Surgical Site Infection: The Clinical and Economic Impact
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Abstract

Surgical site infection (SSI) following colorectal surgery is associated with worse postoperative outcomes, longer length of stay, and higher rates of readmission. SSI rates have been established as a surrogate metric for the overall quality of surgical care and are intricately tied to financial incentives and the public reputation of an institution. While risk factors and prevention mechanisms for SSI are well established, the rates of SSI remain high. This article discusses the clinical and economic impact of SSI and strategies for mitigating the risk of SSI through bundled prevention practices.

Modern germ theory rejects the historical surgeon’s reliance on “laudable pus” as an indication of healing from surgical injury. The prevention of surgical site infection (SSI) is increasing in clinical and economic relevance. Mechanisms to predict and prevent SSI pervade the literature, yet the economic impact of SSI and implementation of prevention programs have been incompletely described. Colorectal surgeons are leading the movement to improve rates of SSI and their work is of interest to other surgeons, patients, hospitals, and payer groups. This article will discuss current evidence and practice surrounding clinical implications of superficial SSI, the economic impact of these infections, and strategies for mitigating this complication through effective implementation of standardized care bundles.

SSI has always been of interest to surgeons and patients, and the development of quality improvement metrics and reporting has allowed scientific investigation to occur at a national scale. Risk factors associated with SSI include patient comorbidities, intraoperative factors, and postoperative wound management. Poor outcomes associated with SSI include increased morbidity, length of hospital stay, readmission, and mortality. Patients undergoing colorectal surgery are disproportionately affected by SSI1 with rates of up to 25%, and up to 55% of these infections have been deemed preventable.2-3 The importance of prevention of SSI is underscored with the incorporation into national guidelines and financial penalties.

In addition to the association with poor clinical outcomes, high SSI rates are associated with negative economic consequences.3 A longer hospital stay represents a direct cost to the hospital system and the payer, but also has patient and societal economic implications from cost of supplies and nursing care, to extended loss of work/productivity. In light of this, financial incentives and penalties are associated with SSI outlier status through Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).

Bundled SSI prevention practices are well characterized in the literature4-6 with the aggregate effect of the bundle exceeding the isolated impact of each component. While conceptually well accepted among colorectal surgeons, cost, variability in bundle components, and barriers to implementation have stunted widespread adoption. Despite current bundles being developed and incorporated in academic institutional silos, increasing data regarding efficacy and cost-effectiveness will likely promote uptake within all hospital types.
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Development of quality initiatives has garnered national support following the 1999 publication *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System* and increasing public attention toward preventable harm in the hospital setting. SSI has become the surrogate for surgical quality at large leading to programs for institutional monitoring and benchmarking SSI rates. As early as the 1970s, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention began the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) program which allowed for voluntary and confidential reporting of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), and allowed for interhospital comparison. In the 1980s, the Veterans Affairs (VA) Hospitals was scrutinized for its surgical quality compared with the private sector. Given that quality outcomes for comparison were not widely available, projects to fill this process gap were promoted. As a result of the VA studies, the American College of Surgeons (ACS) National Surgical Quality Improvement Project (NSQIP) was developed in 1994 to provide data tracking and analytics for postoperative complications. The program was expanded to the private sector in 1999. In 2005, NNIS was merged with other smaller programs into the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) with the intention of increasing ease and accuracy of reporting, with the intention of using surveillance and benchmarks as a mechanism for quality improvement. In contrast to NHSN, the NSQIP generates data from patient charts, inclusive of 30-day inpatient and outpatient outcomes, and is risk adjusted for comparisons. While these programs use different methods of data acquisition, monitoring within an institution can occur over time and comparisons can be made between institutions. The programs allow for comparison of the success of quality improvement programs both within institutions overtime and nationally.

Early research on the negative impact of SSI on postoperative recovery and the development of programs to follow rates of SSI stimulated the 2002 Surgical Care Improvement Project measures to be developed and implemented. These measures led to the Joint Commission best practice guidelines, which have most recently been updated in 2014. These guidelines are presented in the publication *A Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals* and include parenteral preoperative antibiotics 1 hour prior to incision, antibiotic coverage consistent with published guidelines, antibiotics discontinued within 24 hours of surgery, normothermia, standardization of skin preparation, and patient and family education. The Joint Commission Center for Transforming Health Care reported a 32% reduction in SSI, and a savings of $3.7 million following implementation of their guidelines emphasizing the benefit of attention to prevention of SSI.

