Incidence of and Causes for Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt Failure in Children Younger Than 2 Years: A Systematic Review
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Introduction

For many patients with hydrocephalus, the primary management option is the implantation of a VP shunt as an effective cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) diversion technique shunting the CSF from the cerebral ventricles to the peritoneal cavity. The management of pediatric hydrocephalus is more challenging, and the reported rates of shunt obstruction and infection are high.1–3 Nonetheless, this is the procedure of choice for treating infants because its success rate is greater than that for endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV).4 Despite having a lower incidence of short-term failure than ETV, long-term complications of VP shunting are more frequent, and failure results in high rates of morbidity and mortality.5

Abstract

Objectives Ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunting is commonly used to treat pediatric hydrocephalus, but failure rates are high. VP shunt failure in children is mostly caused by infection and/or proximal/distal shunt obstruction. However, to our knowledge, no previous reviews have discussed this topic using only clinical studies when age-related data could be obtained. This systematic review aimed at reevaluating what is already known as the most common causes of shunt failure and to determine the incidence and causes of VP shunt failure during the first 2 years of life as a step to establish solid evidence-based guidelines to avoid VP shunt failure in infants.

Methods We performed a search using the search terms “Cerebrospinal Fluid Shunts” (Medical Subject Headings [MeSH]) AND failure [All Fields] AND (“humans” [MeSH] AND English [lang] AND “infant” [MeSH]). Only articles that specifically discussed VP shunt complications in children < 2 years were included.

Results We found that the most common causes of VP shunt failure in children < 2 years were shunt obstruction and infection, both observed in a range.

Conclusion VP shunt failure is very common in infants, mostly resulting from obstruction and infection. Future studies should focus on methods designed to avoid these complications or on alternative treatments for hydrocephalus.
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Methods

The outline of our search strategy was planned with reference to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis for Protocols. We limited our search of the Medline database to studies published in English through 2015 in which the patients were infants ranging in age from birth to 23 months. We used the following search terms (→ Fig. 1) from Medline:

1. “Cerebrospinal fluid shunts” [Medical Subject Heading]
2. Number 1 AND “failure” [All]: 1,378
3. Limit to humans: 1,366
4. Limit to English: 1,287
5. Limit to infants aged 0 to 23 months: 491

We applied the following inclusion criteria to the search results: children <2 years of age who experienced VP shunt failure. We excluded reviews, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses, as well as studies that did not report quantitative data regarding complications. Only articles that specifically analyzed the target issues were included.

A total number of 462 articles were excluded after abstract reading. Those articles were irrelevant to the target question of our study, or the age group <2 years was not clearly identified, or the number of patients was not sufficient as we excluded case reports. Studies that did not focus on VP shunt failure, such as those with ventriculoatrial/ventriculopleural shunts and any type of shunt other than VP shunt, were excluded. Any study with mixed-age groups in which the age group <2 years is not specified was excluded.

We generated a list of titles from all the included studies, and two independent groups of researchers performed critical reviews to evaluate all abstracts. Studies that did not provide answers to the target questions were excluded. For each study, any conflicting opinions between the two groups were resolved by consensus after analyzing the complete study (not only the abstract). Case reports, studies evaluating a single shunt type that reported a conflict of interest with the manufacturer, and studies with poor evidence and small sample sizes for the relevant patient groups were excluded.

Results

The search yielded 29 studies that provided answers to the target questions. We subsequently reevaluated their abstracts and excluded seven of them either due to irrelevance to the study topic or absence of clear data required in our inclusion criteria such as the exact age group or the type of CSF shunt. Next, the two independent groups thoroughly evaluated the full text of the remaining 22 studies through critical analysis of each one, ultimately excluding 16 for the
reasons just mentioned. Thus six studies were included in the final analyses (► Fig. 1).

These six studies were recorded in a master table (► Table 1) constructed to facilitate data review and analysis. The study type and a summary of the findings can be seen for each article. Analysis of the data was performed, and then the conclusion and final recommendations for future research were generated based on the strength of the data.

Shannon et al9 reviewed 237 patients < 6 months of age at the time of shunt implantation. Of those patients, 130 experienced shunt failure (54.85%) within a follow-up period of 2 years. Most shunt failures were caused by infection or a proximal obstruction. The incidence of failure was greater in children < 3 months of age at the initial VP shunt insertion and in children who weighed < 2 kg at the initial insertion.

