Total Intravenous Anesthesia in Neurosurgery

Narmadhalakshmi Kannabiran¹ Prasanna Udupi Bidkar²

¹Department of Neuroanaesthesiology, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Pondicherry, India
²Division of Neuroanaesthesia, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Pondicherry, India

Address for correspondence Prasanna Udupi Bidkar, MBBS, MD (Anesthesiology), DNB (Anesthesiology), DM (Neuroanaesthesiology) MNAMS, MBA, Division of Neuroanaesthesia, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Pondicherry 605006, Tamil Nadu, India (e-mail: drprasannabidkar@gmail.com).

J Neuroanaesthesiol Crit Care 2018;5:141–149

DOI https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1673544
ISSN 2348-0548.

Copyright ©2018 Indian Society of Neuroanaesthesiology and Critical Care

Abstract

In recent years, neurosurgical anesthesia has been rapidly evolving in the fields of pharmacotherapy and techniques to administer safe anesthesia. Intravenous (IV) anesthetic agents reduce both cerebral blood flow and intracranial pressure besides maintaining flow–metabolism coupling in contrast to inhalational agents. In neuroanesthesia, the technique and choice of drugs directly influence the outcome of the patients. The purpose of this review is to provide the updated information of total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) in neuroanesthesia. Administration of TIVA using target-controlled infusion technique is emerging as a standard method to administer safe anesthesia in neurosurgical patients. The propofol–remifentanil combination has become very popular due to their favorable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties for neurosurgery cases. Plasma-effect site concentration monitoring from target TCI devices together with electroencephalogram or bispectral index monitors allows easy titration of anesthetic agents to ensure adequate depth of anesthesia depending upon the nociceptive stimulus. TIVA is associated with smooth induction and rapid emergence with less postoperative nausea and vomiting.
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Introduction

Neuroanesthesia has been rapidly evolving over the decades. Anesthesia for neurosurgical procedures requires an understanding of cerebral anatomy, physiological cerebral flow dynamics, and the likely changes that occur in response to the pathological rise in intracranial pressure (ICP). With the development of safer and faster acting inhalational and intravenous (IV) anesthetic agents, a balanced anesthesia is widely provided in a variety of neurosurgical cases. But, it is always challenging to provide optimal anesthesia during perioperative period.

We cannot deny the fact that IV anesthesia is widely used in neurosurgical anesthesia. The drugs and techniques of neuroanaesthesia will directly influence the perioperative surgical outcome of patients. In addition to balanced anesthesia with smooth induction and emergence, the fundamental necessities in neuroanesthesia include maintenance of adequate cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP), avoidance of intracranial hypertension, and the provision of optimal surgical conditions to avoid further progression of the pre-existing neurological insult.¹ The aforementioned conditions can be achieved by both inhalational and total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA).² However, in certain circumstances, TIVA has the edge over the other technique, as the evidence is weak for inhalational agents, and affirmation on TIVA also needs to be established.

The IV thiopental was introduced into clinical practice in 1934 and was widely used during world war II,³ but its popularity fell soon after the attack on Pearl Harbor when soldiers died after the administration of thiopental in patients with hypovolemic shock. Since the introduction of propofol in 1986, it has largely replaced thiopental and has become an induction agent of choice.⁴ It has become a key component of TIVA nowadays.
Ideal Anesthetic Agent for Neuroanesthesia

The choice of the anesthetic agent can have a significant impact on neurological outcome in neurosurgical operations. The ideal anesthetic agent in neurosurgery should have the following properties: rapid onset and rapid emergence for neurological assessment, hemodynamic stability, and ICP reduction.³

Cerebral blood flow (CBF) and cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen (CMRO₂) are interdependent. Any increase or decrease in cerebral metabolic oxygen demand will increase or decrease the CBF, respectively, and this phenomenon is known as flow–metabolism coupling. As the skull is a closed space, any increase in CBF will increase ICP, which may affect CPP.

