Wilckodontics: The Periodontal Orthodontics
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Abstract

Periodontally accelerated osteogenic orthodontics (PAOO), also known as Wilckodontics, is a clinical procedure that combines corticotomy (a surgical technique in which the bone is cut, perforated, or mechanically altered), particulate bone grafting, and orthodontic force application. By this procedure, the teeth can be made to move through the bone rapidly by means of harnessing and stimulating the innate potential of the bone and utilizing tissue engineering principles. Once the tooth movement gets completed, bone rebuilds around the tooth, thereby reducing the time of orthodontic treatment from years to months. This article aims to present a comprehensive review about PAOO or Wilckodontics.
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Introduction

The term Wilckodontics or periodontally accelerated osteogenic orthodontics (PAOO) describes a classic relationship between orthodontic and periodontic specialties by which orthodontic tooth movement can synchronize with tissue engineering principle of periodontal regenerative surgery to

• move the teeth rapidly through the bone1;
• reduce appliance-associated discomfort;
• and increase stability through the creation of novel local osseous phenotype.2

In this procedure, surgical trauma triggers the release of inflammatory mediator leading to vasodilation of blood vessels and increasing the recruitment of osteoclasts at surgical sites. This in turn accelerates bone remodeling, whereas, on the other hand, corticotomy decreases the bone mineral density, thereby decreasing the resistance of dentoalveolar tissues to orthodontic forces and increased risk of root resorption.1

Historical Background

The concept of corticotomy-facilitated tooth movement was first described in 1893, by L.C. Bryan, which was then published in textbook by Guilford.4 In 1959, Henrich Kole said that the resistance to tooth movement was caused by the thickness and continuity of the cortical bone. This led to the invention of “bony block movement” in which he stated that by disrupting the continuity of the cortical bone, it was possible to move the blocks of bone in which the teeth were embedded.

In 1975, Duker studied the effect of corticotomy on the tooth, vitality, and concluded that the marginal bone must be preserved and interdental cuts should be made 2 mm apical to level of alveolar crest.5 This technique was then modified by Wilcko et al, in which they included alveolar augmentation along with corticotomy assisted orthodontic tooth movement by using combination of de-mineralized freeze dried bone allograft (DFDBA)/xenograft/absorbable allograft and named it as PAOO.6

Criteria for Patient Selection

• Class 1 malocclusion with moderate to severe crowding or constricted maxilla.
• Severe bimaxillary protrusion.
• Mild class III malocclusion.
• Class II malocclusion requiring expansion.
• Molar uprighting.
• Facilitate eruption of impacted teeth.
Contraindications
- Patient having active periodontal disease.
- Severe class III cases.
- Patients having osteoporosis or other bone diseases.
- Patients under long-term medication such as steroids or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).
- Patients with systemic diseases.

Technique of Periodontally Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics

Following proper case selection and orthodontic bracket placement (1 week prior to the surgery), crevicular incision is given under local anesthesia, buccally and lingually extending at least up to two teeth beyond the primary area to be treated.\(^7\)

Flap

Full-thickness flaps are carefully reflected in the coronal area both labially and lingually, whereas in the apical area, a partial-thickness flap is raised to allow flap mobility at the time of suture. In maxillary central incisor area, the interdental papilla is preserved for esthetics. Thorough debridement and curettage is done following the reflection of flap (►Fig. 1).\(^8\)

Decortication

Alveolar bone activation is done with selective decortications using number 1 or 2 round bur or piezoelectric knife.

Grooving

Vertical grooves are placed in the interradicular spaces extending from 2 to 3 mm below the alveolar crest up to 2 mm beyond apices of the roots. A circular-shaped horizontal corticotomy then connects these vertical corticotomies (►Fig. 2).\(^8\)

Particulate Bone Grafting

The activated bone is layered with particulate bone grafting material after bone activation. Clindamycin phosphate or bacteriostatic water solution of approximately 5 mg/mL or platelet-rich plasma is used to wet the particulate bone grafting material, which facilitates the ease of placement.\(^9\) DFDBA, autogenous bone, deproteinized bovine bone, or a combination is usually used for bone grafting (►Fig. 3).\(^8\)

Flap Closure

Interrupted loop sutures are used to approximate the flaps with a nonresorbable material. Flap should be closed under minimal tension. A time period of 2 weeks is ideal for the epithelial attachment establishment, and suture is removed only after this time period (►Fig. 4).\(^10\)

Post-surgical management: Instructions are given for use of antibiotics, analgesics, mouthwash, and ice pack application (in case of postoperative swelling).\(^10\)

Orthodontic Adjustments

Orthodontic treatment should be initiated immediately within 2 weeks, and a heavy orthodontic force must be applied on the teeth following flap repositioning.\(^10\)
Review of Literature

In 2001, Wilcko et al analyzed two cases and demonstrated that this method offers short treatment times, has the ability to simultaneously reshape, and increases the buccolingual thickness of the supporting bone.\(^{11}\)

In 2013 Guiol et al performed a surgical technique for maxillary autobone grafting complementary and simultaneously to Le Fort I orthognathic surgery. Their results showed improved and uneventful healing without any excess morbidity.\(^ {12}\)

In 2015, Tan et al presented a case report of two patients with severe bimaxillary protrusion. The mean retraction rate was 1.24 mm/mo for the first case and 1.212 mm/mo for second case by using this procedure. Hence they concluded that Wilckodontics is a viable treatment option for quick results.\(^ {13}\)

In 2016, Cheung et al evaluate mini implant facilitated micro-osteoperforation (MOPs) in rats. They found that mini implant facilitated MOPs accelerated tooth movement without any increased risk of root resorption.\(^ {14}\)

In 2018, Viwattanatipa and Charnchairerk performed a systematic review to evaluate the effectiveness of corticotomy and piezocision in canine retraction. They concluded that this procedure resulted in higher level of patient satisfaction and treatment outcomes (►Figs. 5, 6).\(^ {15}\)

Advantages

1. Acceleration in tooth movement, decrease in treatment time, and less chances of relapse.
2. Less chances of root resorption.
3. Materials such as metal, gold or ceramic brackets can be used.
4. Because the tooth movement occurs through softened bone, there is less discomfort to the patient.

Disadvantages

1. More expensive than functional braces.
2. Additional surgery is required.
3. Increase in the possibility of pain, swelling, and infection following surgery.

Advances

Laser: Laser-assisted corticotomy is considered as useful procedure as it is noninvasive. It uses the erbium, chromium-doped yttrium scandium garnet (Er-Cr:YSGG) laser radiation for reduction in the cortical bone without any increased risk of root resorption.\(^ {16}\)

Monocortical Tooth Dislocation and Ligament Distraction Technique

Monocortical tooth dislocation and ligament distraction (MTDLD) technique is a combination of two different dental movements that work separately at the same time on two opposite root surfaces. Both vertical and horizontal microsurgical corticotomies are performed to eliminate the cortical bone resistance with peizosurgical microsaw. This is followed by immediate application of strong biomechanical forces, which causes rapid dislocation of the roots and bone together.\(^ {6}\)

Conclusion

PAOO is an interdisciplinary treatment modality involving orthodontic as well as periodontic treatment approaches. It aims at reducing the treatment time and risks of root resorption. Traditional orthodontic treatment aims only at tooth movement consuming a lot of time. Combining this orthodontic tooth movement with periodontal surgeries facilitated faster treatment results. Assessment of the treatment quality, however, still remains point of concern by many authors. More clinical research is required to evaluate long-term effectiveness of this technique.
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