An Improved Method of Percutaneous Transhepatic Biliary Drainage Combining Ultrasound-Guided Bile Duct Puncture with Metal Stent Implantation by Fluoroscopic Guidance and Endoscopic Visualization as a One-Step Procedure: A Retrospective Cohort Study
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Aims In recently published comparative studies, it is reported that percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) is less successful, causes more adverse events, and needs more re-interventions than endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) in patients with malignant extrahepatic bile duct obstruction when endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) fails. Could an improved technique of PTBD produce better results to use this technique for further comparative studies with EUSBD?

Methods In our tertiary referral hospital, 116 prospectively documented and retrospectively analyzed PTBDs with ultrasound guided ductal puncture were performed. In 16 of 30 PTBDs with metal stent implantation in malignant diseases, metal stent was inserted as a one-step procedure by endoscopic luminal guidance in the first session.

Results Fifteen of 16 (94%) or 14/16 (88%) of PTBDs with primary metal stent implantation were technically or clinically successful. Mainly the left liver was used as access route for PTBD. Procedure time was 68.1 minutes (25–118), fluoroscopic time: 18.6 minutes (3–46), and patient radiation exposure: 5957 µGy/m² (471–17,569). In 2/16 (12.5%) patients, adverse events (1 × mild and 1 × moderate grade of severity) were documented. One re-intervention was necessary (0.1/patient) in the observation time of 6 months. The mean overall survival time was 163.2 (7–864) days after PTBD.

Conclusions PTBD with ultrasound-guided ductal puncture and primary metal stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance in patients with malignant extrahepatic bile duct obstruction showed good technical and clinical success and low adverse event and reintervention rates in our retrospective cohort study.

Clinical Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT03541590.
Introduction

Endoscopic drainage or stenting is the method of first choice in the therapy of malignant bile duct obstruction in comparison with percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) ever since the study from Speer et al showed a significantly higher success rate for relief of jaundice (81% versus 61%) and a significantly lower 30-day mortality rate (15% versus 33%) in 1987. From then on, PTBD was commonly used as a reserve method when endoscopic drainage or stenting was not successful or otherwise was not possible for anatomic reasons after abdominal surgery. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) was first described in 2001 by Giovannini et al and is now a rapidly evolving method for biliary drainage in patients with malignant bile duct obstruction in this setting. A recent meta-analysis about efficacy and safety in EUS-BD in comparison with PTBD included six completely published studies and three abstracts with 483 patients and showed better clinical success, fewer post-procedural adverse events, and a lower rate of re-interventions for EUS-BD. The authors concluded that EUS-BD may be preferred to PTBD if adequate endoscopic expertise and logistics are available. The question is rather—was the full potential of PTBD exploited in these studies when it was compared with the different procedures of EUS-BD?

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage is usually performed under fluoroscopic guidance in which the initial puncture of the intrahepatic bile duct is performed with the help of anatomic landmarks without a direct view of the bile duct. Color Doppler ultrasound-guided PTBD facilitates bile duct access, and injury of intrahepatic vessels can be avoided more effectively. External percutaneous drainages can both cause bad patient comfort and pain and carry the risk of dislocation and other adverse events. Therefore, external drainages should be avoided. Furthermore, success and complications of PTBD are influenced by the liver entry segment. Hence, the left-sided liver access should be preferred whenever possible. In patients with malignant bile duct obstruction, a metal stent implantation can be performed via PTBD as an effective palliative treatment. In our institution, the placement of the self-expandable metal stent is always controlled by endoscopic luminal guidance when the papilla is still accessible endoscopically. Therefore, technical success can be documented immediately, no external drainage has to be left behind, and it may be easier to perform endoscopic re-interventions in case of an occluded metal stent. In what follows, we retrospectively screened all prospectively documented PTBDs that were performed in our institution in the last 9 years. We extracted these ultrasound-guided PTBDs, which were performed in patients with malignant bile duct obstruction with primary metal stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance as a one step-procedure (mainly with left sided liver access). In this cohort, the technical and clinical success of metal stent implantation via PTBD, adverse events, and re-intervention rate as the follow-up after stent implantation were analyzed.

