MIF/CXCR2 (?)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ (neutrophil migration) = 1 nM</td>
<td>174,175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: D-DT, D-dopachrome tautomerase; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HPP, hydroxyphenylpyruvate; MIF, migration inhibitory factor.
that subsequently alter its receptor-binding properties,\textsuperscript{82,126–129} and therefore ‘indirectly’ influence MIF activities.

The structures of the small molecule MIF inhibitors have been extensively reviewed.\textsuperscript{121,122} Briefly, with the iso-oxazoline compound ISO-1 serving as a reference MIF inhibitor in various inflammation models,\textsuperscript{130} they can be grouped into iso-oxazolines (examples: ISO-1, ISO-66, CPSI-1306), chromenes (examples: Orita-13, Kok-17), iminoquinones (example: N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine), triazoles (example: Cisneros-3i), benzoxazolones (example: MIF098), pyrimidazoles (example: K664–1) and isocoumarins (e.g. SCD-19).\textsuperscript{121,122,131} Allosteric MIF inhibitors encompass pyrazolopyridines (example: clinically used PDE4 inhibitor ibudilast), benzoxoselenazolones (example: anti-inflammatory drug ebelen) and azo compounds (example: p425 or Chicago Sky Blue 6b).\textsuperscript{73,123,124} Of note, ibudilast, which can cross the blood–brain barrier, was recently demonstrated in a phase II clinical trial in progressive multiple sclerosis, to be associated with slower progression of brain atrophy than placebo.\textsuperscript{132} Isothiocyanates, such as phenethyl isothiocyanate, are a reactive class of ‘natural’ MIF inhibitors that are present in appreciable amounts in broccoli and water cress. They covalently bind to the acidic Pro-2 residue in the catalytic site of MIF, attenuate MIF antibody binding and inhibit inflammatory MIF activities in vitro, but have not yet been studied in atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{123,133}

While most of these compounds have not yet been studied in atherosclerosis–relevant test systems or pre-clinical models, some of them may hold promise as pathway-specific MIF inhibitors in atherogenesis. However, a ‘black-and-white’ categorization into receptor-specific blockers appears too simplistic. For example, CD74 is a receptor mediating cardio- and tissue-protective MIF activities\textsuperscript{15,58}; on the other hand, it drives pro-proliferative functions of MIF and can interact with the MIF chemokine receptors, which would be pro-atherogenic.\textsuperscript{14,70,109} CXCR4 mediates pro-atherogenic lymphocyte recruitment by MIF,\textsuperscript{14,78} but also exhibits homeostatic and atheroprotective activities through CXCL12.\textsuperscript{115} Notwithstanding, it may be speculated that MIF trimerization inhibitors such as ebelen might have specificity for MIF/chemokine receptor pathways, while sparing MIF trimer-dependent CD74 signalling,\textsuperscript{123} which could lead to an overall atheroprotective effect. Ibudilast was found to block MIF/CD74 interactions in vivo by preventing astrocyte-derived MIF from interacting with CD74+ microglia during the colonization process of brain metastatic tumours resulting in reduced secondary brain tumour loads.\textsuperscript{124} Similarly, ISO-1 reduces MIF/CD74 binding albeit at relatively weak IC\textsubscript{50} values.\textsuperscript{121} But interestingly, was recently also shown to partially interfere with MIF binding to CXCR4, opening up the possibility that certain MIF inhibitors may have utility in cardiovascular disease. However, given their small interaction surface, it remains questionable whether they would sufficiently differentiate between receptor pathways.

\textbf{► Table 2} summarizes a selection of the developed small molecule MIF inhibitors from different structural classes and compares them to MIF chemokine receptor inhibitors.