Inhalational anesthetic agents tend to affect this flow–metabolism coupling in a dose-dependent manner.® At concentrations of ≤1 minimum alveolar concentration (MAC), there will be a minimum increase in CBF and reduction in the CMRO₂. However, at >1 MAC inhaled concentrations, there will be an increase in CBF, which, in turn, increases ICP and causes flow–metabolism uncoupling (►Table 1). The vasodilation caused by inhalational agents is managed by hyperventilating the patient to vent off the carbon dioxide (CO₂), but moderate-to-severe hyperventilation can induce cerebral ischemia due to vasoconstriction of cerebral vessels in a patient whose CPP is low due to raised ICP.

In contrast to inhalational agents, IV agents, such as propofol, reduce both CBF and CMRO₂, lower ICP, improve CPP, and provide adequate neuroprotective effect during cerebral ischemia (►Table 2).⁷–⁹ Besides, propofol has rapid onset as well as is a shorter acting drug, a property which facilitates rapid tracheal intubation and smooth emergence during recovery, which is crucial for monitoring neurosurgical patients.

Which is Better?

Is Anesthesia for Elective and Emergency Neurosurgery Cases Different?

Though inhalational anesthetic agents and TIVA have been used successfully, there is no sufficient evidence to prove the superiority of one over the other. Todd et al compared propofol–fentanyl-, isoflurane–nitrous oxide-, and fentanyl–nitrous oxide-based anesthesia techniques in 121 patients undergoing supratentorial tumor surgeries.¹⁰ ICP was comparable in all the three groups. Fentanyl–nitrous oxide group had slightly faster recovery (5 minutes vs. 10 minutes in other group). But early postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) were significantly higher in fentanyl–nitrous oxide patients (17%) compared with only 2.5% and 5% in patients in propofol–fentanyl and isoflurane–nitrous oxide group (p = 0.03). The study concluded that the short-term outcomes such as new postoperative deficits, total hospital stay, or cost were similar, and all the three techniques were acceptable for supratentorial tumor surgeries.

Petersen et al studied three groups: propofol–fentanyl (TIVA), isoflurane–fentanyl, and sevoflurane–fentanyl anesthesia techniques to assess its effects on ICP and cerebral hemodynamics before and during hyperventilation in 117 patients undergoing elective craniotomy surgeries.⁷ Subdural ICP and jugular venous oxygen saturation (SJVO₂) were significantly lower in the TIVA group compared

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhaled agents</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>CBF</th>
<th>CPP</th>
<th>ICP</th>
<th>CMRO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halothane</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↑ ↑ ↑</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↑ ↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflurane</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevoflurane</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>0–↑</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desflurane</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>0–↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrous oxide</td>
<td>0–↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>0–↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intravenous agents</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>CBF</th>
<th>CPP</th>
<th>ICP</th>
<th>CMRO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thiotepane</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etomidate</td>
<td>0–↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketamine</td>
<td>↑ ↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>0–↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0–↓</td>
<td>0–↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CBF, cerebral blood flow; CMRO₂, cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen; CPP, cerebral perfusion pressure; ICP, intracranial pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.
(↓) Decrease and (↑) increase.
with patients in the inhalational agents’ group (p < 0.05), whereas mean arterial pressure and CPP were found higher in patients receiving propofol–fentanyl (TIVA) compared with patients receiving isoflurane and sevoflurane (p < 0.05). They concluded that favorable cerebral hemodynamics from propofol group resulted in decreased cerebral swelling after dural opening.

In the meta-analysis by Prabhakar et al, TIVA and the inhalational-based anesthesia techniques were compared to assess the rapid emergence from anesthesia. They included 15 randomized controlled trials (RCT) with 1,833 patients who underwent craniotomy for supratentorial tumour surgeries. The emergence was faster in patients who received propofol compared with isoflurane (mean difference [MD]: 3.29 minutes, 95% confidence interval [CI]: –5.41 to –1.18, low-quality evidence), whereas the emergence from anesthesia was similar in both propofol and sevoflurane groups (MD: 0.28 minutes slower with sevoflurane, 95% CI: –0.56 to 1.12, four studies, low-quality evidence). The overall risk of PONV was less in the propofol group. They also found that the brain relaxation scores were better with propofol group compared with isoflurane (reference range [RR]: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.67 to 1.17, low-quality evidence). But, no difference in the brain relaxation scores was noticed when the propofol group was compared with sevoflurane. The authors mentioned that the evidence was of low quality and commented that the IV technique and the sevoflurane inhalational technique are comparable in terms of early emergence and adverse events from anesthesia. Whereas, the use of isoflurane significantly delays the emergence.

