An Observational Study: Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring after Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty
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Aim In recent studies, lack of fall in nocturnal blood pressure (BP) has been presented as an independent predictor of poor prognosis. The aim of this study is to investigate the prognostic value of various variables of BP by 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) measurement and sex-related differences after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA).

Methods This study included 100 patients who underwent PTCA in the authors’ institute from June 2017 to January 2018. They were monitored by 24-hour ABP for 1 day in the hospital.

Results One hundred patients were recruited in this study with mean age of 61.64 years, with female-to-male ratio of 0.27:1. All demographic, clinical, and laboratory parameters including the ABP parameters were comparable between females and males, except smoking and alcoholism that were more frequent in males.

Out of 100 patients, 41 had nocturnal dipping in BP and 59 did not have nocturnal dipping. In this study, the variables among nondippers, 16 (27.1%) were females, 43 (72.8%) were males, 45 (63.3%) had diabetes mellitus (DM), 46 (75.4%) had hypertension (HTN), mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) was 141.7 ± 13.9 mm Hg, mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was 86.34 ± 5.64 mm Hg, mean pulse pressure (PP) was 55.39 ± 9.25 mm Hg, and pulse-wave velocity (PWV) was 6.69 ± 2.22 m/s.

In females were more nondippers (27.1%) than dippers (12.1%), which was statistically significant ($p = 0.05$). Nondippers were more among hypertensive patients (77.9% vs. 36.5%; $p = 0.00$). Higher mean SBP ($p = 0.001$), higher mean BP ($p = 0.032$), and higher mean pulse pressure ($p = 0.00$) were observed in nondippers. However, age, ejection fraction (EF), presence of DM, coronary artery disease (CAD) severity, type of presentation, and PWV were not different in dipper versus nondippers.

Conclusion With comparable clinical, laboratory parameters along with BP parameters between females and males, immediate post-percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) patients, female PCI patients had more nondippers ($p = 0.05$). Overall nondippers had higher SBP, mean BP, and PP than dippers. Diabetes, severity of CAD, and type of presentation of CAD (as ACS or CSA) did not influence the nocturnal dipping quality of the BP.
Introduction

In the past decade, there has been an explosive growth of ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) monitoring for clinical purposes and research. Several outcome studies have been published, particularly during the past few years. These studies were conducted in the general population or in hypertensive subjects, who were either untreated or treated at the time of ABP monitoring. The general result of these studies was that the difference in the cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk between categories generated by one session of ABP monitoring was greater than the difference in the risk between categories generated by one or a few standard office blood pressure (BP) measurements.

The aim of this study was to investigate the prognostic value of various variables of BP obtained by 24-hour ABP measurement and sex-related differences after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA).

Methods

This was a prospective, observational, and single-center study. This study was conducted in the department of cardiology at our institute. This study included 100 consecutive patients who underwent PTCA in our institute from June 2017 to January 2018 irrespective of presence or absence of hypertension (HTN). This study was approved by the institutional review board. An informed written consent was obtained from the participants before recruiting them in this study. Major exclusion criteria were patients having hemodynamic instability requiring intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) or inotropic support or mechanical ventilation, insomnia, and arrhythmia that interfere with ABP monitoring devices. Baseline data regarding age, sex, and conventional coronary artery disease (CAD) risk factors (diabetes mellitus [DM], HTN, and smoking) were collected. Diagnosis and indication for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) were recorded. All patients were subjected to undergo routine blood investigations, including complete blood counts, blood sugar, liver function tests (LFT), lipid profile, blood urea, serum creatinine, and serum electrolytes. Electrocardiogram (ECG) and transthoracic echocardiography were performed for all patients. They were monitored by ABP for 24 hours in the hospital.

Results

The demographic details of the study were given in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

Out of 100 patients, 21 (21%) were females and 79 (79%) were males (Fig. 1). Mean age of study population is 61.64 ± 8.50 years. Overall, 61 (61%) patients had HTN, 71 (71%) had DM, and 31 (31%) had a history of smoking (Fig. 2). The most common presentation in our study was acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (59%) that comprises unstable angina, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

Table 2 compares baseline characteristics based on sex. Both the groups were comparable regarding age, DM, HTN, number of stents, mean EF, and number of diseased vessels, except male patients who were more likely to have history of alcohol consumption and smoking. Mean age did not differ significantly between female and male patients (62.48 ± 8.88 years vs. 61.4 ± 8.7 years, p = 0.59) (Fig. 3). The mean EF among female patients was 56.3 ± 10.31% and among male patients was 52.65 ± 10.85% (p = 0.15), which is not statistically significant (p = 0.15).