Beez et al10 examined shunt failure in 36 patients < 1 year of age. Twenty-three patients (64%) experienced shunt failure.

As a special entity of shunt placement, Garber et al3 compared the survival of patients who received a fourth ventricle shunt placed via transtentorial or suboccipital stereotactic approaches. They found that shunts failed in 82.8%. The causes were proximal obstruction (51.7%), infection (17.2%), or distal obstruction (13.8%). This was the highest failure rate identified in our review and highlights the impact of poor entry points and shunt-tip locations on VP shunt outcome.

Miranda et al2 studied VP shunt obstruction in 103 patients with posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus and found that 42 VP shunts (40.8%) developed an initial proximal obstruction within 120 months of follow-up, 8 of these had a previous shunt infection of a total of 13 shunt infections (12.62%), and 34 cases had obstruction without a previous infection.

Turhan et al11 studied 38 newborns < 1 year of age in whom multiple shunt malfunctions were reported. Shunt infection was the most frequently reported cause of failure (19 failures), followed by distal obstructions (14 failures), proximal obstruction (13 failures), and four valve malfunctions and four pseudocysts. Total migration of the shunt was reported twice, and two trapped ventricles (one lateral ventricle and one fourth ventricle), two subdural hemorhages, one shunt disconnection, and one shunt with incorrect placement of the ventricular catheter were also reported. These malfunctions required one revision in 28 cases, two revisions in 6 cases, three revisions in 4 cases, and four revisions in 1 case.

Baksh et al11 studied 100 infants < 1 year of age including 75 cases of congenital hydrocephalus and 25 cases of post-pyogenic hydrocephalus. Shunt failure was caused by either an infection or obstruction. Fourteen patients presented with infection (14%): four patients with acute shunt infection, four cases of wound dehiscence and exposure of shunt material, and six cases with necrosis of the skin in the neck and upper chest overlying the shunt tube). Ten patients had shunt obstruction (10%), five within the first year and five within the second year postoperatively.

Our review confirmed, that the most common causes of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt failure in children < 2 years were shunt obstruction and infection. Obstruction was observed in a range starting from 10%,1 reaching 40.8% in shunts for posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus2 and 51.7% in fourth ventricular shunts.3 Infection was observed in 12.6 to 17.2% of VP shunt implants.

Discussion

We started our study with a global screening of literature for studies focused on VP shunt failure. With every step of our research, we read each single abstract for the possibility of beneficial data for this systematic review. Finally we found that 22 abstracts, and performed a full-text reading after which we excluded 16 more studies and finally included 6 studies.

It was challenging to exclude some of the most important studies that provided strong evidence regarding VP shunt failure. As mentioned, we wanted to remain focused on the inclusion criteria we agreed on, so we included only studies of VP shunt failure in children < 2 years of age. Our aim was to analyze whether the failure rates that occur overall in children are the same as those of children < 2 years.

An example of studies excluded during abstract reading is the study of Simon et al, “Risk Factors for First Cerebrospinal Fluid Shunt Infection: Findings from a Multi-center Prospective Cohort Study.”12 In spite of the significance of this study, it focused only on first time failure due to infection whose results would negatively affect our review.

Another example of the high-quality studies we excluded after a full-text reading is Notarianni et al, “Congenital Hydrocephalus and Ventriculoperitoneal Shunts: Influence of Etiology and Programmable Shunts on Revisions.”13 This study used the median age with no clear data on age on implantation and the follow-up period.

Likewise, in the study by Kulkarni et al, “Outcomes of CSF Shunting in Children: Comparison of Hydrocephalus Clinical Research Network Cohort with Historical Controls,”14 the incidence of VP shunt failure in the age group < 2 years could not be clearly identified, and the study was subsequently excluded.

Likewise, the study by Riva-Cambrin et al, “Risk Factors for Shunt Malfunction in Pediatric Hydrocephalus: A Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study,”15 was excluded, because they analyzed together subdural-peritoneal shunts to treat subdural hygromas and VP shunts.

► Table 2 shows the 16 studies excluded after full-text reading.