Though both the techniques are comparable in elective brain tumor surgeries, things can be more complicated in emergency surgeries. The risk of brain bulge increases with gross peritumoral edema, midline shift of more than 5 mm, raised ICP features, and impending herniation (►Fig. 1). It is crucial to prevent secondary brain injuries and to give adequate neuroprotection to prevent irreversible neurological sequelae. The target-controlled infusion (TCI) techniques with pharmacokinetic models for IV administration of drugs facilitate smoother induction, with smaller doses, and slower infusion rates thereby prevent fall in mean arterial pressure, which is often associated with the manual administration of IV drugs during induction. Thiopentone, propofol, ketamine, and etomidate all have been used for the induction in patients with raised ICP. The property of ketamine to raise ICP has been challenged and has been found to have beneficial effects on ICP. Propofol, when given in bolus doses, will cause fall in mean arterial pressure, which will be catastrophic in raised ICP cases. However, if this fall in mean arterial pressure is mitigated with adequate fluid resuscitation and vasopressors, propofol has been associated with improved neuroprotection. If inhalational agents are used, the condition of the dura (tense or soft) should be assessed after the first burr hole and, if needed, can be switched over to TIVA. The researches and studies had mentioned the use of inhalational anesthetic agents in the maintenance of neuroanesthesia in emergency-raised ICP cases.

A study, by Wan Mohd et al, comparing the outcomes of TCI of propofol versus sevoflurane anesthesia in 110 patients undergoing emergency traumatic brain surgery, found no difference in the outcomes—Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) score at discharge (p = 0.25); the percentages of mortality (GOS 1) (27.3% vs. 16.4%, respectively); vegetative and severe disability (GOS 2–3) (29.1% vs. 41.8%, respectively), and good outcome (GOS 4–5) (43.6% vs. 41.8%, respectively). Most postoperative parameters and ICU complications were not significantly different between the groups, except for the requirement of inotropic support, which was higher in the sevoflurane group (40.0% vs. 60.0%; p = 0.04).

Based on the limited available literature and non-availability of the superior quality of RCTs, it can be inferred that both the techniques can be effectively used in elective neurosurgeries. Though the TCI technique provides rapid onset and offset of anesthesia and propofol being proven to be neuroprotective, providing brain relaxation, favorable cerebral hemodynamics, and reduced incidence of PONV, strong evidence to suggest the use of TIVA in emergency neurosurgery is also lacking.

**Total Intravenous Anesthesia in Neuroanaesthesia**

Total Intravenous Anesthesia uses a combination of agents given exclusively by the IV route. The increasing popularity of TIVA in neuroanaesthesia is mainly due to three reasons—first, the faster and shorter acting drugs such as propofol and opioids (remifentanil) provide optimal conditions for maintaining the adequate plane of anesthesia in neurosurgery. Second, the availability of computer-based models of TCI, which couples the pharmacokinetics of the drug with infusion pump technology, helps achieve the desired plasma concentration of the drug easily. Third, propofol in TIVA offers several advantages over inhalational techniques that include a reduction in ICP, hemodynamic stability, and reduced incidence of PONV.
Target-Controlled Infusion and Neuroanesthesia

Target-controlled infusion (TCI) aims to achieve a predetermined drug concentration targeted by the user. This technique has been developed to meet the anesthetic goals such as smooth induction, reliable and titratable maintenance, and rapid emergence. It is identified as a standard technique to administer IV anesthetic drugs. The first TCI system “Diprufusor” was made commercially available in 1998 for use with propofol. TCI pump incorporates a computer-based technology where the patient information such as height, weight, age, and gender is entered, and the anesthetist sets the desired plasma concentration of drug that needs to be attained in a particular tissue or compartment. The computer uses the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the drug, then it calculates the infusion rate to attain user-defined plasma concentration in the specific tissue. TCI pumps display the plasma–effect site concentration of the drug, thereby allowing the anesthetist to alter the desired drug concentration, if required, depending upon the stages of surgery.