Concerns regarding the validity of each program for monitoring SSI have been outlined. Specific concerns regarding NHSN and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) measures include the use of unadjusted rates and exclusive inclusion of inpatient infections. These concerns are relevant in that complex subspecialty practices may have higher rates of SSI than general elective community practices and that the inpatient assessment dramatically underrepresents SSI, up to half of which are diagnosed in the outpatient setting. Despite 30-day inpatient and outpatient follow-up, NSQIP captures only a sample of cases, and these are exclusively from participating institutions. Additionally, the caliber of documentation must be monitored closely, as Speicher et al note in their discussion of SSI following hepatopancreatobiliary (HPB) surgery “seemingly modest changes in documentation can lead to substantial changes in perceived outcomes and performance” for SSI. Attempts to adjust for complexity of case and patient populations predisposed to SSI have led to rigorous research of SSI predictors and their associated outcomes.

Predictors of SSI following colorectal surgery have been established and validated. Preliminarily, the NNIS SSI risk index of the late 20th century created an operation-specific score utilizing American Society of Anesthesiologists class, wound classification, and operative duration. Subsequently, through retrospective large database analysis and prospective studies, more granular measures of SSI risk have been developed. ACS NSQIP developed a risk calculator to assess a patient’s risk for postoperative complications in the clinic setting. Included variables are demographics, comorbidities, and procedure variables. Key unmodifiable predictors of increased risk include contaminated and dirty wound classification, multiple comorbidities, obesity, and operative duration. Potentially modifiable factors are targeted in guidelines and bundled prevention practices and include bowel preparation with antibiotics, appropriate timing and coverage of parenteral antibiotics, use of chlorhexidine skin preparation, glucose control, intraoperative normothermia, appropriate oxygenation, and open compared with laparoscopic surgery. Substantial evidence exists for mechanical bowel preparation with enteral antibiotics to minimize the risk of SSI for patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Infusion of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin with metronidazole, or ertapenem within an hour of incision, with appropriate weight base dosing, and time-appropriate re-dosing is imperative to minimize risk of SSI. Standardized chlorhexidine skin preparation has been shown to decrease SSI rates, and is included in many prevention bundles. Glycemic control in the intraoperative and postoperative period has been incorporated into cardiac surgery guidelines, and is increasingly being recognized in colorectal surgery as a key factor in minimizing SSI risk. Normothermia and prevention of hypothermia with forced warm-air heating blankets are associated with decreased SSI risk. Minimally invasive techniques provide a 50% reduction in postoperative SSI compared with open surgery, and are becoming the standard of care in colorectal surgery. While these components have been implemented with variable uptake, bundled SSI prevention practices are being shown to be of greater efficacy than the isolated components. This is described in detail later.

Concurrent with studies to identify predictors, and therefore modify and prevent SSI in colorectal surgical patients,
additional studies have established the impact of SSI on clinical outcomes. While clear associations between SSI and adverse outcomes are present, quantifying the impact on additional outcomes is relevant to prognosticating how patients will do in the postoperative period. Length of stay and features of readmission were important in establishing the cost of SSI, therefore allowing analytics regarding cost-effectiveness of different prevention programs. Adult patients diagnosed with SSI during their inpatient hospitalization have a longer length of stay compared with unaffected counterparts (9.5 vs. 8.1 days) (Fig. 1). This has been demonstrated in pediatric populations as well, with an increase of 10 hospital days and an increase of $27,000 in costs. However, nearly 50% of SSIs are diagnosed in the outpatient setting. This phenomenon leads to variable rates of reported SSI based on the clinical data extraction technique: AHRQ versus NSQIP versus internal institutional monitoring programs as described earlier. Additionally, outpatient SSI diagnoses are associated with readmissions at a higher frequency than unaffected counterparts (27.8 vs. 6.8%) and these readmissions are on average 2 days longer in duration than readmissions for other causes.

Preventative measures incorporated at the institutional and national level include appropriate choice and timing of prophylactic antibiotics, perioperative hair clipping, glucose control, and normothermia. Despite the development of standardized quality measures, including best practice guidelines from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the World Health Organization, adherence to individual guidelines alone has not reduced the patient harm caused by SSI to an acceptable level. In light of this, alternate methodologies to improve SSI rates have been implemented. Enhanced recovery pathways and bundled SSI reduction protocols have become common in colorectal surgery groups in an attempt to improve postoperative outcomes. During this time, minimally invasive surgical technique has demonstrated substantial improvements in SSI rates compared with open procedures with reductions as high as 60% with use of minimally invasive surgery compared with open surgical techniques. Bundle protocols are proposed as a cost-effective mechanism for further reduction in SSI when implemented in aggregate.