Patients and Methods

Patients

The study (NCT03541590) was reviewed and approved by the local institutional review board. Data collection was performed prospectively according to the updated 2013 World Medical Association (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used anonymous clinical data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent. Analysis of the data was performed retrospectively. A total of 116 color Doppler ultrasound-guided PTBD procedures in patients with benign and malignant bile duct obstruction were enrolled consecutively in the study from December 2008 to May 2018. Patient selection is shown in a flow chart. Thirty color Doppler ultrasound-guided PTBDs in patients with inoperable, malignant diseases with primary (i.e., inserted in the first session) and secondary (i.e., inserted in a follow up session) metal stent implantation were analyzed.
were extracted from this cohort of participants. In 86 excluded PTBDs, plastic endoprosthesis (mainly internal drainag-
es) was inserted for different reasons. Out of 14 PTBDs with secondary metal stent implantation, 8 patients received PTBD with primary plastic endoprosthesis in referring hos-
pitals, and in 6 patients, metal stent implantation was not intended initially. Sixteen patients with primary metal stent implantation met the following criteria for inclusion and exclusion—inclusion criteria: age ≥18 years, not curatively operable, malignant disease with proximal or distal bile duct obstruction, elevated serum bilirubin level and/or elevated alkaline phosphatase to at least a two-fold degree, histologi-
ically verified diagnosis (for example by biopsy), and at least one implemented cross-sectional imaging method, such as computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging of the abdomen and exclusion criteria: uncorrectable coagulopathy (prothrombin time < 50%, platelet count < 50,000/µL, and partial thromboplastin time (PTT) > 50 s), advanced tumor disease with limited life expectancy (<1 month), diffuse liver metastasis, pregnant or breastfeeding women, potentially curatively operable, malignant bile duct obstruction, and diseases which can be cured by chemotherapy (for example, aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma).

Methods

When endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) failed due to tumor stenosis or a difficult papilla or was otherwise anatomically impossible (altered anatomy after abdominal surgery), PTBD was performed next in all patients. PTBD with initial color Doppler ultrasound-guided bile duct puncture was conducted, as previously described.15 Left-sided liver access was preferred (►Fig. 2). After the guide wire was placed beyond the tumor stenosis, a second inves-
tigator introduced a standard (outer diameter of the distal end: 9.9 mm) gastrointestinal videoscope (GASTROINTES-
TINAL VIDEOSCOPE GIF-HQ190, Olympus) or a thin (outer diameter of the distal end: 5.4 mm) pediatric gastrointestinal videoscope (GASTROINTESTINAL VIDEOSCOPE GIF-HQ190) into the duodenum passing the tumor stenosis. Then an uncovered or partially covered (8–10 mm × 60–100 mm) self-expandable metal stent (SEMS) (Boston Scientific; Endo-
flex) was percutaneously inserted by fluoroscopic (►Fig. 3) and endoscopic luminal guidance (►Fig. 4) in the same ses-
sion. In contrast, a duodenovideoscope (VIDEO-DUODENOSCOPE TJF-Q180V, Olympus) with a larger diameter (13.7 mm) and a less flexible distal end could not be introduced to the papilla in all patients with duodenal tumor obstruction. Only in three patients with tumor recurrence at the biliodigestive anastomosis or status post gastrectomy, stent release was performed without endoscopic luminal guidance (►Fig. 5).

Fig. 2 Fluoroscopic image of PTBD with left sided liver access. PTBD, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage.

Fig. 3 Percutaneous transhepatic metal stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance (fluoroscopic image). The endoscope was introduced through a previously implanted duodenal metal stent.

Fig. 4 Percutaneous transhepatic metal stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance (endoscopic image).
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Adverse events and number of re-interventions in the peri-
chemotherapy (yes or no, chemotherapy protocol), survival
od of 6 months after the technical successful intervention.

Exposure for the patient (µGy/m²), fluoroscopic time in min-
s (defined as the time from the injected local anesthe-
tic to the attachment of the skin patch), applied radiation
time (defined as the time from the injected local anesthe-
sia to the attachment of the skin patch), applied radiation
exposure for the patient (µGy/m²), fluoroscopic time in min-
utes, and technical success. Technical success was defined as
successful implantation of a self-expanding metal stent to
drain the obstructed bile duct, measured by the successful
drainage of the radiocontrast agent by the metal stent; time
frame: 1 minute after injection of a radiocontrast agent into
the expanded metal stent.