Infusion of propofol and remifentanil drug combination was found to obviate the responses to noxious stimuli satisfactorily in TCI-based TIVA technique. The rapid recovery profile with TIVA facilitates intraoperative wake-up while retaining amnesia, this property is essential in minimally invasive cortical surgeries to prevent injury to eloquent areas. The availability of TCI to administer TIVA helps adjust the drug concentration in a desirable user-friendly way that favors rapid patient recovery. These devices do mathematical calculations to include the volume of distribution of drugs in various tissues to rapidly adjust the drug concentration and achieve the desired clinical effect. The fifth National Audit Project reported awareness during anesthesia when manual infusion of the drugs was used to administer TIVA.

Complexities in Neuroanesthesia and Total Intravenous Anesthesia

Neurosurgical operations are complex with variable levels of stimulation ranging from mild to severe throughout the procedure. It is essential to monitor and adjust the depth of anesthesia to prevent a rise in ICP. Fig. 2 depicts varying periods of noxious stimuli during surgery.

During induction, the depth of anesthesia required is more to prevent an increase in ICP during laryngoscopy and intubation. This is followed by a period of the minimal stimulus of 30 minutes when arterial and central venous pressure lines are inserted. After securing IV lines, the patient is handed over for positioning and skull pin fixation where the depth of anesthesia needs to be increased. Along with anesthetic depth alteration, adequate analgesia should be provided to prevent the sympathetic response from noxious stimuli. Once the dura is opened, the noxious stimulus becomes minimal again as the brain tissue is pain insensitive. However, the lighter plane of anesthesia during brain dissection can produce brain bulge and cause intraoperative tight brain situation. During brain retraction and dissection, there needs to be a good anesthetic depth to achieve metabolic suppression as well as neuroprotection when the maximum brain injury occurs. Hence, the anesthetic depth is increased, and analgesics are minimized. For these reasons, a depth of anesthesia monitor and effect site drug concentration monitors are essential in neuroanesthesia especially when TIVA technique is used. Monitoring the depth of anesthesia can be achieved with the use of a bispectral index (BIS) or spectral entropy. Titrating and maintaining BIS index between 45 and 60 will prevent deep hypnosis or a light anesthetic plane. If TCI is used, effect site drug concentration can be predicted in real time using Tivatrainer pharmacokinetic software (www.eurosiva.eu) and the drug levels can be rapidly adjusted according to the need. Suggested effect site concentration of propofol and remifentanil for patients under intrinsic positive pressure ventilation are 3 to 4 µg/mL and 5 to 8 ng/mL, respectively, for patients <50 years and 3 to 6 ng/mL, respectively, for patients > 50 years. A study by, Ferreira et al predicted the cerebral concentration of propofol (PropCe) and remifentanil (RemiCe) requirement at various stages of neurosurgical anesthesia. They observed that PropCe at intubation, incision, and extubation were 5 ± 1, 2.6 ± 0.9, and 1 ± 0.3 µg/mL, respectively. RemiCe in the same periods were 2.2 ± 0.3, 6 ± 2.6, and 2.2 ± 0.9 ng/mL, respectively. Above all, TIVA is environmentally friendly when compared with inhalational anesthetic agents, which are implicated in global warming.

Special Situations

Total Intravenous Anesthesia in Traumatic Brain Injury

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is one of the most common conditions very often seen in the emergency department. It is a leading cause of neurologic disability and mortality. Till now, there are no standard guidelines or recommendations available outweighing the proposed benefits of TIVA against inhalational agents in TBI cases. Brain Trauma Foundation guidelines recommended no specific anesthetic agent. However, due to neuroprotection and maintenance of flow–metabolism coupling, it is preferable to use TIVA to reduce ICP than inhalational agents in patients with...
During Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring
Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (IONM) is one of the greatest advancements in neuroanesthesia, which has become an integral part of care. IONM has been utilized to identify and prevent insult to crucial neural structures in the surgical field. Its use has been reported in almost all neurosurgical procedures that include spinal surgeries, aneurysm surgery, cerebellar pontine angle surgery, and endovascular procedures.33–35 The commonly employed monitoring techniques include somatosensory-evoked potentials (SSEP), motor-evoked potentials (MEP), and electromyography (EMG).36 Anesthetic agents affect the latency and the amplitude of SSEP and MEP, which is very prominent with inhalational anesthetics compared with IV agents.40–42