To this end, AMD3100/Plerixafor and reparinix are established CXCR4 and CXCR2 inhibitors, respectively. AMD3100 also was shown to be a partial–allosteric–inhibitor of MIF/CXCR4 signalling\textsuperscript{82}; however, its preferential targeting of CXCL12/CXCR4 responses and its limited application window in autologous transplantation and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), probably limits an efficacious usage as a specific anti-MIF compound. Reparinix has so far only been studied in MIF-dependent in vitro inflammatory assays.\textsuperscript{135}

**Peptide-Based Anti-MIF Strategies**

Peptide therapeutics are a powerful alternative to small molecule and antibody strategies with over 60 peptide drugs approved worldwide. The peptide therapeutic landscape has been reviewed in excellent recent reviews.\textsuperscript{136–138} Advantages of peptide-based inhibitors are: (1) good selectivity and potency, (2) good interaction surface coverage, (3) favourable safety and (4) comparatively low production costs due to standard synthesis protocols. On the other hand, they are prone to degradation and oxidation, but this can be improved by smart mimic chemistry.\textsuperscript{136,139}

Even though peptide-based inhibitors have been pursued as potential therapeutics in atherosclerosis, for example, targeting lipid-regulating and inflammatory pathways such as apolipoproteins, nuclear factor-kappaB and the IL-4 receptor,\textsuperscript{140–144} peptide approaches targeting MIF-specific pathways are still in its infancies. Interestingly, a recent approach established designed peptide inhibitors that specifically disrupt pro-inflammatory CCL5–CXCL4 interactions, attenuating monocyte recruitment and reducing atherosclerosis. Targeting of CCL5–CXCL4 heteromers avoids side effects of generalized anti-CCL5 strategies which would compromise systemic host immunity,\textsuperscript{143,144} thus underscoring the potential of anti-chemokine peptide strategies.

Anti-MIF peptides have been examined in vitro and partially in pre-clinical disease models. Peptides targeting both the MIF/CD74 and MIF/chemokine receptor axes have been considered (► Table 3); some of them are derived from mapping studies of the interfaces between MIF and its receptors.

MIF-derived peptides targeting interactions with CD74 have not yet been tested in disease models. This is probably due to the complex nature of the MIF/CD74 interaction surface, encompassing all three subunits of the MIF trimer and discontinuous epitopes within an MIF monomer. Nevertheless, a MIF epitope scan for reactivity of the CD74 ectodomain identified MIF peptide 79–86. This octapeptide was able to compete for biotinylated MIF binding to plate-bound CD74,\textsuperscript{58} indicating its principal inhibitory utility. A screening for anti-melanoma peptides derived from conserved complementarity-determining region sequences of different immunoglobulins identified peptide C36L1, a 17-mer peptide that binds to CD74 on tumour-associated macrophages and dendritic cells and blocks immunosuppressive activities in melanoma models.\textsuperscript{145,146} Other inhibitors that target the MIF–CD74...
interaction have been developed based on antigenic peptide-loaded fragments of class II resulting in reduced severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, the experimental mouse model of multiple sclerosis.\textsuperscript{104,105,107} One such peptide is the DR2-restricted myelin determinant mouse (m) myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)-35–55 covalently linked to a human leukocyte antigen-DR\textsuperscript{α}1 domain (the ‘DR\textsuperscript{α}1-MOG-35–55’ construct) and has been found to reduce central nervous system inflammation and tissue injury in models of multiple sclerosis, ischaemic stroke and traumatic brain injury.\textsuperscript{104,105,107,147} RTL1000 is a variant of this construct additionally containing the \(\beta\)1 domain of DR1 (‘DR\textsuperscript{α}1-\beta\textsuperscript{1}-MOG-35–55’) and is in clinical studies for multiple sclerosis.\textsuperscript{148,149} It is thought that these peptide constructs interfere with MIF/CD74-driven neuroinflammation.\textsuperscript{150}

A note of caution should be sounded regarding their potential application in atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease settings due to the protective role of the MIF/CD74 axis in ischaemic heart disease.\textsuperscript{19,58}

As discussed above, SARs have identified the motifs and residues contributing to the interface between MIF and CXCR2/4 and highlighted differences compared with the classical chemokine ligands CXCL8/1 and CXCL12, respectively. The two-site binding mechanism for MIF and CXCR2 is similar but not identical to that for CXCL1/8, while significant differences were noted for the binding interface of MIF/CXCR4 versus CXCL12/CXCR4.\textsuperscript{14,16,74–76,81,82} Peptides served as important tools in these studies and some of them might become templates for peptide-based anti-MIF strategies in atherosclerosis and inflammation. For example, MIF peptide 47–56, spanning the N-like loop that contributes to site 1 binding with CXCR2, competes with MIF binding to CXCR2 and MIF-mediated atherogenic leukocyte arrest.\textsuperscript{16,76} Stabilized variants of this peptide or related ones spanning MIF