**Economic**

The true cost of SSI is difficult to quantify given variations in methodology utilizing inconsistent intrinsic and extrinsic costs. National estimates reach more than $3 billion dollars annually. Intrinsic costs of SSI to patient care are in the management of the infection: additional operations, procedures, nursing, wound management personnel time, infectious disease interventions, loss of time from work, and costs associated with home health. Extrinsic costs are substantial, including time away from work, functional decline, litigation, the reputation of the medical center, and the impact of variable reimbursement and penalties based on performance. Additional costs accrued by patients with SSI have been reported in a wide range from $1,400 to $40,500 with superficial SSI accruing less cost than deep space infections. In 2013, Zimlichman et al estimated the additional cost of SSI to be $20,785 (95% confidence interval: $18,902–$22,667) per occurrence. This is comparable to the estimates by de Lissovoy et al (2009), $20,842, and Wick et al (2011), $14,608. Patients accept variable out-of-pocket costs, but estimates around $6,200 have been proposed for the cost of home wound management of SSI which further emphasizes the need for effective prevention programs. Despite heterogeneity in methodology to determine costs, programs to optimize postoperative care such as enhanced recovery pathways and SSI bundles have been evidence to support cost-effectiveness.

Quality-based reimbursements and penalties are associated with rates of SSI, specifically those deemed to be HAIs.
Reimbursement Penalties from Center Medicare/Medicaid Services. HAC, hospital-acquired condition; RRP, readmission reduction program; VBP: value-based payment.

Fig. 3 Reimbursement penalties from Center Medicare/Medicaid Services. HAC, hospital-acquired condition; RRP, readmission reduction program; VBP: value-based payment.

by the CMS. CMS assigns financial penalties of up to 6% of reimbursement for institutions not meeting the compliance metrics outlined in best practice guidelines (Fig. 3). Additionally, CMS has reduced reimbursements to institutions that fall below 25% in their quality measure score, calculated using rates of central line-associated bloodstream infection, catheter-associated urinary tract infection, SSI (colorectal surgery and abdominal hysterectomy), methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia, and Clostridium difficile infection. Prior CMS reimbursement penalties have been effective in reducing poor outcomes in hospitalized patients. HAIs were reduced by ~10% following changes to reimbursement. However, other hospital-acquired conditions such as pressure ulcers and falls did not have associated decreases following reimbursement changes. While enthusiasm for medical cost containment drives the development of policies such as those outlined earlier, prior analysis of CMS reimbursement withholdings in the settings of complications has shown only modest national cost savings. Alternate strategies to pay-for-performance such as pay-for-participation may ultimately lead to novel improvements in surgical quality. However, the evidence toward improved quality in conjunction with modest financial improvements will likely garner enough support to maintain the pay-for-performance guidelines.

Prevention Bundles

Robust literature exists to support bundled SSI prevention practices as a mechanism to improve SSI rates. While bundled practices are becoming conceptually accepted among colorectal surgeons, substantial perceived barriers to implementation remain. Previous studies stress the formation of multidisciplinary teams as a key component in establishing process improvement. Additionally, iterative changes performed in the multidisciplinary setting have improved guideline adherence, decreased the SSI rate, and decreased emergence of resistant organisms.

In 2007, Hedrick et al described success in the implementation of a multifactorial colorectal wound management protocol at a single institution. In their study, a 39% reduction in SSI followed implementation of their bundle, which included features of appropriate antibiotic administration and timely discontinuation, normothermia, and perioperative glucose management. Improvements in compliance in three of the components were observed. Additionally, the success observed in colorectal SSI bundle implementation also led to improvements of SSI rates in other intra-abdominal operative cohorts at their institution.

Following early successes, Bull et al described similar bundle implementation at a single institution in Australia. Their protocol included implementing protocols for intraoperative normothermia, fraction of inspired oxygen delivery goals intraoperatively, systolic blood pressure goals, glycemic control, and appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis. Overall, they saw low compliance with each specific measure; however, they saw a measurable improvement in the SSI rate. Their institution identified barriers to the implementation of staff education and turnover, and resource utilization which were partially overcome by the engagement of a project champion who, over time, improved the institutional adherence to the protocol.