The inhalational agents increase the latency and reduce the amplitude of evoked potentials, hence interfering with evoked potential monitoring (–Table 3). The MEP recording precludes the use of neuromuscular blocking agents. These evoked potentials are minimally affected by IV agents and opioids, used in regular anesthetic doses.42 Hence, TIVA becomes the preferred technique for IONM monitoring. Another advantage of TIVA over inhalational agents in spinal spine surgeries is that it suppresses coughing effectively at the end of surgery that prevents potential complications.41,42 If the depth of anesthesia is not monitored, the risk of awareness is higher with TIVA. This risk can be decreased with the use of the TCI technique and with the use of anesthetic depth monitors. This will also prevent any unexpected movement due to reduced anesthetic depth.

Monitored Anesthesia Care in Neuro Patients
Monitored anesthesia care (MAC) is a technique to provide local anesthesia along with sedation and analgesia to undergo a planned therapeutic or diagnostic procedure. MAC is increasingly being used in neurosurgery in awake craniotomies for deep brain stimulation or to remove the lesion that is close to vital structures. It facilitates intraoperative neurophysiologic and neurocognitive monitoring and rapid postoperative recovery. Propofol remains the mainstay of the drug for sedation.43 As it lacks the analgesic property, opioids are often combined.44 Midazolam is coadministered to allay the anxiously.45 Even α2 agonists especially dexmedetomidine is gaining popularity recently due to its highly selective α2 agonist action that produces sedation without respiratory depression.45 Besides, dexmedetomidine has the analgesic property that spares the use of opioids.45

Awake Craniotomy
Awake craniotomy is performed to check regions of the brain before they are incised and to test patient’s functioning continuously throughout the surgery. This is employed when surgeries in the brain involve the resection of lesion close to vital structures (motor and speech area), which needs to be preserved.46,47 There are two techniques, which are commonly used for awake craniotomy—(1) asleep–awake–asleep techniques and (2) awake–awake–awake technique. The aim of anesthesia in awake craniotomy is to provide changing states of sedation and analgesia, to ensure optimal patient comfort without interrupting neurophysiologic monitoring, and to ensure adequate ventilation and patency of airways. Propofol and remifentanil TCI or propofol/fentanyl infusions are commonly used to titrate the levels of sedation with the aid of anesthetic depth monitors.48,49

In the recent years, dexmedetomidine has gained popularity as a sole anesthetic agent to provide sedation without depressing respiration.50–52 The other drugs commonly used are a propofol–remifentanil infusion, clonidine, benzodiazepines, and fentanyl.53 There are studies that recommend TCI to administer TIVA for safety in awake craniotomies.54 Scalp block is usually given either as a sole anesthetic technique or along with TIVA in awake craniotomies to avoid noxious stimulus responses.

Awake Intubation
Awake intubation has been practiced in unstable cervical spine cases to prevent any hazardous injury to it. The main advantage of awake intubation is that the patient is spontaneously breathing, and we can assess the neurologic examination after intubation before providing general anesthesia. Topical anesthesia and nerve blocks are often used to anaesthetize the airway, however, there is always a fear of local anesthetic toxicity. Patients are often very anxious and feel uncomfortable during the procedure. They require conscious sedation, where the patient can maintain airway, spontaneous respiration, and respond to commands. Dexmedetomidine has been widely utilized for sedation for awake intubation.55,56 Other drugs such as propofol, midazolam, and remifentanil have also been studied and widely accepted for this purpose.57–59 The advantages of using IV anesthetic drugs in awake intubation is that it minimizes the local anesthetic requirement, suppresses cough reflex, allays anxiety, and provides sedation.