The delay was caused by diffuse tumor infiltration and by a
duodenal metal stent in direct vicinity to the inserted biliary
stent (n = 9), biliodigestive anastomosis after pan-
creaticoduodenectomy (n = 2), gastric outlet obstruction by
tumor (n = 1), status post gastrectomy (n = 2), hilar cholan-
giocarcinoma (n = 1), or difficult papilla by tumor infiltration
(n = 1). Malignant biliary obstruction was mainly caused by
pancreas carcinoma (n = 10), hilar or distal cholangiocarci-
noma (n = 2), duodenal carcinoma (n = 2), carcinoma of the
duodenal papilla (n = 1), or gastric cancer (n = 1) (→ Table 1).

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage with primary metal stent implantation was mainly performed by
endoscopic luminal guidance (13/16). In three patients,
endoscopic luminal guidance was not possible due to
altered anatomy (biliodigestive anastomosis or status post
gastrectomy). Left liver side was mainly used as access route
(14/16). The metal stent that was used most had a diam-
eter of 10 mm and a length of 80 mm. Procedure time was
on average 68.1 minutes (25–118), fluoroscopic time was
on average 18.6 minutes (3–46), and the radiation exposure
was on average 5957 µGy/m² (471–17,569). The intervent-
ion was technically successful in 94% of cases (15/16).
In one patient, a second attempt was necessary (document-
ed as re-intervention) (→ Table 2).

Clinical success could be documented in 88% of cases
(14/16). In one patient with a tumor stenosis at the bilio-
digestive anastomosis, in which the first metal stent migrated
(patient no. 11), a second metal stent could be inserted at the
correct position (grade of severity: mild). Another patient
(patient no. 15) developed biliary ascites after a technically
successful stent implantation due to delayed stent expansion.
The delay was caused by diffuse tumor infiltration and by a
duodenal metal stent in direct vicinity to the inserted biliary
stent (→ Fig. 6). Hospital stay was prolonged in this patient for
9 days due to several abdominal paracenteses (grade of sever-
ity: modest). In the follow-up period of 6 months, just one
re-intervention and no stent occlusion could be documented.

Four patients received palliative chemotherapy after nor-
malization of serum bilirubin levels. Survival time extended
from 7 to 864 days. A Kaplan–Meier analysis was performed to
estimate patients’ overall survival (→ Fig. 7). The mean
survival was 163.2 days (standard deviation [SD] 72.6 days
and 95% confidence interval [CI] of 209.8–305.42), and the
median survival was 44.0 days (95% CI of 19.00 to 68.00).
Death was mostly caused by primary tumor disease (n = 12),
followed by sepsis (n = 1) and lung embolism (n = 1). Two
patients are still alive (→ Table 2). Survival analysis could not
be reasonably stratified in patients with and without chem-
otherapy due to the small patient number.

Results

During the study period, 116 PTBDs were performed. Sixteen
patients (mean age: 72 years and number of females: 7) with
malignant biliary obstruction underwent color Doppler
ultrasound-guided PTBD with primary metal stent implanta-
tion. ERCP was not successful or was impossible by duodenal
tumor stenosis (n = 9), biliodigestive anastomosis after pan-
creaticoduodenectomy (n = 2), gastric outlet obstruction by
tumor (n = 1), status post gastrectomy (n = 2), hilar cholan-
giocarcinoma (n = 1), or difficult papilla by tumor infiltration
(n = 1). Malignant biliary obstruction was mainly caused by
pancreas carcinoma (n = 10), hilar or distal cholangiocarci-
noma (n = 2), duodenal carcinoma (n = 2), carcinoma of the
duodenal papilla (n = 1), or gastric cancer (n = 1) (→ Table 1).

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage with primary metal stent implantation was mainly performed by
endoscopic luminal guidance (13/16). In three patients,
endoscopic luminal guidance was not possible due to
altered anatomy (biliodigestive anastomosis or status post
gastrectomy). Left liver side was mainly used as access route
(14/16). The metal stent that was used most had a diam-
eter of 10 mm and a length of 80 mm. Procedure time was
on average 68.1 minutes (25–118), fluoroscopic time was
on average 18.6 minutes (3–46), and the radiation exposure
was on average 5957 µGy/m² (471–17,569). The intervent-
ion was technically successful in 94% of cases (15/16).
In one patient, a second attempt was necessary (document-
ed as re-intervention) (→ Table 2).