In 2014, Keenan et al described a large single institution SSI prevention bundle implementation project with preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative protocol described later (Fig. 5). Their study demonstrated marked improvements on univariate analysis in superficial wound infection (24.9 vs. 5.6% p < 0.001), sepsis (10.4 vs. 2.3%, p < 0.001), and length of stay (6 vs. 5 days, p < 0.001). Additionally, they established the 35% higher variable direct costs associated with SSI occurrence. Their SSI prevention bundle components preoperatively included a chlorhexidine shower, a mechanical bowel preparation with oral antibiotics, ertapenem as the parenteral antibiotics of choice 1 hour prior to incision, and field preparation with chlorhexidine alcohol. Intraoperatively, it featured the use of a fascial wound protector, a gown and glove change prior to fascial closure, a dedicated wound closure tray, and limited operating room traffic to key personnel. As in prior protocols, intraoperative and postoperative time periods, euglycemia, and normothermia were maintained. Postoperatively, the key features of the bundle include removal of the sterile dressing within 48 hours and daily washing of the incision with chlorhexidine. Across all phases of care, education regarding SSI prevention was reinforced to patients, families, and care providers.

Most recently, in 2017, Vu et al presented their encouraging regional data from the Michigan Collaborative. They demonstrated multi-institutional improvements in postoperative outcomes through the increased uptake of three features of their recommended SSI prevention bundle. The Michigan Surgical Quality Collaborative provided funding to participating institutions to implement their bundle in an autonomous fashion with focus on preoperative parenteral antibiotics, mechanical bowel preparation with enteral antibiotics, and normoglycemia from 2012 to 2016. Over the study period, they increased adherence to the components of the bundle, and decreased their SSI rate from 6.7 to 3.9% (p < 0.05) (Figs. 6 and 7). Their experience provides
evidence for success of regional implementation with significant improvement in SSI, where prior studies were intuition specific.

A single institution utilized their 30-day NSQIP data to compare SSI in colorectal surgical patients, following implementation of an enhanced recovery pathway and a SSI prevention bundle. The authors found a reduction in infection rates and a reduction in overall cost for colorectal surgical admissions. Following implementation of an enhanced recovery pathway, they saw a reduction in length of stay, which frequently is used as a cost surrogate. The implementation of the SSI prevention bundle decreased rates of superficial site infection (16.1% vs. 6.3%, \( p < 0.01 \)) and sepsis (11.2% vs. 1.8%, \( p < 0.01 \)). While some overhead costs exist in the implementation of such programs, overall cost for admissions can be reduced ($31,926 in 2008 vs. $22,044 in 2013, \( p < 0.01 \)). This study expands on the previous efficacy study of bundled SSI prevention and enhanced recovery pathways. Their experience demonstrates feasible, cost-effective improvements in SSI rates with successful implementation of comprehensive perioperative pathways (—Fig. 8).

**Bundle of care for patients undergoing colorectal surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bundle component</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature maintained ≥36°C peri-operatively and for 1 h postoperatively</td>
<td>Recommendations included documentation of temperature, use of warmed blankets pre- and postoperatively, use of Bair Huggers and warmed fluids intra-operatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of inspired oxygen delivered maintained ≥0.8 intra-operatively; adequate oxygenation for 4 h postoperatively</td>
<td>Adequate postoperative oxygenation was defined initially as administration of at least 6 L oxygen/min and regular monitoring of oxygen saturation. Later in the project, this was changed to use of a high-flow non-rebreathing mask for 4 h postoperatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure maintained ≥90 mmHg intra- and postoperatively</td>
<td>Documentation of pre- and intra-operative blood sugar level was requested from February 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar level maintained ≤10 mmol pre- and intra-operatively</td>
<td>Appropriate choice, timing and second dose for prolonged procedures (&gt;3 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 Surgical site infection prevention bundle described by Bull et al (2011).

Fig. 5 Surgical site infection prevention bundle described by Keenan et al (2014).
Conclusion

SSI remains a critical metric for quality of colorectal surgical care. SSI rates are inextricably related to patient and institution, clinical and financial outcomes, and prevention is of paramount importance. Refining clinical predictors associated with SSI will allow for appropriate counseling of patients and improved risk adjustment in reporting SSI rates. Granular cost data are important in developing national and institutional budgets and appropriate reimbursements and penalties. Bundled SSI prevention practices in aggregate are promising in reducing SSI rates to below what can be achieved through isolated guidelines alone, and while individual institutions have shown promise in both clinical and financial outcomes, widespread implementation remains elusive. Further research in implementation sciences and the development of policy to maximize prevention strategies will be required to best serve the patients of the future.

Fig. 6 Impact surgical site infection prevention bundle by Michigan Collaborative described by Vu et al (2017).

Fig. 7 Postoperative complication rates and surgical site infection rates by surgical site infection (SSI) prevention bundle compliance score (Vu et al, 2017).
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