From the ABP monitoring different variables were noted. No significant differences in various parameters obtained from ABP were noted between male and female patients.

Table 1 Demographics of study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>61.64 ± 8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>21 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>79 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>71 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>61 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>31 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>59 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>41 (41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CSA, chronic stable angina; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension.

Fig. 1 Sex distribution of the study population.
Mean BP of female and male patients was identical (104.19 ± 9.54 vs 102.33 ± 12.72 mm Hg, p = 0.46). The average PWV among female patients was 7.06 ± 2.18 m/s, and among male patients it was 6.72 ± 2.52 m/s, which was not statistically significant (p = 0.54). Statistically significant sex difference was not there even in mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and pulse pressure (PP) (Table 3). The mean heart rate (HR) among female and male patients was similar (71.95 ± 19.12 vs. 72.66 ± 17.22 beats/min, p = 0.87) (Table 2).

Among 100 patients, 41 (41%) patients had nocturnal dipping and 59 (59%) did not have nocturnal dipping. When comparing the different demographic, clinical, and laboratory parameters between nocturnal dippers and blunted dippers, female patients were more likely to have nondipping (p = 0.05). Patients with prior history of HTN had nondipping nocturnal BP compared with prior normotensive patients (77.9% vs. 36.5%, p = 0.001). Nondippers had higher 24 hours mean SBP (p = 0.001), 24-hours mean BP (p = 0.032), and
24-hours mean PP compared with dippers, which was statistically significant ($p = 0.00$) (Table 4, Fig. 4). However, there was no significant difference in 24-hour mean HR and 24-hours mean PWV between dippers and nondippers. Mean age, mean EF, presence of DM, CAD severity, and type of presentation were not different in dipper versus nondippers (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

Arterial BP exhibits a diurnal rhythm that is higher at daytime than at nighttime. A nocturnal fall in BP of less than 10% of the daytime value has been termed as "nondippers." Continuous 24-hour ABP monitoring has been used to show the diurnal rhythm of arterial BP. The mechanisms responsible for abnormal diurnal variation in BP remain unclear, but it may be associated with an autonomic balance deterioration. Erdem et al reported a possible cardiac autonomic dysfunction in prehypertensive, nondipper patients. Verdecchia et al demonstrated that lack of nocturnal decline in BP in patients with essential HTN was a good predictor of cardiovascular prognosis. Furthermore, nondipper pattern is a predictor of target organ damage, including stroke, renal failure, and adverse cardiovascular events. Kang et al reported that CAD patients often had lack of nocturnal BP fall, which might increase the future coronary events risk, including ACS. Zain-El et al reported that in elderly patients with isolated systolic HTN nondipping morning BP was an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Nondippers (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Dippers (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>$p$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62.78 ± 7.93</td>
<td>60.00 ± 9.13</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>53.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>53.8 ± 11.7</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>16 (27.1%)</td>
<td>5 (12.1%)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>43 (72.8%)</td>
<td>36 (87.8%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>45 (76.27%)</td>
<td>26 (63.4%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>46 (77.9%)</td>
<td>15 (36.5%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVD</td>
<td>29 (70.7%)</td>
<td>35 (59.3%)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD</td>
<td>12 (29.2%)</td>
<td>24 (40.6%)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>15 (36.5%)</td>
<td>26 (44.06%)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>26 (63.4%)</td>
<td>33 (55.9%)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 (25.4%)</td>
<td>16 (39.02%)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34 (57.6%)</td>
<td>23 (56.09%)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 (16.9%)</td>
<td>2 (4.83%)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SBP</td>
<td>141.7 ± 13.9</td>
<td>129.8 ± 18.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DBP</td>
<td>86.34 ± 5.64</td>
<td>83.4 ± 14.8</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MBP</td>
<td>105.12 ± 8.20</td>
<td>99.3 ± 15.6</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PP</td>
<td>55.39 ± 9.25</td>
<td>46.34 ± 7.42</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR</td>
<td>73.0 ± 16.9</td>
<td>71.8 ± 18.6</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWV</td>
<td>6.69 ± 2.22</td>
<td>6.94 ± 2.76</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CAD, coronary artery disease; CSA, chronic stable angina; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; DVD, double-vessel disease; EF, ejection fraction; HR, heart rate; HTN, hypertension; MBP, mean blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; PWV, pulse-wave velocity; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SVD, single-vessel disease.
independent predictor of hypertensive target organ damage.\textsuperscript{36} Cha et al reported that masked and sustained HTN and nondipping or reverse dipping pattern were more common in patients with severe renal failure and proteinuria.\textsuperscript{37} Karakas et al demonstrated the nondipping BP pattern relation with impaired left ventricular dysynchrony in dipper and nondipper hypertensives.\textsuperscript{38}