Clinical success could be documented in 88% of cases
(14/16). In one patient with a tumor stenosis at the bilio-
digestive anastomosis, in which the first metal stent migrated
(patient no. 11), a second metal stent could be inserted at the
correct position (grade of severity: mild). Another patient
(patient no. 15) developed biliary ascites after a technically
successful stent implantation due to delayed stent expansion.
The delay was caused by diffuse tumor infiltration and by a
duodenal metal stent in direct vicinity to the inserted biliary
stent (→ Fig. 6). Hospital stay was prolonged in this patient for
9 days due to several abdominal paracenteses (grade of sever-
ity: modest). In the follow-up period of 6 months, just one
re-intervention and no stent occlusion could be documented.

Four patients received palliative chemotherapy after nor-
malization of serum bilirubin levels. Survival time extended
from 7 to 864 days. A Kaplan–Meier analysis was performed to
estimate patients’ overall survival (→ Fig. 7). The mean
survival was 163.2 days (standard deviation [SD] 72.6 days
and 95% confidence interval [CI] of 209.8–305.42), and the
median survival was 44.0 days (95% CI of 19.00 to 68.00).
Death was mostly caused by primary tumor disease (n = 12),
followed by sepsis (n = 1) and lung embolism (n = 1). Two
patients are still alive (→ Table 2). Survival analysis could not
be reasonably stratified in patients with and without chem-
otherapy due to the small patient number.

Fig. 5 Percutaneous transhepatic metal stent implantation without
endoscopic luminal guidance in a 66-year-old patient with tumor re-
currence at the biliodigestive anastomosis (fluoroscopic image).

Successful placement and unfolding of the SEMS was further-
more documented by contrast medium injection through a
newly inserted 5 F catheter. After successful SEMS implan-
tation, the percutaneous catheter and the guide wire were
completely removed.

Analysis of Data

Selected patients were characterized by age, sex, the reason
for impossible or unsuccessful ERCP, and the underlying
inoperable malignant disease.

The color Doppler ultrasound-guided PTBD procedure
was characterized by liver access side (left/right), utilized
SEMS (diameter, length in mm, non-covered (nc) or partially
covered (pc), applied endoscopic control (yes/no), procedural
time (defined as the time from the injected local anesthe-
sia to the attachment of the skin patch), applied radiation
exposure for the patient (µGy/m²), fluoroscopic time in min-
utes, and technical success. Technical success was defined as
successful implantation of a self-expanding metal stent to
drain the obstructed bile duct, measured by the successful
drainage of the radiocontrast agent by the metal stent; time
frame: 1 minute after injection of a radiocontrast agent into
the expanded metal stent.

The outcome of the PTBD was characterized by clinical
success (defined as the decrease of serum bilirubin level
≥50% in comparison with the baseline level after 7 days), the
report of any adverse events in the period of 7 days after the
procedure, grading of adverse events according to the ASGE
lexicon’s severity grading system, and the occurrence of
adverse events and number of re-interventions in the peri-
od of 6 months after the technical successful intervention.

The follow-up after PTBD was characterized by received
chemotherapy (yes or no, chemotherapy protocol), survival

Deaths were mostly caused by primary tumor disease
(n = 12), following sepsis (n = 1) and lung embolism (n = 1). Two
patients are still alive (→ Table 2). Survival analysis could not
be reasonably stratified in patients with and without chemo-
therapy due to the small patient number.
Discussion

We reported an optimized method of PTBD combining ultrasound-guided bile duct puncture and percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting by fluoroscopic and endoscopic luminal guidance as a one-step-procedure in patients with malignant bile duct obstruction with good technical and clinical success rates in a small and detailed described sample size of 16 PTBDs, extracted from 116 PTBDs in our single tertiary referral center hospital. We documented just two adverse events (severity grade: mild 1× and modest: 1×) and only one re-intervention in an observational follow-up period of 6 months.