Table 3 Effects of anesthetic agents on evoked potential monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
<th>Latency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrous oxide</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalational agents Iso/Sevo/Des</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbiturates–thiopentone, methohexital</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etomidate</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketamine</td>
<td>↑ ↑</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opiates–fentanyl, remifentanil</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines–di– \text{azepam, midazolam, and alprazolam}</td>
<td>↓ ↓</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle relaxants</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(↓↓) Significant decrease in amplitude, (↓) modest decrease in amplitude, (+++) Significant increase in latency, (+) modest increases in latency, and (–) not affected.
**Intensive Care Unit Sedation**

The sedation of neurosurgical patients in ICU is a controversial topic. Recent randomized trials emphasize that minimal or no sedation in ICU produces improved patient outcomes. However, sedation is vital in the acute phase of insult after either post-surgery or TBI especially when the patient is under mechanical ventilation. The risks and benefits of sedation are weighed against each other in relation to neuro-specific indications. The indications include sedation, analgesia, anxiety, delirium, ventilator–patient asynchrony, seizure suppression, and avoidance of raise in ICP to maintain CPP and flow–metabolism coupling. Various drugs have a varying effect on the above-mentioned indications. The drugs are chosen depending on the requirement, and sometimes drugs are combined to balance the advantages and disadvantages.

Commonly preferred medications for ICU sedation include propofol, ketamine, remifentanil, fentanyl, midazolam, and dexmedetomidine. Hemodynamic monitoring is essential in patients on sedation as the excess sedation is often dangerous. Purrucker et al studied the sedative effect of sevoflurane in ICU patients with acute stroke or subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) and found that though sevoflurane provides effective sedation, it causes a significant increase in ICP. Other disadvantages of inhalational agents are that it needs complex equipment and expertise to deliver and monitor the effects.

**Neuroanesthesia Outside the Operating Room**

Increasingly, neuroanesthetists are faced with challenges to provide safe anesthesia outside the operating room due to increase in diagnostic and interventional radiological procedures. The plane of anesthesia required will vary for each procedure. For any neurological or neurosurgical case, the goals of anesthesia outside the operating room are the same as for anesthesia inside the operating room. Standard monitors, IV access and temperature control, and fluid balance should be taken care of in all the cases. The key areas of focus are plane of anesthesia/sedation required, airway protection and control of CO2, hemodynamic stability, cervical spine immobility for suspected spine injuries, and ICP management.

For diagnostic procedures, conscious or deep sedation is often required. For shorter duration procedures, such as computed tomography (CT), shorter and faster acting drugs such as IV midazolam are used.

For MRI procedures, MRI compatible anesthesia machines with vaporizers and MRI safe infusion pumps are required inside the MRI suite to deliver inhalational-based anesthesia and TIVA, respectively. Dexmedetomidine is increasing in popularity for imaging studies as it can provide conscious sedation without respiratory depression and adverse events.

Interventional neuroradiological procedures may be elective (e.g., aneurysm and arteriovenous malformations) or emergency (SAH and stroke) depending upon the diagnosis. The procedures may be long and difficult; the patients will often feel uncomfortable to lie still. Some procedures may demand episodes of apnea to prevent iatrogenic injury and to obtain high-quality imaging during the procedure. Necessary precautions are needed to be undertaken while providing anesthesia to prevent secondary brain injury due to hypoxia, hypercapnia, hypertension, and hypotension. Sedation is required rather than the surgical plane of anesthesia. A combination of propofol or midazolam and remifentanil or alfentanil can obtund noxious stimulus and offer completely still patient. Dexmedetomidine has been found to provide sedation comparable with propofol and remifentanil in a variety of surgical and interventional settings, but with lower incidences of respiratory adverse events. Volatile anesthesia is complex and impractical outside the operating room. Lack of scavenging systems for vapor poses hazards to the environment.

**Status Epilepticus**

Status epilepticus is referred to as a condition when seizures occur for a prolonged duration of time or when multiple episodes of seizures recur without patient’s recovery in between the seizures. When the patient does not respond to first- or second-line epileptic drugs, it is referred to as refractory status epilepticus. It is a neurological emergency that needs immediate administration of antiepileptic drugs. Midazolam has been widely used to prevent and treat status epilepticus in children as well as adults. IV anesthetic agents such as barbiturates and propofol are implicated to have anticonvulsant properties and are used in the management of refractory status epilepticus.