In our study, we observed that women had blunted nocturnal dipping \((p = 0.05)\) when compared with men \((p = 0.08)\). This finding is consistent with previous study reports by Sherwood et al,\textsuperscript{39} which concluded that women with CAD may be exposed to a higher nocturnal BP, which could put them at higher risk for further target organ damage and adverse clinical outcomes. They also noted that ambulatory PP was elevated during both the daytime and nighttime in women with CAD. They also showed that CAD and advancing age were associated with blunted nocturnal BP dipping in women. In the PIUMA (Progetto Ipertensione Umbria Monitoraggio Ambulatoriale) study, hypertensive women with nondipping pattern at the baseline evaluation had a higher cardiovascular morbidity rate during follow-up than dippers, and this difference remained significant even after control for traditional risk factors. A nonsignificant trend in the same direction was found in men.\textsuperscript{5}

A recent study by Cicci\textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{40} observed that 56 (66.66%) patients had nondipper pattern and 28 (33.33%) had dipper pattern. They observed a significant difference in CF-PWV (nondippers vs. dipper: 8.91 ± 2.53 vs. 7.66 ± 1.08 m/s, \(p = 0.002\)), female sex (55% vs. 32%, \(p = 0.045\)), and nocturnal BP measurements (for mean BP: 106 ± 11 vs. 92 ± 8 mm Hg, \(p < 0.001\)). By multiple logistic regression analysis, they revealed female sex (\(p = 0.021\)), nocturnal mean BP (\(p < 0.001\)), and CF-PWV (\(p = 0.004\)) as the independent predictors of nondipper hypertensive pattern. Nondipper pattern, mainly related to increased PWV and impaired modulation of vascular smooth muscle tone during the night, may justify an increased cardiovascular risk in these patients.

This study results were consistent with those of our study in terms of female sex (nondippers vs. dippers; 27% vs. 12%, \(p = 0.05\)) and high mean BP (105.12 ± 8.20 vs. 99.3 ± 15.6 mm Hg, \(p = 0.032\)). However, in contrast PWV was not statistically significant between the two groups (6.69 ± 2.22 vs. 6.94 ± 2.76, \(p = 0.637\)).

In our study, we found the statistically higher 24-hour PP in nondippers than dippers (\(p = 0.00\)). This finding was consistent with previous study reports by Verdecchia et al,\textsuperscript{41} which concluded that ambulatory PP was a potent independent predictor of total cardiovascular risk in initially untreated essential hypertensive white patients. Ambulatory PP was a more accurate marker than office PP of increased arterial stiffness or already diseased arteries.\textsuperscript{42} In CAD patients there was an association between a higher PP and cardiac events.\textsuperscript{43} The elevated PP was a result of increased conduit vessel stiffness. Furthermore, it was likely that the increased load associated with conduit vessel stiffening contributed to a reduction in stroke volume in the patients with increased PP. The stiffness of Conduit vessel increased the amplitude of PP produced by a given flow wave, resulting in higher systolic and lower diastolic pressures for any given mean pressure. In stiffened conduit vessels PWV was increased, and this shortened the time required for the forward pressure wave to travel down the aorta and peripheral conduits to the various reflecting sites and back to the heart. The resulting movement of the reflected wave into systole from diastole has no effect on mean arterial pressure (MAP). However, the premature reflected wave further increases the systolic pressure-time integral and decreases the diastolic pressure-time integral, thereby increasing systolic load while decreasing coronary perfusion pressure.

**Conclusion**

With comparable clinical, laboratory parameters along with BP parameters between females and males, immediate post-PCI patients and female PCI patients had more nondippers (\(p = 0.05\)). Overall nondippers had higher SBP, mean BP, and PP than dippers. Diabetes, severity of CAD, and type of presentation of CAD (as ACS or CSA) did not influence the nocturnal dipping quality of the BP.

**Limitations of the Study**

This study provided no information regarding long-term clinical outcomes. It was a nonrandomized study from a single center.
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