In the case when ERCP could not have been performed due to duodenal tumor obstruction using a duodenovideoscope (outer diameter of the distal end: 13.7 mm), the duodenal stenosis could still be passed by a gastrointestinal videoscope with a smaller outer diameter (5.4–9.9 mm) and a more flexible distal end. Usually, it is not possible to perform ERCP with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. Therefore, we just used the endoscope (in combination with the fluoroscopic image) to visualize the papilla and to visualize the optimal percutaneous transhepatic stent placement. Besides, it is crucial for endoscopic re-interventions in the follow-up (for example, for the reopening of an occluded metal stent) that the metal stent does not stand out too much out of the papilla. In our experience, unique fluoroscopic guidance is not accurate enough for optimal metal stent implantation. This stent occlusion could be managed with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. A further randomized study has to proof the hypothesis that the combined use of endoscopic luminal and fluoroscopic guidance increases the rate of successful endoscopic re-interventions in comparison with the unique use of fluoroscopic guidance. Since palliative tumor therapies become more and more effective, it is presumed that re-interventions of occluded metal stents will be necessary more often in the future due to longer patient survival. According to our best knowledge, this is the first publication that describes the combination of ultrasound-guided bile duct puncture and percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting by combination of fluoroscopic and endoscopic luminal guidance as a one-step-procedure.

In three patients with altered abdominal anatomy after surgery (biliodigestive anastomosis and status post gastrectomy), stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance was not performed due to duodenal tumor obstruction using a duodenovideoscope (outer diameter of the distal end: 13.7 mm), the duodenal stenosis could still be passed by a gastrointestinal videoscope with a smaller outer diameter (5.4–9.9 mm) and a more flexible distal end. Usually, it is not possible to perform ERCP with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. Therefore, we just used the endoscope (in combination with the fluoroscopic image) to visualize the papilla and to visualize the optimal percutaneous transhepatic stent placement. Besides, it is crucial for endoscopic re-interventions in the follow-up (for example, for the reopening of an occluded metal stent) that the metal stent does not stand out too much out of the papilla. In our experience, unique fluoroscopic guidance is not accurate enough for optimal metal stent implantation in relation to the papilla (data not shown). However, we observed just one stent occlusion in the follow-up in the extracted cohort of 16 PTBDs with primary stent implantation. This stent occlusion could be managed with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. A further randomized study has to proof the hypothesis that the combined use of endoscopic luminal and fluoroscopic guidance increases the rate of successful endoscopic re-interventions in comparison with the unique use of fluoroscopic guidance. Since palliative tumor therapies become more and more effective, it is presumed that re-interventions of occluded metal stents will be necessary more often in the future due to longer patient survival. According to our best knowledge, this is the first publication that describes the combination of ultrasound-guided bile duct puncture and percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting by combination of fluoroscopic and endoscopic luminal guidance as a one-step-procedure.

In three patients with altered abdominal anatomy after surgery (biliodigestive anastomosis and status post gastrectomy), stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance was not performed due to duodenal tumor obstruction using a duodenovideoscope (outer diameter of the distal end: 13.7 mm), the duodenal stenosis could still be passed by a gastrointestinal videoscope with a smaller outer diameter (5.4–9.9 mm) and a more flexible distal end. Usually, it is not possible to perform ERCP with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. Therefore, we just used the endoscope (in combination with the fluoroscopic image) to visualize the papilla and to visualize the optimal percutaneous transhepatic stent placement. Besides, it is crucial for endoscopic re-interventions in the follow-up (for example, for the reopening of an occluded metal stent) that the metal stent does not stand out too much out of the papilla. In our experience, unique fluoroscopic guidance is not accurate enough for optimal metal stent implantation in relation to the papilla (data not shown). However, we observed just one stent occlusion in the follow-up in the extracted cohort of 16 PTBDs with primary stent implantation. This stent occlusion could be managed with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. A further randomized study has to proof the hypothesis that the combined use of endoscopic luminal and fluoroscopic guidance increases the rate of successful endoscopic re-interventions in comparison with the unique use of fluoroscopic guidance. Since palliative tumor therapies become more and more effective, it is presumed that re-interventions of occluded metal stents will be necessary more often in the future due to longer patient survival. According to our best knowledge, this is the first publication that describes the combination of ultrasound-guided bile duct puncture and percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting by combination of fluoroscopic and endoscopic luminal guidance as a one-step-procedure.