Finally, we analyzed the six studies that met all of our inclusion criteria, and, as expected, shunt obstruction and infection were the most common causes of VP shunt failure in infants < 2 years. Premature infants had a higher risk of shunt failure.10 Intraventricular hemorrhage (an underlying cause of hydrocephalus), a younger age, and a lower weight at the time of initial shunt placement were shown to be directly correlated with an increased risk of VP shunt failure.3,10 On the other hand, the case series reported by Miranda et al2 indicated that rates of shunt obstruction in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Age group examined</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Incidence of failure</th>
<th>Reasons for failure</th>
<th>Incidence of multiple failures</th>
<th>Follow-up period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shannon et al⁹</td>
<td>Age at implantation &lt; 6 mo</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Proximal obstruction, infection, age &lt; 3 mo at initial insertion, weight &lt; 2 kg at initial insertion</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>2 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beez et al¹⁰</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y: 36/65</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mechanical obstruction, infection, functional obstruction</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Mean overall follow-up period: 34 mo (range: 0–89 mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber et al³</td>
<td>Mean age: 95.6 ± 76.1 d</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Failure 24/29</td>
<td>Proximal obstruction, infection, distal obstruction</td>
<td>Revision before crossover: 4.4 ± 5.0 (range: 0–22)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miranda et al²</td>
<td>30.57 ± 3.2 wk</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>42/103 ~ 40.8% initial failure 30.08% in first year; 37% in second year of follow-up; 40.8% in 10 y</td>
<td>Obstruction: 42; infection: 13</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Mean follow-up period &gt; 10 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turhan et al¹¹</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Proximal occlusion: 13; distal occlusion: 14; valve malfunctions: 4; disconnections: 1; total migration of shunt: 2; infection: 19; abdominal pseudocyst: 4; trapped fourth or lateral ventricle: 2; subdural hemorrhage: 2; misplaced catheter</td>
<td>No. of revisions: One: 28 cases; two: 6 cases; three: 4 cases; four: 1 case</td>
<td>Mean follow-up time 32 mo (between 12 and 60 mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakhsh¹</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>The shunt failure rate remained at 10% obstruction, 14% infection</td>
<td>Infection 14%; obstruction 10%</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>2 y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; SPT, stereotactic parietal transtentorial approach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and date of publication</th>
<th>Article title</th>
<th>Age group identified</th>
<th>No. of patients within this group</th>
<th>Incidence of failure</th>
<th>Reasons for shunt failure</th>
<th>Incidence of multiple failures</th>
<th>Follow-up period</th>
<th>Reason for exclusion after full-text reading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notarianni et al, 2009</td>
<td>Congenital hydrocephalus and ventriculoperitoneal shunts: influence of etiology and programmable shunts on revisions</td>
<td>Age on implantation 30 d (median; range: 1–4,909)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>197 (78%)</td>
<td>Young age at initial insertion, etiology (IVH, craniospinal disorders such as spinal dysraphism)</td>
<td>Median no. revisions (range): 2</td>
<td>Median(range) 4,018 (1–11,323)</td>
<td>This study uses the median, no clear numbers for age at implantation, no clear number for follow-up period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gebert et al, 2016</td>
<td>Long-term survival rates of gravity-assisted, adjustable differential pressure valves in infants with hydrocephalus</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y (gestational age mean 4 mo including premature infants)</td>
<td>93 (79 primary, 14 secondary)</td>
<td>53/93</td>
<td>Infection, distal occlusion, proximal occlusion, wound infection</td>
<td>83 revisions needed in these 53 patients</td>
<td>26–85 mo</td>
<td>Single valve type (proGAV® Aesculap-MIETHKE) and disclosed conflict of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al, 2009</td>
<td>A multicenter study of factors influencing cerebrospinal fluid shunt survival in infants and children</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y</td>
<td>5216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence of shunt failure not reported only HR (hazard ratio) of time to failure is reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake et al, 2009</td>
<td>Endoscopic third ventriculostomy versus ventriculoperitoneal shunt in pediatric patients: a decision analysis</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y</td>
<td>3025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary research; combined results from more than one study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossi et al, 2016</td>
<td>Predicting shunt failure in children: should the global shunt revision rate be a quality measure?</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y</td>
<td>Unidentifiable</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentifiable number of patients in age group of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwachaka et al, 2010</td>
<td>Ventriculoperitoneal shunt complications: a three-year retrospective study in a Kenyan national teaching and referral hospital</td>