---

### Table 3 Peptides and peptide mimics targeting MIF proteins or their receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide inhibitor</th>
<th>Target/Binding mode</th>
<th>Application/Utility in atherosclerosis (IC\textsubscript{50})</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIF\textsuperscript{79–86} (mouse) LCGLLSDR</td>
<td>MIF/CD74 interface</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = ca. 2–3 (\mu)M</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF\textsuperscript{47–56} (human) LMAFGGSP</td>
<td>MIF Competitive</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50} = ca. 1–2 (\mu)M</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF\textsuperscript{50–65} (human) FGGSEPCALCSLHSI</td>
<td>MIF Competitive</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>176,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserved CDR peptide C36L1 KSSQSFYSSNKNYLA-NH2</td>
<td>CD74</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = upper (\mu)M range</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL1000 Class II-derived Dra1B1MEVGWYRSPSRVHVLYRNGK</td>
<td>CD74 trimer MIF/CD74 axis Competitive</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = nanomolar range</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRα1-MOG-35–55 Class II-derived Dra1MEVGWYRSPSRVHVLYRNGK</td>
<td>CD74 trimer MIF/CD74 axis Competitive</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = nanomolar range</td>
<td>104,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL12(22–29)\textsubscript{2} KGVSLYR-K-RYSLVGK</td>
<td>CXCL12/CXCR4 axis</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL12\textsuperscript{1–19} dimer MNAKVVL-S-LTVVVKANM</td>
<td>CXCL12/CXCR4 axis</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.6 (\mu)M</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac-Arg-Ala-[D-Cys-Arg-Phe-His-Pen]-COOH Derivative of CXCL12 N-terminal</td>
<td>CXCL12/CXCR4 axis</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = 1.5 (\mu)M</td>
<td>158,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVX15 16-residue cyclic peptide analogue of the horseshoe crab peptide polyphemusin</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCoTI-based cyclotides</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = 20 nM</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptides T22 and T140 Polyphemusin II-related synthetic 14–16-meric derivatives</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = 17 (\mu)M</td>
<td>153,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopentapeptide FC131 Head-to-tail-cyclized variant of T140</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = 8 (\mu)M</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptoid 8 Peptoid derivative of FC131</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>IC\textsubscript{50} = 40 (\mu)M</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50} (HIV entry) = 29 (\mu)M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CDR, complementarity-determining region; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; MIF, migration inhibitory factor; MOG, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein.

Note: IC\textsubscript{50} refers to replacement of ligand (MIF, CXCL12) from receptor (CD74, CXCR4).
regions contributing to site 1 or 2 binding might qualify as interesting templates for the future development of MIF-based peptide drugs against atherogenic inflammation.

MIF acts as a partial allosteric agonist of CXCL12, consistent with the notion that the binding interface between MIF and CXCR4 differs from that of CXCL12 and CXCR4. Major differences are the contributions of the extended N-like loop and the cavity around Pro-2 in MIF and the RFFSH motif in CXCL12. This SAR information as well as the crystal structures of MIF and of CXCR4 in complex with small molecules and cyclic peptides give valuable hints as to the development of peptide inhibitors that may specifically block MIF-driven responses.

Of note, CXCR4 pathways have already been targeted by peptide inhibitor strategies. CVX15, a 16-residue cyclic peptide analogue of the horseshoe crab peptide polyphemusin, was co-crystallized with CXCR4 and characterized as an HIV-inhibiting and anti-metastatic agent. Polyphemusin II-related synthetic peptides T22, T140 and FC131 were pioneered by Fujii et al to adopt a β-hairpin conformation stabilized by disulphide bonds, resulting in high-affinity CXCR4 inhibitory peptides with a low nanomolar IC_{50}. This principle was developed further by Kessler and colleagues, who furthered the principle of protein–epitope mimetics and devised novel classes of super high-affinity CXCR4-targeting cyclopeptides for example, by ‘freezing’ the conformation of a CXCR4 ligand into a single-active conformation by using a ‘peptoid’ motif. In another approach, peptide inhibitors were derived from the N-terminus of CXCL12 and further optimized and stabilized to give rise to sub-nanomolar serum-stable CXCL12/CXCR4 inhibitors with anti-metastatic activity in vitro. Furthermore, peptides based on the sequence of CXCR4 were linked in an attempt to mimic the ecto-surface of CXCR4 and shown to compete with HIV-gp120 and HIV entry. MIF is not able to inhibit HIV entry and peptides targeting the MIF/CXCR4 axis have not been systematically studied, although a peptide spanning the RLR motif of MIF competes with MIF-mediated lymphocyte migration.