In three patients with altered abdominal anatomy after surgery (biliodigestive anastomosis and status post gastrectomy), stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance was not performed due to duodenal tumor obstruction using a duodenovideoscope (outer diameter of the distal end: 13.7 mm), the duodenal stenosis could still be passed by a gastrointestinal videoscope with a smaller outer diameter (5.4–9.9 mm) and a more flexible distal end. Usually, it is not possible to perform ERCP with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. Therefore, we just used the endoscope (in combination with the fluoroscopic image) to visualize the papilla and to visualize the optimal percutaneous transhepatic stent placement. Besides, it is crucial for endoscopic re-interventions in the follow-up (for example, for the reopening of an occluded metal stent) that the metal stent does not stand out too much out of the papilla. In our experience, unique fluoroscopic guidance is not accurate enough for optimal metal stent implantation in relation to the papilla (data not shown). However, we observed just one stent occlusion in the follow-up in the extracted cohort of 16 PTBDs with primary stent implantation. This stent occlusion could be managed with a gastrointestinal videoscope without a forceps elevator. A further randomized study has to proof the hypothesis that the combined use of endoscopic luminal and fluoroscopic guidance increases the rate of successful endoscopic re-interventions in comparison with the unique use of fluoroscopic guidance. Since palliative tumor therapies become more and more effective, it is presumed that re-interventions of occluded metal stents will be necessary more often in the future due to longer patient survival. According to our best knowledge, this is the first publication that describes the combination of ultrasound-guided bile duct puncture and percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting by combination of fluoroscopic and endoscopic luminal guidance as a one-step-procedure.

Table 1 Patients' characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Cause of unsuccessful/impossible ERCP</th>
<th>Inoperable carcinoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Gastric outlet obstruction by tumor</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Carcinoma of the duodenal papilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Status post gastrectomy</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Duodenal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Biliodigestive anastomosis/pancreaticoduodenectomy</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma (recurrence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Duodenal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis/gastroenterostomy</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma (ERCP stent occlusion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Biliodigestive anastomosis/ pancreaticoduodenectomy</td>
<td>Distal cholangiocarcinoma (recurrence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Hilar cholangiocarcinoma</td>
<td>Hilar cholangiocarcinoma (metastasized)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Difficult papilla by tumor infiltration</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Duodenal tumor stenosis</td>
<td>Pancreas carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Status post gastrectomy</td>
<td>Gastric cancer (recurrence)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; F, female; M, male.
percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting and the procedure can cause severe complications, such as perforation or pancreatitis.\textsuperscript{21}

In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis about the efficacy and safety of EUS-guided biliary drainage in comparison with percutaneous biliary drainage,\textsuperscript{9} there was no difference in technical success between the two procedures, but PTBD was associated with a lower level of clinical success, a higher level of post-procedural adverse events, and a higher rate of re-interventions.\textsuperscript{9} The important question is whether PTBD was performed in an appropriate way to allow us to compare it adequately with EUS-BD. In the above-mentioned review, six completely published studies (two prospective and four retrospective) were included (\textit{\textbox{Table 3}}) with a PTBD case size from 12 to 51 (3–8). The post-procedural adverse event rate accounted for between 10 and 54\%. Re-intervention rate was reported in four studies and ranged from 0.8 to 1.7 (mean frequency for additional PTBDs per patient).\textsuperscript{3, 4, 7, 8} A detailed description of the PTBD procedure was only reported in four out of six studies.\textsuperscript{3, 5–7} PTBDs which were performed with ultrasound guidance had fewer adverse events (10–25\%) than PTBDs which only used fluoroscopic guidance (31–46\%). In the study from Artifon et al.\textsuperscript{6} 4 of the 12 patients underwent external drainage catheter insertion before metal stent implantation. According to our experience, external drainage should be strictly avoided in PTBD because they could cause many adverse events such as bile leak, bilioma, or dislocation, and this could result in the need for further PTBD sessions. In this study, it was not reported whether