<td>&lt; 1 y</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71/71</td>
<td>Obstruction (38), migration (16), infection (14), others (overdriange, subdural hematoma, arachnoid cyst formation; 3)</td>
<td>28 cases (23.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age reported is age at diagnosis of hydrocephalus, not age at first shunt insertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambrin et al, 2016</td>
<td>Risk factors for shunt malfunction in pediatric hydrocephalus: a multicenter prospective cohort study</td>
<td>&lt; 6 mo</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>Infection, shunt malfunction</td>
<td>354 failures in 223 patients</td>
<td>Median 26.4 d (IQR: 68–69.1 d)</td>
<td>Inclusion criteria include subdural-peritoneal shunts for treatment of subdural hygromas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al, 2014</td>
<td>The effects of socioeconomic status and race on</td>
<td>1 mo until 53 mo with median 5.1</td>
<td>373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No age limit is identified clearly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study and date of publication</td>
<td>Article title</td>
<td>Age group identified</td>
<td>No. of patients within this group</td>
<td>Incidence of failure</td>
<td>Reasons for shunt failure</td>
<td>Incidence of multiple failures</td>
<td>Follow-up period</td>
<td>Reason for exclusion after full-text reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan F et al 2013</td>
<td>Analysis of factors affecting ventriculoperitoneal shunt survival in pediatric patients</td>
<td>Number of infants not determined, just infants of 113 patients who ranged from 1 d to 180 mo</td>
<td>51 of total cases 113</td>
<td>23% in the whole group</td>
<td>Shunt blockage followed by shunt infection (9.76% of 82 patients). Pre-disposing factors included prematurity and congenital hydrocephalus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of infants not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rashid et al, 2012</td>
<td>Time trends and age-related etiologies of pediatric hydrocephalus: results of a group-wise analysis in a clinical cohort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Main issue is hydrocephalus, not clearly classified shunt malfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanlo et al, 2003</td>
<td>Treatment of hydrocephalus determined by the European Orbis Sigma Valve II survey: a multicenter prospective 5-year shunt survival study in children and adults in whom a flow-regulating shunt was used</td>
<td>&lt; 6 mo</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Correlation between age group and malfunction is not clearly demonstrated 2. Single manufacturer (Orbis Sigma Integra Valve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kast J et al, 1994</td>
<td>Time-related patterns of ventricular shunt failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age &lt; 2 y could not be clearly identified using the mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey W et al</td>
<td>Risk factors for repeated cerebrospinal shunt failures in pediatric patients with hydrocephalus</td>
<td>&lt; 3 mo</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence of shunt failure in the age group could not be clearly identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagun Tuli et al, 2000</td>
<td>Risk factors for repeated cerebrospinal shunt failures in pediatric patients with hydrocephalus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence of VP shunt failure in selected age group could not be clearly identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulkami et al, 2013</td>
<td>Outcomes of CSF shunting in children: comparison of Hydrocephalus Clinical Research Network cohort</td>
<td>&lt; 1 mo = 298/1,184 vs 234/720 1–6 mo = 361/1,184 vs 243/720 6–12 mo = 132/1,184 vs 80/720 Comparative study between two cohorts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence of VP shunt failure in the selected age group could not be clearly identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
preterm-related post-hemorrhagic hydrocephalus was high but did not seem to be higher than in other groups with pediatric hydrocephalus.\textsuperscript{2}

The current study review confirms what has become common sense that most VP shunt failures are actually caused either by obstruction or infection, rather than by other causes of shunt failure such as valve problems, migration, or disconnection.

This study also approves what is well recognized by pediatric neurosurgeons that talking about shunt failure mostly involves the patients in the first year of life, at which the alternative management methods such as ETV also have a remarkable failure rate.

Further studies for the most common complications detected in this review are warranted. Shunt failure due to inadequate peritoneal absorption capacity in infants, as well as proximal obstruction, would be the most important issues to be covered in further clinical studies.\textsuperscript{16–22}

**Conclusions**

The risk of shunt failure is highest during the first year after implanting a VP shunt, particularly in premature infants and infants during their first year of life. Many factors have a direct impact on shunt malfunction, among which mechanical obstruction and shunt infection were the most frequent.

All types of mechanical problems were reported including proximal obstructions, distal obstructions, disconnections, disruptions, and valve-related problems. Shunt failure was more frequent due to proximal or distal obstruction than valve dysfunction. Ongoing and future studies of shunt failure should focus on independently avoiding the two major causes of shunt failure that this review has identified.
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