**Conclusion**

MIF is a pivotal mediator of atherosclerosis, MIF-2/D-DT shares critical inflammatory activities with MIF and the MIF receptors CD74, CXCR2 and CXCR4 have all been implicated in atherosclerosis, suggesting that it will be important to develop therapeutic strategies against MIF proteins in atherosclerosis. Importantly, the MIF network is amenable to targeting by all major inhibitor classes, that is, small molecule compounds, antibodies and peptides (Fig. 1). In fact, inhibitors against MIF and/or its receptors from all three classes are in clinical development and the CXCR4 blocker AMD3100, which is a partial inhibitor of MIF/CXCR4 binding, is an FDA-approved drug in cancer. However, to make such strategies applicable for cardiovascular disease, MIF pathway-specific concepts need to be developed that specifically target the atheropromotive activities of MIF.

Considering the Janus-faced effects of MIF proteins in cardiovascular complications and the complex homeostatic and inflammatory roles of their receptors, this is a challenging task. One strategy might be to specifically target the MIF/CXCR2 interface which is atheropromoting and largely detrimental in the myocardium during an ischaemic insult. Both antibodies and peptide-based compounds such as stabilized derivatives of MIF could potentially qualify as MIF/CXCR2-specific agents. Similarly, inhibitor strategies

---

**Fig. 1** Overview of inhibitory approaches to target the macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF)/receptor network in atherosclerosis. The potential utility of all three classes of anti-MIF network inhibitors, that is, antibodies, small molecule drug (SMD) compounds and peptides, in attenuating atherosclerosis and/or atherogenic inflammation is indicated with respect to MIF and MIF-2/D-Dopachrome tautomerase (D-DT), as well as the MIF receptors CXCR4, CD74 and CXCR2. The pros and cons for each inhibitor-type regarding each target ligand/receptor or pathway are indicated by scoring their properties (e.g. specificity) with +, +/- or −. The outcome boxes are colour-coded (red, pro-atherogenic; green, athero-/cardioprotective).
specifically targeting the MIF/CXCR4 interaction could be envisioned, although great caution would need to be taken to spare the various protective activities of CXCR4 in the atherogenic vasculature and the ischaemic-stressed heart. On the other hand, the cardioprotective effect of MIF and MIF-2 observed in the early phase following MI/RI provides a narrow albeit critical therapeutic window to pharmacologically promote the cardioprotective function of MIF before late-phase inflammatory responses kick in. This could especially be relevant in cardiac surgery patients and one relevant agent is the small molecule agonist MIF-20, which binds near MIF’s tautomerase pocket and has been reported to have protective effects in an experimental model of cardiac ischaemic injury.162 Such a ‘pharmacological augmentation’ strategy would be selective to MIF proteins due to their structurally unique tautomerase cavity and might become particularly important in cohorts of patients identified as MIF ‘low expressers’.19,58 Therapeutically, a combinatorial treatment approach might be considered, in which the cardioprotective effect of MIF in the early phase of MI/RI is carefully and phase-specifically enhanced, followed by phase-specific pharmacological inhibition in the late— inflammatory—phase of MI/RI. Whether any anti-MIF strategy would qualify as a treatment regimen to ‘prevent’ or ‘reverse’ chronic atherogenesis similar to canakinumab will have to be subject to comprehensive future investigations. Reversal of atherosclerotic lesions as observed in an experimental mouse model of plaque regression applying anti-MIF but not anti-CXCL12 or anti-CXCL1 antibodies is a promising start in this direction.14

In conclusion, for applications in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, MIF pathway-specific concepts would need to (1) specifically target the atheroprotective activities of MIF, (2) preserve homeostatic effects of intracellular MIF, (3) take into account the cardioprotective functions of CD74, and/or (4) spare CXCL2/CXCR4-dependent vascular protection pathways. Molecular characteristics of such agents would need to account for the necessities of chronic treatment over the course of lesion development and/or phase-specificity in the sequelae related to acute cardiac ischaemia.
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