Videolaryngoscopy: A Discrete Approach to Awake Intubation!
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Airway is a concern in neurosurgical patients for many reasons. Presence of comorbidities in addition to difficult airway scenario brings challenge to anesthesiologists of another level. A clear understanding of difficult airway algorithm and timely intervention of an appropriate airway device play a critical role in acute management in neurosurgical patients.

We report a case of a 47-year-old woman with Arnold–Chiari malformation posted for foramen magnum decompression under general anesthesia. She was a known case of rheumatoid arthritis for past 12 years on treatment. Her airway examination showed Mallampati grade II and her mouth opening was two fingerbreadths. Neck extension was restricted due to the underlying pathology. In view of anticipated difficult intubation, she was counseled for the awake intubation a day before surgery. She was given 0.2 mg of glycopyrrolate through intramuscular route 30 minutes prior to surgery. A written informed consent was taken from the patient. Superior laryngeal nerve block with 1.5 mL of 2% lignocaine bilaterally followed by recurrent laryngeal nerve block with 3 mL of 2% lignocaine was given successfully under aseptic precaution. Posterior pharyngeal wall and posterior aspect of tongue were anesthetized with 10% lignocaine spray. She was provided supplemental oxygen with nasal prongs at 4 L/min. Since our fiberoptic bronchoscope was not in a functional state, we planned for awake intubation using video laryngoscope (C-MAC). We used video laryngoscope blade size D. Airway was secured with a 7.0-mm internal diameter cuffed polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tube with the help of a bougie. She was comfortable throughout the procedure and maintained peripheral oxygen saturation of 95 to 98%. After successful completion of the surgery, she was shifted to neurosurgical intensive care unit for elective ventilation. She was extubated next day morning uneventfully.

Awake fiberoptic intubation has been a popular choice for the management of the anticipated difficult airway.1 However, fiberoptic intubation remains a challenging technique to learn, and continuous practice is needed to maintain the skill apart from having a learning curve.2 The Fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists (NAP4) reported that awake fiberoptic intubation was not used as the primary airway plan for many patients with high-risk.3 The use of videolaryngoscopes for awake intubation is a relatively new technique. Cochrane database systematic review concluded that failed intubations are significantly fewer when videolaryngoscopy is used compared with fiberoptic bronchoscope in anticipated difficult airway situation.4 According to Rosenstock et al, where they compared awake fiberoptic bronchoscopy and videolaryngoscopy in patients with difficult airway observed that both groups did not differ in median time to tracheal intubation or first-attempt success; however, oxygen desaturation < 90% developed in 21% of the fiberoptic group and 10% of the videolaryngoscope group.5 Videolaryngoscopes provide a wider view of the airway, less loss of orientation, and no limitation on the tracheal tube diameter use in comparison to fiberoptic bronchoscopes. Awake videolaryngoscopic intubation is equally fast and successful as awake fiberoptic intubation. Through our case, we would like to emphasize that the videolaryngoscope should always be the part of difficult airway management armamentarium, whenever it is contemplated.
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