Prosthodontic Rehabilitation of Edentulous Patient with Implant Supported Hybrid Prosthesis: A Case Report
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Abstract

A hybrid denture is fabricated over a metal framework that is retained by screws into the implants. The anterior part of a hybrid denture is fixed on implants and the posterior part of the denture is cantilevered. This case report presents the fabrication of a maxillary implant retained hybrid prosthesis. Four implants were placed in the maxillary arch. Framework was waxed, castable abutments were used, after-casting denture teeth were waxed to the hybrid framework, and a final try-in was done to verify and correct maxillomandibular relations before processing. The prosthesis was inserted after verifying fit of the framework.

Introduction

The prosthodontics rehabilitation of completely edentulous patient is a challenging task. The tissue changes under complete denture prosthesis, anatomic limitations and the psychological make-up of the patient sometimes leads to complications.

Elderly patients are worried about not only functional mastication but also, due to increase in life expectancy, esthetics that are lost due to the loss of the teeth. Treatment modalities may vary from removable to fixed prostheses. Treatment choice may vary due to anatomical limitations and personal preference of the patient, including surgical intervention to restore the soft tissue and bone. Hybrid prosthesis and implant-supported overdentures provide support to the soft tissues as compared with the traditional fixed prosthesis.

Because of combined vertical and horizontal bone loss especially in the maxilla and because adequate number of implants cannot be placed, the traditional fixed prosthesis is not possible. Maintenance of oral hygiene, esthetics, phonetics, and comfort is difficult with traditional fixed prosthesis. These complications can be overcome with hybrid prosthesis that can easily replace the soft tissues and can also resolve problems of component fracture, screw loosening, and bone resorption.

Zarb and Symington developed the hybrid prosthesis by attaching denture teeth with heat-polymerized acrylic resin to a cast metal substructure. The anterior part of a maxillary hybrid denture is fixed on implants whereas the posterior part of the denture is extended and cantilevered from implants. Prosthetic rehabilitation in edentulous patients can be performed by using screw-retained hybrid prosthesis, screw-retained metal ceramic prosthesis, and cement-retained metal ceramic prosthesis. Use of screw-retained prosthesis is recommended for patients suffering from weak denture retention because this type of prosthesis can be easily placed and retrieved. Apart from the aforementioned advantages, hybrid prostheses can also replace soft tissue defects. However, lack of passive fit in the framework and distortion are major obstacles in the process of prosthesis fabrication. Also in screw-retained prostheses, sometimes the screw access channel has to be placed in buccal, lingual, or occlusal aspect of the prosthesis due to the position of the implant, which may interfere with the esthetics and morphology of occlusal surface. Generally, hybrid prosthesis is the recommended treatment for patients suffering from severe alveolar ridge resorption.

This clinical report presents the fabrication of screw-retained maxillary implant-supported hybrid prosthesis.
Case
A 56-year-old male patient reported to the Department of Prosthodontics, Bhojia Dental College and Hospital, Baddi, Himachal Pradesh, with history of missing all maxillary teeth. On intraoral examination, the patient revealed partially dentulous mandibular arch and completely edentulous maxillary arch. The etiology of loss of the teeth was due to periodontal disease. The patient was in possession of removable prosthesis for the maxilla and mandible but was not satisfied and wanted fixed prostheses. Treatment planning was done, and treatment option for hybrid prosthesis was discussed with the patient.

Surgical Phase
Four internal hexed two-stage titanium implants (ADIN, Afula, Israel) were inserted according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Implant locations were 13, 15, 22, and 24. Implants were slightly submerged (Fig. 1). After 4 months of postsurgical period, gingival formers were placed with second-stage surgery.

Prosthetic Phase
After 2 weeks, gingival formers were removed, impression copings were connected, and impressions were made using open-tray technique. Long fixation screws with impression copings were used for better connection allowing precise connection to the implant. Windows were cut in the tray to allow internal screw to be loosened. Tray adhesive was applied to the tray before making the impression. C-Silicone (Zetaplus, Zhermack SpA, Italy) material was used. Impression copings were incorporated into the impression, and retained screws were unscrewed and removed. Then tray was removed capturing the impression copings (Fig. 2). Gingival formers were again attached to the implants in the patient's mouth.

Impressions were poured in die stone (Ultrarock, Kalabhai Karson Ltd., Mumbai, India) to form master casts. Record bases and occlusal rims were fabricated, and maxillomandibular relations were recorded (Fig. 3). Mounting of master casts was done, and castable abutments were attached to the implant analogs in the master cast and connected together with the pattern resin to form a jig (Fig. 4).

This jig was then tried in the patient’s mouth. The framework was waxed, cast, recovered, and fitted on the master cast, and then was tried in the patient’s mouth (Fig. 5). Teeth were waxed and tried to verify the jaw relations.
Processing of the hybrid prosthesis was done conventionally. The prosthesis was finished polished and screwed in the patient’s mouth (►Fig. 6). The patient was motivated and educated for oral hygiene, recall, and maintenance of the prosthesis.

Discussion

If interocclusal space is sufficient, better esthetics can be achieved. Bulkiness of metal substructure and replacement of soft tissues are difficult with conventional fixed
prosthesis. In addition to better esthetics, hybrid prosthesis works as shock absorber and better stress distribution to implants. On the other hand, prosthetic part of hybrid prosthesis replaces the soft tissues and offer better oral hygiene maintenance.

In excessive parafunctional habits, polymethylmethacrylate fails to provide mechanical, biological, and esthetic properties. To reduce the chances of fracture, the hybrid denture gets the support from the metal framework. The most important technical aspect of retained prostheses is the passive fit of the substructure. There are numerous methods to achieve passive fit, but still flaws exist in impression making techniques and casting procedures, which leads to errors. We require internal surface adjustments of the supra-structure and precise laboratory work. If the framework is not having an adequate fit, it should be cut, joined with acrylic resin and soldered, which might add to the costs to both the patient and the dentist.

Rehabilitation of the completely edentulous patient with hybrid denture leads to better function and esthetics than conventional overdentures.

**Conclusion**

This technique describes the screw-retained system for hybrid prosthesis and is indicated for complete dental reconstruction, especially in patients with severe bone loss. Implants can be easily accessed through unscrewing the metal framework.
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