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Ultrasound--guided PTBD procedures with primary metal stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance and follow--up}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
No. & Side of access to liver & SEMS (mm) & Endoscopic guidance & Procedural time (m) & Radiation exposure (\(\mu\)Gy/m\(^2\)) & Fluoroscopic time (m) & Technical success & Chemo-therapy & Survival time (d) & Cause of death \\
\hline
1 & Left & nc 10 \times 100 & Yes & 89 & 8889 & 22,1 & Yes & No & 36 & Primary disease \\
2 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 90 & 7164 & 22,4 & Yes & No & 35 & Primary disease \\
3 & Right & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 105 & 14130 & 41,9 & Yes (2\textsuperscript{nd} attempt) & No & 46 & Lung embolism \\
4 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 105 & 17569 & 46,0 & Yes & Gem/Paclitaxel & 125 & Primary disease \\
5 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 60 & 5100 & 12,4 & Yes & No & 864 & Sepsis \\
6 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 70 & 1341 & 13,6 & Yes & No & 44 & Primary disease \\
7 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 40 & 2368 & 3,0 & Yes & No & 68 & Primary disease \\
8 & Left & nc 10 \times 60 & No & 25 & 862 & 6,1 & Yes & No & 19 & Primary disease \\
9 & Left & pc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 50 & 2428 & 13,6 & Yes & FOLFOX & 46 & Primary disease \\
10 & Right & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 48 & 2112 & 11,2 & Yes & No & 430 & Primary disease \\
11 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & No & 67 & 7429 & 19,6 & Yes & No & 7 & Primary disease \\
12 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 52 & 4607 & 10,3 & Yes & No & 17 & Primary disease \\
13 & Left & nc 8 \times 100 & Yes & 118 & 10713 & 27,0 & No & No & 25 & Primary disease \\
14 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 73 & 3747 & 29,4 & Yes & Gemcitabine mono & 342– still alive & \\
15 & Left & nc 10 \times 80 & Yes & 68 & 6383 & 14,4 & Yes & No & 39 & Primary disease \\
16 & Left & nc 10 \times 60 & No & 29 & 471 & 5,1 & Yes & FLO & 91– still alive & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Abbreviations: d, days; FLO, fluorouracil, folinic acid, oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid, fluorouracil and oxaliplatin; m, months; nc, non covered; PTBD, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage; SEMS, self–expandable metal stent.
PTBDs with external drainages caused the documented adverse events or not. In the study from Bapaye et al., just 12/26 (46%) metal stents and 14/26 (54%) external drainages were inserted, which was probably the reason for the high figure of 12 adverse events (46%). Furthermore, PTBD without metal stent implantation is worse when compared with EUS-BD, in which metal stent implantation is performed regularly in patients with malignant bile duct obstruction. In the study from Khashab et al., it was not reported at all whether metal stents were used in PTBD or not. Furthermore, a disproportionate amount of bile leaks and a high amount of scheduled re-interventions were also reported, neither of which are necessary in a PTBD protocol as a one-step procedure. In the study from Lee et al., an external drainage was inserted regularly (which caused scheduled re-interventions) before metal stent insertion, and only 15 (48%) metal stents were inserted overall. In the study from Sharaih et al., it was not reported how many metal stents were inserted, and how many benign and malignant diseases were mixed and not differentiated, which makes any comparison with EUS-BD very difficult. Lastly, in the study from Sportes et al., the external drainage was left after metal stent implantation and removed some days later when stent implantation was clinically successful. This further procedure may not be necessary when stent release is visualized by endoscopic luminal guidance as discussed above.

In conclusion, the way that we perform PTBD may have the following advantages. First, color Doppler ultrasound-guided PTBD has the advantage of cannulating the bile duct by ultrasound guidance and visualized intrahepatic vessels. Incidentally, this is how EUS-guided biliary cannulation is performed regularly. In this way, injury of intrahepatic blood vessels with severe intrahepatic bleeding or hemobilia can be better prevented. Therefore, no severe bleeding event was documented in this study, and in the study, we have already published on this topic. Second, we favored the access to the intrahepatic bile duct from the left side of the liver because on the right liver side, usually an intercostal access route has to be chosen which causes more adverse events such as biliary effusion or pneumothorax, as well as more patient discomfort and pain. This result corresponds with a recently published study from Liu et al., in which PTBD success was increased with left lobe entry (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 1.853, 95% CI 1.167, 2.940) and complications were significantly decreased (aOR = 0.450, 95% CI 0.263, 0.769). Therefore, the left liver is

Fig. 6 CT abdomen (coronal image) in a patient with biliary ascites due to the delayed expansion of the biliary metal stent in the vicinity of a duodenal metal stent. CT, computed tomography.