**Drugs Used in Total Intravenous Anesthesia**

**Propofol**

Propofol is an IV anesthetic agent that has the properties such as the reduction in CMRO2, CBF reduction, maintenance of flow–metabolism coupling, reduction in ICP, inhibition of glutamate release, GABA-A receptor activation, cerebral autoregulation, CO2 responsiveness, and neuroprotection. Other properties specific to neurosurgery include shorter and faster acting to facilitate rapid recovery, reduced incidence of PONV, and anticonvulsant action. Propofol remains the mainstay of the drug for sedation in MAC procedures. The commonly used effect site concentration of propofol for sedation is 1 to 2 µg/mL. As it lacks the analgesic property, opioids are often combined. It causes the least interference with neurophysiologic monitoring and better recovery profile compared with volatile anaesthetics. However, propofol lacks analgesic property, so it is frequently administered with other short-acting opioids such as remifentanil or fentanyl. When propofol is administered at a high dose for a prolonged duration (> 5 mg/kg/h for more than 48 hours), it may cause metabolic acidosis, rhabdomyolysis, liver failure, and myocardial failure which is referred as propofol infusion syndrome. So, prolonged administration of high dose of propofol should be avoided to prevent this dreaded complication.

**Alpha-2 Agonists**

Dexmedetomidine, a selective α2 agonist has been used as an adjuvant to IV anesthetic agents. It has gained its popularity recently due to its highly selective α2 agonist action.
that produces sympatholysis, sedation without respiratory depression. Studies have mentioned that dexmedetomidine reduces CBF in a dose-dependent manner. Farag et al compared the effects of propofol and dexmedetomidine for sedation during deep brain stimulation surgery, and they found that both the drugs comparably preserve CBF velocity and cerebral oxygen consumption in patients with movement disorders. The other advantages are that it provides conscious sedation and has got opioid and anesthetic agent sparing properties with improved hemodynamic stability. It has also been used as a sole agent in awake craniotomies and in ICU sedation.

**Opioids**

Opioids are administered along with induction agents to blunt the sympathetic response to intubation and surgical stimulation. In a study of opioids bolus administration in brain-injured patients by Schregel et al, they found no rise in ICP despite a transient fall in mean arterial pressure. Remifentanil is successfully used along with propofol in TIVA-based TIVA technique in neurosurgery cases. Few studies have found that remifentanil is equally effective as is fentanyl in neurosurgeries, and the recovery profile is better with remifentanil compared with fentanyl. Leone et al found that the cough suppression during recovery is better when higher plasma concentration of remifentanil was used with propofol. The property of cough suppression during emergence will prevent any rise in ICP in neurosurgical cases.

**Benzodiazepines**

Benzodiazepines reduce CBF, CMRO₂, and ICP and increase the seizure threshold. The bolus doses were found to reduce MAP thereby CPP in severe TBI patients. Midazolam has been a preferred sedative agent in TBI due to its faster onset and rapid offset of action. Midazolam is often coadministered with propofol and opioids to allay the anxiousness during sedation.

**Muscle Relaxants**

The use of muscle relaxants in neurosurgery is widely questioned as the evolving neurosurgery techniques are of short duration and minimally invasive procedures. Muscle relaxants are used along with the induction agent to favor intubation. Muscle relaxants are associated with post-operative residual paralysis. Mivacurium overcomes this disadvantage as it is a short-acting muscle relaxant. Nowadays, with the use of propofol and remifentanil infusion, muscle relaxants can be avoided for intubation.

**Conclusion**

The benefits of TIVA for neurosurgical patients seems abundant. With the advent of innovative technologies, such as target controlled infusion, safe anesthesia can be provided to the patients. The technique is simple, and TIVA is well tolerated by the patients. The intraoperative comfort for the patient and the relaxed brain for surgeon along with early postoperative recovery makes the TIVA preferred by most neuroanesthetists. TCI use to monitor and administer IV drugs will prevent intraoperative awareness or deep hypnosis. The cost of TCI pumps needs to be balanced against perioperative management and hospital costs, which needs further research.
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