Fig. 7 Kaplan–Meier analysis on overall survival probability after PTBD with primary metal stent implantation in patients with malignant bile duct obstruction (n = 16). PTBD, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage.
now our standard access side for all PTBDs in patients with infrahepatic bile duct obstruction. Third, we performed PTBD with implantation of the self-expanding metal stent in the first session as a one-step procedure. This has the advantage that no further re-intervention is necessary after insertion of an external or an external/internal drainage, an outcome which can cause further adverse events such as bile duct leak along the catheter, biliary ascites, or catheter dislocation. In one of our PTBD procedures, we documented biliary ascites, but this event was caused by the delayed expansion of the metal stent in the vicinity of a duodenal stent and a strong tumor infiltration of the bile duct. Fourth, we performed stent release by endoscopic luminal guidance. In this way, the positioning and the correct expansion of the distal tip of the metal stent can be observed directly in comparison with the stent release, which is performed by fluoroscopic guidance alone. In this strategy, some investigators leave behind an external drainage in the bile duct until the clinical success of the procedure can be documented in the subsequent days (as has been described above). This is not necessary when stent release is immediately controlled endoscopically.

Fifth, PTBD with antegrade stenting (metal stent through tumor stenosis) is able to restore the “natural” bile duct route in comparison with transluminal stenting in EUS-BD with EUS-guided hepatogastrostomy or EUS-guided choledochoduodenostomy. Furthermore, these techniques can cause other severe adverse events such as stent migration into the abdominal cavity or pneumoperitoneum. But this question has to be clarified in further studies which compare PTBD with EUS-BD as equivalent methods in well-defined comparable diseases.

Sixth, it should be mentioned that the technique of ultrasound-guided peripheral portal vein-oriented non-dilated bile duct puncture can be a further valuable method to improve bile duct access and to avoid bleeding complications.

The limitations of our study include the following—the retrospective character, the single-center experience, and the extracted small sample size.

The further study should be a prospective, non-randomized multicenter study (e.g., one in which each center performs bile duct intervention with its best practice) as a

### Table 3 Overview on comparative studies between PTBD and EUS–BD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors and year</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>PTBDs (n)</th>
<th>Adverse events (n)</th>
<th>Reinterventions (mean frequency)</th>
<th>Method of PTBD access</th>
<th>Special comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artifon et al, 2012</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
<td>Not analyzed</td>
<td>Fluoroscopic and ultrasound guidance</td>
<td>Four external drainages before metal stent insertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bapaye et al, 2013</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12 (46%)</td>
<td>Not analyzed</td>
<td>Fluoroscopic guidance</td>
<td>Only 12/26 (46%) metal stents and 14/26 (54%) external drainages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khashab et al, 2015</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20 (39%)</td>
<td>0.80 (n = 41)</td>
<td>No detailed description</td>
<td>Not reported whether metal stents were used or not, many scheduled re-interventions, many bile leaks (n = 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharaiha et al, 2016</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
<td>1.70 (n = 22)</td>
<td>No detailed description</td>
<td>Benign and malignant bile duct obstruction were mixed, number of metal stents remains unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al, 2016</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10 (31%)</td>
<td>0.93 (n = 29)</td>
<td>Fluoroscopic guidance</td>
<td>Two-step intervention: external drainage before metal stent insertion, just 15 (48%) of metal stents inserted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sportes et al, 2017</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>1.05 (n = 21)</td>
<td>Ultrasound guidance</td>
<td>External drain was left after metal stent implantation and removed some days later when stent implantation was clinically successful, scheduled re-interventions were mixed with unscheduled re-interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EUS–BD, endoscopic ultrasound–guided biliary drainage; PTBD, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage.
Comparison between ultrasound-guided PTBD with primary metal stent implantation with endoscopic luminal guidance on the one hand, and EUS-BD on the other hand (EUS-guided antegrade, transpapillary drainage, EUS-guided transhepatic drainage and EUS-guided choledochal drainage) in an adequate number of cases.

Conclusion

Percutaneous biliary drainage with ultrasound-guided ductal puncture and primary metal implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance had a good technical and clinical success rate in patients with malignant biliary obstruction in our selected patient cohort. Adverse events were rare, and re-intervention rate was very low. A prospective, non-randomized, comparative multicenter study with ultrasound-guided PTBD with primary metal stent implantation by endoscopic luminal guidance and EUS-BD in patients with malignant extrabiliary bile duct obstruction should be initiated to demonstrate relevant statistical differences (or non-inferiority), with a particular focus on success, adverse events, and the re-intervention rate.
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